Volume 4

## ALLEGHENY COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT

\* \* \* \* \* UNITED STATES STEEL : CORPORATION, a Delaware : Corporation, : Appellant, : Appeal of : Enforcement Order #180601 versus : ALLEGHENY COUNTY HEALTH : DEPARTMENT, Air Quality 1 Program, : Appellee. : \* \* \* \* \* Verbatim record of hearing held at At Clack Health Center, Building 7, 301 39th Street, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, on December 6, 2018 at 9:30 a.m. BEFORE: MAX SLATER, ESQUIRE, Hearing Officer ADELMAN REPORTERS 302 Torrey Pine Drive Mars, Pennsylvania 16046 Phone 724-625-9101; Fax 724-625-9133

## 1 APPEARANCES:

```
JASON K. WILLIS, JR., ESQUIRE - Assistant Solicitor
 2
    Allegheny County Health Department
    Building 7, 301 39th Street
 3
    Pittsburgh, PA 15201
 4
       For - Allegheny County Health Department
 5
    MARK K. DAUSCH, ESQUIRE
 6
    MICHAEL H. WINEK, ESQUIRE
    Babst Calland
7
    Two Gateway Center
    603 Stanwix Street, 6th Floor
    Pittsburgh, PA 15222
8
       For - U.S. Steel Corporation
9
    DAVID W. HACKER, ESQUIRE
10
    U.S. Steel Corporation
    600 Grant Street, Suite 1500
11
    Pittsburgh, PA 15219
12
       For - U.S. Steel Corporation
13
    Also Present: Michael Parker, Esquire
14
15
16
17
1.8
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
25
```

1	INDEX TO WITNESSES	
2		
3	Witness:	Page
4	Bill Clark	
5	Direct examination by Mr. Dausch Cross-examination by Mr. Willis	8 0 5 8 0 8
6	Michael Rhoads	
7	Direct examination by Mr. Dausch Cross-examination by Mr. Willis	810 861
8	Redirect examination by Mr. Dausch Recross-examination by Mr. Willis	911 912
9	Redirect examination by Mr. Dausch Recross-examination by Mr. Willis	913 914
10	Tishie Woodwell	
11	Direct examination by Mr. Dausch Cross-examination by Mr. Willis	915 956
12	Redirect examination by Mr. Dausch Recross-examination by Mr. Willis	978 979
13	Recross examination by mit willing	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		805	1		807
1	PROCEEDINGS OF DECEMBER 6, 2018		1	order that hot idling Batteries 1, 2, and 3 could lead	
2	HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Let's go on the record		2	to a permanent destruction of those batteries?	
3	then. It is Thursday, December 6th, 2018. This is day		3	A. I believe I heard that. I'm not sure who	
4	four of the administrative hearing, United States Steel		4	indicated it.	
5	Corporation versus Allegheny County Health Department.		5	${\sf Q}. \ $ And you believe Angela Crowley, the inspector,	
6	Would counsel please identify themselves for the		6	told you that?	
7	record?		7	A. I believe, yes.	
8	MR. WILLIS: Jason Willis for Allegheny County		8	${\sf Q}.$ Okay. And Ms. Crowley, the inspector, is the	
9	Health Department.		9	employee at the Health Department who would have the	
10	MR. DAUSCH: Mark Dausch for U.S. Steel.		10	most experience at the actual Clairton plant, correct?	
11	HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Will any witness who is		11	A. Yes.	
12	going to be testifying today, please raise their hand to		12	Q. She's been there for over 20 years?	
13	be sworn in.		13	A. Yeah.	
14	(All potential witnesses were duly sworn by the		14	Q. Both as a department employee and as a	
15	court reporter.)		15	contractor?	
16	HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Dausch, you may call		16	A. Correct, correct.	
17	your first witness.		17	Q. And so for at least 20 years, she's been able to	
18	MR. DAUSCH: We will call Bill Clark.		18	see the conditions of Battery 1, 2, and 3, correct?	
19	BILL CLARK, called as a witness, being		19	A. Yes.	
20	previously sworn, testified as follows:		20	Q. And so she would probably be the best person at	
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION		21	the Department to have that opinion, that hot idling	
22	BY MR. DAUSCH:		22	Batteries 1, 2, and 3 would permanently destroy them; is	
23	Q. Mr. Clark, you're an employee of the Allegheny		23	that fair?	
24	County Health Department?		24	A. Yes.	
25	A. Yes.		25	MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have.	
25	/				
		806			808
1	Q. You're in the enforcement section?		1	HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Willis?	
2	A. Yes.		2	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
3	Q. Been in the enforcement section for about 30		3	BY MR. WILLIS:	
4	years?		4	$Q.\ \mbox{Mr. Clark, do you have any recollection of U.S.}$	
5	A. Yes.		5	Steel Clairton Coke Works having going into hot idle in	
6	Q. You had a part in preparing the enforcement order		6	the past 10 years between 2009 and or 2008 and 2018?	
7	that's the subject of this appeal?		7	A. The only recollection I have is from testimony	
8	A. I don't believe so, not the actual order, the		8	Q. Okay.	
9	listing of the violations.		9	A that I heard here.	
10	Q. You worked with Mr. DeLuca to		10	${f Q}.$ Okay. Are you familiar with the 1987 steel	
11	A. Yes.		11	strike?	
12	Q work on the violations that are included in		12	A. Yes.	
13	the enforcement order?		13	${\sf Q}.$ Do you recall whether or not the batteries at	
14	A. Yeah, yes.		14	Clairton Coke Works went on idle during that steel	
15	Q. And you noted the enforcement order includes a		15	strike?	
16	potential penalty that would be a hot idle of two		16	A. Yes.	
17	batteries?		17	Q. Do you remember how long those batteries went on	
18	A. Yes.		18	hot idle?	
19	Q. And you know that there are 10 batteries at the		19	A. I believe around six, seven months.	
20	Clairton plant?		20	Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the shed that's	
20	A. Yes.		21	surrounding the or on the coke side of Battery B?	
22	Q. And you know that Batteries 1, 2, and 3 are the		22	A. Yes.	
23	oldest of those 10 batteries?		23	Q. To your understanding, is that a pollution	
24	A. Yes.		24	control device?	
24	Q. The Department knew in preparing the enforcement		25	MR. DAUSCH: I'm going to object. I think the	
25	V. The repartment when in preparing the entorogient			and the second s	

		809		811
	1 direct was very narrow and now we are getting outside of		In 2009, I transferred from our Keetac plant to	
	2 that direct.		2 our Gary steelmaking operations in Gary, Indiana. I was	
	MR. WILLIS: I was going to say, the Rules of		3 the division manager of steelmaking in our south	
1	Evidence are very liberal with respect to administrative		4 steelmaking operations in Gary, Indiana.	
1	proceedings. In fact, we don't really follow them with		In 2011, I transferred from Gary, Indiana, to our	
	5 the exception of hearsay and relevancy.		5 fully integrated steelmaking facilities in the Republic	
	HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Willis, I'm going to		$\sigma$ of Serbia. Initially, I was the director of operations	
8	over I'm going to overrule Mr. Dausch's objection,	8	3 over the entire operation. Ultimately, I became the	
9	but I would like you to keep the scope of this line of	9	vice president and general director of our Serbian	
1(	) inquiry fairly narrow.	10	) steelmaking operations where I supervised over 5,400 men	
11	MR. WILLIS: Okay.	11	and women making steel in Serbia.	
12	BY MR. WILLIS:	12	In 2012, I transferred back from the Republic of	
13	${\sf Q}.$ So my question was, do you recall whether or not	13	Serbia where I became the director of reliability	
14	the shed on the coke side of Battery B is a pollution	14	assurance in our North American flat-rolled operations.	
15	control device? That's your understanding?	15	My area of focused responsibility was for our coke-	
16	A. Yes.	16	making assets in North America. From 2012 to 2015, I	
17	MR. WILLIS: I have no further questions.	17	served in this capacity.	
18	MR. DAUSCH: Nothing further.	18	In 2015, I took my current role as a plant	
19	HEARING OFFICER SLATER: All right. Mr. Clark,	19	manager at the Clairton plant.	
20	you may step down.	20	${\sf Q}.~$ And so how many years total do you have of	
21		21		
22		22		
23		23	ne en anteresta a compañ la compañ la compañía e presidence e a secondar e compañía de la compañía de la compañ	
24	MICHAEL RHOADS, called as a witness, being	24		
25	previously sworn, testified as follows:	25	${\sf Q}.$ And do you have any positions or have you had any	
1 2		10 1		812
	DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	groups?	812
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>DIRECT EXAMINATION</li> <li>EY MR. DAUSCH: <ol> <li>Can you introduce yourself to Mr. Slater?</li> <li>Yeah, my name is Michael Rhoads. I'm the plant manager at United States Steel Corporation, Clairton Works.</li> <li>And as the plant manager, what are your duties?</li> <li>My responsibilities include oversight of the entire staff at the facility, at the Clairton facility, and I'm responsible for all the day-to-day operations and maintenance that takes place at the Clairton plant.</li> <li>And can you tell us a little bit about your enployment history with U.S. Steel?</li> <li>Xeah. I started my employment with U.S. Steel actually at the Clairton plant in 1994. I worked my first 13 years in industry at Clairton; progressed</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	groups? A. I have, yes. In 2005 to 2006, I served as the co-chair of the AISI Coke Making Subcommittee, and I'm actually currently on the Board of Directors for the American Coke and Coal Chemical Institute, the ACI. Q. And what, in general, are those trade groups? A. Those trade groups are groups that bring industry professionals together to talk about manufacturing insues. We talk about manufacturing. We talk about environmental. We talk about safety and health issues. Q. And does that allow you to learn about coke plants outside of the Clairton plant? A. It does, yes. Typically, when we're having meetings with those organizations, we tour other operations,	812
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DIRECT EXAMINATION EY MR. DAUSCH: Q. Can you introduce yourself to Mr. Slater? A. Yeah, my name is Michael Rhoads. I'm the plant manager at United States Steel Corporation, Clairton works. Q. And as the plant manager, what are your duties? A. My responsibilities include oversight of the entire staff at the facility, at the Clairton facility, and I'm responsible for all the day-to-day operations include neuronal plant. Q. And can you tell us a little bit about your employment history with U.S. Steel? A. Yeah. I started my employment with U.S. Steel actually at the Clairton plant in 1994. I worked my	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>groups?</li> <li>A. I have, yes. In 2005 to 2006, I served as the co-chair of the AISI Coke Making Subcommittee, and I'm actually currently on the Board of Directors for the American Coke and Coal Chemical Institute, the ACI.</li> <li>Q. And what, in general, are those trade groups?</li> <li>A. Those trade groups are groups that bring industry professionals together to talk about manufacturing insues. We talk about manufacturing. We talk about environmental. We talk about safety and health issues.</li> <li>Q. And does that allow you to learn about coke plants outside of the Clairton plant?</li> <li>A. It does, yes. Typically, when we're having meetings with those organizations, we tour other</li> </ul>	812
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>groups?</li> <li>A. I have, yes. In 2005 to 2006, I served as the co-chair of the AISI Coke Making Subcommittee, and I'm actually currently on the Board of Directors for the American Coke and Coal Chemical Institute, the ACI.</li> <li>Q. And what, in general, are those trade groups?</li> <li>A. Those trade groups are groups that bring industry professionals together to talk about manufacturing insues. We talk about manufacturing. We talk about environmental. We talk about safety and health issues.</li> <li>Q. And does that allow you to learn about coke plants outside of the Clairton plant?</li> <li>A. It does, yes. Typically, when we're having meetings with those organizations, we tour other operations.</li> <li>Q. What's your educational background?</li> <li>A. I have a Bachelor's in acience and chemical</li> </ul>	812
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>groups?</li> <li>A. I have, yes. In 2005 to 2006, I served as the co-chair of the AISI Coke Making Subcommittee, and I'm actually currently on the Board of Directors for the American Coke and Coal Chemical Institute, the ACI.</li> <li>Q. And what, in general, are those trade groups?</li> <li>A. Those trade groups are groups that bring industry professionals together to talk about manufacturing insues. We talk about manufacturing. We talk about environmental. We talk about safety and health issues.</li> <li>Q. And does that allow you to learn about coke plants outside of the Clairton plant?</li> <li>A. It does, yes. Typically, when we're having meetings with those organizations, we tour other operations.</li> <li>Q. What's your educational background?</li> <li>A. I have a Bachelor's in science and chemical engineering from the University of Pittsburgh, and I</li> </ul>	812
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>groups?</li> <li>A. I have, yes. In 2005 to 2006, I served as the co-chair of the AISI Coke Making Subcommittee, and I'm actually currently on the Board of Directors for the American Coke and Coal Chemical Institute, the ACCI.</li> <li>Q. And what, in general, are those trade groups?</li> <li>A. Those trade groups are groups that bring industry professionals together to talk about manufacturing insues. We talk about manufacturing. We talk about environmental. We talk about safety and health issues.</li> <li>Q. And does that allow you to learn about coke plants outside of the Clairton plant?</li> <li>A. It does, yes. Typically, when we're having meetings with those organizations, we tour other operations.</li> <li>Q. What's your educational background?</li> <li>A. I have a Bachelor's in science and chemical engineering from the University of Pittsburgh, and I have a Master's in business administration from Duplense</li> </ul>	812
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>groups?</li> <li>A. I have, yes. In 2005 to 2006, I served as the co-chair of the AISI Coke Making Subcommittee, and I'm actually currently on the Board of Directors for the American Coke and Coal Chemical Institute, the ACI.</li> <li>Q. And what, in general, are those trade groups?</li> <li>A. Those trade groups are groups that bring industry professionals together to talk about manufacturing insues. We talk about manufacturing. We talk about environmental. We talk about safety and health issues.</li> <li>Q. And does that allow you to learn about coke plants outside of the Clairton plant?</li> <li>A. It does, yes. Typically, when we're having meetings with those organizations, we tour other operations.</li> <li>Q. What's your educational background?</li> <li>A. I have a Bachelor's in actionce and chemical engineering from the University of Pittsburgh, and I have a Master's in business administration from Duquense thiversity.</li> </ul>	812
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	groups? A. I have, yes. In 2005 to 2006, I served as the co-chair of the AISI Coke Making Subcommittee, and I'm actually currently on the Board of Directors for the American Coke and Coal Chemical Institute, the ACI. Q. And what, in general, are those trade groups? A. Those trade groups are groups that bring industry professionals together to talk about manufacturing insues. We talk about manufacturing. We talk about environmental. We talk about safety and health issues. Q. And does that allow you to learn about coke plants outside of the Clairton plant? A. It does, yes. Typically, when we're having meetings with those argunizations, we tour other operations. Q. What's your educational background? A. I have a Bachelor's in science and chemical engineering from the University of Pittsburgh, and I have a Master's in business administration from Dupuense buiversity. Q. Okay. I want to talk a little bit about the	812
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>groups?</li> <li>A. I have, yes. In 2005 to 2006, I served as the co-chair of the AISI Coke Making Subcommittee, and I'm actually currently on the Board of Directors for the American Coke and Coal Chemical Institute, the ACI.</li> <li>Q. And what, in general, are those trade groups?</li> <li>A. Those trade groups are groups that bring industry professionals together to talk about manufacturing insues. We talk about manufacturing. We talk about environmental. We talk about safety and health issues.</li> <li>Q. And does that allow you to learn about coke plants outside of the Clairton plant?</li> <li>A. It does, yes. Typically, when we're having meetings with those organizations, we tour other speations.</li> <li>Q. Muat's your educational background?</li> <li>A. I have a Bachelor's in actience and chemical engineering from the University of Pittsburgh, and I have a Master's in business administration from Dupuenes through the University of Pittsburgh, and I have a Master's in business administration from Dupuenes through the Chairton plant. Can you tell us a little</li> </ul>	812
22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 211 222 233 24		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>groups?</li> <li>A. I have, yes. In 2005 to 2006, I served as the co-chair of the AISI Coke Making Subcommittee, and I'm actually currently on the Board of Directors for the American Coke and Coal Chemical Institute, the ACI.</li> <li>Q. And what, in general, are those trade groups?</li> <li>A. Those trade groups are groups that bring industry professionals together to talk about manufacturing insues. We talk about manufacturing. We talk about environmental. We talk about safety and health issues.</li> <li>Q. And does that allow you to learn about coke plants outside of the Clairton plant?</li> <li>A. It does, yes. Typically, when we're having meetings with those organizations, we tour other sperations.</li> <li>Q. What's your educational background?</li> <li>A. I have a Bachelor's in actience and chemical engineering from the University of Pittsburgh, and I have a Master's in business administration from Dopense throws.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I want to talk a little bit about the history of the Clairton plant. Can you tell us a little bit about its history and how long it's been in</li> </ul>	812
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>groups?</li> <li>A. I have, yes. In 2005 to 2006, I served as the co-chair of the AISI Coke Making Subcommittee, and I'm actually currently on the Board of Directors for the American Coke and Coal Chemical Institute, the ACI.</li> <li>Q. And what, in general, are those trade groups?</li> <li>A. Those trade groups are groups that bring industry professionals together to talk about manufacturing insues. We talk about manufacturing. We talk about environmental. We talk about safety and health issues.</li> <li>Q. And does that allow you to learn about coke plants outside of the Clairton plant?</li> <li>A. It does, yes. Typically, when we're having meetings with those organizations, we tour other speations.</li> <li>Q. Muat's your educational background?</li> <li>A. I have a Bachelor's in actience and chemical engineering from the University of Pittsburgh, and I have a Master's in business administration from Dupuenes through the University of Pittsburgh, and I have a Master's in business administration from Dupuenes through the Chairton plant. Can you tell us a little</li> </ul>	812

			813			815
	1	A transfer of a start and and a start of	013	1	So once the coal is in the coal storage bunker,	010
	1	A. Yes. The Clairton plant actually started		2	that coal is then dropped into, by gravity, a coal	
	2	operation in 1901. At that time, it was an integrated		3	charging car or a larry car that travels across the top	
	3	steelmaking facility. The first byproduct coke ovens were installed at		4	of this coke battery.	
	4	the Clairton plant in 1918. So we have been making coke		5	So once the coal is in that coal charging car,	
	6	and byproduct ovens at Clairton now for 100 years.		6	that coal charging car then departs the bunker. And you	
	7	Clairton is the largest metallurgical coke plant		7	can see in this picture it's not real clear but you	
	8	in North America. We have 10 coke batteries with 708		8	can see the rendition there are four lids on top of	
	9	slotted coke ovens.		9	each of the 708 slotted ovens in coke plant.	
	10	Q. How big is the footprint of the Clairton plant?		10	So lids are removed and the coal charging car	
	11	<ul> <li>A. The plant is about 3.3 miles from north end to</li> </ul>		11	positions itself on top of the oven that's scheduled to	
	12	south end. It's a very large facility.		12	be charged. And the coal is charged by gravity; or in	
	13	Q. And today, approximately how many employees work		13	some cases, there is a screw extrusion device on the	
	14	at the Clairton plant?		14	coal charging car. But the coal is charged into	
	15	A. We employee approximately 1,200 men and women at		15	these each of these slotted ovens.	
	16	the Clairton facility. Those are direct U.S. Steel		16	Once the charge is complete, the coal charging	
	17	employees. And on a typical day, we will have 300 to		17	car comes off, the lids have been replaced, the lids are	
	18	400 contract employees in the plant.		18	sealed up, and the coking cycle begins.	
	19	Q. The coke that's produced at the Clairton plant,		19	You've heard testimony during the course of these	
	20	what is it used for?		20	proceedings about the pusher side. That references the	
	21	A. The coke that we produce at Clairton is used		21	side of the coke battery where the pusher machine sits.	
	22	across our North American operations and our iron-making		22	The pusher machine is responsible for removing	
	23	facilities. Coke is used in a blast furnace in an iron-		23	the door from the pusher side and pushing the hot coke,	
	24	making facility to refine crude iron ore.		24	at the conclusion of the coking cycle, out of the oven.	
	25	So you're essentially it provides fuel, but it		25	You've heard testimony about the coke side. This	
	20	by You we approximately and the factories and the				
-	-					
-			814			816
-	1	also provides a reducing agent to reduce and make a pure	814	1	depiction represents the coke side. So this is where	816
	1 2	also provides a reducing agent to reduce and make a pure form of iron in the blast furnace.	814	1 2	depiction represents the coke side. So this is where the door machine sits, and the door machine is	816
			814			816
	2	form of iron in the blast furnace.	814	2	the door machine sits, and the door machine is	816
	2 3	form of iron in the blast furnace. Q. Okay. I want to take a step back now and talk	814	2 3	the door machine sits, and the door machine is responsible for removing the door at the conclusion of	816
	2 3 4	<pre>form of iron in the blast furnace. Q. Okay. I want to take a step back now and talk generally about how the coke-making process works, and</pre>	814	2 3 4	the door machine sits, and the door machine is responsible for removing the door at the conclusion of the coking cycle and then inserting a coke guide into	816
	2 3 4 5	<pre>form of iron in the blast furnace. Q. Okay. I want to take a step back now and talk generally about how the coke-making process works, and to use that or to do that, I would like to use</pre>	814	2 3 4 5	the door machine sits, and the door machine is responsible for removing the door at the conclusion of the coking cycle and then inserting a coke guide into the coke oven to allow the coke to flow out of the oven	816
	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>form of iron in the blast furnace. Q. Okay. I want to take a step back now and talk generally about how the coke-making process works, and to use that or to do that, I would like to use Exhibit 40, page 1.</pre>	814	2 3 4 5 6	the door machine sits, and the door machine is responsible for removing the door at the conclusion of the coking cycle and then inserting a coke guide into the coke oven to allow the coke to flow out of the oven and into the waiting quench car that sits over here on	816
	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>form of iron in the blast furnace. Q. Okay. I want to take a step back now and talk generally about how the coke-making process works, and to use that or to do that, I would like to use Exhibit 40, page 1. And I also have a blow-up behind you of this</pre>	814	2 3 4 5 6 7	the door machine sits, and the door machine is responsible for removing the door at the conclusion of the coking cycle and then inserting a coke guide into the coke oven to allow the coke to flow out of the oven and into the waiting quench car that sits over here on the coke side to catch the hot coke.	816
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>form of iron in the blast furnace. Q. Okay. I want to take a step back now and talk generally about how the coke-making process works, and to use that or to do that, I would like to use Exhibit 40, page 1. And I also have a blow-up behind you of this diagram that might help you with your testimony, Mr.</pre>	814	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the door machine sits, and the door machine is responsible for removing the door at the conclusion of the coking cycle and then inserting a coke guide into the coke oven to allow the coke to flow out of the oven and into the waiting quench car that sits over here on the coke side to catch the hot coke. So once the coal is charged, it bakes in the	816
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>form of iron in the blast furnace. Q. Okay. I want to take a step back now and talk generally about how the coke-making process works, and to use that or to do that, I would like to use Exhibit 40, page 1. And I also have a blow-up behind you of this diagram that might help you with your testimony, Mr. Rhoads.</pre>	814	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the door machine sits, and the door machine is responsible for removing the door at the conclusion of the coking cycle and then inserting a coke guide into the coke oven to allow the coke to flow out of the oven and into the waiting quench car that sits over here on the coke side to catch the hot coke. So once the coal is charged, it bakes in the oven, in the absence of oxygen or air, for approximately	816
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>form of iron in the blast furnace. Q. Okay. I want to take a step back now and talk generally about how the coke-making process works, and to use that or to do that, I would like to use Exhibit 40, page 1. And I also have a blow-up behind you of this diagram that might help you with your testimony, Mr. Rhoads. A. Okay.</pre>	814	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the door machine sits, and the door machine is responsible for removing the door at the conclusion of the coking cycle and then inserting a coke guide into the coke oven to allow the coke to flow out of the oven and into the waiting quench car that sits over here on the coke side to catch the hot coke. So once the coal is charged, it bakes in the oven, in the absence of oxygen or air, for approximately 18 hours. It depends on the battery. It depends on the	816
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>form of iron in the blast furnace. Q. Okay. I want to take a step back now and talk generally about how the coke-making process works, and to use that or to do that, I would like to use Exhibit 40, page 1. And I also have a blow-up behind you of this diagram that might help you with your testimony, Mr. Rhoads. A. Ckay. Q. It would be in the second volume of U.S. Steel's</pre>	814	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the door machine sits, and the door machine is responsible for removing the door at the conclusion of the coking cycle and then inserting a coke guide into the coke oven to allow the coke to flow out of the oven and into the waiting quench car that sits over here on the coke side to catch the hot coke. So once the coal is charged, it bakes in the oven, in the absence of oxygen or air, for approximately 18 hours. It depends on the battery. It depends on the operating condition but at least 18 hours.	816
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>form of iron in the blast furnace. Q. Okay. I want to take a step back now and talk generally about how the coke-making process works, and to use that or to do that, I would like to use Exhibit 40, page 1. And I also have a blow-up behind you of this diagram that might help you with your testimony, Mr. Rhoads. A. Ckay. Q. It would be in the second volume of U.S. Steel's exhibits.</pre>	814	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the door machine sits, and the door machine is responsible for removing the door at the conclusion of the coking cycle and then inserting a coke guide into the coke oven to allow the coke to flow out of the oven and into the waiting quench car that sits over here on the coke side to catch the hot coke. So once the coal is charged, it bakes in the oven, in the absence of oxygen or air, for approximately 18 hours. It depends on the battery. It depends on the operating condition but at least 18 hours. At the conclusion of the coking cycle, you push	816
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>form of iron in the blast furnace. Q. Okay. I want to take a step back now and talk generally about how the coke-making process works, and to use that or to do that, I would like to use Exhibit 40, page 1. And I also have a blow-up behind you of this diagram that might help you with your testimony, Mr. Rhoads. A. Okay. Q. It would be in the second volume of U.S. Steel's exhibits. A. Okay.</pre>	814	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the door machine sits, and the door machine is responsible for removing the door at the conclusion of the coking cycle and then inserting a coke guide into the coke oven to allow the coke to flow out of the oven and into the waiting quench car that sits over here on the coke side to catch the hot coke. So once the coal is charged, it bakes in the oven, in the absence of oxygen or air, for approximately 18 hours. It depends on the battery. It depends on the operating condition but at least 18 hours. At the conclusion of the coking cycle, you push that hot coke into this waiting catch box on this quench	816
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>form of iron in the blast furnace. Q. Okay. I want to take a step back now and talk generally about how the coke-making process works, and to use that or to do that, I would like to use Exhibit 40, page 1. And I also have a blow-up behind you of this diagram that might help you with your testimony, Mr. Rhoads. A. Ckay. Q. It would be in the second volume of U.S. Steel's exhibits. A. Ckay. Q. Exhibit 40, page 1.</pre>	814	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the door machine sits, and the door machine is responsible for removing the door at the conclusion of the coking cycle and then inserting a coke guide into the coke oven to allow the coke to flow out of the oven and into the waiting quench car that sits over here on the coke side to catch the hot coke. So once the coal is charged, it bakes in the oven, in the absence of oxygen or air, for approximately 18 hours. It depends on the battery. It depends on the operating condition but at least 18 hours. At the conclusion of the coking cycle, you push that hot coke into this waiting catch box on this quench car. The quench car then takes that hot coke it will	816
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>form of iron in the blast furnace.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I want to take a step back now and talk generally about how the coke-making process works, and to use that or to do that, I would like to use Exhibit 40, page 1.</li> <li>And I also have a blow-up behind you of this diagram that might help you with your testimony, Mr. Rhoads.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. It would be in the second volume of U.S. Steel's exhibits.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Exhibit 40, page 1.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: And this diagram that</li> </ul>	814	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the door machine sits, and the door machine is responsible for removing the door at the conclusion of the coking cycle and then inserting a coke guide into the coke oven to allow the coke to flow out of the oven and into the waiting quench car that sits over here on the coke side to catch the hot coke. So once the coal is charged, it bakes in the oven, in the absence of oxygen or air, for approximately 18 hours. It depends on the battery. It depends on the operating condition but at least 18 hours. At the conclusion of the coking cycle, you push that hot coke into this waiting catch box on this quench car. The quench car then takes that hot coke it will be typically about 1,800 degrees Farenheit into the	816
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>form of iron in the blast furnace. Q. Okay. I want to take a step back now and talk generally about how the coke-making process works, and to use that or to do that, I would like to use Exhibit 40, page 1. And I also have a blow-up behind you of this diagram that might help you with your testimony, Mr. Rhoads. A. Ckay. Q. It would be in the second volume of U.S. Steel's exhibits. A. Ckay. Q. Exhibit 40, page 1. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: And this diagram that Mr. Rhoads is holding is just a larger version of this?</pre>	814	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the door machine sits, and the door machine is responsible for removing the door at the conclusion of the coking cycle and then inserting a coke guide into the coke oven to allow the coke to flow out of the oven and into the waiting quench car that sits over here on the coke side to catch the hot coke. So once the coal is charged, it bakes in the oven, in the absence of oxygen or air, for approximately 18 hours. It depends on the battery. It depends on the operating condition but at least 18 hours. At the conclusion of the coking cycle, you push that hot coke into this waiting catch box on this quench car. The quench car then takes that hot coke it will be typically about 1,800 degrees Farenheit into the quench tower where you pour water onto that 1,800-degree Farenheit hot coke and you cool it down to approximately 500 degrees Farenheit.	816
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>form of iron in the blast furnace. Q. Okay. I want to take a step back now and talk generally about how the coke-making process works, and to use that or to do that, I would like to use Exhibit 40, page 1. And I also have a blow-up behind you of this diagram that might help you with your testimony, Mr. Rhoads. A. Ckay. Q. It would be in the second volume of U.S. Steel's exhibits. A. Ckay. Q. Exhibit 40, page 1. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: And this diagram that Mr. Rhoads is holding is just a larger version of this? MR. DAUSCH: It is just a blow-up.</pre>	814	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the door machine sits, and the door machine is responsible for removing the door at the conclusion of the coking cycle and then inserting a coke guide into the coke oven to allow the coke to flow out of the oven and into the waiting quench car that sits over here on the coke side to catch the hot coke. So once the coal is charged, it bakes in the oven, in the absence of oxygen or air, for approximately 18 hours. It depends on the battery. It depends on the operating condition but at least 18 hours. At the conclusion of the coking cycle, you push that hot coke into this waiting catch box on this quench car. The quench car then takes that hot coke — it will be typically about 1,800 degrees Farenheit — into the quench tower where you pour water onto that 1,800-degree Farenheit hot coke and you cool it down to approximately	816
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>form of iron in the blast furnace. Q. Okay. I want to take a step back now and talk generally about how the coke-making process works, and to use that or to do that, I would like to use Exhibit 40, page 1. And I also have a blow-up behind you of this diagram that might help you with your testimony, Mr. Rhoads. A. Okay. Q. It would be in the second volume of U.S. Steel's exhibits. A. Okay. Q. Exhibit 40, page 1. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: And this diagram that Mr. Rhoads is holding is just a larger version of this? MR. DAUSCH: It is just a blow-up. MR. RHOADS: So this is a typical rendition of a</pre>	814	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the door machine sits, and the door machine is responsible for removing the door at the conclusion of the coking cycle and then inserting a coke guide into the coke oven to allow the coke to flow out of the oven and into the waiting quench car that sits over here on the coke side to catch the hot coke. So once the coal is charged, it bakes in the oven, in the absence of oxygen or air, for approximately 18 hours. It depends on the battery. It depends on the operating condition but at least 18 hours. At the conclusion of the coking cycle, you push that hot coke into this waiting catch box on this quench car. The quench car then takes that hot coke — it will be typically about 1,800 degrees Farenheit — into the quench tower where you pour water onto that 1,800-degree Farenheit hot coke and you cool it down to approximately 500 degrees Farenheit. That coke — quenched coke then exits the quench tower in the hot car, comes out here to the coke wharf.	816
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>form of iron in the blast furnace.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I want to take a step back now and talk generally about how the coke-making process works, and to use that or to do that, I would like to use Exhibit 40, page 1.</li> <li>And I also have a blow-up behind you of this diagram that might help you with your testimony, Mr.</li> <li>Rhoads.</li> <li>A. Ckay.</li> <li>Q. It would be in the second volume of U.S. Steel's exhibits.</li> <li>A. Ckay.</li> <li>Q. Exhibit 40, page 1.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: And this diagram that Mr. Rhoads is holding is just a larger version of this?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: It is just a blow-up.</li> <li>MR. RHOADS: So this is a typical rendition of a coke plant, and it just kind of lays out the process</li> </ul>	814	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the door machine sits, and the door machine is responsible for removing the door at the conclusion of the coking cycle and then inserting a coke guide into the coke oven to allow the coke to flow out of the oven and into the waiting quench car that sits over here on the coke side to catch the hot coke. So once the coal is charged, it bakes in the oven, in the absence of oxygen or air, for approximately 18 hours. It depends on the battery. It depends on the operating condition but at least 18 hours. At the conclusion of the coking cycle, you push that hot coke into this waiting catch box on this quench car. The quench car then takes that hot coke it will be typically about 1,800 degrees Farenheit into the quench tower where you pour water onto that 1,800-degree Farenheit hot coke and you cool it down to approximately 500 degrees Farenheit. That coke quenched coke then exits the quench tower in the hot car, comes out here to the coke wharf. You dump the coke onto the coke wharf, and then a series	816
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>form of iron in the blast furnace.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I want to take a step back now and talk generally about how the coke-making process works, and to use that or to do that, I would like to use Exhibit 40, page 1.</li> <li>And I also have a blow-up behind you of this diagram that might help you with your testimony, Mr. Rhoads.</li> <li>A. Ckay.</li> <li>Q. It would be in the second volume of U.S. Steel's exhibits.</li> <li>Q. Exhibit 40, page 1.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: And this diagram that Mr. Rhoads is holding is just a larger version of this? MR. DAUSCH: It is just a blow-up.</li> <li>M. RHOADS: So this is a typical rendition of a coke plant, and it just kind of lays out the process flow to get the raw materials from the raw material</li> </ul>	814	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the door machine sits, and the door machine is responsible for removing the door at the conclusion of the coking cycle and then inserting a coke guide into the coke oven to allow the coke to flow out of the oven and into the waiting quench car that sits over here on the coke side to catch the hot coke. So once the coal is charged, it bakes in the oven, in the absence of oxygen or air, for approximately 18 hours. It depends on the battery. It depends on the operating condition but at least 18 hours. At the conclusion of the coking cycle, you push that hot coke into this waiting catch box on this quench car. The quench car then takes that hot coke — it will be typically about 1,800 degrees Farenheit — into the quench tower where you pour water onto that 1,800-degree Farenheit hot coke and you cool it down to approximately 500 degrees Farenheit. That coke — quenched coke then exits the quench tower in the hot car, comes out here to the coke wharf.	816
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>form of iron in the blast furnace.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I want to take a step back now and talk generally about how the coke-making process works, and to use that or to do that, I would like to use Exhibit 40, page 1.</li> <li>And I also have a blow-up behind you of this diagram that might help you with your testimony, Mr. Rhoads.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. It would be in the second volume of U.S. Steel's exhibits.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Exhibit 40, page 1.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: And this diagram that Mr. Rhoads is holding is just a larger version of this? MR. DAUSCH: It is just a blow-up.</li> <li>MR. RHOADS: So this is a typical rendition of a coke plant, and it just kind of lays out the process flow to get the raw materials from the raw material handling to coke battery proper.</li> </ul>	814	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the door machine sits, and the door machine is responsible for removing the door at the conclusion of the coking cycle and then inserting a coke guide into the coke oven to allow the coke to flow out of the oven and into the waiting quench car that sits over here on the coke side to catch the hot coke. So once the coal is charged, it bakes in the oven, in the absence of oxygen or air, for approximately 18 hours. It depends on the battery. It depends on the operating condition but at least 18 hours. At the conclusion of the coking cycle, you push that hot coke into this waiting catch box on this quench car. The quench car then takes that hot coke — it will be typically about 1,800 degrees Farenheit — into the quench tower where you pour water onto that 1,800-degree Farenheit hot coke and you cool it down to approximately 500 degrees Farenheit. That coke — quenched coke then exits the quench tower in the hot car, comes out here to the coke wharf. You dump the coke onto the coke wharf, and then a series of conveyers takes it through a screening station. And we load most of our coke into railroad cars and then	816
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>form of iron in the blast furnace.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I want to take a step back now and talk generally about how the coke-making process works, and to use that or to do that, I would like to use Exhibit 40, page 1.</li> <li>And I also have a blow-up behind you of this diagram that might help you with your testimony, Mr. Rhoads.</li> <li>A. Ckay.</li> <li>Q. It would be in the second volume of U.S. Steel's exhibits.</li> <li>A. Ckay.</li> <li>Q. Exhibit 40, page 1.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: And this diagram that Mr. Rhoads is holding is just a larger version of this?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: It is just a blow-up.</li> <li>MR. RHOADS: So this is a typical rendition of a coke plant, and it just kind of lays out the process flow to get the raw materials from the raw material handling to coke battery proper.</li> </ul>	814	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the door machine sits, and the door machine is responsible for removing the door at the conclusion of the coking cycle and then inserting a coke guide into the coke oven to allow the coke to flow out of the oven and into the waiting quench car that sits over here on the coke side to catch the hot coke. So once the coal is charged, it bakes in the oven, in the absence of oxygen or air, for approximately 18 hours. It depends on the battery. It depends on the operating condition but at least 18 hours. At the conclusion of the coking cycle, you push that hot coke into this waiting catch box on this quench car. The quench car then takes that hot coke — it will be typically about 1,800 degrees Farenheit — into the quench tower where you pour water onto that 1,800-degree Farenheit hot coke and you cool it down to approximately 500 degrees Farenheit. That coke — quenched coke then exits the quench tower in the hot car, comes out here to the coke wharf. You dump the coke onto the coke wharf, and then a series of conveyers takes it through a screening station. And	816

25 America.

25 the blended coal to a coal storage bunker.

		817			819
1	BY MR. DAUSCH:		1	A. It does, yes. The stack emission point would be	
2	$Q. \  \   \mbox{And} \   \mbox{how many coke batteries are depicted on}$		2	listed as Number 7 here in the exhibit, and the fugitive	
3	Exhibit 40, page 1?		3	emission points are all of these other emission points.	
4	A. This is a cutaway section basically of just one		4	${\sf Q}.$ And can you explain to us what the difference is	
5	coke battery. So it gives you kind of a cross-sectional		5	between a fugitive emission point and a stack emission	
6	representation. All of our batteries would be much		6	point?	
7	larger than what's depicted in this picture.		7	A. Well, I'll start with the stack emission point.	
8	Q. Okay. You can put that down for now.		8	The stack emission — the stack is basically the	
9	A. Okay.		9	combustion chimney for the battery.	
10	${f Q}.$ Mr. Rhoads, in addition to the coke batteries,		10	So, obviously, you are heating the coal to form	
11	are there other sources at the Clairton plant that are		11	coke at 1,800 degrees Farenheit. So each slotted oven	
12	subject to air emissions regulations?		12	is constructed, there's a refractory wall on either side	
13	A. There are, yes.		13	of the oven chamber, and within each of these refractory	
14	Q. And can you generally describe what those are?		14	walls, there are flues, heating flues. And the heating	
15	A. Yeah. One of our large sources is the - we have		15	flue is simply to where you introduce the clean coke	
16	several boilers at the facility where we produce steam		16 17	oven gas and air to form combustion.	
18	for the operation.		17 18	And then the combustion products from heating	
19	We have two large, high-pressure boilers, 900 pound, 900-degree Farenheit boilers. They combust the		10	this coke battery go into a waste heat canal and eventually go out this combustion stack or this chimney.	
20	clean coke oven gas to generate the steam, and we		20	The emissions from that combustion process are	
21	generate some power at the Clairton facility. We have		21	monitored continuously by a continuous opecity monitor.	
22	four smaller pinnion walls on coke the batteries, also		22	Q. Mr. Rhoads, that's an issue I want to talk about	
23	emission sources.		23	next. The battery stack emissions points compared to	
24	In addition to that, through the coking process,		24	the battery fugitive emissions points, are they measured	
25	as you drive the volatile matter off of the coal, you		25	differently?	
			_		
		818			000
1	collect the foul gas that is emitted from the coke ovens	818	1	A. Yes, they are measured differently in the fact	820
2	and you process that through a byproduct recovery		2	that you have a - an objective continuous opacity	
3	process and then a gas cleaning process, and that clean		3	monitor that basically has 100 percent up time in this	
4	gas is subject to hydrogen sulfide emissions limits.		4	stack. It's constantly reading the plume that's going	
5	Q. The enforcement order that's the subject of this		5	out that combustion stack.	
6	appeal, does it contain alleged violations for all of		6	The fugitive emissions, there's no device or	
7	the different air and emission sources you've described?		7	measurement technology. It's basically a human being	
8	A. No.		8	observing for those fugitive emissions.	
9	Q. What does it relate to?		9	${\sf Q}.~$ And those human beings that are doing the	
10	A. It relates to fugitive emissions from the colve	1	LO	observations, those are the inspectors from Keramida and	
11	battery portion of the plant proper.	1	L1	from the county who we've heard from earlier in this	
12	${\sf Q}.$ Okay. I want to talk a little bit about those	1	.2	hearing?	
13	fugitive emission sources from the batteries, and let's	1	.3	A. That's connect, yes.	
14	use Exhibit 40, page 2 to do that. And we also have a	1	4	Q. Okay. I want to talk through the different	
15	blow-up of that if it helps you.	1	5	numbered emissions points, because there has been	
16	A. Okay.		.6	testimony about them throughout this hearing, and have	
17	${\bf Q},\;$ And before we go through and, Mr. Rhoads,		7	you explain a little bit about what they are.	
18	could you just angle it so that	1.0	.8	A. Okey.	
19	A. Yeah.		.9	Q. So if we start with Number 1 on the diagram	
20	Q. So before going through all of the numbers, can		20	that's Exhibit 40, page 2, is this a fugitive emissions	
21	you just tell us in general what this depicts?		1	point that is regulated?	
22	A. This is a very basic depiction of a coke battery.		2	A. Yeah. Number 1 illustrates charging, so it's	
23	Q. Okay. And does this depiction show both the		3	coming from the larry car. It's basically the action of	
24	fugitive emission points and the stack emission points		4	putting the coal into the coke oven to start the coking	
25	that we have talked about throughout this hearing?	2	5	cycle.	
2.5	and the name carried about chroughout this lighting:	2		diene.	_

	8	21		823
	1 Q. And if we look at the picture that's on Exhibit	1	Q. We've heard testimony in this hearing about lids.	
	2 40, page 10, would that help you describe the charging	2	Are those also called charging ports?	
	3 process?	3	A. Yes.	
	4 A. Yeah. This is a depiction of, kind of, a	4	Q. Okay. And is that depicted on the diagram?	
	5 cross-section depiction of charging. And what is	5	A. Yeah, that's depicted here as Number 2, charging	
	6 illustrated here is a larry car sitting on top of the	6	ports, lids. And what a lid is, it's basically the	
1	7 oven.	7	cover that goes over top of the charging portal at the	
	8 And what you can see here are the four charging	8	conclusion of the charge.	
	9 portals where you remove the lids. And then the outside	9	So to start the charge, the larry car operator	
1	of the four charging portals are the connections to the	10	or the lid man or the utility person, we call them,	
1	l offtake piping where the gas goes.	11	removes the lid from the top of the charging portal, the	
12	2 The gas that's being evolved from the coal goes	12	larry car will spot up, and then at the conclusion of	
1:	up through that offtake and you see the area that	13	the charge, after the coal has all been placed into the	
14	looks a little hit like, what we call, a gooseneck	14	oven, the lid man will slide ensure that the lids are	
15	because it's the shape of a goose's neck and into the	15	all slid back on top of the charging portals, and then	
16	foul gas collection system.	16	they will seal the lids up with mud.	
17	So, you know, this illustrates charging. And	17	If you reference page 9 in the binder, there's a	
18	this is a depiction that we use to illustrate what we do	18	picture that shows a typical lid man's position. And	
19	) to stage charge a coke oven where we drop certain	19	with respect to he's actually in this photograph	
20	hoppers at certain times to minimize the potential for	20	sliding a lid back on the charging hole.	
21	any fugitive emissions.	21	And then if you look at the lids kind of back	
22	Q. Okay. Can you explain the practices that U.S.	22	from where he's standing, you can see the fresh sealing	
23	Steel takes to reduce air emissions during the charging	23	mud that's applied to the lids to prevent leakage.	
24	process?	24	${\sf Q}.$ And what color is the mud on this photograph?	
25	A. Yeah, we - so we've got an operator on the larry	25	A. It's kind of orangish-brown.	
100				
	82	2		824
1		2	${\sf Q},\;$ What's the purpose of using that sealing mud on	824
1	car that's responsible for the larry car operation. And		$Q_{\star}$ What's the purpose of using that sealing mud on the lids?	824
	car that's responsible for the larry car operation. And he's responsible for ensuring that the offtakes are free	1		824
2	car that's responsible for the larry car operation. And he's responsible for ensuring that the offtakes are free	1 2	the lids?	824
2	car that's responsible for the larry car operation. And he's responsible for ensuring that the offtakes are free of restriction, not occluded prior to starting the charge.	1 2 3	the lids? A. The sealing mud is - it's the industry standard	824
2 3 4	car that's responsible for the larry car operation. And he's responsible for ensuring that the offtakes are free of restriction, not occluded prior to starting the charge.	1 2 3 4	the lids? A. The sealing mud is it's the industry standard practice. Using a slurried mud mixture basically	824
2 3 4 5	car that's responsible for the larry car operation. And he's responsible for ensuring that the offtakes are free of restriction, not occluded prior to starting the charge. And then there's an operator that's responsible	1 2 3 4 5	the lids? A. The sealing much is it's the industry standard practice. Using a slurried much mixture basically ensures that that material gets into any cracks and	824
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	car that's responsible for the larry car operation. And he's responsible for ensuring that the offtakes are free of restriction, not occluded prior to starting the charge. And then there's an operator that's responsible for operating and actuating the dampers, the dampering	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the lids? A. The sealing mud is it's the industry standard practice. Using a slurried mud mixture basically ensures that that material gets into any cracks and voids in the between the lid and the casting that	824
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	car that's responsible for the larry car operation. And he's responsible for ensuring that the offtakes are free of restriction, not occluded prior to starting the charge. And then there's an operator that's responsible for operating and actuating the dampers, the dampering system, that isolates the oven from the foul gas	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the lids? A. The sealing mid is — it's the industry standard practice. Using a slurried mid mixture basically ensures that that material gets into any cracks and voids in the — between the lid and the casting that that lid sits down in and prevents any emissions from coming out of the lid. Q. Are there dedicated employees who work on the	824
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	car that's responsible for the larry car operation. And he's responsible for ensuring that the offtakes are free of restriction, not occluded prior to starting the charge. And then there's an operator that's responsible for operating and actuating the dampers, the dampering system, that isolates the oven from the foul gas collection system. He's also responsible for removing, replacing, and sealing up the lids at the conclusion of the charge.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the lids? A. The sealing mud is it's the industry standard practice. Using a slurried mud mixture basically ensures that that material gets into any cracks and voids in the between the lid and the casting that that lid aits down in and prevents any emissions from coming out of the lid. Q. Are there dedicated employees who work on the lids?	824
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	car that's responsible for the larry car operation. And he's responsible for ensuring that the offtakes are free of restriction, not occluded prior to starting the charge. And then there's an operator that's responsible for operating and actuating the dampers, the dampering system, that isolates the oven from the foul gas collection system. He's also responsible for removing, replacing, and scaling up the lids at the conclusion of the charge. So those operators are trained according to our	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the lids? A. The sealing mud is it's the industry standard practice. Using a slurried mud mixture basically ensures that that material gets into any cracks and voids in the between the lid and the casting that that lid aits down in and prevents any emissions from coming out of the lid. Q. Are there dedicated employees who work on the lids? A. Yes, full time.	824
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	car that's responsible for the larry car operation. And he's responsible for ensuring that the offtakes are free of restriction, not occluded prior to starting the charge. And then there's an operator that's responsible for operating and actuating the dampers, the dampering system, that isolates the oven from the foul gas collection system. He's also responsible for removing, replacing, and sealing up the lids at the conclusion of the charge. So those operators are trained according to our SOPs and SJPs to follow procedures to minimize any	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the lids? A. The sealing mid is it's the industry standard practice. Using a slurried mid mixture basically ensures that that material gets into any cracks and voids in the between the lid and the casting that that lid sits down in and prevents any emissions from coming out of the lid. Q. Are there dedicated employees who work on the lids? A. Yes, full time. Q. We've heard a lot in this hearing about the doors	824
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	car that's responsible for the larry car operation. And he's responsible for ensuring that the offtakes are free of restriction, not occluded prior to starting the charge. And then there's an operator that's responsible for operating and actuating the dampers, the dampering system, that isolates the oven from the foul gas collection system. He's also responsible for removing, replacing, and scaling up the lids at the conclusion of the charge. So those operators are trained according to our	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the lids? A. The sealing mud is it's the industry standard practice. Using a slurried mud mixture basically ensures that that material gets into any cracks and voids in the between the lid and the casting that that lid aits down in and prevents any emissions from coming out of the lid. Q. Are there dedicated employees who work on the lids? A. Yes, full time.	824
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	car that's responsible for the larry car operation. And he's responsible for ensuring that the offtakes are free of restriction, not occluded prior to starting the charge. And then there's an operator that's responsible for operating and actuating the dampers, the dampering system, that isolates the oven from the foul gas collection system. He's also responsible for removing, replacing, and sealing up the lids at the conclusion of the charge. So those operators are trained according to our SOPs and SJPs to follow procedures to minimize any	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>the lids?</li> <li>A. The sealing mud is it's the industry standard practice. Using a slurried mud mixture basically ensures that that material gets into any cracks and voids in the between the lid and the casting that that lid aits down in and prevents any emissions from coming out of the lid.</li> <li>Q. Are there dedicated employees who work on the lids?</li> <li>A. Yes, full time.</li> <li>Q. We've heard a lot in this hearing about the doors on the coke ovens. Are those depicted in Exhibit 40, page 2?</li> </ul>	824
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	car that's responsible for the larry car operation. And he's responsible for ensuring that the offtakes are free of restriction, not occluded prior to starting the charge. And then there's an operator that's responsible for operating and actuating the dampers, the dampering system, that isolates the oven from the foul gas collection system. He's also responsible for removing, replacing, and sealing up the lids at the conclusion of the charge. So those operators are trained according to our SOPs and SJPs to follow procedures to minimize any potential for fugitive emissions.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>the lids?</li> <li>A. The sealing mud is it's the industry standard practice. Using a slurried mud mixture basically ensures that that material gets into any cracks and voids in the between the lid and the casting that that lid sits down in and prevents any emissions from coming out of the lid.</li> <li>Q. Are there dedicated employees who work on the lids?</li> <li>A. Yes, full time.</li> <li>Q. We've heard a lot in this hearing about the doors on the coke ovens. Are those depicted in Exhibit 40,</li> </ul>	824
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	car that's responsible for the larry car operation. And he's responsible for ensuring that the offtakes are free of restriction, not occluded prior to starting the charge. And then there's an operator that's responsible for operating and actuating the dampers, the dampering system, that isolates the oven from the foul gas collection system. He's also responsible for removing, replacing, and sealing up the lids at the conclusion of the charge. So those operators are trained according to our SOPs and SJPs to follow procedures to minimize any potential for fugitive emissions. Q. What does SOP and SJP stand for? A. Standard operating procedure and safe job procedure.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>the lids?</li> <li>A. The sealing mid is - it's the industry standard practice. Using a slurried mid mixture basically ensures that that material gets into any cracks and voids in the - between the lid and the casting that that lid sits down in and prevents any emissions from coming out of the lid.</li> <li>Q. Are there dedicated employees who work on the lids?</li> <li>A. Yes, full time.</li> <li>Q. We've heard a lot in this hearing about the doors on the coke ovens. Are those depicted in Exhibit 40, page 2?</li> <li>A. Yesh, the door areas are referenced here. And you can see, there are two arrows coming up to this</li> </ul>	824
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>car that's responsible for the larry car operation. And ha's responsible for ensuring that the offtakes are free of restriction, not occluded prior to starting the charge.</li> <li>And then there's an operator that's responsible for operating and actuating the dampers, the dampering system, that isolates the oven from the foul gas collection system. He's also responsible for removing, replacing, and sealing up the lids at the conclusion of the charge.</li> <li>So those operators are trained according to our SOPs and SJPs to follow procedures to minimize any potential for fugitive emissions.</li> <li>Q. What does SOP and SJP stand for?</li> <li>A. standard operating procedure and safe job procedure.</li> <li>Q. And are there dedicated employees who work on the</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>the lids?</li> <li>A. The sealing mid is it's the industry standard practice. Using a slurried mid mixture basically ensures that that material gets into any cracks and voids in the between the lid and the casting that that lid aits down in and prevents any emissions from coming out of the lid.</li> <li>Q. Are there dedicated employees who work on the lids?</li> <li>A. Yes, full time.</li> <li>Q. We've heard a lot in this hearing about the doors on the coke ovens. Are those depicted in Exhibit 40, page 2?</li> <li>A. Yesh, the door areas are referenced here. And you can see, there are two arrows coming up to this Depiction 3, and that's just to represent the pusher</li> </ul>	824
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>car that's responsible for the larry car operation. And he's responsible for ensuring that the offtakes are free of restriction, not occluded prior to starting the charge.</li> <li>And then there's an operator that's responsible for operating and actuating the dampers, the dampering system, that isolates the oven from the foul gas collection system. He's also responsible for removing, replacing, and scaling up the lids at the conclusion of the charge.</li> <li>So those operators are trained according to our soPs and SJPs to follow procedures to minimize any potential for fugitive emissions.</li> <li>Q. What does SOP and SJP stand for?</li> <li>A. Standard operating procedure and safe job procedure.</li> <li>Q. And are there dedicated employees who work on the charging process?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>the lids?</li> <li>A. The sealing md is - it's the industry standard practice. Using a slurried md mixture basically ensures that that material gets into any cracks and voids in the between the lid and the casting that that lid aits down in and prevents any emissions from coming out of the lid.</li> <li>Q. Are there dedicated employees who work on the lids?</li> <li>A. Yes, full time.</li> <li>Q. We've heard a lot in this hearing about the doors on the coke ovens. Are those depicted in Exhibit 40, page 2?</li> <li>A. Yesh, the door areas are referenced here. And you can see, there are two arrows coming up to this papietion 3, and that's just to represent the pusher side and the coke-side door area on each of the</li> </ul>	824
22 34 56 77 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>car that's responsible for the larry car operation. And ha's responsible for ensuring that the offtakes are free of restriction, not occluded prior to starting the charge.</li> <li>And then there's an operator that's responsible for operating and actuating the despers, the despering system, that isolates the oven from the foul gas collection system. He's also responsible for removing, replacing, and sealing up the lids at the conclusion of the charge.</li> <li>So those operators are trained according to our solvential for fugitive emissions.</li> <li>Q. What does SOP and SJP stand for?</li> <li>A. standard operating procedures and safe job procedure.</li> <li>Q. And are there dedicated employees who work on the charging process?</li> <li>A. there are, yes.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>the lids?</li> <li>A. The sealing mid is - it's the industry standard practice. Using a slurried mid mixture basically ensures that that material gets into any cracks and voids in the between the lid and the casting that that lid aits down in and prevents any emissions from coming out of the lid.</li> <li>Q. Are there dedicated employees who work on the lids?</li> <li>A. Yes, full time.</li> <li>Q. We've heard a lot in this hearing about the doors on the coke ovens. Are those depicted in Exhibit 40, page 2?</li> <li>A. Yesh, the door areas are referenced here. And you can see, there are two arrows coming up to this papiention 3, and that's just to represent the pusher side and the coke-side door area on each of the hatteries.</li> </ul>	824
22 34 56 77 89 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>car that's responsible for the larry car operation. And ha's responsible for ensuring that the offtakes are free of restriction, not occluded prior to starting the danger.</li> <li>And then there's an operator that's responsible for operating and actuating the dangers, the dangering system, that isolates the oven from the foul gas collection system. He's also responsible for removing, replacing, and sealing up the lids at the conclusion of the charge.</li> <li>So those operators are trained according to our SOPs and SJPs to follow procedures to minimize any potential for fugitive emissions.</li> <li>Q. What does SOP and SJP stand for?</li> <li>A. standard operating procedure and safe job procedure.</li> <li>Q. And are there dedicated employees who work on the charging process?</li> <li>A. there are, yes.</li> <li>Q. okay.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>the lids?</li> <li>A. The sealing mid is - it's the industry standard practice. Using a alurried mid mixture basically ensures that that material gets into any cracks and voids in the between the lid and the casting that that lid aits down in and prevents any emissions from coming out of the lid.</li> <li>Q. Are there dedicated employees who work on the lids?</li> <li>A. Yes, full time.</li> <li>Q. We've heard a lot in this hearing about the doors on the coke ovens. Are those depicted in Exhibit 40, page 2?</li> <li>A. Yesh, the door areas are referenced here. And you can see, there are two arrows coming up to this hearing about the coke-side door areas on each of the batteries.</li> <li>Q. And if you look at Exhibit 40 on page 7, does</li> </ul>	824
22 34 45 67 78 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 21	<ul> <li>car that's responsible for the larry car operation. And ha's responsible for ensuring that the offtakes are free of restriction, not occluded prior to starting the charge.</li> <li>And then there's an operator that's responsible for operating and actuating the dampers, the dampering system, that isolates the oven from the foul gas collection system. He's also responsible for removing, replacing, and sealing up the lids at the conclusion of the charge.</li> <li>So those operators are trained according to our solve and SJPs to follow procedures to minimize any potential for fugitive emissions.</li> <li>Q. What does SOP and SJP stand for?</li> <li>A. Standard operating procedure and safe job procedure.</li> <li>Q. And are there dedicated employees who work on the charging process?</li> <li>A. There are, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>the lids?</li> <li>A. The sealing md is it's the industry standard practice. Using a slurried md mixture basically ensures that that material gets into any cracks and voids in the between the lid and the casting that that lid aits down in and prevents any emissions from coming out of the lid.</li> <li>Q. Are there dedicated employees who work on the lids?</li> <li>A. Yes, full time.</li> <li>Q. We've heard a lot in this hearing about the doors on the coke ovens. Are those depicted in Exhibit 40, page 2?</li> <li>A. Yesh, the door areas are referenced here. And you can see, there are two arrows coming up to this bepiction 3, and that's just to represent the pusher side and the coke-side door area on each of the hatteries.</li> <li>Q. And if you look at Exhibit 40 on page 7, does that depict coke oven doors?</li> </ul>	824
22 34 45 67 78 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>car that's responsible for the larry car operation. And ha's responsible for ensuring that the offtakes are free of restriction, not occluded prior to starting the charge.</li> <li>And then there's an operator that's responsible for operating and actuating the dampers, the dampering system, that isolates the own from the foul gas collection system. He's also responsible for removing, replacing, and sealing up the lids at the conclusion of the charge.</li> <li>So those operators are trained according to our SOPs and SJPs to follow procedures to minimize any potential for fugitive emissions.</li> <li>Q. What does SOP and SJP stand for?</li> <li>A. Standard operating procedure and safe job procedure.</li> <li>A. Intere are, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>And that's the larry car operator who is responsible for delivering the coal into the oven</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>the lids?</li> <li>A. The sealing md is - it's the industry standard protice. Using a slurried md mixture basically ensures that that naterial gets into any cracks and voids in the between the lid and the casting that that lid aits down in and prevents any emissions from coming out of the lid.</li> <li>Q. Are there dedicated employees who work on the lids?</li> <li>A. Yes, full time.</li> <li>Q. We've heard a lot in this hearing about the doors on the coke ovens. Are those depicted in Exhibit 40, page 2?</li> <li>A. Yesh, the door areas are referenced here. And you can see, there are two arrows coming up to this heatteries.</li> <li>Q. And if you look at Exhibit 40 on page 7, does that depict coke oven doors?</li> <li>A. Yeah, that's a picture on one of our coke</li> </ul>	824
22 34 56 77 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>the lids?</li> <li>A. The sealing md is - it's the industry standard practice. Using a slurried md mixture basically ensures that that material gets into any cracks and voids in the - between the lid and the casting that that lid aits down in and prevents any emissions from coming out of the lid.</li> <li>Q. Are there dedicated employees who work on the lids?</li> <li>A. Yee, full time.</li> <li>Q. We've heard a lot in this hearing about the doors on the coke ovens. Are those depicted in Exhibit 40, page 2?</li> <li>A. Yeeh, the door areas are referenced here. And you can see, there are two arrows coming up to this bepiction 3, and that's just to represent the pusher side and the coke-side door areas on each of the batteries.</li> <li>Q. And if you look at Exhibit 40 on page 7, does that depict coke oven doors?</li> <li>A. Yeah, that's a picture on one of our coke batteries. That's actually a photograph of one of the</li> </ul>	824
22 34 45 66 77 89 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 211 222 233 24		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>the lids?</li> <li>A. The sealing md is - it's the industry standard practice. Using a slurried md mixture basically ensures that that material gets into any cracks and voids in the between the lid and the casting that that lid aits down in and prevents any emissions from coming out of the lid.</li> <li>Q. Are there dedicated employees who work on the lids?</li> <li>A. Yes, full time.</li> <li>Q. We've heard a lot in this hearing about the doors on the coke ovens. Are those depicted in Exhibit 40, page 2?</li> <li>A. Yesh, the door areas are referenced here. And you can see, there are two arrows coming up to this bepiction 3, and that's just to represent the pusher side and the coke-side door area on each of the latteries.</li> <li>Q. And if you look at Exhibit 40 on page 7, does that depict coke oven doors?</li> <li>A. Yeah, that's a picture on one of our coke hetteries. That's actually a photograph of one of the sequences that we have assigned to each. And they</li> </ul>	824
22 34 56 77 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>the lids?</li> <li>A. The sealing md is - it's the industry standard practice. Using a slurried md mixture basically ensures that that material gets into any cracks and voids in the - between the lid and the casting that that lid aits down in and prevents any emissions from coming out of the lid.</li> <li>Q. Are there dedicated employees who work on the lids?</li> <li>A. Yee, full time.</li> <li>Q. We've heard a lot in this hearing about the doors on the coke ovens. Are those depicted in Exhibit 40, page 2?</li> <li>A. Yeeh, the door areas are referenced here. And you can see, there are two arrows coming up to this bepiction 3, and that's just to represent the pusher side and the coke-side door areas on each of the batteries.</li> <li>Q. And if you look at Exhibit 40 on page 7, does that depict coke oven doors?</li> <li>A. Yeah, that's a picture on one of our coke batteries. That's actually a photograph of one of the</li> </ul>	824

		825		827
1	as needed. And then, you know, in the event that we've	1	has only offtakes on one side of the coke battery.	
2	sustained damage to one of the doors, they are	2	But the offtake, as I mentioned before, that is	
3	responsible for getting a replacement door up on the	3	where the foul gas that's generated during the course of	
4	unit and replacing that door.	4	the coking process exits the coke oven and flows through	
5	We have over 60 individuals that are assigned on	5	the offtake into the foul gas collection system or the	
6	a daily basis across the facility to ensuring that the	6	collector main.	
7	doors are properly adjusted and sealed.	7	${\sf Q}.~$ And does U.S. Steel employ practices to reduce	
8	${\sf Q}.~$ And so those 60 employees, their job is to work	8	emissions from the offtakes?	
9	on sealing doors at the coke ovens at Clairton?	9	A. We do. The it's kind of a two-phase process.	
10	A. Yes.	10	The larry car operator, or the coal charging car	
11	${f Q}.$ And can you just explain, in very general terms,	11	operator, is responsible for ensuring that the cap on	
12	what it is that they are doing to help those doors seal	12	top of that offtake is sealed.	
13	and prevent emissions from escaping?	13	After he has inspected the offtake prior to the	
14	A. Yeah. The door is designed with a it's a	14	charge, the and there's also a patching crew that's	
15	metal-to-metal sealing surface because of the extreme	15	responsible for patching that oven. The offtakes are	
16	temperatures at which we are operating.	16	designed it's a massive system and the offtakes	
17	So the design of the door because of that	17	are designed, where the gooseneck slides into the foul	
18	metal-to-metal sealing surface, there has to be some	18	gas collection main, There's a slip joint that allows	
19	amount of leakage in order for some of the gas to escape	19	for expansion and contraction and for that pipe to move	
20	and tar to condense to form a proper oven seal, and that	20	independent of the collector main system.	
21	is the standard design of ooke oven doors across the	21	And then again, where the offtake pipe goes down	
22	industry.	22	into the battery, there's a slip joint there, and that	
23	These individuals are just making sure that	23	allows the battery to expand and contract with ambient	
24	there's proper adjustment; and if there is any, you	24	temperature fluctuations or rain or something that would	
25	know, slight leakage, we will use either a product	25	change the thermal gradient.	
-				
		926		000
1	called knowcol, it is a ceramic/wcol material, to fill	826	O. And can you explain, just in very general terms,	828
1	called knowcol, it is a ceramic/wool material, to fill in a case; or this picture actually shows that door	1	Q. And can you explain, just in very general terms,	828
2	in a gap; or this picture actually shows that door	1 2	why that expansion and contraction is necessary to be	828
	in a gap; or this picture actually shows that door coordinator spraying a product called water glass, or	1	why that expansion and contraction is necessary to be able to occur on the batteries?	828
2 3 4	in a gap; or this picture actually shows that door coordinator spraying a product called water glass, or sodium silicate, which is just a sticky material that	1 2 3	why that expansion and contraction is necessary to be able to occur on the batteries? A. Yeah. As the temperatures on the batteries	828
2 3 4 5	in a gap; or this picture actually shows that door coordinator spraying a product called water glass, or sodium silicate, which is just a sticky material that will bond to the door surface and seal it and prevent	1 2 3 4	why that expansion and contraction is necessary to be able to occur on the batteries? A. Yeah. As the temperatures on the batteries change with, you know, with ambient weather or rain,	828
2 3 4 5 6	in a gap; or this picture actually shows that door coordinator spraying a product called water glass, or sodium silicate, which is just a sticky material that will bond to the door surface and seal it and prevent any type of leakage.	1 2 3 4 5	why that expansion and contraction is necessary to be able to occur on the batteries? A. Yeah. As the temperatures on the batteries change with, you know, with ambient weather or rain, heating and cooling causes that expansion and	828
2 3 4 5 6 7	in a gap; or this picture actually shows that door coordinator spraying a product called water glass, or sodium silicate, which is just a sticky material that will bond to the door surface and seal it and prevent any type of leakage. Q. And are those industry best practices for sealing	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	why that expansion and contraction is necessary to be able to occur on the batteries? A. Yeah. As the temperatures on the batteries change with, you know, with ambient weather or rain, heating and cooling causes that expansion and contraction, so you've got to allow for room for	828
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>in a gap; or this picture actually shows that door coordinator spraying a product called water glass, or sodium ailicate, which is just a sticky material that will bond to the door surface and seal it and prevent any type of leakage. Q. And are those industry best practices for sealing doors?</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	why that expansion and contraction is necessary to be able to occur on the batteries? A. Yeah. As the temperatures on the batteries change with, you know, with ambient weather or rain, heating and cooling causes that expansion and contraction, so you've got to allow for room for movement. So there are soft seal joints, and there's a	828
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>in a gap; or this picture actually shows that door coordinator spraying a product called water glass, or sodium silicate, which is just a sticky material that will bond to the door surface and seal it and prevent any type of leakage. Q. And are those industry best practices for sealing doors? A. Yes.</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	why that expansion and contraction is necessary to be able to occur on the batteries? A. Yeah. As the temperatures on the batteries change with, you know, with ambient weather or rain, heating and cooling causes that expansion and contraction, so you've got to allow for room for movement. So there are soft seal joints, and there's a group of people that are responsible for maintaining and	828
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>in a gap; or this picture actually shows that door coordinator spraying a product called water glass, or sodium silicate, which is just a sticky material that will bond to the door surface and seal it and prevent any type of leakage. Q. And are those industry best practices for sealing doors? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I want to talk now about the offtakes.</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	why that expansion and contraction is necessary to be able to occur on the batteries? A. Yeah. As the temperatures on the batteries change with, you know, with ambient weather or rain, heating and cooling causes that expansion and contraction, so you've got to allow for room for movement. So there are soft seal joints, and there's a group of people that are responsible for maintaining and ensuring that there is no leakage from those joints.	828
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>in a gap; or this picture actually shows that door coordinator spraying a product called water glass, or sodium ailicate, which is just a sticky material that will bond to the door surface and seal it and prevent any type of laskage. Q. And are those industry best practices for sealing doors? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I want to talk now about the offtakes. Are those depicted on Exhibit 40, page 2?</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	why that expansion and contraction is necessary to be able to occur on the batteries? A. Yeah. As the temperatures on the batteries change with, you know, with ambient weather or rain, heating and cooling causes that expansion and contraction, so you've got to allow for room for movement. So there are soft seal joints, and there's a group of people that are responsible for maintaining and ensuring that there is no leakage from those joints. Q. So U.S. Steel has employees whose jobs are to	828
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>in a gap; or this picture actually shows that door coordinator spraying a product called water glass, or sodium silicate, which is just a sticky material that will bond to the door surface and seal it and prevent any type of leakage. Q. And are those industry best practices for sealing doors? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I want to talk now about the offtakes. Are those depicted on Exhibit 40, page 2? A. Yeah, the offtakes are depicted here at Number 4</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	why that expansion and contraction is necessary to be able to occur on the batteries? A. Yeah. As the temperatures on the batteries change with, you know, with ambient weather or rain, heating and cooling causes that expansion and contraction, so you've got to allow for room for movement. So there are soft seal joints, and there's a group of people that are responsible for maintaining and ensuring that there is no leakage from those joints.	828
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>in a gap; or this picture actually shows that door coordinator spraying a product called water glass, or sodium ailicate, which is just a sticky material that will bond to the door surface and seal it and prevent any type of leakage.</li> <li>Q. And are those industry best practices for sealing doors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I want to talk now about the offtakes.</li> <li>Are those depicted on Exhibit 40, page 2?</li> <li>A. Yeah, the offtakes are depicted here at Number 4 on this diagram. The diagram doesn't give you a very</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>why that expansion and contraction is necessary to be able to occur on the batteries?</li> <li>A. Yeah. As the temperatures on the batteries change with, you know, with ambient weather or rain, heating and cooling causes that expansion and contraction, so you've got to allow for room for movement. So there are soft seal joints, and there's a group of people that are responsible for maintaining and ensuring that there is no leakage from those joints.</li> <li>Q. So U.S. Steel has employees whose jobs are to work to prevent fugitive emissions from offtakes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	828
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>in a gap; or this picture actually shows that door coordinator spraying a product called water glass, or sodium ailicate, which is just a sticky material that will bond to the door surface and seal it and prevent any type of leakage.</li> <li>Q. And are those industry best practices for sealing doors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I want to talk now about the offtakes.</li> <li>Are those depicted on Exhibit 40, page 2?</li> <li>A. Yesh, the offtakes are depicted here at Number 4 on this diagram. The diagram doesn't give you a very good rendition of the offtakes. If we look at</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>why that expansion and contraction is necessary to be able to occur on the batteries?</li> <li>A. Yeah. As the temperatures on the batteries change with, you know, with ambient weather or rain, heating and cooling causes that expansion and contraction, so you've got to allow for room for movement. So there are soft seal joints, and there's a group of people that are responsible for maintaining and ensuring that there is no leakage from those joints.</li> <li>Q. So U.S. Steel has employees whose jobs are to work to prevent fugitive emissions from offtakes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is used to seal the offtakes to prevent</li> </ul>	828
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>in a gap; or this picture actually shows that door coordinator spraying a product called water glass, or sodium silicate, which is just a sticky material that will bond to the door surface and seal it and prevent any type of leakage.</li> <li>Q. And are those industry best practices for sealing doors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I want to talk now about the offtakes.</li> <li>Are those depicted on Exhibit 40, page 2?</li> <li>A. Yeah, the offtakes are depicted here at Number 4 on this diagram. The diagram doesn't give you a very good rendition of the offtakes. If we look at</li> <li>Q. Picture 8 on Exhibit 40, does that show the</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>why that expansion and contraction is necessary to be able to occur on the batteries?</li> <li>A. Yeah. As the temperatures on the batteries change with, you know, with ambient weather or rain, heating and cooling causes that expansion and contraction, so you've got to allow for room for movement. So there are soft seal joints, and there's a group of people that are responsible for maintaining and ensuring that there is no leakage from those joints.</li> <li>Q. So U.S. Steel has employees whose jobs are to work to prevent fugitive emissions from offtakes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is used to seal the offtakes to prevent those emissions?</li> </ul>	828
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>in a gap; or this picture actually shows that door coordinator spraying a product called water glass, or sodium silicate, which is just a sticky material that will bond to the door surface and seal it and prevent any type of leakage.</li> <li>Q. And are those industry best practices for sealing doors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I want to talk now about the offtakes.</li> <li>Are those depicted on Exhibit 40, page 2?</li> <li>A. Yeah, the offtakes are depicted here at Number 4 on this diagram. The diagram doesn't give you a very good rendition of the offtakes. If we look at</li> <li>Q. Picture 8 on Exhibit 40, does that show the offtakes?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>why that expansion and contraction is necessary to be able to occur on the batteries?</li> <li>A. Yeah. As the temperatures on the batteries change with, you know, with ambient weather or rain, heating and cooling causes that expansion and contraction, so you've got to allow for room for movement. So there are soft seal joints, and there's a group of people that are responsible for maintaining and ensuring that there is no leakage from those joints.</li> <li>Q. So U.S. Steel has employees whose jobs are to work to prevent fugitive emissions from offtakes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is used to seal the offtakes to prevent those emissions?</li> <li>A. It's typically either a mud material; or at the</li> </ul>	828
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>in a gap; or this picture actually shows that door coordinator spraying a product called water glass, or sodium ailicate, which is just a sticky material that will bond to the door surface and eeal it and prevent any type of leakage.</li> <li>Q. And are those industry best practices for sealing doors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I want to talk now about the offtakes. Are those depicted on Exhibit 40, page 2?</li> <li>A. Yesh, the offtakes are depicted here at Number 4 on this diagram. The diagram doesn't give you a very good rendition of the offtakes. If we look at</li> <li>Q. Picture 8 on Exhibit 40, does that show the offtakes?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>why that expansion and contraction is necessary to be able to occur on the batteries?</li> <li>A. Yeah. As the temperatures on the batteries change with, you know, with ambient weather or rain, heating and cooling causes that expansion and contraction, so you've got to allow for room for movement. So there are soft seal joints, and there's a group of people that are responsible for maintaining and ensuring that there is no leakage from those joints.</li> <li>Q. So U.S. Steel has employees whose jobs are to work to prevent fugitive emissions from offtakes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is used to seal the offtakes to prevent those emissions?</li> <li>A. It's typically either a mud material; or at the joints, we use a packing material.</li> </ul>	828
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>in a gap; or this picture actually shows that door ocordinator spraying a product called water glass, or sodium silicate, which is just a sticky material that will bond to the door surface and seal it and prevent any type of leakage.</li> <li>Q. And are those industry best practices for sealing doors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I want to talk now about the offtakes.</li> <li>Are those depicted on Exhibit 40, page 2?</li> <li>A. Yeah, the offtakes are depicted here at Number 4 on this diagram. The diagram doesn't give you a very good rendition of the offtakes. If we look at</li> <li>Q. Picture 8 on Exhibit 40, does that show the offtakes?</li> <li>A. Yeah, Picture 8 is this is a topside view of a battery and you can see the various offtakes down either</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>why that expansion and contraction is necessary to be able to occur on the batteries?</li> <li>A. Yeah. As the temperatures on the batteries change with, you know, with ambient weather or rain, heating and cooling causes that expansion and contraction, so you've got to allow for room for movement. So there are soft seal joints, and there's a group of people that are responsible for maintaining and ensuring that there is no leakage from those joints.</li> <li>Q. So U.S. Steel has employees whose jobs are to work to prevent fugitive emissions from offtakes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is used to seal the offtakes to prevent those emissions?</li> <li>A. It's typically either a mud material; or at the joints, we use a packing material.</li> <li>Q. Okay. On this picture, page 8 on Exhibit 40,</li> </ul>	828
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>in a gap; or this picture actually shows that door coordinator spraying a product called water glass, or sodium silicate, which is just a sticky material that will bond to the door surface and seal it and prevent any type of leakage.</li> <li>Q. And are those industry best practices for sealing doors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I want to talk now about the offtakes.</li> <li>Are those depicted on Exhibit 40, page 2?</li> <li>A. Yeah, the offtakes are depicted here at Number 4 on this diagram. The diagram doesn't give you a very good rendition of the offtakes. If we look at</li> <li>Q. Picture 8 on Exhibit 40, does that show the offtakes?</li> <li>A. Yeah, Picture 8 is this is a topside view of a battery and you can see the various offtakes down either side. So this is a this is one of our typical coke</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>why that expansion and contraction is necessary to be able to occur on the batteries?</li> <li>A. Yeah. As the temperatures on the batteries change with, you know, with ambient weather or rain, heating and cooling causes that expansion and contraction, so you've got to allow for room for movement. So there are soft seal joints, and there's a group of people that are responsible for maintaining and ensuring that there is no leakage from those joints.</li> <li>Q. So U.S. Steel has employees whose jobs are to work to prevent fugitive emissions from offtakes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is used to seal the offtakes to prevent those emissions?</li> <li>A. It's typically either a mid material; or at the joints, we use a packing material.</li> <li>Q. Okay. On this picture, page 8 on Exhibit 40, there's a large structure in the top middle of the</li> </ul>	828
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>in a gap; or this picture actually shows that door coordinator spraying a product called water glass, or sodium silicate, which is just a sticky material that will bond to the door surface and seal it and prevent any type of leakage.</li> <li>Q. And are those industry best practices for sealing doors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I want to talk now about the offtakes.</li> <li>Are those depicted on Exhibit 40, page 2?</li> <li>A. Yeah, the offtakes are depicted here at Number 4 on this diagram. The diagram doesn't give you a very good rendition of the offtakes. If we look at</li> <li>Q. Picture 8 on Exhibit 40, does that show the offtakes?</li> <li>A. Yeah, Picture 8 is this is a topside view of a battery and you can see the various offtakes down either side. So this is a this is one of our typical coke batteries where you have a collector main on both sides</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>why that expansion and contraction is necessary to be able to occur on the batteries?</li> <li>A. Yeah. As the temperatures on the batteries change with, you know, with ambient weather or rain, heating and cooling causes that expansion and contraction, so you've got to allow for room for movement. So there are soft seal joints, and there's a group of people that are responsible for maintaining and ensuring that there is no leakage from those joints.</li> <li>Q. So U.S. Steel has employees whose jobs are to work to prevent fugitive emissions from offtakes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is used to seal the offtakes to prevent those emissions?</li> <li>A. It's typically either a mud material; or at the joints, we use a packing material.</li> <li>Q. Okay. On this picture, page 8 on Exhibit 40, there's a large structure in the top middle of the photograph that's a dark gray. Do you see that?</li> </ul>	828
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>in a gap; or this picture actually shows that door coordinator egraying a product called water glass, or sodium silicate, which is just a sticky material that will bond to the door surface and seal it and prevent any type of leakage.</li> <li>Q. And are those industry best practices for sealing doors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I want to talk now about the offtakes. Are those depicted on Exhibit 40, page 2?</li> <li>A. Yesh, the offtakes are depicted here at Number 4 on this diagram. The diagram doesn't give you a very good rendition of the offtakes. If we look at</li> <li>Q. Picture 8 on Exhibit 40, does that show the offtakes?</li> <li>A. Yeah, Picture 8 is this is a topside view of a battery and you can see the various offtakes down either side. So this is a this is one of our typical coke batteries where you have a collector main on both sides of the battery.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>why that expansion and contraction is necessary to be able to occur on the batteries?</li> <li>A. Yeah. As the temperatures on the batteries change with, you know, with ambient weather or rain, heating and cooling causes that expansion and contraction, so you've got to allow for room for movement. So there are soft seal joints, and there's a group of people that are responsible for maintaining and ensuring that there is no leakage from those joints.</li> <li>Q. So U.S. Steel has employees whose jobs are to work to prevent fugitive emissions from offtakes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is used to seal the offtakes to prevent those emissions?</li> <li>A. It's typically either a mud material; or at the joints, we use a packing material.</li> <li>Q. Okay. On this picture, page 8 on Exhibit 40, there's a large structure in the top middle of the photograph that's a dark gray. Do you see that?</li> </ul>	828
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>in a gap; or this picture actually shows that door coordinator spraying a product called water glass, or sodium silicate, which is just a sticky material that will bond to the door surface and seal it and prevent sury type of leakage.</li> <li>Q. And are those industry best practices for sealing doors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I want to talk now about the offtakes. Are those depicted on Exhibit 40, page 2?</li> <li>A. Yeah, the offtakes are depicted here at Number 4 on this diagram. The diagram doesn't give you a very good rendition of the offtakes. If we look at</li> <li>Q. Picture 8 on Exhibit 40, does that show the offtakes?</li> <li>A. Yeah, Picture 8 is this is a topside view of a battery and you can see the various offtakes down either side. So this is a this is one of our typical coke batteries where you have a collector main on both sides of the batteries.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>why that expansion and contraction is necessary to be able to occur on the batteries?</li> <li>A. Yeah. As the temperatures on the batteries change with, you know, with ambient weather or rain, heating and cooling causes that expansion and contraction, so you've got to allow for room for movement. So there are soft seal joints, and there's a group of people that are responsible for maintaining and ensuring that there is no leakage from those joints.</li> <li>Q. So U.S. Steel has employees whose jobs are to work to prevent fugitive emissions from offtakes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is used to seal the offtakes to prevent those emissions?</li> <li>A. It's typically either a mud material; or at the joints, we use a packing material.</li> <li>Q. Okay. On this picture, page 8 on Exhibit 40, there's a large structure in the top middle of the photograph that's a dark gray. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What does that depict?</li> </ul>	828
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>in a gap; or this picture actually shows that door coordinator egraying a product called water glass, or sodium silicate, which is just a sticky material that will bond to the door surface and seal it and prevent any type of leakage.</li> <li>Q. And are those industry best practices for sealing doors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I want to talk now about the offtakes. Are those depicted on Exhibit 40, page 2?</li> <li>A. Yesh, the offtakes are depicted here at Number 4 on this diagram. The diagram doesn't give you a very good rendition of the offtakes. If we look at</li> <li>Q. Picture 8 on Exhibit 40, does that show the offtakes?</li> <li>A. Yeah, Picture 8 is this is a topside view of a battery and you can see the various offtakes down either side. So this is a this is one of our typical coke batteries where you have a collector main on both sides of the battery.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>why that expansion and contraction is necessary to be able to occur on the batteries?</li> <li>A. Yeah. As the temperatures on the batteries change with, you know, with ambient weather or rain, heating and cooling causes that expansion and contraction, so you've got to allow for room for movement. So there are soft seal joints, and there's a group of people that are responsible for maintaining and ensuring that there is no leakage from those joints.</li> <li>Q. So U.S. Steel has employees whose jobs are to work to prevent fugitive emissions from offtakes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is used to seal the offtakes to prevent those emissions?</li> <li>A. It's typically either a mud material; or at the joints, we use a packing material.</li> <li>Q. Okay. On this picture, page 8 on Exhibit 40, there's a large structure in the top middle of the photograph that's a dark gray. Do you see that?</li> </ul>	828

		829		
1	on B Battary.		1	of this appeal?
2	${\sf Q}.$ Okay. And then what about the tall cylindrical	4	2	A. No.
3	chimney in the top middle of the photograph?		3	Q. Okay. Number 7 on your diagram you mentioned is
4	A. The tall cylindrical chimney, that's the		4	the battery stacks; is that correct?
5	combustion stack for Battery B.		5	A. That's connect, yes.
6	Q. Okay. I want to move on to soaking, which we've		6	$Q.\;$ Are there any alleged battery stack violations in
7	also heard about throughout this hearing. Is the		7	the enforcement order that's the subject of this appeal?
8	soaking emissions point depicted on Exhibit 40, page 2?		8	A. No.
9	A. Yeah, the soaking emission is referenced here as		9	Q. Okay. Mr. Rhoads, did you hear testimony earlier
10	Number 5. And what soaking emissions are, at the	1	C	in this hearing about a CITE Program that's been
11	conclusion of the coke-making cycle, the oven will be	1	1	employed by U.S. Steel at Clairton?
12	isolated from the foul gas collection system.	12	2	A. I did, yes.
13	You do that using the damper, the dampering	13	3	Q. Are you familiar with that program?
14	system in the offtake. So you close the damper dish.	14	1	A. Iam, yes.
15	That isolates the oven from the foul gas collection	15	5	Q. And can you explain what that is?
16	system.	10	ô	A. Well, the CITE Program, to which the county
17	And at that point in time, each one of the	1	7	referenced earlier during their testimony, was actually
18	offtakes has a cap, and you put that cap into the up	18	3	the chemicals and utilities CITE Program. There was
19	position if you — you can see a picture of this if you	19	)	specific mention made to the under-40 team, the under-20
20	reference Picture Number 11.	20	)	beam.
21	${\sf Q}.$ And on Picture Number 11, which one of the	21		Those are teams that were formed in the chamical
22	offtakes is open as you've described?	22	2 1	byproduct, gas processing portion of the plant. And
23	A. If you look towards the right-hand side of the	23	3.	that was when we were working to get our clean coke oven
24	photograph, you can see two of the offtakes with the cap	24	L (	gas, fuel gas stream, under 40 grains of H2S and then
25	in the up position.	25	5	subsequently, under 20 grains of H2S.
		830		
1	It looks like one is an oven that had just been	1		There was a week-long training program that was
2	pushed, and then to the right of that is an oven that is	2		leveloped for our chemicals and utilities operations
3	currently in the soaking position. So that's probably	3	- 3	personnel where they would sit in a class for a week and
4	the next oven that's going to be pushed.	4		asically expand their process knowledge through this
5	Q. And can you explain, in just very general terms,	5		eek-long, pretty technical training program.
6	the idea behind soaking, why it's done?	6		Q. And what does CITE stand for?
7	A. Soaking is as I said, at the conclusion of the	7		A. CITE stands for continuous improvement to the
8	coke-making cycle, the oven's coked out. You open	8		anvironment. There is actually two versions of CITE at
9	you basically isolate that oven from the foul gas	9		lairton. There is the chamicals, utilities version
10	system, but there may still be some residual gases	10		hich I just discussed, and we also developed over the
11	coming off of that oven, so you open the cap.	11	-	mears a coking CITE Program which consists of a two-day
12	The gases should ignite, and you sit in that	12	t	raining where we put our coking battery operations

830	
It looks like one is an oven that had just been	1 There was a week-long training program that was
pushed, and then to the right of that is an oven that is	2 developed for our chemicals and utilities operations
currently in the scaking position. So that's probably	3 personnel where they would sit in a class for a week and
the next oven that's going to be pushed.	4 basically expand their process knowledge through this
$Q. \ \mbox{And can you explain, in just very general terms,}$	5 week-long, pretty technical training program.
the idea behind soaking, why it's done?	6 Q. And what does CITE stand for?
A. Soaking is as I said, at the conclusion of the	7 A. CITE stands for continuous improvement to the
coke-making cycle, the oven's coked out. You open -	8 environment. There is actually two versions of CITE at
you basically isolate that oven from the foul gas	9 Clairton. There is the chamicals, utilities version
system, but there may still be some residual gases	10 which I just discussed, and we also developed over the
coming off of that oven, so you open the cap.	11 years a coking CTIE Program which consists of a two-day
The gases should ignite, and you sit in that	12 training where we put our coking battery operations
condition, basically at the end of the coking cycle,	13 personnal through a two-day training program.
until it's time for the pusher ram to come through the	14 It's very specific to the coke operations, coke
oven. So it's basically preparing the oven for the	15 battery operations, and it's specifically aimed at
pushing process.	16 teaching our operators, you know, how their job impacts
${\sf Q}.~$ And is pushing the last fugitive emission point	17 the process and how what they do minimizes the impact of
depicted on the diagram that's Exhibit 40, page 2?	18 fugitive emissions.
A. Yeah, pushing is Number 6, and it's basically a	19 Q. That CITE Program related to the batteries, has
real rough rendition in this photograph, just basically	20 that been updated over the years?
pushing the coke with the ram on the pusher machine	21 A. Yeah, we actually just recently updated that.
through the open door on the pusher side, out the open	22 We updated it during the course of late 2016, early 2017
door on the coke side, and into the catch box.	23 and had, in the latter part of 2017, started training
${\sf Q}.~{\sf Mr.}$ Rhoads, are there any alleged pushing	24 some of the new employees that we were hiring.
violations in the enforcement order that's the subject	25 Q. And was that update done before U.S. Steel ever

:		833	83	ō
1	received the enforcement order that's at issue in this		$1 \qquad Q. \  \   \mbox{How does Battery C differ from the other}$	
2	case?		2 batteries in terms of size and technology?	
3	A. Yes, it had been started in 2016 and continued	1	3 A. Battery C is the newest battery in North America.	
4	through 2017.		4 It has the best available technology from an	
5	${\sf Q}.~$ And why did U.S. Steel update the CITE Program at		5 environmental performance standpoint.	
6	that time?		6 It has the patented, proven individual oven	
7	A. Because we recognized that we were hiring a lot		7 control system. So each oven is under individual	
8	of new personnel and the need was great to ensure that	8	8 pressure control on the battery, all 85 ovens.	
9	these new employees were armed with the tools, the	9	9 Q. Do the air emissions limitations on the C Battery	
10	process knowledge, the job knowledge, and the	10	.0 differ from the limitations on the other batteries at	
11	interaction of the two to improve our fugitive emission	13	1 the Clairton plant?	
12	performance.	12	2 A. They do, yes. They are more stringent.	
13	Q. Who teaches the CITE Program for the batteries?	13	3 Q. Okay. And do you know why that is?	
14	A. We have a couple of retired U.S. Steel managers,	14	4 A. Because it's a newer battery with the best	
15	both of which had well over 35 years of active service	15	5 available technology.	
16	time with U.S. Steel. Both spent most of their careers	16		
17	in the coking and coking and heating groups in the	17		
18	facility.	18	££, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
19	Q. We had talked earlier that there are 10 batteries	19		
20	at the Clairton plant; is that correct?	20	NA DE RELEVANTE MADULE FIL CONSERVE A NUE - NA DE CONSERVE AUNS	
21	A. Yeah, there are 10 batteries with 708 slotted	21		
22	ovens.	22		
23	Q. Those 10 batteries, we've heard some testimony,	23		
24	there's differences in their age; is that right?	24		
25	A. Yes.	25		
25	A. 183.	20	J Q. NOW do you (THIN DECETY C 3 DELIGINANCE CONDETES	
				-
		834	836	
1	Q. What is the newest battery?	1	1 to the other batteries?	
2	A. The newest battery was Battery C. Battery C was	2	2 A. It's better from an emissions standpoint than all	
3	constructed from 2008 to 2012. Battery C started	3	3 of the other batteries.	
4	operation in 2012.	4	4 Q. I want to change topics and look at Exhibit 52.	
5	Q. And can you tell us a little bit about the	5	5 Exhibit 52 reflects a consent order between United	
6	construction process that was involved for Battery C?	6	6 States Steel and that the Department entered into on May	
7	A. Yeah. Battery C was essentially what we'd	7	7 7th, 2018; is that correct?	
8	consider a foundation-up construction project of a new	8	8 A. Yes.	
9	battery. So what I mean by that is, we basically had to	9	9 Q. And were you involved in this consent order?	
10	excavate and construct from the foundation of the unit	10	0 A. Yes.	
11	цр.	11	1 Q. Did you sign it?	
12	So it involved doing all of the concrete, civil	12	2 A. Yes.	
13	work to install the structure, and then basically	13	3 Q. Mr. Rhoads, I want to talk to you a little bit	
14	building the underpinnings for the heating system under	14		
15	the battery.	15		
16		16		
	We built the entire battery out of silica brick			
	We built the entire battery out of silica brick called a sincle collector main battery. So we installed		7 A. They are the most stringent regulations in the	
17	called a single collector main battery. So we installed	17		
17 18	called a single collector main battery. So we installed all the foul gas handling systems; and as part of that	17 18	a country.	
17 18 19	called a single collector main battery. So we installed all the foul gas handling systems; and as part of that project, we also installed a low-emission quench tower	17 18 19	Q. And can you give us some idea of how many	
17 18	called a single collector main battery. So we installed all the foul gas handling systems; and as part of that	17 18	<ul> <li>country.</li> <li>Q. And can you give us some idea of how many</li> <li>standards or regulations that Clairton is subject to or</li> </ul>	

- 23 A. It was a four-year construction process, but
- 24 construction was suspended during a period of time in25 2009 due to the significant economic recession.
- 25 Health Department inspectors. We have Keramida

23 daily basis, as you've heard testimony during the

24 earlier portions of these proceedings. We have County

1	inspectors. We have Veolia inspectors conducting	837	1	Q. Is that project the result of the 2016 Consent	839
2	inspections seven days per week.		2	Judgment that we've heard about in this hearing?	
3	In addition to that, we have the continuous		3	A. Yes, it is.	
4	opacity monitors on all 10 battery combustion stacks.		4	Q. Okay.	
5	So we literally are subjected to thousands of		5	A. In addition to that, we are currently engaged in	
6	inspections every day.		6	replacing through-wall refractory on Batteries 19 and	
7	Q. And can you give us a sense of all the different		7	20. That project will be about \$16,000,000 at	
8	pieces of equipment that would be inspected on a given		8	completion.	
9	day with the different inspectors who are at the plant?		9	In addition to that, we have been doing a lot of	
10	A. It's a massive volume of equipment. We have 708		10	work in our coke oven gas desulfurization facility. We	
11	slotted coke ovens, each oven has two doors, so that's		11	actually changed some of the internals in one of the	
12	1,416 doors.		12	process unit operations there, made a significant	
13	Most of our batteries have two offtakes. We have		13	reduction in hydrogen sulfide content in our clean fuel	
14	over 1,300 officiales. Each oven has four lids with the		14	gas, coke oven gas. The cost of that was about	
15	exception of Battery C. There is a fifth portal		15	1,000,000 bucks; so much smaller than the others but a	
16	connection for — where the U-tube equalizing car hooks		16		
17			17	very significant impact in terms of SO2 emissions, not	
18	up. So there are tens of thousands of points that are		18	just at Clairton but across all of our plants in the Mon	
	inspected on a typical day in addition to the continuous			Valley.	
19	opacity monitors on the stacks.		19	Q. I want to turn now to the enforcement order that	
20	Q. Were you present during Mr. Kelly's testimony at		20	is the subject to this appeal. Are you familiar with	
21	the beginning of the hearing where he said that nowhere		21	the corrective action that is contained in this	
23	is 100 percent compliant?		22	enforcement order that is in addition to the penalty?	
23	A. Yes.			A. Iam, yes.	
	Q. What types of things can occur to prevent a		24	Q. And do you have a general understanding of how	
25	facility like Clairton from reaching 100 percent		25	the baseline calculation and the two quarters of	
1 2 3	compliance? A. Well, sometimes equipment doesn't function as it's supposed to. Chricusly, with 1,416 doors, that's a	838	1 2 3	compliance demonstration works? A. I do, yes. Q. What's your understanding?	840
4	significant volume of equipment.		4	A. Well, my understanding, the calculation is	
5	But in addition to equipment not functioning,		5	basically broken into two parts. It's going to be a	
6	ambient weather conditions the plant is out in the		6	portion of the calculation, or about half the	
7	open, outside — so, you know, significant rainfall		7	calculation, is going to be based on fugitive emission	
8	events, significant ambient temperature changes,		8	performance, and the other half of the calculation is	
9	variation in coal due to significant rainfall events and		9	based on 20-percent stack compliance based on the	
10	coal moisture, a lot of variability goes into all of		10	continuous opacity monitor readings.	
11	that.	1	11	Q. And so half of the baseline includes battery	
12	Q. In addition to all of the work practices that you	(	12	stack compliance?	
13	described earlier in your testimony, has U.S. Steel done		13	A. Yes.	
14	anything more recently to help improve and maintain its	1.1	14	Q. Are there any battery stack violations in the	
15	compliance rates at the Clairton plant?	2	15	enforcement order?	
16	A. Yeah, we've done some pretty significant projects		16	A. No.	
17	just over the course of the past several years.	1.1.1	17	Q. And what does U.S. Steel have to do, to your	
18	I will go back to 2013. We installed two new		18	understanding, to improve upon the baseline pursuant to	
19	low-emission quench towers on Batteries 13, 14, 15 and		19	the enforcement order?	
20	19, 20. The total cost of that project was		20	A. The baseline was established by the first quarter	
21	approximately \$60,000,000.		21	of 2018's performance. I believe it's 98.15 percent.	
22	Over the course of the past several years, we've		22	And pursuant to the enforcement order, U.S. Steel would	
23	been engaged on end-flue repair on our Batteries 1, 2,		23	have to improve upon that performance in the first	
24	and 3. We are approaching \$60,000,000 in total spent on		24	quarter of 2019; and then subsequently, we would have to	
25	that particular project.		25	improve upon that performance in the second quarter in	
	F				
			-		

L

		841	
1	2019.	841	843 1 the COMS or the battery stack compliance decreased but
2	Q. Okay. And what's the effect of using battery		2 stayed above 98.5 percent, is there still a scenario
3	stack compliance to calculate the baseline?		3 where U.S. Steel would have to hot idle two batteries?
4	A. It significantly elevates the baseline because		4 A. If we didn't meet the subsequent improving
5	the battery stack compliance in the first quarter of		5 quarters, yes, we would have to idle the two worst-
6	2018 was 99.34 percent.		6 performing coke betteries.
7	Q. Battery stack compliance, is that governed		<ul> <li>7 Q. Do you have concerns about how this baseline</li> </ul>
8	already by the 2016 Consent Judgment?		8 calculation is structured?
9	A. It is, yes. When we entered the 2016 Consent		9 A. Yeah, I had significant concerns with how the
10	Judgment, we were in agreement with the County Health		10 baseline was structured. The baseline calculation
11	Department that we would bring the stacks to 98.5		
12			and the second se
13	percent compliance.		
	Q. Okay. And U.S. Steel was able to bring		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
14	compliance above that to 99.384 percent?		14 which we've heard about throughout this hearing. When
15	A. That's correct.		L5 was Battery B constructed?
16	Q. That 99.384 percent was then put in the baseline?		A. B Battery was constructed in 1982, it started
17	A. Yes.	10	17 operation.
18	Q. And given how close 99.384 percent is to 100		.8 Q. How many ovens does B Battery have?
19	percent, does that make it more challenging to exceed		19 A. Seventy-five ovens.
20	the baseline on two successive quarters?		20 Q. And so it would have 150 doors?
21	A. Yeah, it makes it significantly more challenging,		A. Yes.
22	because if there's deterioration in that stack		22 Q. Two doors on each oven?
23	compliance percentage from 99.3 down closer to 98.5		A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)
24	percent, it's going to be very detrimental to the		Q. Are the B Battery coke-side doors different in
25	compliance calculation.	2	25 any way from the doors on the other batteries?
		842	944
1 2 2	Q. And what's the penalty if U.S. Steel does not improve its baseline once and then again in 2019?	842	A. Yesh, the Battery B doors are physically under the emissions shed for the pushing emissions control
2	improve its baseline once and then again in 2019? A. We have to idle our two worst-performing coke	842	A. Yeah, the Battery B doors are physically under the emissions shed for the pushing emissions control system for B Battery. So they are physically underneath
2 3 4	improve its baseline once and then again in 2019? A. We have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.	842	A. Yeah, the Battery B doors are physically under the emissions shed for the pushing emissions control system for B Battery. So they are physically underneath the shed structure.
2 3 4 5	<pre>improve its baseline once and then again in 2019? A. We have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries. Q. So what would happen if the first quarter of 2019</pre>	842	<ol> <li>A. Yeah, the Battery B doors are physically under</li> <li>the emissions shed for the pushing emissions control</li> <li>system for B Battery. So they are physically underneath</li> <li>the shed structure.</li> <li>Q. And was the shed something that was constructed</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>improve its baseline once and then again in 2019?</li> <li>A. We have to idle our two worst-performing coke</li> <li>batteries.</li> <li>Q. So what would happen if the first quarter of 2019</li> <li>compliance was 98.9 percent and the second quarter was</li> </ul>	842	A. Yeah, the Battery B doors are physically under the emissions shed for the pushing emissions control system for B Battery. So they are physically underneath the shed structure. Q. And was the shed something that was constructed purposefully?
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>improve its baseline once and then again in 2019? A. We have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries. Q. So what would happen if the first quarter of 2019 compliance was 98.9 percent and the second quarter was 98.8 percent, so both of them above the baseline?</pre>		<ol> <li>A. Yeah, the Battery B doors are physically under</li> <li>the emissions shed for the pushing emissions control</li> <li>system for B Battery. So they are physically underneath</li> <li>the shed structure.</li> <li>Q. And was the shed something that was constructed</li> <li>purposefully?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>improve its baseline once and then again in 2019?</li> <li>A. We have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. So what would happen if the first quarter of 2019 compliance was 98.9 percent and the second quarter was 98.8 percent, so both of them above the baseline?</li> <li>A. We would have to idle our two worst-performing</li> </ul>		<ol> <li>A. Yeah, the Battery B doors are physically under</li> <li>the emissions shed for the pushing emissions control.</li> <li>system for B Battery. So they are physically underneath</li> <li>the shed structure.</li> <li>Q. And was the shed something that was constructed</li> <li>purposefully?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what is the purpose of the shed?</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>improve its baseline once and then again in 2019?</li> <li>A. We have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. So what would happen if the first quarter of 2019 compliance was 98.9 percent and the second quarter was 98.8 percent, so both of them above the baseline?</li> <li>A. We would have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> </ul>		<ol> <li>A. Yeah, the Battery B doors are physically under</li> <li>the emissions shed for the pushing emissions control</li> <li>system for B Battery. So they are physically underneath</li> <li>the shed structure.</li> <li>Q. And was the shed something that was constructed</li> <li>purposefully?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what is the purpose of the shed?</li> <li>A. The purpose of the shed is to collect the</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>improve its baseline once and then again in 2019? A. We have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries. Q. So what would happen if the first quarter of 2019 compliance was 98.9 percent and the second quarter was 98.8 percent, so both of them above the baseline? A. We would have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries. Q. And why is that?</pre>	1	<ol> <li>A. Yeah, the Battery B doors are physically under</li> <li>the emissions shed for the pushing emissions control</li> <li>system for B Battery. So they are physically underneath</li> <li>the shed structure.</li> <li>Q. And was the shed something that was constructed</li> <li>purposefully?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what is the purpose of the shed?</li> <li>A. The purpose of the shed is to collect the</li> <li>fugitive emissions from the pushing and travel on B</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>improve its baseline once and then again in 2019?</li> <li>A. We have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. So what would happen if the first quarter of 2019 compliance was 98.9 percent and the second quarter was 98.8 percent, so both of them above the baseline?</li> <li>A. We would have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. And why is that?</li> <li>A. Because the second quarter was worse than the</li> </ul>	1	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, the Battery B doors are physically under</li> <li>the emissions shed for the pushing emissions control</li> <li>system for B Battery. So they are physically underneath</li> <li>the shed structure.</li> <li>Q. And was the shed something that was constructed</li> <li>purposefully?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what is the purpose of the shed?</li> <li>A. The purpose of the shed is to collect the</li> <li>fugitive emissions from the pushing and travel on B</li> <li>Battery. A side benefit of having that pushing emission</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>improve its baseline once and then again in 2019?</li> <li>A. We have to idle our two worst-performing coke</li> <li>batteries.</li> <li>Q. So what would happen if the first quarter of 2019</li> <li>compliance was 98.9 percent and the second quarter was</li> <li>98.8 percent, so both of them above the baseline?</li> <li>A. We would have to idle our two worst-performing</li> <li>coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. And why is that?</li> <li>A. Because the second quarter was worse than the first quarter.</li> </ul>	1 1 1	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, the Battery B doors are physically under</li> <li>the emissions shed for the pushing emissions control</li> <li>system for B Battery. So they are physically underneath</li> <li>the shed structure.</li> <li>Q. And was the shed something that was constructed</li> <li>purposefully?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what is the purpose of the shed?</li> <li>A. The purpose of the shed is to collect the</li> <li>fugitive emissions from the pushing and travel on B</li> <li>Battery. A side benefit of having that pushing emission</li> <li>control shed, though, is the emissions from the coke-</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>improve its baseline once and then again in 2019?</li> <li>A. We have to idle our two worst-performing ooks</li> <li>batteries.</li> <li>Q. So what would happen if the first quarter of 2019 compliance was 98.9 percent and the second quarter was 98.8 percent, so both of them above the baseline?</li> <li>A. We would have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. And why is that?</li> <li>A. Because the second quarter was worse than the first quarter.</li> <li>Q. So even if U.S. Steel exceeds the baseline twice,</li> </ul>	1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, the Battery B doors are physically under</li> <li>the emissions shed for the pushing emissions control</li> <li>system for B Battery. So they are physically underneath</li> <li>the shed structure.</li> <li>Q. And was the shed something that was constructed</li> <li>purposefully?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what is the purpose of the shed?</li> <li>A. The purpose of the shed is to collect the</li> <li>fugitive emissions from the pushing and travel on B</li> <li>Battery. A side benefit of having that pushing emission</li> <li>control shed, though, is the emissions from the coke-</li> <li>side doors are captured within that shed.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>improve its baseline once and then again in 2019?</li> <li>A. We have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. So what would happen if the first quarter of 2019 compliance was 98.9 percent and the second quarter was 98.8 percent, so both of them above the baseline?</li> <li>A. We would have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. And why is that?</li> <li>A. Because the second quarter was worse than the first quarter.</li> <li>Q. So even if U.S. Steel exceeds the baseline twice, it can still have to hot idle two batteries?</li> </ul>	1 1 1 1 1	1       A. Yeah, the Battery B doors are physically under         2       the emissions shed for the pushing emissions control.         3       system for B Battery. So they are physically underneath         4       the shed structure.         5       Q. And was the shed something that was constructed         6       purposefully?         7       A. Yes.         8       Q. Okay. And what is the purpose of the shed?         9       A. The purpose of the shed is to collect the         1       Battery. A side benefit of having that pushing emission         2       control shed, though, is the emissions from the coke-         3       side doors are captured within that shed.         4       Q. And can you explain in just general terms how the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>improve its baseline once and then again in 2019?</li> <li>A. We have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. So what would happen if the first quarter of 2019 compliance was 98.9 percent and the second quarter was 98.8 percent, so both of them above the baseline?</li> <li>A. We would have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. And why is that?</li> <li>A. Because the second quarter was worse than the first quarter.</li> <li>Q. So even if U.S. Steel exceeds the baseline twice, it can still have to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> </ul>	1 1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, the Battery B doors are physically under</li> <li>the emissions shed for the pushing emissions control</li> <li>system for B Battery. So they are physically underneath</li> <li>the shed structure.</li> <li>Q. And was the shed something that was constructed</li> <li>purposefully?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what is the purpose of the shed?</li> <li>A. The purpose of the shed is to collect the</li> <li>fugitive emissions from the pushing and travel on B</li> <li>Battery. A side benefit of having that pushing emission</li> <li>control shed, though, is the emissions from the coke-</li> <li>side doors are captured within that shed.</li> <li>Q. And can you explain in just general terms how the</li> <li>shed works to control air emissions?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>improve its baseline once and then again in 2019?</li> <li>A. We have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. So what would happen if the first quarter of 2019 compliance was 98.9 percent and the second quarter was 98.8 percent, so both of them above the baseline?</li> <li>A. We would have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. And why is that?</li> <li>A. Because the second quarter was worse than the first quarter.</li> <li>Q. So even if U.S. Steel exceeds the baseline twice, it can still have to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. What if the first quarter of 2019 was 98.9</li> </ul>	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, the Battery B doors are physically under</li> <li>the emissions shed for the pushing emissions control</li> <li>system for B Battery. So they are physically underneath</li> <li>the shed structure.</li> <li>Q. And was the shed something that was constructed</li> <li>purposefully?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what is the purpose of the shed?</li> <li>A. The purpose of the shed is to collect the</li> <li>fugitive emissions from the pushing and travel on B</li> <li>Battery. A side benefit of having that pushing emission</li> <li>control shed, though, is the emissions from the coke-</li> <li>side doors are captured within that shed.</li> <li>Q. And can you explain in just general terms how the</li> <li>shed works to control air emissions?</li> <li>A. Yeah. The shed is a very large stainless steel</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>improve its baseline once and then again in 2019?</li> <li>A. We have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. So what would happen if the first quarter of 2019 compliance was 98.9 percent and the second quarter was 98.8 percent, so both of them above the baseline?</li> <li>A. We would have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. And why is that?</li> <li>A. Because the second quarter was worse than the first quarter.</li> <li>Q. So even if U.S. Steel exceeds the baseline twice, it can still have to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. What if the first quarter of 2019 was 98.9 percent and the second quarter was the same number, both</li> </ul>	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1       A. Yeah, the Battery B doors are physically under         2       the emissions shed for the pushing emissions control         3       system for B Battery. So they are physically underneath         4       the shed structure.         5       Q. And was the shed something that was constructed         6       purposefully?         7       A. Yes.         8       Q. Okay. And what is the purpose of the shed?         9       A. The purpose of the shed is to collect the         1       Battery. A side benefit of having that pushing emission         2       control shed, though, is the emissions from the coke-         3       side doors are captured within that shed.         4       Q. And can you explain in just general terms how the         5       shed works to control air emissions?         6       A. Yeah. The shed is a very large stainless steel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>improve its baseline once and then again in 2019?</li> <li>A. We have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. So what would happen if the first quarter of 2019 compliance was 98.9 percent and the second quarter was 98.8 percent, so both of them above the baseline?</li> <li>A. We would have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. And why is that?</li> <li>A. Because the second quarter was worse than the first quarter.</li> <li>Q. So even if U.S. Steel exceeds the baseline twice, it can still have to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. What if the first quarter of 2019 was 98.9 percent and the second quarter was the same number, both exceeding the baseline?</li> </ul>	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1       A. Yeah, the Battery B doors are physically under         2       the emissions shed for the pushing emissions control         3       system for B Battery. So they are physically underneath         4       the shed structure.         5       Q. And was the shed something that was constructed         6       purposefully?         7       A. Yes.         8       Q. Okay. And what is the purpose of the shed?         9       A. The purpose of the shed is to collect the         6       fugitive emissions from the pushing and travel on B         1       Battery. A side benefit of having that pushing emission         2       control shed, though, is the emissions from the coke-         3       side doors are captured within that shed.         4       Q. And can you explain in just general terms how the         5       shed works to control air emissions?         6       A. Yeah. The shed is a very large stainless steel         7       structure that encompasses basically the whole coke side         8       of Battery B. Any emissions that are contained in that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>improve its baseline once and then again in 2019?</li> <li>A. We have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. So what would happen if the first quarter of 2019 compliance was 98.9 percent and the second quarter was 98.8 percent, so both of them above the baseline?</li> <li>A. We would have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. And why is that?</li> <li>A. Because the second quarter was worse than the first quarter.</li> <li>Q. So even if U.S. Steel exceeds the baseline twice, it can still have to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. What if the first quarter of 2019 was 98.9 percent and the second quarter was the same number, both exceeding the baseline?</li> </ul>	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1       A. Yeeh, the Battery B doors are physically under         2       the emissions shed for the pushing emissions control         3       system for B Battery. So they are physically underneath         4       the shed structure.         5       Q. And was the shed something that was constructed         6       purposefully?         7       A. Yes.         8       Q. Okay. And what is the purpose of the shed?         9       A. The purpose of the shed is to collect the         6       fugitive emissions from the pushing and travel on B         1       Battery. A side benefit of having that pushing emission         2       control shed, though, is the emissions from the coke-         3       side doors are captured within that shed.         4       Q. And can you explain in just general terms how the         5       shed works to control air emissions?         6       A. Yeeh. The shed is a very large stainless steel         6       of Battery B. Any emissions that are contained in that         9       shed are evacuated through a duct by large fans that are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>improve its baseline once and then again in 2019?</li> <li>A. We have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. So what would happen if the first quarter of 2019 compliance was 98.9 percent and the second quarter was 98.8 percent, so both of them above the baseline?</li> <li>A. We would have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. And why is that?</li> <li>A. Because the second quarter was worse than the first quarter.</li> <li>Q. So even if U.S. Steel exceeds the baseline twice, it can still have to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. What if the first quarter of 2019 was 98.9 percent and the second quarter was the same number, both exceeding the baseline?</li> <li>A. We would have to idle the worse two performing tetteries.</li> </ul>	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2	1       A. Yeah, the Battery B doors are physically under         2       the emissions shed for the pushing emissions control         3       system for B Battery. So they are physically underneath         4       the shed structure.         5       Q. And was the shed something that was constructed         6       purposefully?         7       A. Yes.         8       Q. Okay. And what is the purpose of the shed?         9       A. The purpose of the shed is to collect the         6       fugitive emissions from the pushing and travel on B         1       Battery. A side benefit of having that pushing emission         2       control shed, though, is the emissions from the coke-         3       side doors are captured within that shed.         4       Q. And can you explain in just general terms how the         5       shed works to control air emissions?         6       A. Yeeh. The shed is a very large stainless steel         7       structure that encompasses basically the whole coke side         8       of Battery B. Any emissions that are contained in that         9       hasically pulling those emissions through a bag house,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>improve its baseline once and then again in 2019?</li> <li>A. We have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. So what would happen if the first quarter of 2019 compliance was 98.9 percent and the second quarter was 98.8 percent, so both of them above the baseline?</li> <li>A. We would have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. And why is that?</li> <li>A. Because the second quarter was worse than the first quarter.</li> <li>Q. So even if U.S. Steel exceeds the baseline twice, it can still have to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. What if the first quarter of 2019 was 98.9 percent and the second quarter was the same number, both exceeding the baseline?</li> <li>A. We would have to idle the worse two performing to the second quarter was the same number, both exceeding the baseline?</li> <li>Q. And why is that?</li> </ul>	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, the Battery B doors are physically under</li> <li>the emissions shed for the pushing emissions control</li> <li>system for B Battery. So they are physically underneath</li> <li>the shed structure.</li> <li>Q. And was the shed something that was constructed</li> <li>purposefully?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what is the purpose of the shed?</li> <li>A. The purpose of the shed is to collect the</li> <li>fugitive emissions from the pushing and travel on B</li> <li>Battery. A side benefit of having that pushing emission</li> <li>control shed, though, is the emissions from the coke-</li> <li>side doors are captured within that shed.</li> <li>Q. And can you explain in just general terms how the</li> <li>shed works to control air emissions?</li> <li>A. Yeeh. The shed is a very large stainless steel</li> <li>of Battery B. Any emissions that are contained in that</li> <li>shed are evacuated through a duct by large fans that are</li> <li>basically pulling those emissions through a bag house,</li> <li>and the bag house consists of there are fabric bags</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>improve its baseline once and then again in 2019?</li> <li>A. We have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. So what would happen if the first quarter of 2019 compliance was 98.9 percent and the second quarter was 98.8 percent, so both of them above the baseline?</li> <li>A. We would have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. And why is that?</li> <li>A. Because the second quarter was worse than the first quarter.</li> <li>Q. So even if U.S. Steel exceeds the baseline twice, it can still have to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. What if the first quarter of 2019 was 98.9 percent and the second quarter was the same number, both exceeding the baseline?</li> <li>A. We would have to idle the worse two performing batteries.</li> <li>Q. And why is that?</li> </ul>	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>A. Yeeh, the Battery B doors are physically under</li> <li>the emissions shed for the pushing emissions control</li> <li>system for B Battery. So they are physically underneath</li> <li>the shed structure.</li> <li>Q. And was the shed something that was constructed</li> <li>purposefully?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what is the purpose of the shed?</li> <li>A. The purpose of the shed is to collect the</li> <li>fugitive emissions from the pushing and travel on B</li> <li>Battery. A side benefit of having that pushing emission</li> <li>control shed, though, is the emissions from the coke-</li> <li>side doors are captured within that shed.</li> <li>Q. And can you explain in just general terms how the</li> <li>shed works to control air emissions?</li> <li>A. Yeeh. The shed is a very large stainless steel</li> <li>of Battery B. Any emissions that are contained in that</li> <li>shed are evacuated through a duct by large fans that are</li> <li>basically pulling those emissions through a bag house,</li> <li>and the bag house consists of — there are fabric bags</li> <li>on steel cages, many of them, in this bag house.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>improve its baseline once and then again in 2019?</li> <li>A. We have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. So what would happen if the first quarter of 2019 compliance was 98.9 percent and the second quarter was 98.8 percent, so both of them above the baseline?</li> <li>A. We would have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. And why is that?</li> <li>A. Because the second quarter was worse than the first quarter.</li> <li>Q. So even if U.S. Steel exceeds the baseline twice, it can still have to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. What if the first quarter of 2019 was 98.9 percent and the second quarter was the same number, both exceeding the baseline?</li> <li>A. We would have to idle the worse two performing tetteries.</li> <li>Q. And why is that?</li> <li>A. Because you didn't make an improvement the subsequent second quarter.</li> </ul>	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, the Battery B doors are physically under</li> <li>the emissions shed for the pushing emissions control</li> <li>system for B Battery. So they are physically underneath</li> <li>the shed structure.</li> <li>Q. And was the shed something that was constructed</li> <li>purposefully?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what is the purpose of the shed?</li> <li>A. The purpose of the shed is to collect the</li> <li>fugitive emissions from the pushing and travel on B</li> <li>Battery. A side benefit of having that pushing emission</li> <li>control shed, though, is the emissions from the coke-</li> <li>side doors are captured within that shed.</li> <li>Q. And can you explain in just general terms how the</li> <li>shed works to control air emissions?</li> <li>A. Yeeh. The shed is a very large stainless steel</li> <li>structure that encompasses basically the whole coke side</li> <li>of Battery B. Any emissions through a duct by large fans that are</li> <li>basically pulling those emissions are drawn through the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>improve its baseline once and then again in 2019?</li> <li>A. We have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. So what would happen if the first quarter of 2019 compliance was 98.9 percent and the second quarter was 98.8 percent, so both of them above the baseline?</li> <li>A. We would have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. And why is that?</li> <li>A. Because the second quarter was worse than the first quarter.</li> <li>Q. So even if U.S. Steel exceeds the baseline twice, it can still have to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. What if the first quarter of 2019 was 98.9 percent and the second quarter was the same number, both exceeding the baseline?</li> <li>A. We would have to idle the worse two performing fortheries.</li> <li>Q. And why is that?</li> <li>A. Because you didn't make an improvement the subsequent second quarter.</li> <li>Q. What if there was a scenario where U.S. Steel</li> </ul>	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1       A. Yeah, the Battery B doors are physically under         2       the emissions shed for the pushing emissions control         3       system for B Battery. So they are physically underneath         4       the shed structure.         5       Q. And was the shed something that was constructed         6       purposefully?         7       A. Yes.         8       Q. Okay. And what is the purpose of the shed?         9       A. The purpose of the shed is to collect the         6       fugitive emissions from the pushing and travel on B         1       Battery. A side benefit of having that pushing emission         2       control shed, though, is the emissions from the coke-         3       side doors are captured within that shed.         4       Q. And can you explain in just general terms how the         5       shed works to control air emissions?         6       A. Yeeh. The shed is a very large stainless steel         7       structure that encompasses basically the whole coke aide         8       of Battery B. Any emissions that are contained in that         9       shed are evacuated through a duct by large fans that are         9       had the bag house consists of — there are fabric bags         9       on steel cages, many of them, in this bag house.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>improve its baseline once and then again in 2019?</li> <li>A. We have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. So what would happen if the first quarter of 2019 compliance was 98.9 percent and the second quarter was 98.8 percent, so both of them above the baseline?</li> <li>A. We would have to idle our two worst-performing coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. And why is that?</li> <li>A. Because the second quarter was worse than the first quarter.</li> <li>Q. So even if U.S. Steel exceeds the baseline twice, it can still have to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. What if the first quarter of 2019 was 98.9 percent and the second quarter was the same number, both exceeding the baseline?</li> <li>A. We would have to idle the worse two performing tetteries.</li> <li>Q. And why is that?</li> <li>A. Because you didn't make an improvement the subsequent second quarter.</li> </ul>	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2	1       A. Yeah, the Battery B doors are physically under         2       the emissions shed for the pushing emissions control         3       system for B Battery. So they are physically underneath         4       the shed structure.         5       Q. And was the shed something that was constructed         6       purposefully?         7       A. Yes.         8       Q. Okay. And what is the purpose of the shed?         9       A. The purpose of the shed is to collect the         6       fugitive emissions from the pushing and travel on B         1       Battery. A side benefit of having that pushing emission         2       control shed, though, is the emissions from the coke-         3       side doors are captured within that shed.         4       Q. And can you explain in just general terms how the         5       shed works to control air emissions?         6       A. Yeeh. The shed is a very large stainless steel         7       structure that encompasses basically the whole coke aide         8       of Battery B. Any emissions that are contained in that         9       shed are evacuated through a duct by large fans that are         9       had the bag house consists of — there are fabric bags         9       on steel cages, many of them, in this bag house.

Γ

		845			847
1	the shed exit a stack on the bag house.		1	that says that door readings have to be from 25 feet or	
2	Q. So the shed is designed to control air emissions?		2	more away?	
3	A. Yes.		3	A. Yes.	
4	Q. And what effects have you seen from the shed?		4	${\sf Q}.~$ And would this white area at the bottom of the	
5	A. Well, the shed performance for pushing and travel		5	picture generally be about 25 feet or more away from the	
6	on B Battery, B Battery is the second-best performing		6	doors?	
7	coke battery in the plant from a push and travel		7	A. Yeah, it's more than 25 feet away from the doors,	
8	perspective, second only to C Battery, the newest and		8	yes.	
9	best technology.		9	${f Q}.$ Okay. The individual who's in the picture on	
10	$Q_{*}$ . Were you here for the hearing when Mr. DeLuca		10	Exhibit 40, page 5, where is he standing?	
11	testified that he saw the shed one time from a road?		11	A. He's actually standing on the bench, on that	
12	A. Yes.		12	battery.	
13	Q. Are you aware of any road where you can examine		13	${\sf Q}.$ And when you are doing door-leak inspections	
14	the B Battery coke-side shed?		14	under the coke-side shed, where do you have to stand?	
15	A. No.		15	A. You have to stand in that same proximity, right	
16	Q. How many years' experience do you have at the		16	an the bench.	
17	plant?		17	${\sf Q}.$ Where the individual in Picture 5 is standing?	
18	A. I've been at Clairton for 17 years.		18	A. Yes.	
19	${\sf Q}.$ Do inspectors have to do anything differently to		19	Q. So right up on the doors?	
20	inspect the coke-side doors of the B Battery given this		20	A. Yes.	
21	shed?		21	Q. Would you expect to see more door leaks when you	
22	A. They do, yes. If you stand at the normal point		22	are standing right up on the doors compared to when you	
23	where you would inspect doors, you can't see the coke-		23	are 25 feet or more away from the doors?	
24	side doors on Battery B. All you could see is the	_	24	A. yes.	
25	stainless steel sheeting from the shed.		25	Q. So when Mr. DeLuca was asked several questions	
1	So inspectors actually have to physically be on the coke-side bench where the door machine travels and		1 2	about the number of door leaks seen on the coke side of	
				B Battery compared to the number of door leaks seen on	
3	walk along that bench in very close proximity to the doors to inspect the doors.		3	the pusher side of B Battery, is that an apples-to- apples comparison?	
5			5		
6	Q. If we look at Exhibit 40 on page 5, would this		6	A. No. Q. Why not?	
7	help us get an understanding as to where inspectors view door leaks from the B Battery coke-side shed compared to		7	Q. Why not? A. Because when you are walking in very close	
8	where they typically observe door leaks?		8	proximity to the doors, you can see much more. You can	
9	A. Yeah, this is a different battery and it's the		9	see even the smallest leaks.	
10	pusher side of a different battery. But the photograph		10	Q. Are there federal NESHAP standards that cover	
11	is taken from a pretty similar perspective of where the	- 4	11	door leaks from the B Battery coke-side and push-side	
12	The cases from a process animate berefoctive of white the		where when	door reaks fight che p baccery cone bide dia publi bide	
1	inspector would newsrally walk in a cafe area		12	doors?	
13	inspector would physically walk in a safe area. Obviously, was can't walk inside the path of		12 13	doors? A There are use The NESTAP crommasses the	
13	Obviously, you can't walk inside the path of		13	A. There are, yes. The NESHAP encompasses the	
14	Chviously, you can't walk inside the path of travel of that pusher machine. That wouldn't be		13 14	A. There are, yes. The NESHAP encompasses the entire battery, both the pusher side and coke side.	
14 15	Coviously, you can't walk inside the path of travel of that pusher machine. That wouldn't be — would not be safe, and that is a restricted area.		13 14 15	<ul><li>A. There are, yes. The NESHAP encompasses the entire battery, both the pusher side and coke side.</li><li>Q. And are those doors inspected on a daily basis?</li></ul>	
14 15 16	Coviously, you can't walk inside the path of travel of that pusher machine. That wouldn't be — would not be safe, and that is a restricted area. Nobody is allowed to walk in there.		13 14	<ul> <li>A. There are, yes. The NESHAP encompasses the entire battery, both the pusher side and coke side.</li> <li>Q. And are those doors inspected on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	
14 15 16 17	Coviously, you can't walk inside the path of travel of that pusher machine. That wouldn't be — would not be safe, and that is a restricted area. Nobody is allowed to walk in there. So what the inspector typically does is walk just		13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. There are, yes. The NESHAP encompasses the entire battery, both the pusher side and coke side.</li> <li>Q. And are those doors inspected on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are they inspected 365 days a year?</li> </ul>	
14 15 16	Coviously, you can't walk inside the path of travel of that pusher machine. That wouldn't be — would not be safe, and that is a restricted area. Nobody is allowed to walk in there. So what the inspector typically does is walk just outside of that pusher rail during their traverse when		13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. There are, yes. The NESHAP encompasses the entire battery, both the pusher side and coke side.</li> <li>Q. And are those doors inspected on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are they inspected 365 days a year?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	
14 15 16 17 18 19	Coviously, you can't walk inside the path of travel of that pusher machine. That wouldn't be — would not be safe, and that is a restricted area. Nobody is allowed to walk in there. So what the inspector typically does is walk just outside of that pusher rail during their traverse when they are reading battery doors.		13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. There are, yes. The NESHAP encompasses the entire battery, both the pusher side and coke side.</li> <li>Q. And are those doors inspected on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are they inspected 365 days a year?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is U.S. Steel Clairton's compliance</li> </ul>	
14 15 16 17 18	Coviously, you can't walk inside the path of travel of that pusher machine. That wouldn't be — would not be safe, and that is a restricted area. Nobody is allowed to walk in there. So what the inspector typically does is walk just outside of that pusher rail during their traverse when		13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. There are, yes. The NESHAP encompasses the entire battery, both the pusher side and coke side.</li> <li>Q. And are those doors inspected on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are they inspected 365 days a year?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Coviously, you can't walk inside the path of traval of that pusher machine. That wouldn't be — would not be safe, and that is a restricted area. Nobody is allowed to walk in there. So what the inspector typically does is walk just outside of that pusher rail during their traverse when they are reading battery doors. Q. So typically, an inspector would be standing near		13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. There are, yes. The NESHAP encompasses the entire battery, both the pusher side and coke side.</li> <li>Q. And are those doors inspected on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are they inspected 365 days a year?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is U.S. Steel Clairton's compliance percentage with those standards?</li> <li>A. One hundred percent.</li> </ul>	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Choicealy, you can't walk inside the path of travel of that pusher machine. That wouldn't be — would not be safe, and that is a restricted area. Nobody is allowed to walk in there. So what the inspector typically does is walk just outside of that pusher rail during their traverse when they are reading battery doors. Q. So typically, an inspector would be standing near the bottom of this picture near the white area?		13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. There are, yes. The NESHAP encompasses the entire battery, both the pusher side and coke side.</li> <li>Q. And are those doors inspected on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are they inspected 365 days a year?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is U.S. Steel Clairton's compliance percentage with those standards?</li> </ul>	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Choicesly, you can't walk inside the path of travel of that pusher machine. That wouldn't be — would not be safe, and that is a restricted area. Nobody is allowed to walk in there. So what the inspector typically does is walk just outside of that pusher rail during their traverse when they are reading battery doors. Q. So typically, an inspector would be standing near the bottom of this picture near the white area? A. Yeah, where you see the white blocks outside of		13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. There are, yes. The NESEAP encompasses the entire battery, both the pusher side and coke side.</li> <li>Q. And are those doors inspected on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are they inspected 365 days a year?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is U.S. Steel Clairton's compliance percentage with those standards?</li> <li>A. One hundred percent.</li> <li>Q. Are there any B Battery coke-side, door-leak</li> </ul>	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Coviously, you can't walk inside the path of travel of that pusher machine. That wouldn't be — would not be safe, and that is a restricted area. Nobody is allowed to walk in there. So what the inspector typically does is walk just outside of that pusher rail during their traverse when they are reading battery doors. Q. So typically, an inspector would be standing near the bottom of this picture near the white area? A. Yeah, where you see the white blocks outside of the rail where that pusher machine is riding on, yes.		13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. There are, yes. The NESERP encompasses the entire battery, both the pusher side and coke side.</li> <li>Q. And are those doors inspected on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are they inspected 365 days a year?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is U.S. Steel Clairton's compliance percentage with those standards?</li> <li>A. One hundred percent.</li> <li>Q. Are there any B Battery coke-side, door-leak violations in the enforcement order?</li> </ul>	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Choicusly, you can't walk inside the path of travel of that pusher machine. That wouldn't be — would not be safe, and that is a restricted area. Nobody is allowed to walk in there. So what the inspector typically does is walk just outside of that pusher rail during their traverse when they are reading battery doors. Q. So typically, an inspector would be standing near the bottom of this picture near the white area? A. Yeah, where you see the white blocks outside of the rail where that pusher machine is riding on, yes. Q. And were you here in the hearing for the		13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. There are, yes. The NESHAP encompasses the entire battery, both the pusher side and coke side.</li> <li>Q. And are those doors inspected on a daily basis?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are they inspected 365 days a year?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what is U.S. Steel Clairton's compliance percentage with those standards?</li> <li>A. Come hundred percent.</li> <li>Q. Are there any B Battery coke-side, door-leak violations in the enforcement order?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	

		849		851
1	in June of this year, did U.S. Steel have any knowledge		1 Q. And do you recall seeing that, in approximately	0.01
2			2 2017, the number of door leaks started to increase on	
3			3 the B Battery coke side?	
4	A. No.		4 <b>A.</b> Yes.	
5	Q. Are you aware of the requirement in the		5 Q. And was there some reason that this occurred?	
6	enforcement order that U.S. Steel can only have 10 leaks		6 A. There was, yes.	
7			7 Q. What was that?	
8	coke-side B Battery doors?		A. We did an investigation, because we were aware of	
9	A. Yes, Iam.		9 the deteriorating performance, and as the - you know,	
10	Q. And are you aware that U.S. Steel has to meet	1		
11	this standard every month for the first six months of	1	a faith a start of the start of	
12	2019 or hot idle two batteries?	1		
13	A. Yes.	1		
14	Q. Did U.S. Steel participate in any way in a	1		
15	development of that standard?	1	frames on the coke side of Battery B.	
16	A. No, we did not.	1	And what I mean by bad castings, there were	
17	Q. When's the first time you learned about it?	1	actually defects in the casting that caused cracks to	
18	A. When we received the enforcement order.	18	form in the top corners due to all of the heat stress on	
19	Q. Mr. Rhoads, if you had an unlimited budget, is	19	that side.	
20	there any new technology that you could install to be	20	And when the cracks formed, they opened up to a	
21	able to decrease door leaks in the first two calendars	2:	point where we had a very difficult time making any type	
22	of the first two calendar quarters of 2019 to meet	22	of a temporary repair or sealing them up. We attempted	
23	this standard?	23	to weld them; but because it is a casting, it is very	
24	A. No.	24	difficult to weld.	
25	Q. Okay. Can you explain what causes door leaks?	25	So we ended up having to order new castings, and	
M 4				
-				
-		850		852
1	A. Well, as I indicated before, door leaks are	850	we are currently engaged in a project of about 1.5	852
1 2	A. Well, as I indicated before, door leaks are caused just by the physical condition that the door must			852
		1	million dollars in expense to replace several of those	852
2	caused just by the physical condition that the door must	1	million dollars in expense to replace several of those bad castings.	852
2	caused just by the physical condition that the door must perform in. It's very hot, but then you are removing	122	million dollars in expense to replace several of those bad castings. Q. And did U.S. Steel start that process of	852
2 3 4 5 6	caused just by the physical condition that the door must perform in. It's very hot, but then you are removing that door sometimes twice a day and replacing it. So	1 2 3 4 5 6	<pre>million dollars in expense to replace several of those bad castings. Q. And did U.S. Steel start that process of replacing these castings before it ever received the enforcement order?</pre>	852
2 3 4 5 6 7	caused just by the physical condition that the door must perform in. It's very hot, but then you are removing that door sometimes twice a day and replacing it. So it's going through significant thermal shock during the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>million dollars in expense to replace several of those bad castings. Q. And did U.S. Steel start that process of replacing these castings before it ever received the enforcement order? A. Yeeh, we started it in early 2018.</pre>	852
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	caused just by the physical condition that the door must perform in. It's very hot, but then you are removing that door sometimes twice a day and replacing it. So it's going through significant thennal shock during the course of that. So the doors are constructed of steel and cast iron and refractory, but your sealing surface is a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8	<ul> <li>million dollars in expense to replace several of those bad castings.</li> <li>Q. And did U.S. Steel start that process of replacing these castings before it ever received the enforcement order?</li> <li>A. Yeah, we started it in early 2018.</li> <li>Q. And when you started that process, was U.S. Steel</li> </ul>	852
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	caused just by the physical condition that the door must perform in. It's very hot, but then you are removing that door sometimes twice a day and replacing it. So it's going through significant thermal shock during the course of that. So the doors are constructed of steel and cast	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9	<ul> <li>million dollars in expense to replace several of those bad castings.</li> <li>Q. And did U.S. Steel start that process of replacing these castings before it ever received the enforcement order?</li> <li>A. Yeeh, we started it in early 2018.</li> <li>Q. And when you started that process, was U.S. Steel still 100 percent compliant with the NESHAP standards?</li> </ul>	852
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	caused just by the physical condition that the door must perform in. It's very hot, but then you are removing that door sometimes twice a day and replacing it. So it's going through significant thennal shock during the course of that. So the doors are constructed of steel and cast iron and refractory, but your sealing surface is a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>million dollars in expense to replace several of those bad castings.</li> <li>Q. And did U.S. Steel start that process of replacing these castings before it ever received the enforcement order?</li> <li>A. Yeah, we started it in early 2018.</li> <li>Q. And when you started that process, was U.S. Steel still 100 percent compliant with the NESHAP standards?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	852
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	caused just by the physical condition that the door must perform in. It's very hot, but then you are removing that door sometimes twice a day and replacing it. So it's going through significant thermal shock during the course of that. So the doors are constructed of steel and cast iron and refractory, but your sealing surface is a metal-to-metal sealing surface. And that's the design of every door that I've ever seen in my career. You are relying on that metal-to-metal sealing	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 11	<ul> <li>million dollars in expense to replace several of those bad castings.</li> <li>Q. And did U.S. Steel start that process of replacing these castings before it ever received the enforcement order?</li> <li>A. Yeah, we started it in early 2018.</li> <li>Q. And when you started that process, was U.S. Steel still 100 percent compliant with the NESHAP standards?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And why was it that U.S. Steel started the</li> </ul>	852
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	caused just by the physical condition that the door must perform in. It's very hot, but then you are removing that door sometimes twice a day and replacing it. So it's going through significant thermal shock during the course of that. So the doors are constructed of steel and cast iron and refractory, but your sealing surface is a metal-to-metal sealing surface. And that's the design of every door that I've ever seen in my career. You are relying on that metal-to-metal sealing surface to make a seal; and for it to make a seal, some	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>million dollars in expense to replace several of those bad castings.</li> <li>Q. And did U.S. Steel start that process of replacing these castings before it ever received the enforcement order?</li> <li>A. Yeeh, we started it in early 2018.</li> <li>Q. And when you started that process, was U.S. Steel still 100 percent compliant with the NESHAP standards?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And why was it that U.S. Steel started the process anyway?</li> </ul>	852
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	caused just by the physical condition that the door must perform in. It's very hot, but then you are removing that door sometimes twice a day and replacing it. So it's going through significant thermal shock during the course of that. So the doors are constructed of steel and cast iron and refractory, but your sealing surface is a metal-to-metal sealing surface. And that's the design of every door that I've ever seen in my career. You are relying on that metal-to-metal sealing surface to make a seal; and for it to make a seal, some of the processed gas actually has to escape and condense	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>million dollars in expense to replace several of those bad castings.</li> <li>Q. And did U.S. Steel start that process of replacing these castings before it ever received the enforcement order?</li> <li>A. Yeah, we started it in early 2018.</li> <li>Q. And when you started that process, was U.S. Steel still 100 percent compliant with the NESHAP standards?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And why was it that U.S. Steel started the process anyway?</li> <li>A. Because we saw the deterioration and we ware</li> </ul>	852
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	caused just by the physical condition that the door must perform in. It's very hot, but then you are removing that door sometimes twice a day and replacing it. So it's going through significant themal shock during the course of that. So the doors are constructed of steel and cast iron and refractory, but your sealing surface is a metal-to-metal sealing surface. And that's the design of every door that I've ever seen in my career. You are relying on that metal-to-metal sealing surface to make a seal; and for it to make a seal, some of the processed gas actually has to escape and condense in that sealing surface.	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>million dollars in expense to replace several of those bad castings.</li> <li>Q. And did U.S. Steel start that process of replacing these castings before it ever received the enforcement order?</li> <li>A. Yeah, we started it in early 2018.</li> <li>Q. And when you started that process, was U.S. Steel still 100 percent compliant with the NESHAP standards?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And why was it that U.S. Steel started the process anyway?</li> <li>A. Because we saw the deterioration and we ware working to improve and get back into the range of</li> </ul>	852
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	caused just by the physical condition that the door must perform in. It's very hot, but then you are removing that door sometimes twice a day and replacing it. So it's going through significant themal shock during the course of that. So the doors are constructed of steel and cast iron and refractory, but your sealing surface is a metal-to-metal sealing surface. And that's the design of every door that I've ever seen in my career. You are relying on that metal-to-metal sealing surface to make a seal; and for it to make a seal, some of the processed gas actually has to escape and condense in that sealing surface.	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>million dollars in expense to replace several of those bad castings.</li> <li>Q. And did U.S. Steel start that process of replacing these castings before it ever received the enforcement order?</li> <li>A. Yeah, we started it in early 2018.</li> <li>Q. And when you started that process, was U.S. Steel still 100 percent compliant with the NESHAP standards?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And why was it that U.S. Steel started the process anyway?</li> <li>A. Because we saw the deterioration and we were working to improve and get back into the range of ensuring that we are well above, well beyond compliance.</li> </ul>	852
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	caused just by the physical condition that the door must perform in. It's very hot, but then you are removing that door sometimes twice a day and replacing it. So it's going through significant thermal shock during the course of that. So the doors are constructed of steel and cast iron and refractory, but your sealing surface is a metal-to-metal sealing surface. And that's the design of every door that I've ever seen in my career. You are relying on that metal-to-metal sealing surface to make a seal; and for it to make a seal, some of the processed gas actually has to escape and condense in that sealing surface. But, you know, sometimes because of the impact, the thermal shock, the abrasion, you know, sometimes you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>million dollars in expense to replace several of those bad castings.</li> <li>Q. And did U.S. Steel start that process of replacing these castings before it ever received the enforcement order?</li> <li>A. Yeah, we started it in early 2018.</li> <li>Q. And when you started that process, was U.S. Steel still 100 percent compliant with the NESHAP standards?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And why was it that U.S. Steel started the process anyway?</li> <li>A. Because we saw the deterioration and we ware working to improve and get back into the range of ensuring that we are well above, well beyond compliance.</li> <li>Q. What kind of work is involved in replacing all of</li> </ul>	852
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	caused just by the physical condition that the door must perform in. It's very hot, but then you are removing that door sometimes twice a day and replacing it. So it's going through significant themal shock during the course of that. So the doors are constructed of steel and cast iron and refractory, but your sealing surface is a metal-to-metal sealing surface. And that's the design of every door that I've ever seen in my career. You are relying on that metal-to-metal sealing surface to make a seal; and for it to make a seal, some of the processed gas actually has to escape and condense in that sealing surface. But, you know, sometimes because of the impact, the themal shock, the abrasion, you know, sometimes you will have some slight deterioration of that sealing	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>million dollars in expense to replace several of those bad castings.</li> <li>Q. And did U.S. Steel start that process of replacing these castings before it ever received the enforcement order?</li> <li>A. Yeah, we started it in early 2018.</li> <li>Q. And when you started that process, was U.S. Steel still 100 percent compliant with the NESHAP standards?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And why was it that U.S. Steel started the process anyway?</li> <li>A. Because we saw the deterioration and we ware working to improve and get back into the range of ensuring that we are well above, well beyond compliance.</li> <li>Q. What kind of work is involved in replacing all of these castings under the shed at the B Battery coke</li> </ul>	852
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	caused just by the physical condition that the door must perform in. It's very hot, but then you are removing that door sometimes twice a day and replacing it. So it's going through significant themal shock during the course of that. So the doors are constructed of steel and cast iron and refractory, but your sealing surface is a metal-to-metal sealing surface. And that's the design of every door that I've ever seen in my career. You are relying on that metal-to-metal sealing surface to make a seal; and for it to make a seal, some of the processed gas actually has to escape and condense in that sealing surface. But, you know, sometimes because of the impact, the thermal shock, the abrasion, you know, sometimes you will have some slight deterioration of that sealing surface.	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>million dollars in expense to replace several of those bad castings.</li> <li>Q. And did U.S. Steel start that process of replacing these castings before it ever received the enforcement order?</li> <li>A. Yeeh, we started it in early 2018.</li> <li>Q. And when you started that process, was U.S. Steel still 100 percent compliant with the NESHAP standards?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And why was it that U.S. Steel started the process anyway?</li> <li>A. Because we saw the deterioration and we ware working to improve and get back into the range of ensuring that we are well above, well beyond compliance.</li> <li>Q. What kind of work is involved in replacing all of these castings under the shed at the B Battery coke side?</li> </ul>	852
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	caused just by the physical condition that the door must perform in. It's very hot, but then you are removing that door sometimes twice a day and replacing it. So it's going through significant themal shock during the course of that. So the doors are constructed of steel and cast iron and refractory, but your sealing surface is a metal-to-metal sealing surface. And that's the design of every door that I've ever seen in my career. You are relying on that metal-to-metal sealing surface to make a seal; and for it to make a seal, some of the processed gas actually has to escape and condense in that sealing surface. But, you know, sometimes because of the impact, the thermal shock, the abrasion, you know, sometimes you yill have some slight deterioration of that sealing surface. You know, we work very proactively to seal that	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>million dollars in expense to replace several of those bad castings.</li> <li>Q. And did U.S. Steel start that process of replacing these castings before it ever received the enforcement order?</li> <li>A. Yeeh, we started it in early 2018.</li> <li>Q. And when you started that process, was U.S. Steel still 100 percent compliant with the NESHAP standards?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And why was it that U.S. Steel started the process anyway?</li> <li>A. Because we saw the deterioration and we ware working to improve and get back into the range of ensuring that we are well above, well beyond compliance.</li> <li>Q. What kind of work is involved in replacing all of these castings under the shed at the B Battery coke side?</li> <li>A. It's a very significant process. You have to</li> </ul>	852
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	caused just by the physical condition that the door must perform in. It's very hot, but then you are removing that door sometimes twice a day and replacing it. So it's going through significant thermal shock during the course of that. So the doors are constructed of steel and cast iron and refractory, but your sealing surface is a metal-to-metal sealing surface. And that's the design of every door that I've ever seen in my career. You are relying on that metal-to-metal sealing surface to make a seal; and for it to make a seal, some of the processed gas actually has to escape and condense in that sealing surface. But, you know, sometimes because of the inpact, the thermal shock, the abrasion, you know, sometimes you surface. You know, we work very proactively to seal that up. But just by nature of the metal-to-metal sealing	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>million dollars in expense to replace several of those bad castings.</li> <li>And did U.S. Steel start that process of replacing these castings before it ever received the enforcement order?</li> <li>A. Yeah, we started it in early 2018.</li> <li>And when you started that process, was U.S. Steel still 100 percent compliant with the NESHAP standards?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>And why was it that U.S. Steel started the process anyway?</li> <li>A. Because we saw the deterioration and we ware working to improve and get back into the range of ensuring that we are well above, well beyond compliance.</li> <li>Q. What kind of work is involved in replacing all of these castings under the shed at the B Battery coke side?</li> <li>A. It's a very significant process. You have to take two extended outages on Battery B and Battery C</li> </ul>	852
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	caused just by the physical condition that the door must perform in. It's very hot, but then you are removing that door sometimes twice a day and replacing it. So it's going through significant themal shock during the course of that. So the doors are constructed of steel and cast iron and refractory, but your sealing surface is a metal-to-metal sealing surface. And that's the design of every door that I've ever seen in my career. You are relying on that metal-to-metal sealing surface to make a seal; and for it to make a seal, some of the processed gas actually has to escape and condense in that sealing surface. But, you know, sometimes because of the impact, the thermal shock, the abrasion, you know, sometimes you will have some slight deterioration of that sealing surface. You know, we work very proactively to seal that up, But just by nature of the metal-to-metal seal, there's always going to be some leakage.	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>million dollars in expense to replace several of those bad castings.</li> <li>Q. And did U.S. Steel start that process of replacing these castings before it ever received the enforcement order?</li> <li>A. Yeeh, we started it in early 2018.</li> <li>Q. And when you started that process, was U.S. Steel still 100 percent compliant with the NESHAP standards?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And why was it that U.S. Steel started the process anyway?</li> <li>A. Because we saw the deterioration and we ware working to improve and get back into the range of ensuring that we are well above, well beyond compliance.</li> <li>Q. What kind of work is involved in replacing all of these castings under the shed at the B Battery coke side?</li> <li>A. It's a very significant process. You have to take two extended outages on Battery B and Battery C because you actually have to go in underneath the</li> </ul>	852
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	caused just by the physical condition that the door must perform in. It's very hot, but then you are removing that door sometimes twice a day and replacing it. So it's going through significant thermal shock during the course of that. So the doors are constructed of steel and cast iron and refractory, but your sealing surface is a metal-to-metal sealing surface. And that's the design of every door that I've ever seen in my career. You are relying on that metal-to-metal sealing surface to make a seal; and for it to make a seal, some of the processed gas actually has to escape and condense in that sealing surface. But, you know, sometimes because of the inpact, the thermal shock, the abrasion, you know, sometimes you surface. You know, we work very proactively to seal that up. But just by nature of the metal-to-metal sealing	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>million dollars in expense to replace several of those bad castings.</li> <li>And did U.S. Steel start that process of replacing these castings before it ever received the enforcement order?</li> <li>A. Yeah, we started it in early 2018.</li> <li>And when you started that process, was U.S. Steel still 100 percent compliant with the NESHAP standards?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>And why was it that U.S. Steel started the process anyway?</li> <li>A. Because we saw the deterioration and we ware working to improve and get back into the range of ensuring that we are well above, well beyond compliance.</li> <li>Q. What kind of work is involved in replacing all of these castings under the shed at the B Battery coke side?</li> <li>A. It's a very significant process. You have to take two extended outages on Battery B and Battery C</li> </ul>	852

24 you have to remove a buckstay. You have to remove both

25 the door frames with a crane, very large lifts, very

24 coke-side B Battery door leaks over the last few months?

A. I have, yes.

		853		855
1	tricky rigging because you've got very limited space to	1	the coke ovens. You push all of the coke out of the	
2	work.	2	coke ovens and you basically sit and heat continue to	
3	You actually have to dress the refractory up	3	heat the battery absent any coal and coke production.	
4	behind those door frame castings. We do that typically	4	${\sf Q}.$ Are there reasons why, as a coke plant operator,	
5	during the subsequent following the removal. And	5	you want to avoid hot idling batteries?	
6	then you have to take another outage, replace the	6	A. Yeah. Hot idling does significant thermal damage	
7	castings, replace the buckstay. You have to weld all	7	to the refractory which the battery is constructed of	
8	that back into place and then you have to replace all	8	and, you know, we've seen evidence in all instances	
9	the refractory behind the door-frame castings.	9	where we've taken coke batteries to idle hot status of	
10	Typically, to replace two door frames, it takes	10	significant thermal damage and that results in	
11	about three to four weeks.	11	significant environmental performance deterioration.	
12	Q. How long has U.S. Steel been working on this	12	Q. Are there certain batteries that you expect would	
13	project?	13	not be able to withstand a hot idle?	
14	A. We have been working on it since early 2018.	14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. And when do you expect to be completed?	15	${\sf Q}.$ And what type of batteries would those be?	
16	A. Anticipate having the work that we want to get	16	A. Our older three metered batteries, Batteries 1,	
17	done completed by about the middle of 2019.	17	2, 3. I have significant concern with 15.	
18	$Q.\ $ Okay. So it won't be completed in time for U.S.	18	${\bf Q}.$ And so that concern would be similar to the	
19	Steel to have to meet this new B Battery coke-side,	19	testimony we heard from Mr. Clark just a few minutes	
20	door-leak standard that can result in hot idling two	20	ago, that hot idling Batteries 1, 2, and 3 could	
21	batteries?	21	permanently destroy those batteries?	
22	A. No.	22	A. Yes.	
23	${\sf Q}.$ Even when U.S. Steel completes this project, do	23	Q. Has U.S. Steel experienced this type of	
	you expect that you will be able to meet a 10 door-leak	24	deterioration on batteries with a hot idle process	
24	The second			
24 25	per month, B Battery coke-side standard on a regular	25	before?	
		25	before?	_
		854	before?	856
			A. Yeah, we have. Batteries 13, 14, and 15 were	856
25	per month, B Battery coke-side standard on a regular	854		856
25	per month, B Battery coke-side standard on a regular basis?	854	A. Yeah, we have. Batteries 13, 14, and 15 were	856
25 1 2	per month, B Battery coke-side standard on a regular basis? A. No. The technology doesn't support that given	854 1 2	A. Yeah, we have. Batteries 13, 14, and 15 were taken to hot idle in 2009 as a result of the significant	856
25 1 2 3	per month, B Battery coke-side standard on a regular basis? A. No. The technology doesn't support that given the metal-to-metal door seal.	854 1 2 3	A. Yeah, we have. Batteries 13, 14, and 15 were taken to hot idle in 2009 as a result of the significant economic necession that took place during that period of	856
25 1 2 3 4	<pre>per month, B Battery coke-side standard on a regular basis? A. No. The technology doesn't support that given the metal-to-metal door seal. Q. Have you tried to meet this standard since the</pre>	854 1 2 3 4	A. Yeah, we have. Batteries 13, 14, and 15 were taken to hot idle in 2009 as a result of the significant economic recession that took place during that period of time.	856
25 1 2 3 4 5	<pre>basis? A. No. The technology doesn't support that given the metal-to-metal door seal. Q. Have you tried to meet this standard since the enforcement order was issued?</pre>	854 1 2 3 4 5	A. Yeah, we have. Batteries 13, 14, and 15 were taken to hot idle in 2009 as a result of the significant economic momension that took place during that period of time. When we brought those batteries out of idle hot	856
25 1 2 3 4 5 6	<pre>basis? A. No. The technology doesn't support that given the metal-to-metal door seal. Q. Have you tried to meet this standard since the enforcement order was issued? A. We have been trying, yes.</pre>	854 1 2 3 4 5 6	A. Yeah, we have. Batteries 13, 14, and 15 were taken to hot idle in 2009 as a result of the significant economic necession that took place during that period of time. When we brought those batteries out of idle hot status, there was a significant deterioration in	856
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>basis? A. No. The technology doesn't support that given the metal-to-metal door seal. Q. Have you tried to meet this standard since the enforcement order was issued? A. We have been trying, yes. Q. Have you been able to?</pre>	854 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, we have. Batteries 13, 14, and 15 were taken to hot idle in 2009 as a result of the significant economic recession that took place during that period of time.</li> <li>When we brought those batteries out of idle hot status, there was a significant deterioration in environmental performance due to the resulting</li> </ul>	856
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>per month, B Battery coke-side standard on a regular</li> <li>basis?</li> <li>A. No. The technology doesn't support that given the metal-to-metal door seal.</li> <li>Q. Have you tried to meet this standard since the enforcement order was issued?</li> <li>A. We have been trying, yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you been able to?</li> <li>A. We've been unsuccessful to this point.</li> </ul>	854 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, we have. Batteries 13, 14, and 15 were taken to hot idle in 2009 as a result of the significant economic recession that took place during that period of time.</li> <li>When we brought those batteries out of idle hot status, there was a significant deterioration in environmental performance due to the resulting refractory damage.</li> </ul>	856
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>basis? A. No. The technology doesn't support that given the metal-to-metal door seal. Q. Have you tried to meet this standard since the enforcement order was issued? A. We have been trying, yes. Q. Have you been able to? A. We've been unsuccessful to this point. Q. Do you have concerns about this standard?</pre>	854 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, we have. Batteries 13, 14, and 15 were taken to hot idle in 2009 as a result of the significant economic recession that took place during that period of time.</li> <li>When we brought those batteries out of idle hot status, there was a significant deterioration in environmental performance due to the resulting refractory damage.</li> <li>Batteries A and B at our Granite City facility</li> </ul>	856
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>basis?</li> <li>A. No. The technology doesn't support that given the metal-to-metal door seal.</li> <li>Q. Have you tried to meet this standard since the enforcement order was issued?</li> <li>A. We have been trying, yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you been able to?</li> <li>A. We've been unsuccessful to this point.</li> <li>Q. Do you have concerns about this standard?</li> <li>A. I have significant concerns about the standard,</li> </ul>	854 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, we have. Batteries 13, 14, and 15 were taken to hot idle in 2009 as a result of the significant economic recession that took place during that period of time.</li> <li>When we brought those batteries out of idle hot status, there was a significant deterioration in environmental performance due to the resulting refractory damage.</li> <li>Batteries A and B at our Granite City facility during that same period of time were also taken to an</li> </ul>	856
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>per month, B Battery coke-side standard on a regular</li> <li>basis?</li> <li>A. No. The technology doesn't support that given the metal-to-metal door seal.</li> <li>Q. Have you tried to meet this standard since the enforcement order was issued?</li> <li>A. We have been trying, yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you been able to?</li> <li>A. We've been unsuccessful to this point.</li> <li>Q. Do you have concerns about this standard?</li> <li>A. I have significant concerns about the standard, yes.</li> </ul>	854 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Yeah, we have. Batteries 13, 14, and 15 were taken to hot idle in 2009 as a result of the significant economic recession that took place during that period of time. When we brought those batteries out of idle hot status, there was a significant deterioration in environmental performance due to the resulting refractory damage. Batteries A and B at our Granite City facility during that same period of time were also taken to an idle hot status, essentially resulted in permanent or	856
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>basis? A. No. The technology doesn't support that given the metal-to-metal door seal. Q. Have you tried to meet this standard since the enforcement order was issued? A. We have been trying, yes. Q. Have you been able to? A. We've been unsuccessful to this point. Q. Do you have concerns about this standard? A. I have significant concerns about the standard, yes. Q. Why's that?</pre>	854 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yeah, we have. Batteries 13, 14, and 15 were taken to hot idle in 2009 as a result of the significant economic recession that took place during that period of time. When we brought those batteries out of idle hot status, there was a significant deterioration in environmental performance due to the resulting refractory damage. Batteries A and B at our Granite City facility during that same period of time were also taken to an idle hot status, essentially resulted in permanent or irreparable damage to those batteries, and we ended up	856
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>per month, B Battery coke-side standard on a regular</li> <li>basis?</li> <li>A. No. The technology doesn't support that given the metal-to-metal door seal.</li> <li>Q. Have you tried to meet this standard since the enforcement order was issued?</li> <li>A. We have been trying, yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you been able to?</li> <li>A. We've been unsuccessful to this point.</li> <li>Q. Do you have concerns about this standard?</li> <li>A. I have significant concerns about the standard, yes.</li> <li>Q. Why's that?</li> <li>A. Because if I don't meet the standard, I have to</li> </ul>	854 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yeah, we have. Batteries 13, 14, and 15 were taken to hot idle in 2009 as a result of the significant economic recession that took place during that period of time. When we brought those batteries out of idle hot status, there was a significant deterioration in environmental performance due to the resulting refractory damage. Batteries A and B at our Granite City facility during that same period of time were also taken to an idle hot status, essentially resulted in permanent or irreparable damage to those batteries, and we ended up having to shut those assets down due largely to that	856
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>per month, B Battery coke-side standard on a regular</li> <li>basis?</li> <li>A. No. The technology doesn't support that given the metal-to-metal door seal.</li> <li>Q. Have you tried to meet this standard since the enforcement order was issued?</li> <li>A. We have been trying, yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you been able to?</li> <li>A. We've been unsuccessful to this point.</li> <li>Q. Do you have concerns about this standard?</li> <li>A. I have significant concerns about the standard, yes.</li> <li>Q. Why's that?</li> <li>A. Because if I don't meet the standard, I have to idle two coke batteries at Clairton.</li> </ul>	854 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yeah, we have. Batteries 13, 14, and 15 were taken to hot idle in 2009 as a result of the significant economic recession that took place during that period of time. When we brought those batteries out of idle hot status, there was a significant deterioration in environmental performance due to the resulting refractory damage. Batteries A and B at our Granite City facility during that same period of time were also taken to an idle hot status, essentially resulted in permenent or imagerable damage to those batteries, and we ended up having to shut those assets down due largely to that damage.	856
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>basis?</li> <li>A. No. The technology doesn't support that given the metal-to-metal door seal.</li> <li>Q. Have you tried to meet this standard since the enforcement order was issued?</li> <li>A. We have been trying, yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you been able to?</li> <li>A. We've been unsuccessful to this point.</li> <li>Q. Do you have concerns about this standard?</li> <li>A. I have significant concerns about the standard, yes.</li> <li>Q. Why's that?</li> <li>A. Because if I don't meet the standard, I have to idle two coke batteries at Clairton.</li> <li>Q. How many total coke batteries are at Clairton?</li> </ul>	854 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, we have. Batteries 13, 14, and 15 were taken to hot idle in 2009 as a result of the significant economic recession that took place during that period of time.</li> <li>When we brought those batteries out of idle hot status, there was a significant deterioration in environmental performance due to the resulting refractory damage.</li> <li>Batteries A and B at our Granite City facility during that same period of time were also taken to an idle hot status, essentially resulted in permanent or imparable damage to those batteries, and we ended up having to shut those assets down due langely to that canage.</li> <li>Q. Have there been more recent situations where</li> </ul>	856
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>basis?</li> <li>A. No. The technology doesn't support that given the metal-to-metal door seal.</li> <li>Q. Have you tried to meet this standard since the enforcement order was issued?</li> <li>A. We have been trying, yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you been able to?</li> <li>A. We've been unsuccessful to this point.</li> <li>Q. Do you have concerns about this standard?</li> <li>A. I have significant concerns about the standard, yes.</li> <li>Q. Why's that?</li> <li>A. Because if I don't meet the standard, I have to idle two coke batteries at Clairton.</li> <li>Q. How many total coke batteries are at Clairton?</li> <li>A. Tan.</li> </ul>	854 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, we have. Batteries 13, 14, and 15 were taken to hot idle in 2009 as a result of the significant economic recession that took place during that period of time.</li> <li>When we brought those batteries out of idle hot status, there was a significant deterioration in environmental performance due to the resulting refractory damage.</li> <li>Batteries A and B at our Granite City facility during that same period of time were also taken to an indle hot status, essentially resulted in permanent or imparable damage to those batteries, and we ended up having to shut those assets down due langely to that damage.</li> <li>Q. Have there been more recent situations where you've taken different steps to avoid having to hot idle</li> </ul>	856
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>per month, B Battery coke-side standard on a regular</li> <li>basis?</li> <li>A. No. The technology doesn't support that given the metal-to-metal door seal.</li> <li>Q. Have you tried to meet this standard since the enforcement order was issued?</li> <li>A. We have been trying, yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you been able to?</li> <li>A. We've been unsuccessful to this point.</li> <li>Q. Do you have concerns about this standard?</li> <li>A. I have significant concerns about the standard, yes.</li> <li>Q. Why's that?</li> <li>A. Because if I don't meet the standard, I have to idle two coke batteries at Clairton.</li> <li>Q. How many total coke batteries are at Clairton?</li> <li>A. Ten.</li> <li>Q. If you meet the two successive quarters of</li> </ul>	854 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, we have. Batteries 13, 14, and 15 were taken to hot idle in 2009 as a result of the significant economic recession that took place during that period of time.</li> <li>When we brought those batteries out of idle hot status, there was a significant deterioration in environmental performance due to the resulting refractory damage.</li> <li>Batteries A and B at our Granite City facility during that same period of time were also taken to an indee hot status, essentially resulted in permanent or inreparable damage to those batteries, and we ended up having to shut those assets down due largely to that damage.</li> <li>Q. Have there been more recent situations where you've taken different steps to avoid having to hot idle batteries?</li> </ul>	856
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>per month, B Battery coke-side standard on a regular</li> <li>basis?</li> <li>A. No. The technology doesn't support that given the metal-to-metal door seal.</li> <li>Q. Have you tried to meet this standard since the enforcement order was issued?</li> <li>A. We have been trying, yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you been able to?</li> <li>A. We've been unsuccessful to this point.</li> <li>Q. Do you have concerns about this standard?</li> <li>A. I have significant concerns about the standard, yes.</li> <li>Q. Why's that?</li> <li>A. Because if I don't meet the standard, I have to idle two coke batteries at Clairton.</li> <li>Q. How many total coke batteries are at Clairton?</li> <li>A. Tan.</li> <li>Q. If you meet the two successive quarters of improvement above the baseline and you have one month</li> </ul>	854 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, we have. Batteries 13, 14, and 15 were taken to hot idle in 2009 as a result of the significant economic recession that took place during that period of time.</li> <li>When we brought those batteries out of idle hot status, there was a significant deterioration in environmental performance due to the resulting refractory damage.</li> <li>Batteries A and B at our Granite City facility during that same period of time were also taken to an idle hot status, essentially resulted in permanent or imparable damage to those batteries, and we ended up having to shut those assets down due langely to that tange.</li> <li>A. Have there been more recent situations where you've taken different steps to avoid having to hot idle batteries?</li> </ul>	856
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>basis?</li> <li>A. No. The technology doesn't support that given the metal-to-metal door seal.</li> <li>Q. Have you tried to meet this standard since the enforcement order was issued?</li> <li>A. We have been trying, yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you been able to?</li> <li>A. We've been unsuccessful to this point.</li> <li>Q. Do you have concerns about this standard?</li> <li>A. I have significant concerns about the standard, yes.</li> <li>Q. Why's that?</li> <li>A. Because if I don't meet the standard, I have to idle two coke batteries at Clairton.</li> <li>Q. How many total coke batteries are at Clairton?</li> <li>A. Ten.</li> <li>Q. If you meet the two successive quarters of improvement above the baseline and you have one month where there's 11 coke-side door leaks on B Battery, what</li> </ul>	854 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Yeeh, we have. Batteries 13, 14, and 15 were taken to hot idle in 2009 as a result of the significant economic recession that took place during that period of time.</li> <li>When we brought those batteries out of idle hot status, there was a significant deterioration in environmental performance due to the resulting refractory damage.</li> <li>Batteries A and B at our Granite City facility during that same period of time were also taken to an idle hot status, essentially resulted in permanent or imparable damage to those batteries, and we ended up having to shut those assets down due langely to that tamage.</li> <li>Q. Have there been more recent situations where you've taken different steps to avoid having to hot idle to the status in the steel industry in 2015 was not quite as severe in steel-demand magnitude, but</li> </ul>	856
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>per month, B Battery coke-side standard on a regular</li> <li>basis?</li> <li>A. No. The technology doesn't support that given the metal-to-metal door seal.</li> <li>Q. Have you tried to meet this standard since the enforcement order was issued?</li> <li>A. We have been trying, yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you been able to?</li> <li>A. We've been unsuccessful to this point.</li> <li>Q. Do you have concerns about this standard?</li> <li>A. I have significant concerns about the standard, yes.</li> <li>Q. Why's that?</li> <li>A. Because if I don't meet the standard, I have to file two coke batteries at Clairton.</li> <li>Q. How many total coke batteries are at Clairton?</li> <li>A. Tan.</li> <li>Q. If you meet the two successive quarters of improvement above the baseline and you have one month where there's 11 coke-side door leaks on B Battery, what is the result under the enforcement order?</li> </ul>	854 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text>	856
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>per month, B Battery coke-side standard on a regular</li> <li>basis?</li> <li>A. No. The technology doesn't support that given the metal-to-metal door seal.</li> <li>Q. Have you tried to meet this standard since the enforcement order was issued?</li> <li>A. Wa have been trying, yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you been able to?</li> <li>A. Wa've been unsuccessful to this point.</li> <li>Q. Do you have concerns about this standard?</li> <li>A. I have significant concerns about the standard, yes.</li> <li>Q. Why's that?</li> <li>A. Because if I don't meet the standard, I have to idle two coke batteries at Clairton.</li> <li>Q. How many total coke batteries are at Clairton?</li> <li>A. Tan.</li> <li>Q. If you meet the two successive quarters of improvement above the baseline and you have one month where there's 11 coke-side door leaks on B Battery, what is the result under the enforcement order?</li> <li>A. I have to idle the two werst-performing coke</li> </ul>	854 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, we have. Batteries 13, 14, and 15 were taken to hot idle in 2009 as a result of the significant economic recession that took place during that period of time.</li> <li>When we brought those batteries out of idle hot status, there was a significant deterioration in environmental performance due to the resulting refractory dange.</li> <li>Batteries A and B at our Granite City facility forting that same period of time were also taken to an idle hot status, essentially resulted in permenent or imparable damage to those batteries, and we ended up having to shut those assets down due largely to that same.</li> <li>A. Have there been more recent situations where you've taken different steps to avoid having to hot idle batteries?</li> <li>A. Yes. The turndown in the steel industry in 2015 was not quite as severe in steel-demand magnitude, but we did idle our Granite City operations. In 2009, we idle Granite City and Great Lakes both. So we took</li> </ul>	856
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>per month, B Battery coke-side standard on a regular</li> <li>basis?</li> <li>A. No. The technology doesn't support that given the metal-to-metal door seal.</li> <li>Q. Have you tried to meet this standard since the enforcement order was issued?</li> <li>A. We have been trying, yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you been able to?</li> <li>A. We've been unsuccessful to this point.</li> <li>Q. Do you have concerns about this standard?</li> <li>A. Theve significant concerns about the standard, ges.</li> <li>Q. Why's that?</li> <li>A. Because if I don't meet the standard, I have to idle two coke betteries at Clairton.</li> <li>Q. How many total coke batteries are at Clairton?</li> <li>A. Tan.</li> <li>Q. If you meet the two successive quarters of improvement above the baseline and you have one month where there's 11 coke-side door leaks on B Battery, what is the result under the enforcement order?</li> <li>A. I have to idle the two worst-performing coke batteries at Clairton.</li> </ul>	854 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>	856

		0.5.7		0 5 0
1	time, I was asked to put a plan together to idle	857	costs of hot idling, and let me back up.	859
2	batteries at Clairton.	2	Do you consider the enforcement order that is the	
3	In lieu of idling batteries at Clairton, we took	3	subject of this appeal to just be a \$1,000,000 penalty?	
4	a different alternative and we actually did something at	4	A. No.	
5	Clairton in 2015 that had never been done during my	5	Q. If this enforcement order results in a complete	
6	span, my career with U.S. Steel.	6	loss of two batteries, are you able to estimate what	
7	We took two of our battery operations to 36-hour	7	kind of monetary impact that would have on U.S. Steel's	
8	coke instead. We had to do a bunch of design work to	8	Clairton plant?	
9	effect that and make that happen, but we did everything	9	A. Yes, it would be substantial. If we were to	
10	possible to avoid idling batteries in 2015.	10	permanently lose two batteries, I would estimate that	
11	Q. And what was the reason for that?	11	that would be \$400,000,000 or possibly more depending on	
12	A. Because we didn't want to do the significant.	12	the two batteries that we lost.	
13	damage and go through what we had gone through coming	13	Q. And where do you come up with those numbers?	
14	out of the 2009 idle hot period.	14	A. Well, we recently constructed Battery C, so we've	
15	${f Q}.$ Did you hear testimony that the Department put on	15	got very good construction estimates on what it would	
16	earlier in this hearing about another coke plant in	16	take to replace that capacity.	
17	Monessen voluntarily hot idling its batteries for a	17	$Q. \  \   \mbox{And}$ is that how you derived the \$400,000,000	
18	five-year period and then restarting them?	18	estimate?	
19	A. I did, yes.	19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. And are you familiar with that?	20	Q. What type of timeframe would it take to replace	
21	A. Iam.	21	two batteries?	
22	Q. What do you recall happening?	22	A. Well, including permitting, probably four years.	
23	A. I recall when they restarted those batteries	23	Q. And how does that amount compare to the penalty	
	after that idle hot time period, that they had	24	that is at issue in the enforcement order?	
24				
24 25	experienced significant deterioration in the refractory	58	A. It's 400 times the penalty.	860
25	8	58		860
25	and subsequent significant deterioration in the	58	Q. Would there be additional costs to U.S. Steel if	860
25 1 2	and subsequent significant deterioration in the environmental performance of the facility. And I recall	58	Q. Would there be additional costs to U.S. Steel if it had to hot idle two batteries?	860
25	and subsequent significant deterioration in the environmental performance of the facility. And I recall they paid some pretty substantial fines as a result of	58 1 2 3	Q. Would there be additional costs to U.S. Steel if it had to hot idle two batteries? A. Yes.	860
25 1 2 3 4	and subsequent significant deterioration in the environmental performance of the facility. And I recall they paid some pretty substantial fines as a result of that.	58 1 2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q. Would there be additional costs to U.S. Steel if it had to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what would those costs be?</li> </ul>	860
25 1 2 3 4 5	8 and subsequent significant deterioration in the environmental performance of the facility. And I recall they paid some pretty substantial fines as a result of that. Q. I want to talk a little bit now about jobs at	58 1 2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. Would there be additional costs to U.S. Steel if it had to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what would those costs be?</li> <li>A. Well, we would have to replace the coke that we</li> </ul>	860
25 1 2 3 4 5 6	and subsequent significant deterioration in the environmental performance of the facility. And I recall they paid some pretty substantial fines as a result of that. Q. I want to talk a little bit now about jobs at Clairton. What has the Clairton plant been doing over	58 1 2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q. Would there be additional costs to U.S. Steel if it had to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what would those costs be?</li> <li>A. Well, we would have to replace the coke that we wouldn't be producing from those batteries and,</li> </ul>	860
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	8 and subsequent significant deterioration in the environmental performance of the facility. And I recall they paid some pretty substantial fines as a result of that. Q. I want to talk a little bit now about jobs at	158 1 2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. Would there be additional costs to U.S. Steel if it had to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what would those costs be?</li> <li>A. Well, we would have to replace the coke that we wouldn't be producing from those batteries and, doviously, replace the gaseous fuel and loss on</li> </ul>	860
25 1 2 3 4 5 6	8 and subsequent significant deterioration in the environmental performance of the facility. And I recall they paid some pretty substantial fines as a result of that. Q. I want to talk a little bit now about jobs at Clairton. What has the Clairton plant been doing over the last few years with respect to hiring? A. We've hired over 300 men and women at Clairton	158 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Would there be additional costs to U.S. Steel if it had to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what would those costs be?</li> <li>A. Well, we would have to replace the coke that we wouldn't be producing from those batteries and, obviously, replace the gaseous fuel and loss on byproduct revenue sales.</li> </ul>	860
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and subsequent significant deterioration in the environmental performance of the facility. And I recall they paid some pretty substantial fines as a result of that. Q. I want to talk a little bit now about jobs at Clairton. What has the Clairton plant been doing over the last few years with respect to hiring? A. We've hired over 300 men and women at Clairton over the last two and a half years.	58 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Would there be additional costs to U.S. Steel if it had to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what would those costs be?</li> <li>A. Well, we would have to replace the coke that we wouldn't be producing from those batteries and, obviously, replace the gaseous fuel and loss on byproduct revenue sales.</li> <li>Q. And is the market today different than the market</li> </ul>	860
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	8 and subsequent significant deterioration in the environmental performance of the facility. And I recall they paid some pretty substantial fines as a result of that. Q. I want to talk a little bit now about jobs at Clairton. What has the Clairton plant been doing over the last few years with respect to hiring? A. We've hired over 300 men and women at Clairton	58 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Would there be additional costs to U.S. Steel if it had to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what would those costs be?</li> <li>A. Well, we would have to replace the coke that we wouldn't be producing from those batteries and, doviously, replace the gaseous fuel and loss on byproduct revenue sales.</li> <li>Q. And is the market today different than the market when U.S. Steel hot idled batteries in the past?</li> </ul>	860
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and subsequent significant deterioration in the environmental performance of the facility. And I recall they paid some pretty substantial fines as a result of that. Q. I want to talk a little bit now about jobs at Clairton. What has the Clairton plant been doing over the last few years with respect to hiring? A. We've hired over 300 men and women at Clairton over the last two and a half years. Q. Did you start that process before receiving the	58 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Would there be additional costs to U.S. Steel if it had to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what would those costs be?</li> <li>A. Well, we would have to replace the coke that we wouldn't be producing from those batteries and, obviously, replace the gaseous fuel and loss on byproduct revenue sales.</li> <li>Q. And is the market today different than the market</li> </ul>	860
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and subsequent significant deterioration in the environmental performance of the facility. And I recall they paid some pretty substantial fines as a result of that. Q. I want to talk a little bit now about jobs at Clairton. What has the Clairton plant been doing over the last few years with respect to hiring? A. We've hired over 300 men and women at Clairton over the last two and a half years. Q. Did you start that process before receiving the enforcement order?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Would there be additional costs to U.S. Steel if it had to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what would those costs be?</li> <li>A. Well, we would have to replace the coke that we wouldn't be producing from those batteries and, doviously, replace the gaseous fuel and loss on byproduct revenue sales.</li> <li>Q. And is the market today different than the market when U.S. Steel hot idled batteries in the past?</li> <li>A. Significantly different, yeah. U.S. Steel is</li> </ul>	860
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>and subsequent significant deterioration in the environmental performance of the facility. And I recall they paid some pretty substantial fines as a result of that.</li> <li>Q. I want to talk a little bit now about jobs at Clairton. What has the Clairton plant been doing over the last few years with respect to hiring?</li> <li>A. We've hired over 300 men and women at Clairton over the last two and a half years.</li> <li>Q. Did you start that process before receiving the enforcement order?</li> <li>A. Yeeh, we started that process in 2016.</li> <li>Q. If your plant is required to hot idle two</li> </ul>	58 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Would there be additional costs to U.S. Steel if it had to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what would those costs be?</li> <li>A. Well, we would have to replace the coke that we wouldn't be producing from those batteries and, dovicusly, replace the gaseous fuel and loss on byproduct revenue sales.</li> <li>Q. And is the market today different than the market when U.S. Steel hot idled batteries in the past?</li> <li>A. Significantly different, yeah. U.S. Steel is operating all 10 of our blast furnaces in North America for the first time in some period of time, so we need</li> </ul>	860
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and subsequent significant deterioration in the environmental performance of the facility. And I recall they paid some pretty substantial fines as a result of that. Q. I want to talk a little bit now about jobs at Clairton. What has the Clairton plant been doing over the last few years with respect to hiring? A. We've hired over 300 men and women at Clairton over the last two and a half years. Q. Did you start that process before receiving the enforcement order? A. Yeeh, we started that process in 2016.	58 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Would there be additional costs to U.S. Steel if it had to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what would those costs be?</li> <li>A. Well, we would have to replace the coke that we wouldn't be producing from those batteries and, doviously, replace the gaseous fuel and loss on byproduct revenue sales.</li> <li>Q. And is the market today different than the market when U.S. Steel hot idled batteries in the past?</li> <li>A. Significantly different, yeah. U.S. Steel is operating all 10 of our blast furnaces in North America for the first time in some period of time, so we need all the coke.</li> </ul>	860
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>and subsequent significant deterioration in the environmental performance of the facility. And I recall they paid some pretty substantial fines as a result of that.</li> <li>Q. I want to talk a little bit now about jobs at Clairton. What has the Clairton plant been doing over the last few years with respect to hiring?</li> <li>A. We've hired over 300 men and women at Clairton over the last two and a half years.</li> <li>Q. Did you start that process before receiving the enforcement order?</li> <li>A. Yeah, we started that process in 2016.</li> <li>Q. If your plant is required to hot idle two batteries, do you expect that to have an impact on jobs</li> </ul>	158 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Would there be additional costs to U.S. Steel if it had to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what would those costs be?</li> <li>A. Well, we would have to replace the coke that we wouldn't be producing from those batteries and, abvicusly, replace the gaseous fuel and loss on byproduct revenue sales.</li> <li>Q. And is the market today different than the market when U.S. Steel hot idled batteries in the past?</li> <li>A. Significantly different, yeah. U.S. Steel is operating all 10 of our blast furnaces in North America for the first time in some period of time, so we need all the coke.</li> <li>Q. And so would that have a greater impact</li> </ul>	860
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and subsequent significant deterioration in the environmental performance of the facility. And I recall they paid some pretty substantial fines as a result of that. Q. I want to talk a little bit now about jobs at Clairton. What has the Clairton plant been doing over the last few years with respect to hiring? A. We've hired over 300 men and women at Clairton over the last two and a half years. Q. Did you start that process before receiving the enforcement order? A. Yeah, we started that process in 2016. Q. If your plant is required to hot idle two batteries, do you expect that to have an impact on jobs at Clairton?	58 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Would there be additional costs to U.S. Steel if it had to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what would those costs be?</li> <li>A. Well, we would have to replace the coke that we wouldn't be producing from those batteries and, doviously, replace the gaseous fuel and loss on byproduct revenue sales.</li> <li>Q. And is the market today different than the market when U.S. Steel hot idled batteries in the past?</li> <li>A. Significantly different, yeah. U.S. Steel is operating all 10 of our blast furnaces in North America for the first time in some period of time, so we need all the coke.</li> </ul>	860
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>and subsequent significant deterioration in the environmental performance of the facility. And I recall they paid some pretty substantial fines as a result of that.</li> <li>Q. I want to talk a little bit now about jobs at Clairton. What has the Clairton plant been doing over the last few years with respect to hiring?</li> <li>A. We've hired over 300 men and women at Clairton over the last two and a half years.</li> <li>Q. Did you start that process before receiving the enforcement order?</li> <li>A. Yeah, we started that process in 2016.</li> <li>Q. If your plant is required to hot idle two batteries, do you expect that to have an impact on jobs at Clairton?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	58 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Would there be additional costs to U.S. Steel if it had to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what would those costs be?</li> <li>A. Well, we would have to replace the coke that we wouldn't be producing from those batteries and, dovicusly, replace the gaseous fuel and loss on byproduct revenue sales.</li> <li>Q. And is the market today different than the market when U.S. Steel hot idled batteries in the past?</li> <li>A. Significantly different, yeah. U.S. Steel is operating all 10 of our blast furnaces in North America for the first time in some period of time, so we need all the coke.</li> <li>Q. And so would that have a greater impact financially if U.S. Steel had to hot idle two batteries?</li> </ul>	860
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>and subsequent significant deterioration in the environmental performance of the facility. And I recall they paid some pretty substantial fines as a result of that.</li> <li>Q. I want to talk a little bit now about jobs at Clairton. What has the Clairton plant been doing over the last few years with respect to hiring?</li> <li>A. We've hired over 300 men and women at Clairton over the last two and a half years.</li> <li>Q. Did you start that process before receiving the enforcement order?</li> <li>A. Yeah, we started that process in 2016.</li> <li>Q. If your plant is required to hot idle two batteries, do you expect that to have an impact on jobs at Clairton?</li> <li>A. Yeas.</li> <li>Q. What would you expect to occur?</li> </ul>	158 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Would there be additional costs to U.S. Steel if it had to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what would those costs be?</li> <li>A. Well, we would have to replace the coke that we wouldn't be producing from those batteries and, doviously, replace the gassous fuel and loss on byproduct revenue sales.</li> <li>Q. And is the market today different than the market when U.S. Steel hot idled batteries in the past?</li> <li>A. Significantly different, yeeh. U.S. Steel is operating all 10 of our blast furnaces in North America for the first time in some period of time, so we need all the coke.</li> <li>Q. And so would that have a greater impact financially if U.S. Steel had to hot idle two batteries?</li> </ul>	860
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>and subsequent significant deterioration in the environmental performance of the facility. And I recall they paid some pretty substantial fines as a result of that.</li> <li>Q. I want to talk a little bit now about jobs at Clairton. What has the Clairton plant been doing over the last few years with respect to hiring?</li> <li>A. We've hired over 300 men and women at Clairton over the last two and a half years.</li> <li>Q. Did you start that process before receiving the enforcement order?</li> <li>A. Yeeh, we started that process in 2016.</li> <li>Q. If your plant is required to hot idle two batteries, do you expect that to have an impact on jobs at Clairton?</li> <li>A. Yees.</li> <li>Q. What would you expect to occur?</li> <li>A. I would anticipate that we would probably have to</li> </ul>	158 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Would there be additional costs to U.S. Steel if it had to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what would those costs be?</li> <li>A. Well, we would have to replace the coke that we wouldn't be producing from those batteries and, doviously, replace the gaseous fuel and loss on byproduct revenue sales.</li> <li>Q. And is the market today different than the market when U.S. Steel hot idled batteries in the past?</li> <li>A. Significantly different, yeah. U.S. Steel is operating all 10 of our blast furnaces in North America for the first time in some period of time, so we need all the coke.</li> <li>Q. And so would that have a greater impact financially if U.S. Steel had to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. Yeah, it would have a tremendous financial impact. The coke replacement costs would be econnous.</li> </ul>	860
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>and subsequent significant deterioration in the environmental performance of the facility. And I recall they paid some pretty substantial fines as a result of that.</li> <li>Q. I want to talk a little bit now about jobs at Clairton. What has the Clairton plant been doing over the last few years with respect to hiring?</li> <li>A. We've hired over 300 men and women at Clairton over the last two and a half years.</li> <li>Q. Did you start that process before receiving the enforcement order?</li> <li>A. Yeeh, we started that process in 2016.</li> <li>Q. If your plant is required to hot idle two batteries, do you expect that to have an impact on jobs at Clairton?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What would you expect to occur?</li> <li>A. I would anticipate that we would probably have to Isy off 50 to 60 people.</li> </ul>	58 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Would there be additional costs to U.S. Steel if it had to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what would those costs be?</li> <li>A. Well, we would have to replace the coke that we wouldn't be producing from those batteries and, abvicusly, replace the gaseous fuel and loss on byproduct revenue sales.</li> <li>Q. And is the market today different than the market when U.S. Steel hot idled batteries in the past?</li> <li>A. Significantly different, yeah. U.S. Steel is operating all 10 of our blast furnaces in North America for the first time in some period of time, so we need all the coke.</li> <li>Q. And so would that have a greater impact financially if U.S. Steel had to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. Yeah, it would have a tremendous financial impact. The coke replacement costs would be enormous.</li> <li>Q. And have you calculated those costs?</li> </ul>	860
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>and subsequent significant deterioration in the environmental performance of the facility. And I recall they paid some pretty substantial fines as a result of that.</li> <li>Q. I want to talk a little bit now about jobs at Clairton. What has the Clairton plant been doing over the last few years with respect to hiring?</li> <li>A. We've hired over 300 men and women at Clairton over the last two and a half years.</li> <li>Q. Did you start that process before receiving the enforcement order?</li> <li>A. Yeeh, we started that process in 2016.</li> <li>Q. If your plant is required to hot idle two batteries, do you expect that to have an impact on jobs at Clairton?</li> <li>A. Yee.</li> <li>Q. What would you expect to occur?</li> <li>A. I would anticipate that we would probably have to lay off 50 to 60 people.</li> <li>Q. And why do you say that?</li> </ul>	158 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Would there be additional costs to U.S. Steel if it had to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what would those costs be?</li> <li>A. Well, we would have to replace the coke that we wouldn't be producing from those batteries and, diviously, replace the gassous fuel and loss on byproduct revenue sales.</li> <li>Q. And is the market today different than the market when U.S. Steel hot idled batteries in the past?</li> <li>A. Significantly different, yeah. U.S. Steel is operating all 10 of our blast furnaces in North America for the first time in some period of time, so we need all the coke.</li> <li>Q. And so would that have a greater impact funnacially if U.S. Steel had to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. Yeah, it would have a tremendous financial impact. The coke replacement costs would be econnous.</li> <li>Q. And have you calculated those costs?</li> <li>A. Yeah. When the enforcement order was initially</li> </ul>	860
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>and subsequent significant deterioration in the environmental performance of the facility. And I recall they paid some pretty substantial fines as a result of that.</li> <li>Q. I want to talk a little bit now about jobs at Clairton. What has the Clairton plant been doing over the last few years with respect to hiring?</li> <li>A. We've hired over 300 men and women at Clairton over the last two and a half years.</li> <li>Q. Did you start that process before receiving the enforcement order?</li> <li>A. Yeeh, we started that process in 2016.</li> <li>Q. If your plant is required to hot idle two batteries, do you expect that to have an impact on jobs at Clairton?</li> <li>A. Yees.</li> <li>Q. What would you expect to occur?</li> <li>A. I would anticipate that we would probably have to tay off 50 to 60 people.</li> <li>Q. And why do you say that?</li> <li>A. Wall, because if you idle coke batteries, you</li> </ul>	158 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Would there be additional costs to U.S. Steel if it had to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what would those costs be?</li> <li>A. Well, we would have to replace the coke that we wouldn't be producing from those batteries and, doviously, replace the gaseous fuel and loss on byproduct revenue sales.</li> <li>Q. And is the market today different than the market when U.S. Steel hot idled batteries in the past?</li> <li>A. Significantly different, yeah. U.S. Steel is operating all 10 of our blast furnaces in North America for the first time in some period of time, so we need all the coke.</li> <li>Q. And so would that have a greater impact financially if U.S. Steel had to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. Yeah, it would have a tremendous financial impact. The coke replacement costs would be econnous.</li> <li>Q. And have you calculated those costs?</li> <li>A. Yeah. When the enforcement order was initially issued, we did a very rough approximation just looking</li> </ul>	860
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>and subsequent significant deterioration in the environmental performance of the facility. And I recall they paid some pretty substantial fines as a result of that.</li> <li>Q. I want to talk a little bit now about jobs at Clairton. What has the Clairton plant been doing over the last few years with respect to hiring?</li> <li>A. We've hired over 300 men and women at Clairton over the last two and a half years.</li> <li>Q. Did you start that process before receiving the enforcement order?</li> <li>A. Yeah, we started that process in 2016.</li> <li>Q. If your plant is required to hot idle two batteries, do you expect that to have an impact on jobs at Clairton?</li> <li>A. Yeas.</li> <li>Q. What would you expect to occur?</li> <li>A. I would anticipate that we would probably have to Lay off 50 to 60 people.</li> <li>Q. And why do you say that?</li> <li>A. Well, because if you idle coke batteries, you contract the gerate those coke</li> </ul>	58 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Would there be additional costs to U.S. Steel if it had to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what would those costs be?</li> <li>A. Well, we would have to replace the coke that we wouldn't be producing from those batteries and, doticously, replace the gaseous fuel and loss on byproduct revenue sales.</li> <li>Q. And is the market today different than the market when U.S. Steel hot idled batteries in the past?</li> <li>A. Significantly different, yeah. U.S. Steel is forerating all 10 of our blast furnaces in North America for the first time in some period of time, so we need all the coke.</li> <li>Q. And so would that have a greater impact financially if U.S. Steel had to hot idle two batteries?</li> <li>A. Yeah, it would have a tremendous financial impact. The coke replacement costs would be enormous.</li> <li>Q. And have you calculated those costs?</li> <li>A. Yeah. When the enforcement order was initially issued, we did a very rough approximation just looking at kind of the incremental impact to the facility.</li> </ul>	860

1	86 somewhere in the order of about \$170,000,000, depending	1	86 A. The City of Clairton is next to the Coke Works,	5
2	again on which coke batteries were taken to idle status.	2	yeah.	
3	Q. And how does that compare to the penalty?	3	Q. About how far?	
4	A. That would be 170 times approximately the	4	A. The city sits on the hillside adjacent to the	
5	penalty.	5	Clairton plant.	
6	MR. DAUSCH: Thank you, sir. That's all I have.	6	Q. What's the closest residential building that you	
7	HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Willis?	7	can think of next to the facility?	
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION	8	A. I don't know.	
9	BY MR. WILLIS:	9	Q. You've been to the facility?	
10	Q. Mr. Rhoads, you said that the U.S. Steel Clairton	10	A. Yeah, but I don't know what's residential versus	
11	Coke Works is the largest coke facility in America?	11	non-nesidential. I don't know who resides where.	
12	A. In North America.	12	Q. Okay. Where do you source your coal?	
13	Q. In North America. Is there a larger one in South	13	A. We source our coal predominantly from the	
14	America?	14	Appalachian coal region. So it predominantly comes from	
15	A. I don't know.	15	the Northern Appalachian region, which is here in	
16	Q. Are you part of any groups or associations of	16	Pennsylvania, and some Central Appalachian coal which is	
17	coke manufacturers?	17	in West Virginia, Virginia.	
18	A. Not internationally, no.	18	Q. Any particular vendors?	
19	Q. You've worked internationally at other facilities	19	A. We have multiple vendors.	
20	owned by U.S. Steel?	20	Q. Do you have one that's larger than the other?	
21	A. I have, yes.	21	A. Not really. We source across multiple vendors.	
22	Q. Were you required to be aware of the facilities	22	We are currently using about 26, 27 different types of	
23	in those regions, any competitors in those regions?	23	coal.	
24	A. In general, yeah.	24	Q. Do you have requirements with respect to that	
25	Q. So you were in Croatia for a while?	25	coal?	
		1000		
	862	2	864	4
1	862 A. No.	1	A. We do, quality specifications.	4
2				4
	A. No.	1	A. We do, quality specifications.	4
2	<ul><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. I'm sorry, where were you when you were abroad?</li></ul>	1 2	<ul><li>A. We do, quality specifications.</li><li>Q. What are those specifications?</li></ul>	4
2 3	<ul><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. I'm sorry, where were you when you were abroad?</li><li>A. Serbia.</li></ul>	1 2 3	<ul><li>A. We do, quality specifications.</li><li>Q. What are those specifications?</li><li>A. We have specifications for a maximum mash</li></ul>	4
2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, where were you when you were abroad?</li> <li>A. Serbia.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, Serbia. Is that the only coke</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. We do, quality specifications.</li> <li>Q. What are those specifications?</li> <li>A. We have specifications for a maximum mash content, maximum sulfur content, maximum moisture</li> </ul>	4
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, where were you when you were abroad?</li> <li>A. serbia.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, Serbia. Is that the only coke facility that you are aware of in Eastern Europe?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. We do, quality specifications.</li> <li>Q. What are those specifications?</li> <li>A. We have specifications for a maximum mash content, maximum sulfur content, maximum moisture content.</li> </ul>	4
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, where were you when you were abroad?</li> <li>A. Serbia.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, Serbia. Is that the only coke facility that you are aware of in Eastern Europe?</li> <li>A. There wasn't a coke facility in Serbia.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. We do, quality specifications.</li> <li>Q. What are those specifications?</li> <li>A. We have specifications for a maximum mash content, maximum sulfur content, maximum moisture content.</li> <li>Q. Why is that? Why do you have those requirements?</li> </ul>	4
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, where were you when you were abroad?</li> <li>A. Serbia.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, Serbia. Is that the only coke facility that you are aware of in Eastern Europe?</li> <li>A. There wasn't a coke facility in Serbia.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What was that facility?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. We do, quality specifications.</li> <li>Q. What are those specifications?</li> <li>A. We have specifications for a maximum mash content, maximum sulfur content, maximum moisture content.</li> <li>Q. Why is that? Why do you have those requirements?</li> <li>A. It's mostly for the quality of the coke that we</li> </ul>	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, where were you when you were abroad?</li> <li>A. Serbia.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, Serbia. Is that the only coke facility that you are aware of in Eastern Europe?</li> <li>A. There wasn't a coke facility in Serbia.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What was that facility?</li> <li>A. That was United States Steel Serbia. It was an</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. We do, quality specifications.</li> <li>Q. What are those specifications?</li> <li>A. We have specifications for a maximum mash content, maximum sulfur content, maximum moisture content.</li> <li>Q. Why is that? Why do you have those requirements?</li> <li>A. It's mostly for the quality of the coke that we are producing.</li> </ul>	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, where were you when you were abroad?</li> <li>A. Serbia.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, Serbia. Is that the only coke facility that you are aware of in Eastern Europe?</li> <li>A. There wasn't a coke facility in Serbia.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What was that facility?</li> <li>A. That was United States Steel Serbia. It was an integrated steelmaking operation.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. We do, quality specifications.</li> <li>Q. What are those specifications?</li> <li>A. We have specifications for a maximum mash content, maximum sulfur content, maximum moisture content.</li> <li>Q. Why is that? Why do you have those requirements?</li> <li>A. It's mostly for the quality of the coke that we are producing.</li> <li>Q. Does it have anything to do with the fact that</li> </ul>	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, where were you when you were abroad?</li> <li>A. Serbia.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, Serbia. Is that the only coke</li> <li>facility that you are aware of in Eastern Europe?</li> <li>A. There wasn't a coke facility in Serbia.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What was that facility?</li> <li>A. That was United States Steel Serbia. It was an integrated steelmaking operation.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you went from a coke facility to a</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. We do, quality specifications.</li> <li>Q. What are those specifications?</li> <li>A. We have specifications for a maximum mash content, maximum sulfur content, maximum moisture content.</li> <li>Q. Why is that? Why do you have those requirements?</li> <li>A. It's mostly for the quality of the coke that we are producing.</li> <li>Q. Does it have anything to do with the fact that you are using it in the steelmaking process?</li> </ul>	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, where were you when you were abroad?</li> <li>A. Serbia.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, Serbia. Is that the only coke</li> <li>facility that you are aware of in Eastern Europe?</li> <li>A. There wasn't a coke facility in Serbia.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What was that facility?</li> <li>A. That was United States Steel Serbia. It was an integrated steelmaking operation.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you went from a coke facility to a steelmaking facility?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. We do, quality specifications.</li> <li>Q. What are those specifications?</li> <li>A. We have specifications for a maximum mash content, maximum sulfur content, maximum moisture content.</li> <li>Q. Why is that? Why do you have those requirements?</li> <li>A. It's mostly for the quality of the coke that we are producing.</li> <li>Q. Does it have anything to do with the fact that you are using it in the steelmaking process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, where were you when you were abroad?</li> <li>A. Serbia.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, Serbia. Is that the only coke facility that you are aware of in Eastern Europe?</li> <li>A. There wasn't a coke facility in Serbia.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What was that facility?</li> <li>A. That was United States Steel Serbia. It was an integrated steelmaking operation.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you went from a coke facility to a steelmaking facility?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. We do, quality specifications.</li> <li>Q. What are those specifications?</li> <li>A. We have specifications for a maximum mash content, maximum sulfur content, maximum moisture content.</li> <li>Q. Why is that? Why do you have those requirements?</li> <li>A. It's mostly for the quality of the coke that we are producing.</li> <li>Q. Does it have anything to do with the fact that you are using it in the steelmaking process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So this isn't the type of coal that would</li> </ul>	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, where were you when you were abroad?</li> <li>A. Sarbia.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, Serbia. Is that the only coke facility that you are aware of in Eastern Europe?</li> <li>A. There wasn't a coke facility in Serbia.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What was that facility?</li> <li>A. That was United States Steel Serbia. It was an integrated steelmaking operation.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you went from a coke facility to a steelmaking facility?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm sorry, are you an Allegheny County</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. We do, quality specifications.</li> <li>Q. What are those specifications?</li> <li>A. We have specifications for a maximum mash content, maximum sulfur content, maximum moisture content.</li> <li>Q. Why is that? Why do you have those requirements?</li> <li>A. It's mostly for the quality of the coke that we are producing.</li> <li>Q. Does it have anything to do with the fact that you are using it in the steelmaking process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So this isn't the type of coal that would be used for a power plant; is that fair to say?</li> </ul>	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, where were you when you were abroad?</li> <li>A. Serbia.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, Serbia. Is that the only coke facility that you are aware of in Eastern Europe?</li> <li>A. There wasn't a coke facility in Serbia.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What was that facility?</li> <li>A. That was United States Steel Serbia. It was an integrated steelmaking operation.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you went from a coke facility to a steelmaking facility?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm sorry, are you an Allegheny County resident?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. We do, quality specifications.</li> <li>Q. What are those specifications?</li> <li>A. We have specifications for a maximum mash content, maximum sulfur content, maximum moisture content.</li> <li>Q. Why is that? Why do you have those requirements?</li> <li>A. It's mostly for the quality of the ooke that we are producing.</li> <li>Q. Does it have anything to do with the fact that you are using it in the steelmaking process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So this isn't the type of coal that would be used for a power plant; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. No, metallurgical coal is typically a pretty</li> </ul>	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, where were you when you were abroad?</li> <li>A. Serbia.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, Serbia. Is that the only coke facility that you are aware of in Eastern Europe?</li> <li>A. There wasn't a coke facility in Serbia.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What was that facility?</li> <li>A. There wasn't a coke facility in Serbia. It was an integrated steelmaking operation.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you went from a coke facility to a steelmaking facility?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm sorry, are you an Allegheny County resident?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. We do, quality specifications.</li> <li>Q. What are those specifications?</li> <li>A. We have specifications for a maximum mash content, maximum sulfur content, maximum moisture content.</li> <li>Q. Why is that? Why do you have those requirements?</li> <li>A. It's mostly for the quality of the coke that we are producing.</li> <li>Q. Does it have anything to do with the fact that you are using it in the steelmaking process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So this isn't the type of coal that would be used for a power plant; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. No, metallurgical coal is typically a pretty special range of bituminous coal.</li> </ul>	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, where were you when you were abroad?</li> <li>A. Sarbia.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, Serbia. Is that the only coke facility that you are aware of in Eastern Europe?</li> <li>A. There wasn't a coke facility in Serbia.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What was that facility?</li> <li>A. That was United States Steel Serbia. It was an integrated steelmaking operation.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you went from a coke facility to a steelmaking facility?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm sorry, are you an Allegheny County resident?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Where do you live?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. We do, quality specifications.</li> <li>Q. What are those specifications?</li> <li>A. We have specifications for a maximum mash content, maximum sulfur content, maximum moisture content.</li> <li>Q. Why is that? Why do you have those requirements?</li> <li>A. It's mostly for the quality of the coke that we are producing.</li> <li>Q. Does it have anything to do with the fact that you are using it in the steelmaking process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So this isn't the type of coal that would be used for a power plant; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. No, metallurgical coal is typically a pretty special range of bituminous and not anthracite?</li> </ul>	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, where were you when you were abroad?</li> <li>A. Serbia.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, Serbia. Is that the only coke facility that you are aware of in Eastern Europe?</li> <li>A. There wasn't a coke facility in Serbia.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What was that facility?</li> <li>A. That was United States Steel Serbia. It was an integrated steelmaking operation.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you went from a coke facility to a steelmaking facility?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm sorry, are you an Allegheny County resident?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Where do you live?</li> <li>A. Westmoreland County.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. We do, quality specifications.</li> <li>Q. What are those specifications?</li> <li>A. We have specifications for a maximum mash content, maximum sulfur content, maximum moisture content.</li> <li>Q. Why is that? Why do you have those requirements?</li> <li>A. It's mostly for the quality of the ooke that we are producing.</li> <li>Q. Does it have anything to do with the fact that you are using it in the steelmaking process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So this isn't the type of coal that would be used for a power plant; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. No, metallurgical coal is typically a pretty special range of bituminous and not anthracite?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> </ul>	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, where were you when you were abroad?</li> <li>A. Serbia.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, Serbia. Is that the only coke</li> <li>facility that you are aware of in Eastern Europe?</li> <li>A. There wasn't a coke facility in Serbia.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What was that facility?</li> <li>A. That was United States Steel Serbia. It was an integrated steelmaking operation.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you went from a coke facility to a steelmaking facility?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm sorry, are you an Allegheny County resident?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Where do you live?</li> <li>A. Westmoreland County.</li> <li>Q. So you don't live anywhere near this facility?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. We do, quality specifications.</li> <li>Q. What are those specifications?</li> <li>A. We have specifications for a maximum mash content, maximum sulfur content, maximum moisture content.</li> <li>Q. Why is that? Why do you have those requirements?</li> <li>A. It's mostly for the quality of the coke that we are producing.</li> <li>Q. Does it have anything to do with the fact that you are using it in the steelmaking process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So this isn't the type of coal that would be used for a power plant; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. No, metallurgical coal is typically a pretty special range of bituminous coal.</li> <li>Q. It is bituminous and not anthracite?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And when you put the coal into the ovens</li> </ul>	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, where were you when you were abroad?</li> <li>A. Sarbia.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, Serbia. Is that the only coke facility that you are aware of in Eastern Europe?</li> <li>A. There wasn't a coke facility in Serbia.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What was that facility?</li> <li>A. That was United States Steel Serbia. It was an integrated steelmaking operation.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you went from a coke facility to a steelmaking facility?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm sorry, are you an Allegheny County resident?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Where do you live?</li> <li>A. Westmoreland County.</li> <li>Q. So you don't live anywhere near this facility?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. We do, quality specifications.</li> <li>Q. What are those specifications?</li> <li>A. We have specifications for a maximum mash content, maximum sulfur content, maximum moisture content.</li> <li>Q. Why is that? Why do you have those requirements?</li> <li>A. It's mostly for the quality of the coke that we are producing.</li> <li>Q. Does it have anything to do with the fact that you are using it in the steelmaking process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So this isn't the type of coal that would be used for a power plant; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. No, metallurgical coal is typically a pretty special range of bituminous and not anthracite?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And when you put the coal into the ovens in a process, that's called charging; is that right?</li> </ul>	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, where were you when you were abroad?</li> <li>A. Sarbia.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, Serbia. Is that the only coke facility that you are aware of in Eastern Europe?</li> <li>A. There wasn't a coke facility in Serbia.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What was that facility?</li> <li>A. That was United States Steel Serbia. It was an integrated steelmaking operation.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you went from a coke facility to a steelmaking facility?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm sorry, are you an Allegheny County resident?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Where do you live?</li> <li>A. Westmoreland County.</li> <li>Q. So you don't live anywhere near this facility?</li> <li>A. I live about a half hour away.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If there was an exceedance of any of the</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. We do, quality specifications.</li> <li>Q. What are those specifications?</li> <li>A. We have specifications for a maximum mash content, maximum sulfur content, maximum moisture content.</li> <li>Q. Why is that? Why do you have those requirements?</li> <li>A. It's mostly for the quality of the coke that we are producing.</li> <li>Q. Does it have anything to do with the fact that you are using it in the steelmaking process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So this isn't the type of coal that would be used for a power plant; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. No, metallungical coal is typically a pretty special range of bituminous coal.</li> <li>Q. It is bituminous and not anthracite?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And when you put the coal into the ovens in a process, that's called charging; is that right?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> </ul>	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, where were you when you were abroad?</li> <li>A. Serbia.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, Serbia. Is that the only coke facility that you are aware of in Eastern Europe?</li> <li>A. There wasn't a coke facility in Serbia.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What was that facility?</li> <li>A. That was United States Steel Serbia. It was an integrated steelmaking operation.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you went from a coke facility to a steelmaking facility?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm sorry, are you an Allegheny County resident?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Where do you live?</li> <li>A. Vestmoreland County.</li> <li>Q. So you don't live anywhere near this facility?</li> <li>A. I live about a half hour away.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If there was an exceedance of any of the opecity standards with respect to the Clairton Coke</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. We do, quality specifications.</li> <li>Q. What are those specifications?</li> <li>A. We have specifications for a maximum mash content, maximum sulfur content, maximum moisture content.</li> <li>Q. Why is that? Why do you have those requirements?</li> <li>A. It's mostly for the quality of the coke that we are producing.</li> <li>Q. Does it have anything to do with the fact that you are using it in the steelmaking process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So this isn't the type of coal that would be used for a power plant; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. No, metallungical coal is typically a pretty special range of bituminous coal.</li> <li>Q. It is bituminous and not anthracite?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And when you put the coal into the ovens in a process, that's called charging; is that right?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. And you had these doors on either side of the</li> </ul>	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, where were you when you were abroad?</li> <li>A. Serbia.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, Serbia. Is that the only coke facility that you are aware of in Eastern Europe?</li> <li>A. There wasn't a coke facility in Serbia.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What was that facility?</li> <li>A. There wasn't a coke facility in Serbia. It was an integrated steelmaking operation.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you went from a coke facility to a steelmaking facility?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm sorry, are you an Allegheny County resident?</li> <li>A. Westmorel and County.</li> <li>Q. So you don't live anywhere near this facility?</li> <li>A. I live about a half hour away.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If there was an exceedance of any of the opacity standards with respect to the Clairton Coke Works, would you be able to witness it from your house?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. We do, quality specifications.</li> <li>Q. What are those specifications?</li> <li>A. We have specifications for a maximum mash content, maximum sulfur content, maximum moisture content.</li> <li>Q. Why is that? Why do you have those requirements?</li> <li>A. It's mostly for the quality of the coke that we are producing.</li> <li>Q. Does it have anything to do with the fact that you are using it in the steelmaking process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So this isn't the type of coal that would be used for a power plant; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. No, metallungical coal is typically a pretty special range of bituminous coal.</li> <li>Q. It is bituminous and not anthracite?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And when you put the coal into the ovens in a process, that's called charging; is that right?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. And you had these doors on either side of the coke ovens and we've been talking about the leaks that</li> </ul>	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, where were you when you were abroad?</li> <li>A. Serbia.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, Serbia. Is that the only coke facility that you are aware of in Eastern Europe?</li> <li>A. There wasn't a coke facility in Serbia.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What was that facility?</li> <li>A. There wasn't a coke facility in Serbia. It was an integrated steelmaking operation.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you went from a coke facility to a steelmaking facility?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm sorry, are you an Allegheny County resident?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Where do you live?</li> <li>A. Vestmoreland County.</li> <li>Q. So you don't live anywhere near this facility?</li> <li>A. I live about a half hour away.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If there was an exceedance of any of the opecity standards with respect to the Clairton Coke</li> <li>Works, would you be able to witness it from your house?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. We do, quality specifications.</li> <li>Q. What are those specifications?</li> <li>A. We have specifications for a maximum mash content, maximum sulfur content, maximum moisture content.</li> <li>Q. Why is that? Why do you have those requirements?</li> <li>A. It's mostly for the quality of the coke that we are producing.</li> <li>Q. Does it have anything to do with the fact that you are using it in the steelmaking process?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So this isn't the type of coal that would be used for a power plant; is that fair to say?</li> <li>A. No, metallungical coal is typically a pretty special range of bituminous coal.</li> <li>Q. It is bituminous and not anthracite?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And when you put the coal into the ovens in a process, that's called charging; is that right?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. And you had these doors on either side of the coke ovens and we've been talking about the leaks that core out of these ovens, what's coming out?</li> </ul>	4

		865		867
1	A. Well, during the charging, a lot of moisture is	1	buildings. They are regulated areas also because	
2	being driven off. There will be some dust from the	2	there's potential for emissions in those areas. It	
3	charging process, but there will be some raw coke oven	3	doesn't mean there's emissions; there's potential for	
4	gas.	4	emissions.	
5	Q. Okay. What are the constituent chemicals of raw	5	$Q_{*}$ . It's really about the exposure to those	
6	coke oven gas?	6	emissions?	
7	A. I don't know all the constituent chemicals.	7	A. The potential for the exposure, yes.	
8	There is benzene, hydrogen methane, toluene, carbon	8	Q. Have you ever seen emissions from the top of the	
9	diaxide.	9	coke oven battery?	
10	${\sf Q}.$ Could xylene be a part of that?	10	A. Yes.	
11	A. Yes.	11	$Q.\;$ So the potential is real, it's not hypothetical?	
12	Q. I'm sorry, what did you get your undergraduate	12	A. Yes.	
13	in?	13	${\sf Q}.~$ If you look to what you have as Exhibit 40, page	
14	A. Chemical engineering.	14	$\boldsymbol{\vartheta},$ is that a photo of the top of the battery at Clairton	
15	${f Q}.$ Do you know if benzene is a carcinogen?	15	Coke Works?	
16	A. Yes.	16	A. Yes.	
17	Q. How about toluene?	17	${\sf Q}.~$ Is that representative of all the tops of the	
18	A. I'm not 100 percent positive.	18	batteries?	
19	${\sf Q}.\ $ Have you been to the top of any of these coke	19	A. It's representative of the top of B Battery.	
20	batteries?	20	${\bf Q}.$ Okay. Can you see the shed on Battery B from	
21	A. Yes.	21	this photo?	
22	${\sf Q}.~$ Do you wear any protective gear up there?	22	A. Yes.	
23	A. When $I'm$ in the regulated areas beyond the pinion	23	${\sf Q}.$ Okay. Is it on the left or the right?	
24	walls on the coke batteries, yes, I wear my appropriate	24	A. It's on the left.	
25	personal protective equipment.	25	${\sf Q}.~$ So it's a little bit obscured by the darkness of	
1	8	366		868
1 2	Q. And you've been in charge of safety at the Clairton Coke Works?	366 1 2	the photo; is that fair? A. Yeah, you can see it. It's on the left.	868
	$Q.\;$ And you've been in charge of safety at the	1		868
2	Q. And you've been in charge of safety at the Clairton Coke Works?	1 2	A. Yeah, you can see it. It's on the left.	868
2 3	<ul><li>Q. And you've been in charge of safety at the</li><li>Clairton Coke Works?</li><li>A. I'm not specifically responsible for safety, but</li></ul>	1 2 3	<ul><li>A. Yeah, you can see it. It's on the left.</li><li>Q. There's a shadow there?</li></ul>	868
2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q. And you've been in charge of safety at the Clairton Coke Works?</li> <li>A. I'm not specifically responsible for safety, but I have oversight for the entire facility. So yeah, the</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Yeah, you can see it. It's on the left.</li><li>Q. There's a shadow there?</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>	868
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. And you've been in charge of safety at the Clairton Coke Works?</li> <li>A. I'm not specifically responsible for safety, but I have oversight for the entire facility. So yeah, the results are mine.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, you can see it. It's on the left.</li> <li>Q. There's a shadow there?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In looking at this photo, I don't see any roof</li> </ul>	868
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. And you've been in charge of safety at the</li> <li>Clairton Coke Works?</li> <li>A. I'm not specifically responsible for safety, but</li> <li>I have oversight for the entire facility. So yeah, the</li> <li>results are mine.</li> <li>Q. So it's important for you to make sure that</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, you can see it. It's on the left.</li> <li>Q. There's a shadow there?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In looking at this photo, I don't see any roof over the facility. Is there any roof on this facility</li> </ul>	868
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. And you've been in charge of safety at the Clairton Coke Works?</li> <li>A. I'm not specifically responsible for safety, but I have oversight for the entire facility. So yeah, the results are mine.</li> <li>Q. So it's important for you to make sure that anybody that's going to be on those batteries has</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, you can see it. It's on the left.</li> <li>Q. There's a shadow there?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In looking at this photo, I don't see any roof over the facility. Is there any roof on this facility over top of the battery?</li> </ul>	868
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. And you've been in charge of safety at the Clairton Coke Works?</li> <li>A. I'm not specifically responsible for safety, but I have oversight for the entire facility. So yeah, the results are mine.</li> <li>Q. So it's important for you to make sure that anybody that's going to be on those batteries has personal protective equipment on?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, you can see it. It's on the left.</li> <li>Q. There's a shadow there?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In looking at this photo, I don't see any roof over the facility. Is there any roof on this facility over top of the battery?</li> <li>A. No. The photo that you are looking at depicts</li> </ul>	868
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. And you've been in charge of safety at the Clairton Coke Works?</li> <li>A. I'm not specifically responsible for safety, but I have oversight for the entire facility. So yeah, the results are mine.</li> <li>Q. So it's important for you to make sure that anybody that's going to be on those batteries has personal protective equipment on?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, you can see it. It's on the left.</li> <li>Q. There's a shadow there?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In looking at this photo, I don't see any roof over the facility. Is there any roof on this facility over top of the battery?</li> <li>A. No. The photo that you are looking at depicts the topside of B Battery. So you can see the offtakes</li> </ul>	868
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. And you've been in charge of safety at the Clairton Coke Works?</li> <li>A. I'm not specifically responsible for safety, but I have oversight for the entire facility. So yeah, the results are mine.</li> <li>Q. So it's important for you to make sure that anybody that's going to be on those batteries has personal protective equipment on?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And would any of that equipment be a respirator?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, you can see it. It's on the left.</li> <li>Q. There's a shadow there?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In looking at this photo, I don't see any roof over the facility. Is there any roof on this facility over top of the battery?</li> <li>A. No. The photo that you are looking at depicts the topside of B Battery. So you can see the offtakes on both the pusher and the coke side and the lids.</li> </ul>	868
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. And you've been in charge of safety at the Clairton Coke Works?</li> <li>A. I'm not specifically responsible for safety, but I have oversight for the entire facility. So yeah, the results are mine.</li> <li>Q. So it's important for you to make sure that anybody that's going to be on those batteries has personal protective equipment on?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And would any of that equipment be a respirator?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, you can see it. It's on the left.</li> <li>Q. There's a shadow there?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In looking at this photo, I don't see any roof over the facility. Is there any roof on this facility over top of the battery?</li> <li>A. No. The photo that you are looking at depicts the topside of B Battery. So you can see the offtakes on both the pusher and the coke side and the lids.</li> <li>Q. And so any emissions that would come from the</li> </ul>	868
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. And you've been in charge of safety at the Clairton Coke Works?</li> <li>A. I'm not specifically responsible for safety, but I have oversight for the entire facility. So yeah, the results are mine.</li> <li>Q. So it's important for you to make sure that anybody that's going to be on those batteries has personal protective equipment on?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And would any of that equipment be a respirator?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why would you have a respirator?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, you can see it. It's on the left.</li> <li>Q. There's a shadow there?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In looking at this photo, I don't see any roof over the facility. Is there any roof on this facility over top of the battery?</li> <li>A. No. The photo that you are looking at depicts the topside of B Battery. So you can see the offtakes on both the pusher and the coke side and the lids.</li> <li>Q. And so any emissions that would come from the ovens themselves and during the charging station of the</li> </ul>	868
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. And you've been in charge of safety at the Clairton Coke Works?</li> <li>A. I'm not specifically responsible for safety, but I have oversight for the entire facility. So yeah, the results are mine.</li> <li>Q. So it's important for you to make sure that anybody that's going to be on those batteries has personal protective equipment on?</li> <li>A. Yas.</li> <li>Q. And would any of that equipment be a respirator?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why would you have a respirator?</li> <li>A. You are required by the OSEA standards governing</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, you can see it. It's on the left.</li> <li>Q. There's a shadow there?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In looking at this photo, I don't see any roof over the facility. Is there any roof on this facility over top of the battery?</li> <li>A. No. The photo that you are looking at depicts the topside of B Battery. So you can see the offtakes on both the pusher and the coke side and the lids.</li> <li>Q. And so any emissions that would come from the ovens themselves and during the charging station of the coking cycle, which could potentially contain coke oven gas, would be emitted into the open air?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> </ul>	868
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. And you've been in charge of safety at the Clairton Coke Works?</li> <li>A. I'm not specifically responsible for safety, but I have oversight for the entire facility. So yeah, the results are mine.</li> <li>Q. So it's important for you to make sure that anybody that's going to be on those batteries has personal protective equipment on?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And would any of that equipment be a respirator?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why would you have a respirator?</li> <li>A. You are required by the OSSA standards governing coke oven operations to wear respiratory protection in</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, you can see it. It's on the left.</li> <li>Q. There's a shadow there?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In looking at this photo, I don't see any roof over the facility. Is there any roof on this facility over top of the battery?</li> <li>A. No. The photo that you are looking at depicts the topside of B Battery. So you can see the offtakes on both the pusher and the coke side and the lids.</li> <li>Q. And so any emissions that would come from the ovens themselves and during the charging station of the coking cycle, which could potentially contain coke oven gas, would be emitted into the open air?</li> </ul>	868
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. And you've been in charge of safety at the Clairton Coke Works?</li> <li>A. I'm not specifically responsible for eafety, but I have oversight for the entire facility. So yeah, the results are mine.</li> <li>Q. So it's important for you to make sure that anybody that's going to be on those batteries has personal protective equipment on?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And would any of that equipment be a respirator?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why would you have a respirator?</li> <li>A. You are required by the OSFA standards governing coke oven operations to wear respiratory protection in regulated areas.</li> <li>Q. And why would that be?</li> <li>A. Because there is potential for emissions from the</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, you can see it. It's on the left.</li> <li>Q. There's a shadow there?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In looking at this photo, I don't see any roof over the facility. Is there any roof on this facility over top of the battery?</li> <li>A. No. The photo that you are looking at depicts the topside of B Battery. So you can see the offtakes on both the pusher and the coke side and the lids.</li> <li>Q. And so any emissions that would come from the ooking cycle, which could potentially contain coke oven gas, would be emitted into the open air?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. For the entire facility, how many pushes would you say there are a day?</li> </ul>	868
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. And you've been in charge of safety at the Clairton Coke Works?</li> <li>A. I'm not specifically responsible for safety, but I have oversight for the entire facility. So yeah, the results are mine.</li> <li>Q. So it's important for you to make sure that anybody that's going to be on those batteries has personal protective equipment on?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And would any of that equipment be a respirator?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why would you have a respirator?</li> <li>A. You are required by the OSFA standards governing coke oven operations to wear respiratory protection in regulated areas.</li> <li>Q. And why would that be?</li> <li>A. Because there is potential for emissions from the coke-making operation.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, you can see it. It's on the left.</li> <li>Q. There's a shadow there?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In looking at this photo, I don't see any roof over the facility. Is there any roof on this facility over top of the battery?</li> <li>A. No. The photo that you are looking at depicts the topside of B Battery. So you can see the offtakes on both the pusher and the coke side and the lids.</li> <li>Q. And so any emissions that would come from the ovens themselves and during the charging station of the coking cycle, which could potentially contain coke oven gas, would be emitted into the open air?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. For the entire facility, how many pushes would you say there are a day?</li> <li>A. Between 700 and 800.</li> </ul>	868
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. And you've been in charge of safety at the Clairton Coke Works?</li> <li>A. I'm not specifically responsible for eafety, but I have oversight for the entire facility. So yeah, the results are mine.</li> <li>Q. So it's important for you to make sure that anybody that's going to be on those batteries has personal protective equipment on?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And would any of that equipment be a respirator?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why would you have a respirator?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why would you have a respirator?</li> <li>A. You are required by the OSFA standards governing coke oven operations to wear respiratory protection in regulated areas.</li> <li>Q. And why would that be?</li> <li>A. Because there is potential for emissions from the coke-making operation.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry. Could you what does that mean?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, you can see it. It's on the left.</li> <li>Q. There's a shadow there?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In looking at this photo, I don't see any roof over the facility. Is there any roof on this facility over top of the battery?</li> <li>A. No. The photo that you are looking at depicts the topside of B Battery. So you can see the offtakes on both the pusher and the coke side and the lids.</li> <li>Q. And so any emissions that would come from the ovens themselves and during the charging station of the coking cycle, which could potentially contain coke oven gas, would be emitted into the open air?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. For the entire facility, how many pushes would you say there are a day?</li> <li>A. Between 700 and 800.</li> <li>Q. What is the time interval between a push,</li> </ul>	868
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. And you've been in charge of safety at the Clairton Coke Works?</li> <li>A. I'm not specifically responsible for eafety, but I have oversight for the entire facility. So yeah, the results are mine.</li> <li>Q. So it's important for you to make sure that anybody that's going to be on those batteries has personal protective equipment on?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And would any of that equipment be a respirator?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why would you have a respirator?</li> <li>A. You are required by the OSFA standards governing coke oven operations to wear respiratory protection in regulated areas.</li> <li>Q. And why would that be?</li> <li>A. Because there is potential for emissions from the coke</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, you can see it. It's on the left.</li> <li>Q. There's a shadow there?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In looking at this photo, I don't see any roof over the facility. Is there any roof on this facility over top of the battery?</li> <li>A. No. The photo that you are looking at depicts the topside of B Battery. So you can see the offtakes on both the pusher and the coke side and the lids.</li> <li>Q. And so any emissions that would come from the ovens themselves and during the charging station of the coking cycle, which could potentially contain coke oven gas, would be emitted into the open air?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. For the entire facility, how many pushes would you say there are a day?</li> <li>A. Between 700 and 800.</li> <li>Q. What is the time interval between a push, roughly?</li> </ul>	868
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. And you've been in charge of safety at the Clairton Coke Works?</li> <li>A. I'm not specifically responsible for safety, but I have oversight for the entire facility. So yeah, the results are mine.</li> <li>Q. So it's important for you to make sure that anybody that's going to be on those batteries has personal protective equipment on?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And would any of that equipment be a respirator?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why would you have a respirator?</li> <li>A. You are required by the OSBA standards governing coke oven operations to wear respiratory protection in regulated areas.</li> <li>Q. And why would that be?</li> <li>A. Because there is potential for emissions from the coke battery operation.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, you can see it. It's on the left.</li> <li>Q. There's a shadow there?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In looking at this photo, I don't see any roof over the facility. Is there any roof on this facility over top of the battery?</li> <li>A. No. The photo that you are looking at depicts the topside of B Battery. So you can see the offtakes on both the pusher and the coke side and the lids.</li> <li>Q. And so any emissions that would come from the ooking cycle, which could potentially contain coke oven gas, would be emitted into the open air?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. For the entire facility, how many pushes would you say there are a day?</li> <li>A. Between 700 and 800.</li> <li>Q. What is the time interval between a push, roughly?</li> </ul>	868
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. And you've been in charge of safety at the Clairton Coke Works?</li> <li>A. I'm not specifically responsible for safety, but I have oversight for the entire facility. So yeah, the results are mine.</li> <li>Q. So it's important for you to make sure that anybody that's going to be on those batteries has personal protective equipment on?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And would any of that equipment be a respirator?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why would you have a respirator?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And why would that be SEA standards governing coke oven operations to wear respiratory protection in regulated areas.</li> <li>Q. And why would that be?</li> <li>A. Because there is potential for emissions from the coke battery operation.</li> <li>Q. So the potential for an emission from the coke</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, you can see it. It's on the left.</li> <li>Q. There's a shadow there?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In looking at this photo, I don't see any roof over the facility. Is there any roof on this facility over top of the battery?</li> <li>A. No. The photo that you are looking at depicts the topside of B Battery. So you can see the offtakes on both the pusher and the coke side and the lids.</li> <li>Q. And so any emissions that would come from the ovens themselves and during the charging station of the coking cycle, which could potentially contain coke oven gas, would be emitted into the open air?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. For the entire facility, how many pushes would you say there are a day?</li> <li>A. Between 700 and 800.</li> <li>Q. What is the time interval between a push, roughly?</li> <li>A. It varies, depends on which battery.</li> <li>Q. Let's say Battery B.</li> </ul>	868
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. And you've been in charge of safety at the Clairton Coke Works?</li> <li>A. I'm not specifically responsible for eafety, but I have oversight for the entire facility. So yeah, the results are mine.</li> <li>Q. So it's important for you to make sure that anybody that's going to be on those batteries has personal protective equipment on?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And would any of that equipment be a respirator?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why would you have a respirator?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And why would you have a respirator?</li> <li>A. You are required by the OSFA standards governing to be one operations to wear respiratory protection in regulated areas.</li> <li>Q. And why would that be?</li> <li>A. Because there is potential for emissions from the coke battery operation.</li> <li>Q. So the potential for an emission from the coke battery operation requires you to have protective gear,</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, you can see it. It's on the left.</li> <li>Q. There's a shadow there?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In looking at this photo, I don't see any roof over the facility. Is there any roof on this facility over top of the battery?</li> <li>A. No. The photo that you are looking at depicts the topside of B Battery. So you can see the offtakes on both the pusher and the coke side and the lids.</li> <li>Q. And so any emissions that would come from the ovens themselves and during the charging station of the coking cycle, which could potentially contain coke oven gas, would be emitted into the open air?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. For the entire facility, how many pushes would you say there are a day?</li> <li>A. Between 700 and 800.</li> <li>Q. What is the time interval between a push, roughly?</li> <li>A. It varies, depends on which battery.</li> <li>Q. Let's say Battery B.</li> <li>A. Fifteen minutes.</li> </ul>	868
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. And you've been in charge of safety at the Clairton Coke Works?</li> <li>A. I'm not specifically responsible for safety, but I have oversight for the entire facility. So yeah, the results are mine.</li> <li>Q. So it's important for you to make sure that anybody that's going to be on those batteries has personal protective equipment on?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And would any of that equipment be a respirator?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why would you have a respirator?</li> <li>A. You are required by the OSEA standards governing coke oven operations to wear respiratory protection in regulated areas.</li> <li>Q. And why would that be?</li> <li>A. Because there is potential for emissions from the coke battery operation.</li> <li>Q. So the potential for an emission from the coke</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yeah, you can see it. It's on the left.</li> <li>Q. There's a shadow there?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In looking at this photo, I don't see any roof over the facility. Is there any roof on this facility over top of the battery?</li> <li>A. No. The photo that you are looking at depicts the topside of B Battery. So you can see the offtakes on both the pusher and the coke side and the lids.</li> <li>Q. And so any emissions that would come from the ovens themselves and during the charging station of the coking cycle, which could potentially contain coke oven gas, would be emitted into the open air?</li> <li>A. That's correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. For the entire facility, how many pushes would you say there are a day?</li> <li>A. Between 700 and 800.</li> <li>Q. What is the time interval between a push, roughly?</li> <li>A. It varies, depends on which battery.</li> <li>Q. Let's say Battery B.</li> </ul>	868

-				-		
			869			871
1	Q. Ever	as we sit here now, there's a push every 15	000	1	Q. Which batteries did those replace?	071
2	minutes?			2	A. Batteries 7, 8, and 9.	
3	A. Yes,			3	Q. How long were those batteries in place?	
4	Q. In t	he middle of the night, every 15 minutes?		4	A. They started up in the 1950s, around 1953 or '54.	
5	A. Yes.			5	Q. You mentioned something called foul gas. What is	
6	Q. Twee	ty-four hours a day, every 15 minutes?		6	that?	
7	A. That	's correct.		7	A. Foul gas is the raw coke oven gas that is formed	
8	Q. Sinc	e 1980 when did that battery begin		8	from the volatile products that come from the coal.	
9	operation?			9	Q. Why do you call it foul?	
10	A. 1982			10	A. Because it's a very dirty gas stream, has a lot	
11	<b>Q.</b> 1982	. And you said the facility began work in		11	of heavy hydrocarbon and aromatic hydrocarbons.	
12	1901?			12	Q. What is aromatic hydrocarbon?	
13	A. The :	facility started operation Clairton Works		13	A. Benzene, toluene, xylene.	
14	started opera	ation in 1901 as an integrated steelmaking		14	${\sf Q}.$ These are the same products that could come out	
15	plant. The f	first battery byproduct coke ovens were		15	of a door leak?	
16	installed in	1918.		16	A. It can, yes.	
17	Q. Are	any of those original batteries still in		17	Q. You mentioned the CITE Program and you indicated	
18	place?			18	that it was expanded to the batteries?	
19	A. No.			19	A. At some time, it was expanded to the batteries,	
20	Q. What	is the oldest battery there now?		20	yeah. The original CITE Program at Clairton started, as	
21	A. 1, 2,	, and 3.		21	I indicated, in the chemicals and utilities operation.	
22	Q. When	were they installed?		22	And then it was later and I can't specifically say	
23	A. They	started operation in 1954.		23	when, but it was expanded to the coke battery coking	
24	Q. Are	you familiar with the Carnegie Way Program?		24	operation.	
25	A. Iam.			25	Q. You mentioned that you had full-time employees	
-						
1						
1	0 could	a way depending which that is 2	870	1	unding on official to make ourse that any locks and	872
2		you describe what that is?		1 2	working on offtakes to make sure that any leaks are sealed?	
3		gie Way Program is a cost-improvement. We basically engage with the workforce		3	A. Yes.	
4		deas to improve our process from everything		4	Q. Notwithstanding those employees and their work,	
5		environmental, to our cost position.		5	do you still experience violations with respect to	
6		that result in a $25$ -percent reduction in		6	Article 21?	
7	staff in Apri			7	A. Yes.	
8		pends on what staff you are looking at. At		8	Q. And I'm sorry, I'm going to go back to the CITE	
9	Clairton speci			9	Program. Is that still in operation?	
10		s the standard life of a coke oven battery?		10	A. Yes.	
11		pends on the maintenance.		11	Q. And you mentioned that as a part of your plan.	
12	~	s the average life of a coke oven battery?		12	Let me back up.	
13		, it depends on the maintenance that you		13	As one of the requirements of the enforcement	
14	perform on the			14	order, U.S. Steel is required to present the Health	
15		you ever had an opportunity to shut down a		15	Department with a plan as to how it was going to reduce	
16		y of the facilities owned by U.S. Steel?		16	emissions; is that correct?	
17		tunately, I did.		17	A. Yes.	
18		ones would those have been?		18	Q. And as a part of that plan, you had mentioned the	
19		the end of Battery Number 2's		19	use of the CITE Program for future training; is that	
20	life in Gary,			20	correct?	
21		What about at Clairton Coke Works?		21	A. Yes.	
22		at Clairton, thankfully, no.		22	Q. Okay. Currently, how often is training given to	
	ANDVEL				- only, ourselvery non order to cratiting given to	

23  $$\mathsf{Q}$. You mentioned Battery C. Did Battery C replace$ 

24 any batteries at Clairton Coke Works?25 A. It did.

A. What type of training?Q. CITE training, CITE training.

23 operators?

	8	73		875
1	A. We are currently conducting — and it has varied	1	contributing factors with respect to those exceedances?	075
2	because of the holiday time period, but we've been doing	2	A. Yes.	
3	at least one CITE training class per week, typically	3	Q. Do you ever negotiate more stringent regulations	
4	four to seven employees in a class. So they're pretty	4	with ACHD?	
5	small classroom sessions.	5	A. No.	
6	Q. Okay. Is there any certification following that	6	Q. Not you personally?	
7	training?	7	A. Not me personally.	
8	A. There's no certification, just documentation that	8	Q. Are you aware of anybody at U.S. Steel who would	
9	they've completed it.	9	do that?	
10	${\sf Q}.$ Would you be willing to provide that	10	A. Well, the people that would negotiate with the	
11	documentation to Allegheny County Health Department if	11	County Health Department would be the environmental	
12	requested?	12	affairs and environmental group at the plant.	
13	A. I'd have to neview that with my counsel.	13	$Q_{\star}$ . Are you aware of any of that process taking	
14	${\sf Q}.$ Okay. When Battery C was first installed, were	14	place? Are you aware of any process taking place in	
15	there any issues with respect to its emissions?	15	terms of negotiation for more stringent regulations with	
16	A. Yes.	16	ACHD?	
17	Q. What was that problem?	17	A. I'm aware that there's a proposal for additional	
18	A. Charging emissions. The original battery was	18	regulations.	
19	designed with just four charging lids and didn't have	19	${\sf Q}.$ And you're aware that U.S. Steel is a stakeholder	
20	the equalizing U-tube car at the time.	20	participating in that conversation?	
21	$Q_{*}$ And how long did that problem persist?	21	A. Yes.	
22	A. I can't say. I know that we connected it during	22	${\sf Q}.$ Okay. You mentioned these repairs and projects	
23	the period of time that I had arrived at Clairton. It	23	that have been done to rehabilitate the batteries at	
24	would have been a few years.	24	U.S. Steel. I think you mentioned something about	
25	${\sf Q}.~$ So a few years, you had a problem with a brand-	25	\$30,000,000; is that correct?	
1	new battery in terms of its emission profile; is that		A. I'm not sure which project specifically you are	
2	correct? Is that fair? A. Yes.	2	<b>speaking to</b> . Q. Well, neither do I. Could you explain what	
3 4	correct? Is that fair? A. Yes. Q. I'm sorry, is that a "yes"?	3 4	<b>speaking to</b> . Q. Well, neither do I. Could you explain what projects have taken place at U.S. Steel in terms of	
3 4 5	correct? Is that fair? A. Yes. Q. I'm sorry, is that a "yes"? A. Uh-huh (affinative.)	3 4 5	<b>speaking to</b> . Q. Well, neither do I. Could you explain what projects have taken place at U.S. Steel in terms of capital expenditures?	
3 4 5 6	<pre>correct? Is that fair? A. Yes. Q. I'm sorry, is that a "yes"? A. Uh-huh (affirmative.) Q. If you'd flip to number Exhibit 52, I think we</pre>	3 4 5 6	<pre>speaking to. Q. Well, neither do I. Could you explain what projects have taken place at U.S. Steel in terms of capital expenditures? A. Since when?</pre>	
3 4 5 6 7	<pre>correct? Is that fair? A. Yes. Q. I'm sorry, is that a "yes"? A. Uh-huh (affirmative.) Q. If you'd flip to number Exhibit 52, I think we were here before. You indicated that you had some</pre>	3 4 5 6 7	<pre>speaking to. Q. Well, neither do I. Could you explain what projects have taken place at U.S. Steel in terms of capital expenditures? A. Since when? Q. Since 2015.</pre>	
3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>correct? Is that fair?     A. Yes.     Q. I'm sorry, is that a "yes"?     A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)     Q. If you'd flip to number Exhibit 52, I think we were here before. You indicated that you had some involvement with Exhibit 52, that being the consent</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>speaking to. Q. Well, neither do I. Could you explain what projects have taken place at U.S. Steel in terms of capital expenditures? A. Since when? Q. Since 2015. A. Well, since 2015 — so by virtue of that, you are</pre>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>correct? Is that fair? A. Yes. Q. I'm sorry, is that a "yes"? A. Un-huh (affirmative.) Q. If you'd flip to number Exhibit 52, I think we were here before. You indicated that you had some involvement with Exhibit 52, that being the consent order of May 7, 2018?</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>speaking to. Q. Well, neither do I. Could you explain what projects have taken place at U.S. Steel in terms of capital expenditures? A. Since when? Q. Since 2015. A. Well, since 2015 — so by virtue of that, you are excluding the two quench towers. But since 2015, we</pre>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>correct? Is that fair? A. Yes. Q. I'm sorry, is that a "yes"? A. Uh-huh (affirmative.) Q. If you'd flip to number Exhibit 52, I think we were here before. You indicated that you had some involvement with Exhibit 52, that being the consent order of May 7, 2018? A. Yes.</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>speaking to. Q. Well, neither do I. Could you explain what projects have taken place at U.S. Steel in terms of capital expenditures? A. Since when? Q. Since 2015. A. Well, since 2015 — so by virtue of that, you are excluding the two quench towers. But since 2015, we have undertaken significant end-flue repairs on</pre>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>correct? Is that fair? A. Yes. Q. I'm sorry, is that a "yes"? A. Un-huh (affirmative.) Q. If you'd flip to number Exhibit 52, I think we were here before. You indicated that you had some involvement with Exhibit 52, that being the consent order of May 7, 2018? A. Yes. Q. Were you involved in any meetings with ACHED over</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>speaking to. Q. Well, neither do I. Could you explain what projects have taken place at U.S. Steel in terms of capital expenditures? A. Since when? Q. Since 2015. A. Well, since 2015 — so by virtue of that, you are excluding the two quench towers. But since 2015, we have undertaken significant end-flue repairs on Batteries 1, 2, and 3.</pre>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>correct? Is that fair? A. Yes. Q. I'm sorry, is that a "yes"? A. Un-huh (affirmative.) Q. If you'd flip to number Exhibit 52, I think we were here before. You indicated that you had some involvement with Exhibit 52, that being the consent order of May 7, 2018? A. Yes. Q. Were you involved in any meetings with ACHD over this consent order?</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>speaking to. Q. Well, neither do I. Could you explain what projects have taken place at U.S. Steel in terms of capital expenditures? A. Since when? Q. Since 2015. A. Well, since 2015 — so by virtue of that, you are excluding the two quench towers. But since 2015, we have undertaken significant end-flue repairs on Batteries 1, 2, and 3. We've improved — significantly increased the</pre>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>correct? Is that fair?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, is that a "yes"?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. If you'd flip to number Exhibit 52, I think we were here before. You indicated that you had some involvement with Exhibit 52, that being the consent order of May 7, 2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you involved in any meetings with ACHD over this consent order?</li> <li>A. No, I signed the document.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>apeaking to.</li> <li>Q. Well, neither do I. Could you explain what projects have taken place at U.S. Steel in terms of capital expenditures?</li> <li>A. Since when?</li> <li>Q. Since 2015.</li> <li>A. Well, since 2015 — so by virtue of that, you are excluding the two quench towers. But since 2015, we have undertaken significant end-flue repairs on Batteries 1, 2, and 3.</li> <li>We've improved — significantly increased the meintenance that we do on all of our other coke</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>correct? Is that fair?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, is that a "yes"?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. If you'd flip to number Exhibit 52, I think we were here before. You indicated that you had some involvement with Exhibit 52, that being the consent order of May 7, 2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you involved in any meetings with ACHED over this consent order?</li> <li>A. No, I signed the document.</li> <li>Q. So you weren't a part of the negotiations with</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>speaking to. Q. Well, neither do I. Could you explain what projects have taken place at U.S. Steel in terms of capital expenditures? A. Since when? Q. Since 2015. A. Well, since 2015 — so by virtue of that, you are excluding the two quench towers. But since 2015, we have undertaken significant end-flue repairs on Batteries 1, 2, and 3. We've improved — significantly increased the maintenance that we do on all of our other coke batteries. In addition to that, we've begun doing</pre>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>correct? Is that fair? A. Yes. Q. I'm sorry, is that a "yes"? A. Un-huh (affirmative.) Q. If you'd flip to number Exhibit 52, I think we were here before. You indicated that you had some involvement with Exhibit 52, that being the consent order of May 7, 2018? A. Yes. Q. Were you involved in any meetings with ACHD over this consent order? A. No, I signed the document. Q. So you weren't a part of the negotiations with respect to this?</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>apeaking to.</li> <li>Q. Well, neither do I. Could you explain what projects have taken place at U.S. Steel in terms of capital expenditures?</li> <li>A. Since when?</li> <li>Q. Since 2015.</li> <li>A. Well, since 2015 — so by virtue of that, you are excluding the two quench towers. But since 2015, we have undertaken significant end-flue repairs on Batteries 1, 2, and 3.</li> <li>We've improved — significantly increased the maintenance that we do on all of our other coke batteries. In addition to that, we've begun doing through-wall repairs on Batteries 19 and 20. We are</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>correct? Is that fair? A. Yes. Q. I'm sorry, is that a "yes"? A. Un-huh (affirmative.) Q. If you'd flip to number Exhibit 52, I think we were here before. You indicated that you had some involvement with Exhibit 52, that being the consent order of May 7, 2018? A. Yes. Q. Were you involved in any meetings with ACHD over this consent order? A. No, I signed the document. Q. So you weren't a part of the negotiations with respect to this? A. I signed the document.</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>apeaking to.</li> <li>Q. Well, neither do I. Could you explain what projects have taken place at U.S. Steel in terms of capital expenditures?</li> <li>A. Since when?</li> <li>Q. Since 2015.</li> <li>A. Well, since 2015 - so by virtue of that, you are excluding the two quench towers. But since 2015, we have undertaken significant end-flue repairs on Batteries 1, 2, and 3.</li> <li>We've improved significantly increased the meintenance that we do on all of our other coke batteries. In addition to that, we've begun doing through-wall repairs on Batteries 19 and 20. We are currently working on 19.</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>correct? Is that fair?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, is that a "yes"?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. If you'd flip to number Exhibit 52, I think we were here before. You indicated that you had some involvement with Exhibit 52, that being the consent order of May 7, 2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you involved in any meetings with ACHD over this consent order?</li> <li>A. No, I signed the document.</li> <li>Q. So you weren't a part of the negotiations with respect to this?</li> <li>A. I signed the document.</li> <li>Q. That was your only involvement?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>speaking to.</li> <li>Q. Well, neither do I. Could you explain what projects have taken place at U.S. Steel in terms of capital expenditures?</li> <li>A. Since when?</li> <li>Q. Since 2015.</li> <li>A. Well, since 2015 — so by virtue of that, you are excluding the two quench towers. But since 2015, we have undertaken significant end-flue repairs on Batteries 1, 2, and 3.</li> <li>We've improved — significantly increased the maintenance that we do on all of our other coke batteries. In addition to that, we've begun doing through-wall repairs on Batteries 19 and 20. We are currently working on 19.</li> <li>In addition to that, we've made some significant</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>correct? Is that fair?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, is that a "yes"?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. If you'd flip to number Exhibit 52, I think we were here before. You indicated that you had some involvement with Exhibit 52, that being the consent order of May 7, 2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you involved in any meetings with ACHD over this consent order?</li> <li>A. No, I signed the document.</li> <li>Q. So you weren't a part of the negotiations with respect to this?</li> <li>A. I signed the document.</li> <li>Q. That was your only involvement?</li> <li>A. I reviewed it and I signed it.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>apeaking to.</li> <li>Q. Well, neither do I. Could you explain what projects have taken place at U.S. Steel in terms of capital expenditures?</li> <li>A. Since when?</li> <li>Q. Since 2015.</li> <li>A. Well, since 2015 — so by virtue of that, you are excluding the two quench towers. But since 2015, we have undertaken significant end-flue repairs on Batteries 1, 2, and 3.</li> <li>We've improved — significantly increased the meintenance that we do on all of our other coke batteries. In addition to that, we've begun doing through-wall repairs on Batteries 19 and 20. We are currently working on 19.</li> <li>In addition to that, we've made some significant investments and improvements in our coke oven gas</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>correct? Is that fair?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, is that a "yes"?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. If you'd flip to number Exhibit 52, I think we were here before. You indicated that you had some involvement with Exhibit 52, that being the consent order of May 7, 2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you involved in any meetings with ACHD over this consent order?</li> <li>A. No, I signed the document.</li> <li>Q. So you weren't a part of the negotiations with respect to this?</li> <li>A. I signed the document.</li> <li>Q. That was your only involvement?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>speaking to.</li> <li>Q. Well, neither do I. Could you explain what projects have taken place at U.S. Steel in terms of capital expenditures?</li> <li>A. Since when?</li> <li>Q. Since 2015.</li> <li>A. Well, since 2015 - so by virtue of that, you are excluding the two quench towers. But since 2015, we have undertaken significant end-flue repairs on Batteries 1, 2, and 3.</li> <li>We've improved significantly increased the maintenance that we do on all of our other coke batteries. In addition to that, we've begun doing through-wall repairs on Batteries 19 and 20. We are currently working on 19.</li> <li>In addition to that, we've made some significant</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>correct? Is that fair? A. Yes. Q. I'm sorry, is that a "yes"? A. Un-huh (affirmative.) Q. If you'd flip to number Exhibit 52, I think we were here before. You indicated that you had some involvement with Exhibit 52, that being the consent order of May 7, 2018? A. Yes. Q. Were you involved in any meetings with ACHD over this consent order? A. No, I signed the document. Q. So you weren't a part of the negotiations with respect to this? A. I signed the document? A. I reviewed it and I signed it. Q. Okay. Do you know why the standards with respect</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	speaking to. Q. Well, neither do I. Could you explain what projects have taken place at U.S. Steel in terms of capital expenditures? A. Since when? Q. Since 2015. A. Well, since 2015 — so by virtue of that, you are sculuting the two quench towers. But since 2015, we have undertaken aignificant end-flue repairs on Batteries 1, 2, and 3. We've improved — significantly increased the minimenance that we do on all of our other coke batteries. In addition to that, we've begun doing through-wall repairs on Batteries 19 and 20. We are commently working on 19. In addition to that, we've made some significant investments and improvements in our coke oven gas desulfurization process. We've changed column internals	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>correct? Is that fair?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, is that a "yes"?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. If you'd flip to number Exhibit 52, I think we were here before. You indicated that you had some involvement with Exhibit 52, that being the consent order of May 7, 2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you involved in any meetings with ACHD over this consent order?</li> <li>A. No, I signed the document.</li> <li>Q. So you weren't a part of the negotiations with respect to this?</li> <li>A. I signed the document?</li> <li>A. I signed the document?</li> <li>A. I reviewed it and I signed it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know why the standards with respect to Clairton Coke Works are more stringent?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	apeaking to. Q. Well, neither do I. Could you explain what projects have taken place at U.S. Steel in terms of capital expenditures? A. Since when? Q. Since 2015. A. Well, since 2015 — so by virtue of that, you are excluding the two quench towers. But since 2015, we have undertaken significant end-flue repairs on patteries 1, 2, and 3. We've inproved — significantly increased the minimerance that we do on all of our other coke batteries. In addition to that, we've begun doing through-wall repairs on Patteries 19 and 20. We are currently working on 19. In addition to that, we've made some significant investments and inprovements in our coke oven gas desulfurization process. We've changed column internals on the vacuum carbonate units, both vacuum carbonate	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>correct? Is that fair?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, is that a "yes"?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. If you'd flip to number Exhibit 52, I think we were here before. You indicated that you had some involvement with Exhibit 52, that being the consent order of May 7, 2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you involved in any meetings with ACHD over this consent order?</li> <li>A. No, I signed the document.</li> <li>Q. So you weren't a part of the negotiations with respect to this?</li> <li>A. I signed the document?</li> <li>A. I signed the document?</li> <li>Q. That was your only involvement?</li> <li>A. I reviewed it and I signed it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know why the standards with respect to Clairton Coke Works are more stringent?</li> <li>A. I don't have any basis in why.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>speaking to.</li> <li>Q. Well, neither do I. Could you explain what projects have taken place at U.S. Steel in terms of capital expenditures?</li> <li>A. Since when?</li> <li>Q. Since 2015.</li> <li>A. Well, since 2015 — so by virtue of that, you are excluding the two quench towers. But since 2015, we have undertaken significant end-flue repairs on Batteries 1, 2, and 3.</li> <li>We've improved — significantly increased the minimenance that we do on all of our other coke batteries. In addition to that, we've begun doing through-wall repairs on Batteries 19 and 20. We are currently working on 19.</li> <li>In addition to that, we've made some significant investments and improvements in our coke oven gas desulfurization process. We've changed column internals on the vacuum carbonate units, both vacuum carbonate units in the deeolve plant and we are going through a</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>correct? Is that fair?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, is that a "yes"?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. If you'd flip to number Exhibit 52, I think we were here before. You indicated that you had some involvement with Exhibit 52, that being the consent order of May 7, 2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you involved in any meetings with ACHD over this consent order?</li> <li>A. No, I signed the document.</li> <li>Q. So you weren't a part of the negotiations with respect to this?</li> <li>A. I signed the document?</li> <li>A. I signed the document?</li> <li>Q. That was your only involvement?</li> <li>A. I reviewed it and I signed it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know why the standards with respect to Clairton Coke Works are more stringent?</li> <li>A. I don't have any basis in why.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware that Allegheny County is currently</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	speaking to. Q. Well, neither do I. Could you explain what projects have taken place at U.S. Steel in terms of capital expenditures? A. Since when? Q. Since 2015. A. Well, since 2015 — so by virtue of that, you are secluding the two quench towers. But since 2015, we have undertaken significant end-flue repairs on Batteries 1, 2, and 3. We've improved — significantly increased the minimerance that we do on all of our other coke batteries. In addition to that, we've begun doing through-wall repairs on Batteries 19 and 20. We are currently working on 19. In addition to that, we've made some significant investments and improvements in our coke oven gas desulfurization process. We've changed column internals on the vacuum carbonate units, both vacuum carbonate units in the desolve plant and we are going through a process right now of re-machining all the top switching	

1	877			879
1	so the end-flue replacements over the course of	1	A. A couple.	079
2	the past couple years since 2015, we've spent	2	Q. IWO?	
3	approximately \$30,000,000.	3	A. Un-huh (affirmative.)	
4	The VCU upgrades were about \$1,000,000, and the	4	Q. Were these projects that you mentioned voluntary?	
5	switching valve replacements are when completed, it	5	A. That project is something that we came up with as	
6	will be in the neighborhood of \$6,000,000.	6	an energy savings project. We anticipated that we were	
7	Q. With respect to the projects that have been	7	going to have significant turndown on the steam	
8	completed, do you have any receipts for any of that	8	consumption on the VOJ. A very positive surprise was in	
9	work?	9	addition to the steam turndown, we had a significant	
10	A. Not with me.	10	reduction in hydrogen sulfide.	
11	Q. Do you have them at the facility?	11	${f Q}.$ Okay. Do these projects at all help in terms of	
12	A. Un-huh (affirmative.)	12	correcting prior violations?	
13	Q. Have you ever provided that information to	13	A. Well, that project in particular was part of the	
14	Allegheny County?	14	sulfur dioxide state implementation plan.	
15	A. Not to my knowledge, no.	15	Q. Okay. And that was a part of a that was	
16	Q. You mentioned an H2S project equaling	16	designed in part to help U.S. Steel meet the NAAQS. Do	
17	approximately \$1,000,000?	17	you know what the NAAQS is?	
18	A. Yes.	18	A. Yeah, the National Ambient Air Quality Standard.	
19	Q. Can you explain that project, please?	19	Q. And would that help you meet NAAQS?	
20	A. What we did was we took the trays out of the	20	A. It would help Allegheny County achieve	
21	vacuum carbonate unit stripper and we replaced it with a	21	attainment.	
22	random packing material that improves the contact	22	Q. Oh, thank you, proper correction.	
23	surface in the vacuum carbonate unit stripper and	23	Would you have done these projects without	
24	significantly improves the efficiency of the carbonate	24	Allegheny County's regulatory authority to	
	solution stripping in the vacuum carbonate unit in the	25	A. Well, that particular project, absolutely. That	
25	878		8	880
25 1 2		1 2		880
1	878 point of the process where we remove the hydrogen	1	was underway some time prior to and during the	880
1 2	878 point of the process where we remove the hydrogen sulfide from the coke oven gas.	1 2	was underway some time prior to and during the negotiation of the SO2 SIP. We were doing that as an	880
1 2 3	878 point of the process where we remove the hydrogen sulfide from the coke oven gas. Q. Did you have an outside vendor do that project	1 2 3	was underway some time prior to and during the negotiation of the SO2 SIP. We were doing that as an energy savings project.	880
1 2 3 4	878 point of the process where we remove the hydrogen sulfide from the coke oven gas. Q. Did you have an outside vendor do that project for you?	1 2 3 4	was underway some time prior to and during the negotiation of the SO2 SIP. We were doing that as an energy savings project. Q. Okay. Now, you have operators that are all over	880
1 2 3 4 5	878 point of the process where we remove the hydrogen sulfide from the coke oven gas. Q. Did you have an outside vendor do that project for you? A. I think it was a combination project. I think we	1 2 3 4 5	was underway some time prior to and during the negotiation of the SO2 SIP. We were doing that as an energy savings project. Q. Okay. Now, you have operators that are all over this facility. You have lid men as you indicated,	880
1 2 3 4 5 6	878 point of the process where we remove the hydrogen sulfide from the coke oven gas. Q. Did you have an outside vendor do that project for you? A. I think it was a combination project. I think we may have had some outside vendor work, but we also did	1 2 3 4 5 6	<pre>was underway some time prior to and during the negotiation of the SO2 SIP. We were doing that as an energy savings project. Q. Okay. Now, you have operators that are all over this facility. You have lid men as you indicated, correct?</pre>	880
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	878 point of the process where we remove the hydrogen sulfide from the coke oven gas. Q. Did you have an outside vendor do that project for you? A. I think it was a combination project. I think we may have had some outside vendor work, but we also did some of that work with the plant forces.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>was underway some time prior to and during the negotiation of the SO2 SIP. We were doing that as an energy savings project. Q. Okay. Now, you have operators that are all over this facility. You have lid men as you indicated, correct? A. Yes.</pre>	880
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	878 point of the process where we remove the hydrogen sulfide from the coke oven gas. Q. Did you have an outside vendor do that project for you? A. I think it was a combination project. I think we may have had some outside vendor work, but we also did some of that work with the plant forces. Q. I'm sorry, go ahead.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>was underway some time prior to and during the negotiation of the SO2 SIP. We were doing that as an energy savings project. Q. Okay. Now, you have operators that are all over this facility. You have lid men as you indicated, correct? A. Yes. Q. You have a larry car operator?</pre>	880
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>878 point of the process where we remove the hydrogen sulfide from the coke oven gas. Q. Did you have an outside vendor do that project for you? A. I think it was a combination project. I think we may have had some outside vendor work, but we also did some of that work with the plant forces. Q. I'm sorry, go ahead. A. With I just said some of the work was done</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>was underway some time prior to and during the negotiation of the SO2 SIP. We were doing that as an energy savings project. Q. Okay. Now, you have operators that are all over this facility. You have lid men as you indicated, correct? A. Yes. Q. You have a larry car operator? A. Yes.</pre>	880
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>878 point of the process where we remove the hydrogen sulfide from the coke oven gas. Q. Did you have an outside vendor do that project for you? A. I think it was a combination project. I think we may have had some outside vendor work, but we also did some of that work with the plant forces. Q. I'm sorry, go ahead. A. With I just said some of the work was done with the plant forces also.</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>was underway some time prior to and during the negotiation of the SO2 SIP. We were doing that as an energy savings project. Q. Okay. Now, you have operators that are all over this facility. You have lid men as you indicated, correct? A. Yes. Q. You have a larry car operator? A. Yes. Q. You have pusher mechanics, people that push the</pre>	880
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>878</li> <li>point of the process where we remove the hydrogen sulfide from the coke oven gas.</li> <li>Q. Did you have an outside vendor do that project for you?</li> <li>A. I think it was a combination project. I think we may have had some outside vendor work, but we also did some of that work with the plant forces.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, go ahead.</li> <li>A. With I just said some of the work was done with the plant forces also.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I didn't mean to cut you off. So you did</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>was underway some time prior to and during the negotiation of the SO2 SIP. We were doing that as an energy savings project. Q. Okay. Now, you have operators that are all over this facility. You have lid men as you indicated, correct? A. Yes. Q. You have a larry car operator? A. Yes. Q. You have pusher mechanics, people that push the cocal, coke?</pre>	880
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>grain of the process where we remove the hydrogen sulfide from the coke oven gas.</li> <li>Q. Did you have an outside vendor do that project for you?</li> <li>A. I think it was a combination project. I think we may have had some outside vendor work, but we also did some of that work with the plant forces.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, go ahead.</li> <li>A. With I just said some of the work was done with the plant forces also.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I didn't mean to cut you off. So you did some of the engineering in-house?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>was underway some time prior to and during the negotiation of the SO2 SIP. We were doing that as an energy savings project.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, you have operators that are all over this facility. You have lid men as you indicated, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have a larry car operator?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have pusher mechanics, people that push the coal, coke?</li> <li>A. It's called a pusher mechanic operator, yes.</li> </ul>	880
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>878 point of the process where we remove the hydrogen sulfide from the coke oven gas. Q. Did you have an outside vendor do that project for you? A. I think it was a combination project. I think we may have had some outside vendor work, but we also did some of that work with the plant forces. Q. I'm sorry, go ahead. A. With I just said some of the work was done with the plant forces also. Q. Okay. I didn't mean to cut you off. So you did some of the engineering in-house? A. We did.</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>was underway some time prior to and during the negotiation of the SO2 SIP. We were doing that as an energy savings project.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, you have operators that are all over this facility. You have lid men as you indicated, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have a larry car operator?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have pusher mechanics, people that push the coal, coke?</li> <li>A. It's called a pusher machine operator, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is there somebody on the coke side to open</li> </ul>	880
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>878</li> <li>point of the process where we remove the hydrogen sulfide from the coke oven gas.</li> <li>Q. Did you have an outside vendor do that project for you?</li> <li>A. I think it was a combination project. I think we may have had some outside vendor work, but we also did some of that work with the plant forces.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, go ahead.</li> <li>A. With I just said some of the work was done with the plant forces also.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I didn't mean to cut you off. So you did some of the engineering in-house?</li> <li>A. We did.</li> <li>Q. Do you typically do engineering in-house for</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>was underway some time prior to and during the negotiation of the SO2 SIP. We were doing that as an energy savings project.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, you have operators that are all over this facility. You have lid men as you indicated, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have a larry car operator?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have pusher mechanics, people that push the coal, coke?</li> <li>A. It's called a pusher machine operator, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is there somebody on the coke side to open up that door?</li> </ul>	880
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>goint of the process where we remove the hydrogen sulfide from the coke oven gas.</li> <li>Q. Did you have an outside vendor do that project for you?</li> <li>A. I think it was a combination project. I think we may have had some outside vendor work, but we also did some of that work with the plant forces.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, go ahead.</li> <li>A. With I just said some of the work was done with the plant forces also.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I didn't mean to cut you off. So you did some of the engineering in-house?</li> <li>A. We did.</li> <li>Q. Do you typically do engineering in-house for projects like this?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>was underway some time prior to and during the negotiation of the SO2 SIP. We were doing that as an energy savings project.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, you have operators that are all over this facility. You have lid men as you indicated, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have a larry car operator?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have pusher mechanics, people that push the coal, coke?</li> <li>A. It's called a pusher machine operator, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is there somebody on the coke side to open up that door?</li> <li>A. The door machine operator, yes.</li> </ul>	880
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>goint of the process where we remove the hydrogen sulfide from the coke oven gas.</li> <li>Q. Did you have an outside vendor do that project for you?</li> <li>A. I think it was a combination project. I think we may have had some outside vendor work, but we also did some of that work with the plant forces.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, go ahead.</li> <li>A. With I just said some of the work was done with the plant forces also.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I didn't mean to cut you off. So you did some of the engineering in-house?</li> <li>A. We did.</li> <li>Q. Do you typically do engineering in-house for projects like this?</li> <li>A. On projects like that, we do do some of that</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>was underway some time prior to and during the negotiation of the SO2 SIP. We were doing that as an energy savings project.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, you have operators that are all over this facility. You have lid men as you indicated, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have a larry car operator?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have pusher mechanics, people that push the coal, coke?</li> <li>A. It's called a pusher machine operator, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is there somebody on the coke side to open up that door?</li> <li>A. The door machine operator, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is it possible for any of these</li> </ul>	880
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>878</li> <li>point of the process where we remove the hydrogen sulfide from the coke oven gas.</li> <li>Q. Did you have an outside vendor do that project for you?</li> <li>A. I think it was a combination project. I think we may have had some outside vendor work, but we also did some of that work with the plant forces.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, go ahead.</li> <li>A. With I just said some of the work was done with the plant forces also.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I didn't mean to cut you off. So you did some of the engineering in-house?</li> <li>A. We did.</li> <li>Q. Do you typically do engineering in-house for projects like that?</li> <li>A. On projects like that, we do do some of that engineering in-house. I've got an exceptionally good</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>was underway some time prior to and during the negotiation of the SO2 SIP. We were doing that as an energy savings project.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, you have operators that are all over this facility. You have lid men as you indicated, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have a larry car operator?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have pusher mechanics, people that push the coal, coke?</li> <li>A. It's called a pusher machine operator, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is there somebody on the coke side to open up that door?</li> <li>A. The door machine operator, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is it possible for any of these individuals to make mistakes in the course of their work?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	880
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>878</li> <li>point of the process where we remove the hydrogen sulfide from the coke oven gas.</li> <li>Q. Did you have an outside vendor do that project for you?</li> <li>A. I think it was a combination project. I think we may have had some outside vendor work, but we also did some of that work with the plant forces.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, go ahead.</li> <li>A. With I just said some of the work was done with the plant forces also.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I didn't mean to cut you off. So you did some of the engineering in-house?</li> <li>A. We did.</li> <li>Q. Do you typically do engineering in-house for projects like that?</li> <li>A. On projects like that, we do do some of that engineering in-house. I've got an exceptionally good processing engineer that does things like that.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>was underway some time prior to and during the negotiation of the SO2 SIP. We were doing that as an energy savings project.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, you have operators that are all over this facility. You have lid men as you indicated, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have a larry car operator?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have pusher mechanics, people that push the coal, coke?</li> <li>A. It's called a pusher machine operator, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is there somebody on the coke side to open up that door?</li> <li>A. The door machine operator, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is it possible for any of these individuals to make mistakes in the course of their work?</li> </ul>	880
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>878</li> <li>point of the process where we remove the hydrogen sulfide from the coke oven gas.</li> <li>Q. Did you have an outside vendor do that project for you?</li> <li>A. I think it was a combination project. I think we may have had some outside vendor work, but we also did some of that work with the plant forces.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, go ahead.</li> <li>A. With I just eaid some of the work was done with the plant forces also.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I didn't mean to cut you off. So you did some of the engineering in-house?</li> <li>A. We did.</li> <li>Q. Do you typically do engineering in-house for projects like that?</li> <li>A. On projects like that, we do do some of that engineering in-house. I've got an exceptionally good processing engineer that does things like that.</li> <li>Q. You have a process engineer?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. How many process engineers do you have?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>was underway some time prior to and during the negotiation of the SO2 SIP. We were doing that as an energy savings project.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, you have operators that are all over this facility. You have lid men as you indicated, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have a larry car operator?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have pusher mechanics, people that push the coal, coke?</li> <li>A. It's called a pusher machine operator, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is there somebody on the coke side to open up that door?</li> <li>A. The door machine operator, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is it possible for any of these individuals to make mistakes in the course of their work?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And when they make those mistakes, do you reprimend then?</li> </ul>	880
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>print of the process where we remove the hydrogen sulfide from the coke oven gas.</li> <li>Q. Did you have an outside vendor do that project for you?</li> <li>A. I think it was a combination project. I think we may have had some outside vendor work, but we also did some of that work with the plant forces.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, go ahead.</li> <li>A. With I just eaid some of the work was done with the plant forces also.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I didn't mean to cut you off. So you did some of the engineering in-house?</li> <li>A. We did.</li> <li>Q. Do you typically do engineering in-house for projects like this?</li> <li>A. On projects like that, we do do some of that engineering in-house. I've got an exceptionally good processing engineer that does things like that.</li> <li>Q. You have a process engineer?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. How many process engineers do you have?</li> <li>A. Well, one in particular in chemicals and</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>was underway some time prior to and during the negotiation of the SO2 SIP. We were doing that as an energy savings project.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, you have operators that are all over this facility. You have lid men as you indicated, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have a larry car operator?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have pusher mechanics, people that push the coal, coke?</li> <li>A. It's called a pusher machine operator, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is there somebody on the coke side to open up that door?</li> <li>A. The door machine operator, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is it possible for any of these individuals to make mistakes in the course of their work?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And when they make those mistakes, do you reprimend them?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	880
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>a print of the process where we remove the hydrogen sulfide from the coke oven gas.</li> <li>a. Did you have an outside vendor do that project for you?</li> <li>b. I think it was a combination project. I think we may have had some outside vendor work, but we also did some of that work with the plant forces.</li> <li>a. I 'm sorry, go ahead.</li> <li>b. Wath I just said some of the work was done with the plant forces also.</li> <li>c. Okay. I didn't mean to cut you off. So you did some of the engineering in-house?</li> <li>A. We did.</li> <li>b. You baye a process engineering in-house for projects like that?</li> <li>c. You have a process engineer?</li> <li>c. You have a process engineers?</li> <li>c. Wo have a process engineers?</li> <li>d. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>d. How many process engineers do you have?</li> <li>A. Well, one in particular in chemicals and you have.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>was underway some time prior to and during the negotiation of the SO2 SIP. We were doing that as an energy savings project.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, you have operators that are all over this facility. You have lid men as you indicated, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have a larry car operator?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have pusher mechanics, people that push the tocal, coke?</li> <li>A. It's called a pusher machine operator, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is there somebody on the coke side to open up that door?</li> <li>A. The door machine operator, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is it possible for any of these individuals to make mistakes in the course of their work?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And when they make those mistakes, do you reprimend them?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a record of reprimending?</li> </ul>	880
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>print of the process where we remove the hydrogen sulfide from the coke oven gas.</li> <li>Q. Did you have an outside vendor do that project for you?</li> <li>A. I think it was a combination project. I think we may have had some outside vendor work, but we also did some of that work with the plant forces.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, go ahead.</li> <li>A. With I just eaid some of the work was done with the plant forces also.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I didn't mean to cut you off. So you did some of the engineering in-house?</li> <li>A. We did.</li> <li>Q. Do you typically do engineering in-house for projects like this?</li> <li>A. On projects like that, we do do some of that engineering in-house. I've got an exceptionally good processing engineer that does things like that.</li> <li>Q. You have a process engineer?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. How many process engineers do you have?</li> <li>A. Well, one in particular in chemicals and</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>was underway some time prior to and during the negotiation of the SO2 SIP. We were doing that as an energy savings project.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, you have operators that are all over this facility. You have lid men as you indicated, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have a larry car operator?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You have pusher mechanics, people that push the coal, coke?</li> <li>A. It's called a pusher machine operator, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is there somebody on the coke side to open up that door?</li> <li>A. The door machine operator, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is it possible for any of these individuals to make mistakes in the course of their work?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And when they make those mistakes, do you reprimend them?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	880

		881			883
1	determines whether or not those reprimands actually		1	A. No.	
2	resulted in positive changes with respect to the coke		2	${\sf Q}.$ You just testified to that. Would you anticipate	
3	operations?		3	there to be any stack performance degradation?	
4	A. Wall, it's kind of a qualitative concept. I've		4	A. From what?	
5	done quality assurance checks on the discipline process.		5	Q. From what?	
6	Actually, just last week, I looked at the last three		6	A. Un-huh (affirmative.)	
7	months of discipline, maybe it was two months of		7	$Q_{\star}$ You mean "with respect to what." There are COMS	
8	discipline history, relative to performance issues.		8	on those stacks; is that correct?	
9	${\sf Q}.~$ Is there have you done any review or study as		9	A. There are —	
10	to any correlation between that and environment		10	Q. On the combustion stacks?	
11	improvements?		11	A. There are continuous opacity monitors on the	
12	A. No. Our preference is to teach them before we		12	combustion stacks, yes.	
13	have to discipline them so we don't have to discipline		13	${\sf Q}. \ $ And thus far, those monitors have been performing	
14	them.		14	very well in terms of your compliance?	
15	$Q_{\star}$ . Isn't that the purpose of the CITE training?		15	A. Yes.	
16	A. Yes.		16	${\sf Q}_{*}$ Okay. Do you have any reason to believe that	
17	${\sf Q}.$ All right. Now, you claim that there is this		17	that compliance rate would go down?	
18	really high $\operatorname{compliance}$ that you had a few years ago with		18	A. No.	
19	respect to the COMS and you started spending some a		19	Q. So if that compliance rate remains steady and	
20	lot of money to fix the through walls, am I correct, of		20	there's improvement with respect to the actual visible	
21	the batteries?		21	emissions inspections, you should be okay in terms of	
22	A. I'm not sure to what you're referencing.		22	compliance with that standard?	
23	${\sf Q}.~$ Do you recall the 2016 Consent Judgment?		23	A. Assuming that the compliance rate on the stack	
24	A. Yes.		24	remains at the 99.384 percent compliance, significantly	
25	${\sf Q}.$ And what was the purpose of that?		25	above the 98.5 percent requirement in the 2016 Consent	
1	A. The purpose of that was to improve the overall.	882	1	Judgment and there is an improvement in the fugitive	884
1 2 3	stack compliance at the facility.	862	1 2 3	emissions, yes.	884
2	stack compliance at the facility. Q. And as you showed in your chart, the stack is one	882	2	emissions, yes. Q. Okay. And you've already indicated there is no	884
2 3	stack compliance at the facility.	862	2 3	emissions, yes. Q. Okay. And you've already indicated there is no reason to believe there would be a degradation with	884
2 3 4	stack compliance at the facility. Q. And as you showed in your chart, the stack is one of probably seven different emission points or emission	882	2 3 4	emissions, yes. Q. Okay. And you've already indicated there is no	884
2 3 4 5	<pre>stack compliance at the facility. Q. And as you showed in your chart, the stack is one of probably seven different emission points or emission categories?</pre>	882	2 3 4 5	emissions, yes. Q. Okay. And you've already indicated there is no reason to believe there would be a degradation with respect to the COMS and their and the compliance with	884
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>stack compliance at the facility. Q. And as you showed in your chart, the stack is one of probably seven different emission points or emission categories? A. Yeah, there's a combustion stack on each of the</pre>	862	2 3 4 5 6	emissions, yes. Q. Okay. And you've already indicated there is no reason to believe there would be a degradation with respect to the COMS and their and the compliance with respect to the COMS?	884
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>stack compliance at the facility.</li> <li>Q. And as you showed in your chart, the stack is one of probably seven different emission points or emission categories?</li> <li>A. Yeah, there's a combustion stack on each of the 10 coke batteries.</li> </ul>	882	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>emissions, yes. Q. Okay. And you've already indicated there is no reason to believe there would be a degradation with respect to the COMS and their and the compliance with respect to the COMS? A. As long as we continue to operate the plant in a</pre>	884
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>stack compliance at the facility.</li> <li>Q. And as you showed in your chart, the stack is one of probably seven different emission points or emission categories?</li> <li>A. Yeah, there's a combustion stack on each of the 10 coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But in addition to the combustion stack,</li> </ul>	882	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>emissions, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you've already indicated there is no reason to believe there would be a degradation with respect to the COMS and their and the compliance with respect to the COMS?</li> <li>A. As long as we continue to operate the plant in a steedy-state condition, that's correct.</li> </ul>	884
2 4 5 7 8 9	<ul> <li>stack compliance at the facility.</li> <li>Q. And as you showed in your chart, the stack is one of probably seven different emission points or emission categories?</li> <li>A. Yeah, there's a combustion stack on each of the 10 coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But in addition to the combustion stack, there are fugitive emissions that you would agree come</li> </ul>	862	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>emissions, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you've already indicated there is no reason to believe there would be a degradation with respect to the COMS and their and the compliance with respect to the COMS?</li> <li>A. As long as we continue to operate the plant in a steady-state condition, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. I recognize that this is a pretty complex</li> </ul>	884
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>stack compliance at the facility.</li> <li>Q. And as you showed in your chart, the stack is one of probably seven different emission points or emission categories?</li> <li>A. Yeah, there's a combustion stack on each of the 10 coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But in addition to the combustion stack, there are fugitive emissions that you would agree come from lids; is that correct?</li> </ul>	862	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>emissions, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you've already indicated there is no reason to believe there would be a degradation with respect to the COMS and their and the compliance with respect to the COMS?</li> <li>A. As long as we continue to operate the plant in a steady-state condition, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. I recognize that this is a pretty complex operation that you have out there. You have 10</li> </ul>	884
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>stack compliance at the facility.</li> <li>Q. And as you showed in your chart, the stack is one of probably seven different emission points or emission categories?</li> <li>A. Yeah, there's a combustion stack on each of the 10 coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But in addition to the combustion stack, there are fugitive emissions that you would agree come from lids; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	882	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>emissions, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you've already indicated there is no reason to believe there would be a degradation with respect to the COMS and their and the compliance with respect to the COMS?</li> <li>A. As long as we continue to operate the plant in a steedy-state condition, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. I recognize that this is a pretty complex operation that you have out there. You have 10 batteries working full time, 24 hours a day, seven days</li> </ul>	884
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>stack compliance at the facility.</li> <li>Q. And as you showed in your chart, the stack is one of probably seven different emission points or emission categories?</li> <li>A. Yeah, there's a combustion stack on each of the 10 coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But in addition to the combustion stack, there are fugitive emissions that you would agree come from lids; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yeas.</li> <li>Q. The door areas?</li> </ul>	862	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>emissions, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you've already indicated there is no reason to believe there would be a degradation with respect to the COMS and their and the compliance with respect to the COMS?</li> <li>A. As long as we continue to operate the plant in a steady-state condition, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. I recognize that this is a pretty complex operation that you have out there. You have 10 batteries working full time, 24 hours a day, seven days a week, 365; is that correct?</li> </ul>	884
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>stack compliance at the facility.</li> <li>Q. And as you showed in your chart, the stack is one of probably seven different emission points or emission categories?</li> <li>A. Yeah, there's a combustion stack on each of the 10 coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But in addition to the combustion stack, there are fugitive emissions that you would agree come from lids; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The door areas?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	862	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>emissions, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you've already indicated there is no reason to believe there would be a degradation with respect to the COMS and their and the compliance with respect to the COMS?</li> <li>A. As long as we continue to operate the plant in a steady-state condition, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. I recognize that this is a pretty complex operation that you have out there. You have 10 batteries working full time, 24 hours a day, seven days a week, 365; is that correct?</li> <li>A. could you restate the question?</li> </ul>	884
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>stack compliance at the facility.</li> <li>Q. And as you showed in your chart, the stack is one of probably seven different emission points or emission categories?</li> <li>A. Yeah, there's a combustion stack on each of the 10 coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But in addition to the combustion stack, there are fugitive emissions that you would agree come from lids; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The door areas?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. From soaking, there's a potential for emissions?</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>emissions, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you've already indicated there is no reason to believe there would be a degradation with respect to the COMS and their and the compliance with respect to the COMS?</li> <li>A. As long as we continue to operate the plant in a steedy-state condition, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. I recognize that this is a pretty complex operation that you have out there. You have 10 batteries working full time, 24 hours a day, seven days a week, 365; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Could you restate the question?</li> <li>Q. Three hundred sixty-five days a year, that all 10</li> </ul>	884
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>stack compliance at the facility.</li> <li>Q. And as you showed in your chart, the stack is one of probably seven different emission points or emission categories?</li> <li>A. Yeah, there's a combustion stack on each of the 10 coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But in addition to the combustion stack, there are fugitive emissions that you would agree come from lids; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The door areas?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. From soaking, there's a potential for emissions?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>emissions, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you've already indicated there is no reason to believe there would be a degradation with respect to the COMS and their and the compliance with respect to the COMS?</li> <li>A. As long as we continue to operate the plant in a steady-state condition, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. I recognize that this is a pretty complex operation that you have out there. You have 10 batteries working full time, 24 hours a day, seven days a week, 365; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Could you restate the question?</li> <li>Q. Three hundred sixty-five days a year, that all 10 batteries are in operation?</li> </ul>	884
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>stack compliance at the facility.</li> <li>Q. And as you showed in your chart, the stack is one of probably seven different emission points or emission categories?</li> <li>A. Yeah, there's a combustion stack on each of the 10 coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But in addition to the combustion stack, there are fugitive emissions that you would agree come from lids; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The door areas?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. From soaking, there's a potential for emissions?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Pushing?</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>emissions, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you've already indicated there is no reason to believe there would be a degradation with respect to the COMS and their and the compliance with respect to the COMS?</li> <li>A. As long as we continue to operate the plant in a steady-state condition, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. I recognize that this is a pretty complex operation that you have out there. You have 10 batteries working full time, 24 hours a day, seven days a week, 365; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Could you restate the question?</li> <li>Q. Three hundred sixty-five days a year, that all 10 batteries are in operation?</li> <li>A. yes.</li> </ul>	884
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>stack compliance at the facility.</li> <li>Q. And as you showed in your chart, the stack is one of probably seven different emission points or emission categories?</li> <li>A. Yeah, there's a combustion stack on each of the 10 coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But in addition to the combustion stack, there are fugitive emissions that you would agree come from lids; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The door areas?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. From soaking, there's a potential for emissions?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Pushing?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>emissions, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you've already indicated there is no reason to believe there would be a degradation with respect to the COMS and their and the compliance with respect to the COMS?</li> <li>A. As long as we continue to operate the plant in a steedy-state condition, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. I recognize that this is a pretty complex operation that you have out there. You have 10 batteries working full time, 24 hours a day, seven days a week, 365; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Could you restate the question?</li> <li>Q. Three hundred sixty-five days a year, that all 10 batteries are in operation?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that has been that</li> </ul>	884
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>stack compliance at the facility.</li> <li>Q. And as you showed in your chart, the stack is one of probably seven different emission points or emission categories?</li> <li>A. Yeah, there's a combustion stack on each of the 10 coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But in addition to the combustion stack, there are fugitive emissions that you would agree come from lids; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The door areas?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. From soaking, there's a potential for emissions?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Pushing?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And none of those are captured through the</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>emissions, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you've already indicated there is no reason to believe there would be a degradation with respect to the COMS and their and the compliance with respect to the COMS?</li> <li>A. As long as we continue to operate the plant in a steady-state condition, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. I recognize that this is a pretty complex operation that you have out there. You have 10 batteries working full time, 24 hours a day, seven days a week, 365; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Could you restate the question?</li> <li>Q. Three hundred sixty-five days a year, that all 10 batteries are in operation?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that has been that</li> <li>A. Less outage time.</li> </ul>	884
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>stack compliance at the facility.</li> <li>Q. And as you showed in your chart, the stack is one of probably seven different emission points or emission categories?</li> <li>A. Yeah, there's a combustion stack on each of the 10 coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But in addition to the combustion stack, there are fugitive emissions that you would agree come from lids; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The door areas?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. From soaking, there's a potential for emissions?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Pushing?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And none of those are captured through the combustion stack, those emissions?</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>emissions, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you've already indicated there is no reason to believe there would be a degradation with respect to the COMS and their and the compliance with respect to the COMS?</li> <li>A. As long as we continue to operate the plant in a steady-state condition, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. I recognize that this is a pretty complex operation that you have out there. You have 10 batteries working full time, 24 hours a day, seven days a week, 365; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Could you restate the question?</li> <li>Q. Three hundred sixty-five days a year, that all 10 batteries are in operation?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that has been that</li> <li>A. Less outage time.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, minus what?</li> </ul>	884
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>stack compliance at the facility.</li> <li>Q. And as you showed in your chart, the stack is one of probably seven different emission points or emission categories?</li> <li>A. Yeah, there's a combustion stack on each of the 10 coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But in addition to the combustion stack, there are fugitive emissions that you would agree come from lids; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The door areas?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. From soaking, there's a potential for emissions?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Pushing?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And none of those are captured through the combustion stack, those emissions?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>emissions, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you've already indicated there is no reason to believe there would be a degradation with respect to the COMS and their and the compliance with respect to the COMS?</li> <li>A. As long as we continue to operate the plant in a steady-state condition, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. I recognize that this is a pretty complex operation that you have out there. You have 10 batteries working full time, 24 hours a day, seven days a week, 365; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Could you restate the question?</li> <li>Q. Three hundred sixty-five days a year, that all 10 batteries are in operation?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that has been that</li> <li>A. Less outage time. We take routine maintenance</li> </ul>	884
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>stack compliance at the facility.</li> <li>Q. And as you showed in your chart, the stack is one of probably seven different emission points or emission categories?</li> <li>A. Yeah, there's a combustion stack on each of the 10 coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But in addition to the combustion stack, there are fugitive emissions that you would agree come from lids; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The door areas?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. From soaking, there's a potential for emissions?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Pushing?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And none of those are captured through the combustion stack, those emissions?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, you mentioned that it would be</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>emissions, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you've already indicated there is no reason to believe there would be a degradation with respect to the COMS and their and the compliance with respect to the COMS?</li> <li>A. As long as we continue to operate the plant in a steady-state condition, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. I recognize that this is a pretty complex operation that you have out there. You have 10 batteries working full time, 24 hours a day, seven days a week, 365; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Could you restate the question?</li> <li>Q. Three hundred sixty-five days a year, that all 10 batteries are in operation?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that has been that</li> <li>A. Less outage time. We take routine maintenance outages.</li> </ul>	884
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>stack compliance at the facility.</li> <li>Q. And as you showed in your chart, the stack is one of probably seven different emission points or emission categories?</li> <li>A. Yeah, there's a combustion stack on each of the 10 coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But in addition to the combustion stack, there are fugitive emissions that you would agree come from lids; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The door areas?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. From soaking, there's a potential for emissions?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And none of those are captured through the combustion stack, those emissions?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And none of those are captured through the combustion stack, those emissions?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, you mentioned that it would be challenging to comply with the Battery B door standard,</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>emissions, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you've already indicated there is no reason to believe there would be a degradation with respect to the COMS and their and the compliance with respect to the COMS?</li> <li>A. As long as we continue to operate the plant in a steady-state condition, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. I recognize that this is a pretty complex operation that you have out there. You have 10 batteries working full time, 24 hours a day, seven days a week, 365; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Could you restate the question?</li> <li>Q. Three hundred sixty-five days a year, that all 10 batteries are in operation?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that has been that</li> <li>A. Less outage time. We take routine maintenance outages.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But with that in mind, there has never</li> </ul>	884
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>stack compliance at the facility.</li> <li>Q. And as you showed in your chart, the stack is one of probably seven different emission points or emission categories?</li> <li>A. Yeah, there's a combustion stack on each of the 10 coke batteries.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But in addition to the combustion stack, there are fugitive emissions that you would agree come from lids; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The door areas?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. From soaking, there's a potential for emissions?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Pushing?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And none of those are captured through the combustion stack, those emissions?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, you mentioned that it would be challenging to comply with the Battery B door standard, and in particular, you were talking about the stack</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>emissions, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you've already indicated there is no reason to believe there would be a degradation with respect to the COMS and their and the compliance with respect to the COMS?</li> <li>A. As long as we continue to operate the plant in a steady-state condition, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. I recognize that this is a pretty complex operation that you have out there. You have 10 batteries working full time, 24 hours a day, seven days a week, 365; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Could you restate the question?</li> <li>Q. Three hundred sixty-five days a year, that all 10 batteries are in operation?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that has been that</li> <li>A. Less outage time. We take routine maintenance outages.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But with that in mind, there has never been a situation at Clairton Coke Works where a battery</li> </ul>	884

		885			887
1	unrecoverable?		1	want to be above compliance, you want to do the best you	
2	A. Not during the course of my career.		2	can in terms of air quality; is that correct?	
3	Q. Do you know of an occasion before that?		3	A. Yes.	
4	A. I don't have any firsthand knowledge, no.		4	Q. Okay. I'm sorry, did you say you had a degree	
5	${\sf Q}.$ Okay. And U.S. Steel employees have complete		5	beyond your undergraduate degree?	
6	control over that process, from the charging until the		6	A. I have a Master's in business.	
7	quenching; is that correct?		7	${f Q}.$ Okay. Do you apply that Master's degree in your	
8	A. Yes.		8	current occupation with respect to the Clairton Coke	
9	Q. You determine the coking time?		9	Works?	
10	A. We do.		10	A. Yes.	
11	Q. And you determine which ovens to push?		11	Q. Okay. How so?	
12	A. Yes.		12	A. I'm responsible for the day-to-day, bottom-line	
13	Q. And when to push it?		13	financial results of the facility.	
14	A. Yes.		14	${\tt Q}.$ Okay. Well, U.S. Steel is a public corporation;	
15	Q. And when to charge?		1.5	is that correct?	
16	A. Yes.		16	A. Yes.	
17	${\sf Q}.~$ And I think you were here earlier this week when		17	Q. You have a board of directors; is that correct?	
18	there was testimony from Keramida as to their		18	A. Yes.	
19	inspections, correct?		19	Q. And you have shareholders?	
20	A. I was here, yes.		20	A. Yes.	
21	${\tt Q}.~$ Is it true that U.S. Steel gets the data of any		21	$Q_{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}$ Would you agree that it is your fiduciary duty to	
22	opacity readings that are picked up by inspectors from		22	have profits for those shareholders?	
23	Keramida?		23	A. Yes.	
24	A. We do.		24	${f Q}.$ Would you agree that in the second quarter of	
25	Q. That same day?		25	2018, that the profits for U.S. Steel were \$218,000,000,	
-					
					0.00
1		886			888
1	A. Typically. Typically, there's a little bit of a	886	1	approximately?	888
1 2	A. Typically. Typically, there's a little bit of a delay, but we typically get it poetty quickly.	886	2	approximately? A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of	888
		886	2 3	A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me.	888
2	delay, but we typically get it pretty quickly.	886	2 3 4	<ul><li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me.</li><li>Q. Would it be approximately that?</li></ul>	888
2 3	<pre>delay, but we typically get it pretty quickly. Q. Pretty quickly. So let's say you get a report</pre>	886	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me.</li> <li>Q. Would it be approximately that?</li> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of</li> </ul>	888
2 3 4	<pre>delay, but we typically get it pretty quickly. Q. Pretty quickly. So let's say you get a report from Keramida on a Tuesday that there is a door leak on</pre>	886	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me.</li> <li>Q. Would it be approximately that?</li> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me. I don't want to testify to that.</li> </ul>	888
2 3 4 5	delay, but we typically get it pretty quickly. Q. Pretty quickly. So let's say you get a report from Keramida on a Tuesday that there is a door leak on the coke side of Battery B or let's say four leaks,	886	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me.</li> <li>Q. Would it be approximately that?</li> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me. I don't want to testify to that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not going to enter this into evidence, but I</li> </ul>	888
2 3 4 5 6	delay, but we typically get it pretty quickly. Q. Pretty quickly. So let's say you get a report from Keramida on a Tuesday that there is a door leak on the coke side of Battery B or let's say four leaks, for the sake of argument, on Battery B. Is there	886	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me.</li> <li>Q. Would it be approximately that?</li> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me. I don't want to testify to that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not going to enter this into evidence, but I do want to at least give you something to put in front</li> </ul>	888
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>delay, but we typically get it pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Pretty quickly. So let's say you get a report from Keramida on a Tuesday that there is a door leak on the coke side of Battery B or let's say four leaks, for the sake of argument, on Battery B. Is there anything you could do in the subsequent days to reduce the number of door leaks?</li> <li>A. Wall, we just continue following the existing</li> </ul>	886	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me.</li> <li>Q. Would it be approximately that?</li> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me. I don't want to testify to that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not going to enter this into evidence, but I do want to at least give you something to put in front of you.</li> </ul>	888
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>delay, but we typically get it pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Pretty quickly. So let's say you get a report from Keramida on a Tuesday that there is a door leak on the coke side of Battery B or let's say four leaks, for the sake of argument, on Battery B. Is there anything you could do in the subsequent days to reduce the number of door leaks?</li> <li>A. Wall, we just continue following the existing operating practices that we have in place to adjust and</li> </ul>	886	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me.</li> <li>Q. Would it be approximately that?</li> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me. I don't want to testify to that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not going to enter this into evidence, but I do want to at least give you something to put in front of you.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> </ul>	888
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>delay, but we typically get it pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Pretty quickly. So let's say you get a report from Keramida on a Tuesday that there is a door leak on the coke side of Battery B or let's say four leaks, for the sake of argument, on Battery B. Is there anything you could do in the subsequent days to reduce the number of door leaks?</li> <li>A. Wall, we just continue following the existing operating practices that we have in place to adjust and seal doors.</li> </ul>	886	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me.</li> <li>Q. Would it be approximately that?</li> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me. I don't want to testify to that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not going to enter this into evidence, but I do want to at least give you something to put in front of you.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Would you agree that U.S. Steel had a profit of</li> </ul>	888
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>delay, but we typically get it pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Pretty quickly. So let's say you get a report from Keramida on a Tuesday that there is a door leak on the coke side of Battery B or let's say four leaks, for the sake of argument, on Battery B. Is there anything you could do in the subsequent days to reduce the number of door leaks?</li> <li>A. Wall, we just continue following the existing operating practices that we have in place to adjust and seal doors.</li> <li>Q. So you could actually continue to seal the door</li> </ul>	886	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me.</li> <li>Q. Would it be approximately that?</li> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me. I don't want to testify to that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not going to enter this into evidence, but I do want to at least give you something to put in front of you.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Would you agree that U.S. Steel had a profit of \$214,000,000 in the second quarter of this year?</li> </ul>	888
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>delay, but we typically get it pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Pretty quickly. So let's say you get a report from Keramida on a Tuesday that there is a door leak on the coke side of Battery B or let's say four leaks, for the sake of argument, on Battery B. Is there anything you could do in the subsequent days to reduce the number of door leaks?</li> <li>A. Wall, we just continue following the existing operating practices that we have in place to adjust and seal doors.</li> <li>Q. So you could actually continue to seal the door to ensure that there isn't another violation after that?</li> </ul>	886	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me.</li> <li>Q. Would it be approximately that?</li> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me. I don't want to testify to that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not going to enter this into evidence, but I do want to at least give you something to put in front of you.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Would you agree that U.S. Steel had a profit of \$214,000,000 in the second quarter of this year?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	888
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>delay, but we typically get it pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Pretty quickly. So let's say you get a report from Keramida on a Tuesday that there is a door leak on the coke side of Battery B or let's say four leaks, for the sake of argument, on Battery B. Is there anything you could do in the subsequent days to reduce the number of door leaks?</li> <li>A. Wall, we just continue following the existing operating practices that we have in place to adjust and seal doors.</li> <li>Q. So you could actually continue to seal the door to ensure that there isn't another violation after that?</li> <li>A. That's what we currently do, yes.</li> </ul>	886	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me.</li> <li>Q. Would it be approximately that?</li> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me. I don't want to testify to that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not going to enter this into evidence, but I do want to at least give you something to put in front of you.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Would you agree that U.S. Steel had a profit of \$214,000,000 in the second quarter of this year?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is that fair?</li> </ul>	888
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>delay, but we typically get it pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Pretty quickly. So let's say you get a report from Keramida on a Tuesday that there is a door leak on the coke side of Battery B or let's say four leaks, for the sake of argument, on Battery B. Is there anything you could do in the subsequent days to reduce the number of door leaks?</li> <li>A. Wall, we just continue following the existing operating practices that we have in place to adjust and seal doors.</li> <li>Q. So you could actually continue to seal the door to ensure that there isn't another violation after that?</li> <li>A. That's what we currently do, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you have done this in the past,</li> </ul>	886	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me.</li> <li>Q. Would it be approximately that?</li> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me. I don't want to testify to that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not going to enter this into evidence, but I do want to at least give you something to put in front of you.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Would you agree that U.S. Steel had a profit of \$214,000,000 in the second quarter of this year?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is that fair?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)</li> </ul>	888
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>delay, but we typically get it pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Pretty quickly. So let's say you get a report from Keramida on a Tuesday that there is a door leak on the coke side of Battery B or let's say four leaks, for the sake of argument, on Battery B. Is there anything you could do in the subsequent days to reduce the number of door leaks?</li> <li>A. Wall, we just continue following the existing gerating practices that we have in place to adjust and seal doors.</li> <li>Q. So you could actually continue to seal the door to ensure that there isn't another violation after that?</li> <li>A. That's what we currently do, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you have done this in the past, correct? You've managed to have fewer than 10 door</li> </ul>	886	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me.</li> <li>Q. Would it be approximately that?</li> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me. I don't want to testify to that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not going to enter this into evidence, but I do want to at least give you something to put in front of you.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Would you agree that U.S. Steel had a profit of \$214,000,000 in the second quarter of this year?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is that fair?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. Would you also agree that environmental</li> </ul>	888
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>delay, but we typically get it pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Pretty quickly. So let's say you get a report from Keramida on a Tuesday that there is a door leak on the coke side of Battery B or let's say four leaks, for the sake of argument, on Battery B. Is there anything you could do in the subsequent days to reduce the number of door leaks?</li> <li>A. Wall, we just continue following the existing practices that we have in place to adjust and seal doors.</li> <li>Q. So you could actually continue to seal the door to ensure that there isn't another violation after that?</li> <li>A. That's what we currently do, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you have done this in the past, correct? You've managed to have fewer than 10 door leaks in any given month?</li> </ul>	886	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me.</li> <li>Q. Would it be approximately that?</li> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me. I don't want to testify to that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not going to enter this into evidence, but I do want to at least give you something to put in front of you.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Would you agree that U.S. Steel had a profit of \$214,000,000 in the second quarter of this year?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is that fair?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. Would you also agree that environmental compliance is a cost for any company?</li> </ul>	888
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>delay, but we typically get it pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Pretty quickly. So let's say you get a report from Keramida on a Tuesday that there is a door leak on the coke side of Battery B or let's say four leaks, for the sake of argument, on Battery B. Is there anything you could do in the subsequent days to reduce the number of door leaks?</li> <li>A. Wall, we just continue following the existing operating practices that we have in place to adjust and seal doors.</li> <li>Q. So you could actually continue to seal the door to ensure that there isn't another violation after that?</li> <li>A. That's what we currently do, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you have done this in the past, correct? You've managed to have fewer than 10 door leaks in any given month?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	886	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me.</li> <li>Q. Would it be approximately that?</li> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me. I don't want to testify to that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not going to enter this into evidence, but I do want to at least give you something to put in front of you.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Would you agree that U.S. Steel had a profit of \$214,000,000 in the second quarter of this year?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is that fair?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. Would you also agree that environmental compliance is a cost for any company?</li> <li>A. (No response.)</li> </ul>	888
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>delay, but we typically get it pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Pretty quickly. So let's say you get a report from Keramida on a Tuesday that there is a door leak on the coke side of Battery B or let's say four leaks, for the sake of argument, on Battery B. Is there anything you could do in the subsequent days to reduce the number of door leaks?</li> <li>A. Wall, we just continue following the existing operating practices that we have in place to adjust and seal doors.</li> <li>Q. So you could actually continue to seal the door to ensure that there isn't another violation after that?</li> <li>A. That's what we currently do, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you have done this in the past, correct? You've managed to have fewer than 10 door leaks in any given month?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you have in the past managed to do this for a</li> </ul>	886	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me.</li> <li>Q. Would it be approximately that?</li> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me. I don't want to testify to that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not going to enter this into evidence, but I do want to at least give you something to put in front of you.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Would you agree that U.S. Steel had a profit of \$214,000,000 in the second quarter of this year?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is that fair?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. Would you also agree that environmental compliance is a cost for any company?</li> <li>A. (No response.)</li> <li>Q. It's a cost setter; wouldn't you agree?</li> </ul>	888
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>delay, but we typically get it pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Pretty quickly. So let's say you get a report from Keramida on a Tuesday that there is a door leak on the coke side of Battery B or let's say four leaks, for the sake of argument, on Battery B. Is there anything you could do in the subsequent days to reduce the number of door leaks?</li> <li>A. Wall, we just continue following the existing operating practices that we have in place to adjust and seal doors.</li> <li>Q. So you could actually continue to seal the door to ensure that there isn't another violation after that?</li> <li>A. That's what we currently do, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you have done this in the past, correct? You've managed to have fewer than 10 door leaks in any given month?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you have in the past managed to do this for a period of six months?</li> </ul>	886	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me.</li> <li>Q. Would it be approximately that?</li> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me. I don't want to testify to that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not going to enter this into evidence, but I do want to at least give you something to put in front of you.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Would you agree that U.S. Steel had a profit of \$214,000,000 in the second quarter of this year?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is that fair?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. Would you also agree that environmental compliance is a cost for any company?</li> <li>A. (No response.)</li> <li>Q. It's a cost setter; wouldn't you agree?</li> <li>A. well, there are costs associated with it, yes.</li> </ul>	888
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>delay, but we typically get it pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Pretty quickly. So let's say you get a report from Keramida on a Tuesday that there is a door leak on the coke side of Battery B or let's say four leaks, for the sake of argument, on Battery B. Is there anything you could do in the subsequent days to reduce the number of door leaks?</li> <li>A. Wall, we just continue following the existing operating practices that we have in place to adjust and seal doors.</li> <li>Q. So you could actually continue to seal the door to ensure that there isn't another violation after that?</li> <li>A. That's what we currently do, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you have done this in the past, correct? You've managed to have fewer than 10 door leaks in any given month?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you have in the past managed to do this for a period of six months?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	886	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me.</li> <li>Q. Would it be approximately that?</li> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me. I don't want to testify to that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not going to enter this into evidence, but I do want to at least give you something to put in front of you.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Would you agree that U.S. Steel had a profit of \$214,000,000 in the second quarter of this year?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is that fair?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. Would you also agree that environmental compliance is a cost for any company?</li> <li>A. (No response.)</li> <li>Q. It's a cost setter; wouldn't you agree?</li> <li>A. Well, there are costs associated with it, yes.</li> <li>Q. And in terms of a return on investment, do you</li> </ul>	888
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>delay, but we typically get it pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Pretty quickly. So let's say you get a report from Keramida on a Tuesday that there is a door leak on the coke side of Battery B or let's say four leaks, for the sake of argument, on Battery B. Is there anything you could do in the subsequent days to reduce the number of door leaks?</li> <li>A. Wall, we just continue following the existing operating practices that we have in place to adjust and seal doors.</li> <li>Q. So you could actually continue to seal the door to ensure that there isn't another violation after that?</li> <li>A. That's what we currently do, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you have done this in the past, correct? You've managed to have fewer than 10 door leaks in any given month?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you have in the past managed to do this for a period of six months?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And there was no enforcement order compelling you</li> </ul>	886	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me.</li> <li>Q. Would it be approximately that?</li> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me. I don't want to testify to that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not going to enter this into evidence, but I do want to at least give you something to put in front of you.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Would you agree that U.S. Steel had a profit of \$214,000,000 in the second quarter of this year?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is that fair?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. Would you also agree that environmental compliance is a cost for any company?</li> <li>A. Wall, there are costs associated with it, yes.</li> <li>Q. And in terms of a return on investment, do you know what that would be for environmental compliance?</li> </ul>	888
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>delay, but we typically get it pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Pretty quickly. So let's say you get a report from Keramida on a Tuesday that there is a door leak on the coke side of Battery B or let's say four leaks, for the sake of argument, on Battery B. Is there anything you could do in the subsequent days to reduce the number of door leaks?</li> <li>A. Wall, we just continue following the existing gerating practices that we have in place to adjust and seal doors.</li> <li>Q. So you could actually continue to seal the door to ensure that there isn't another violation after that?</li> <li>A. That's what we currently do, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you have done this in the past, correct? You've managed to have fewer than 10 door leaks in any given month?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you have in the past managed to do this for a period of six months?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And there was no enforcement order compelling you to do that for those six months?</li> </ul>	886	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me.</li> <li>Q. Would it be approximately that?</li> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me. I don't want to testify to that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not going to enter this into evidence, but I do want to at least give you something to put in front of you.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Would you agree that U.S. Steel had a profit of \$214,000,000 in the second quarter of this year?</li> <li>A. Yee.</li> <li>Q. Is that fair?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. Would you also agree that environmental compliance is a cost for any company?</li> <li>A. Well, there are costs associated with it, yee.</li> <li>Q. And in terms of a return on investment, do you know what that would be for environmental compliance?</li> <li>A. I have no idea.</li> </ul>	888
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>delay, but we typically get it pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Pretty quickly. So let's say you get a report from Keramida on a Tuesday that there is a door leak on the coke side of Battery B or let's say four leaks, for the sake of argument, on Battery B. Is there anything you could do in the subsequent days to reduce the number of door leaks?</li> <li>A. Wall, we just continue following the existing operating practices that we have in place to adjust and seal doors.</li> <li>Q. So you could actually continue to seal the door to ensure that there isn't another violation after that?</li> <li>A. That's what we currently do, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you have done this in the past, correct? You've managed to have fewer than 10 door leaks in any given month?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you have in the past managed to do this for a period of six months?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And there was no enforcement order compelling you to do that for those six months?</li> <li>A. No. We always strive to be beyord compliance.</li> </ul>	886	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me.</li> <li>Q. Would it be approximately that?</li> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me. I don't want to testify to that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not going to enter this into evidence, but I do want to at least give you something to put in front of you.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Would you agree that U.S. Steel had a profit of \$214,000,000 in the second quarter of this year?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is that fair?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. Would you also agree that environmental compliance is a cost for any company?</li> <li>A. Well, there are costs associated with it, yes.</li> <li>Q. And in terms of a return on investment, do you know what that would be for environmental compliance?</li> <li>A. I have no idea.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You said that you are familiar with other</li> </ul>	888
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>delay, but we typically get it pretty quickly.</li> <li>Q. Pretty quickly. So let's say you get a report from Keramida on a Tuesday that there is a door leak on the coke side of Battery B or let's say four leaks, for the sake of argument, on Battery B. Is there anything you could do in the subsequent days to reduce the number of door leaks?</li> <li>A. Wall, we just continue following the existing gerating practices that we have in place to adjust and seal doors.</li> <li>Q. So you could actually continue to seal the door to ensure that there isn't another violation after that?</li> <li>A. That's what we currently do, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And you have done this in the past, correct? You've managed to have fewer than 10 door leaks in any given month?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you have in the past managed to do this for a period of six months?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And there was no enforcement order compelling you to do that for those six months?</li> </ul>	886	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me.</li> <li>Q. Would it be approximately that?</li> <li>A. I don't have the numbers right here in front of me. I don't want to testify to that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not going to enter this into evidence, but I do want to at least give you something to put in front of you.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Would you agree that U.S. Steel had a profit of \$214,000,000 in the second quarter of this year?</li> <li>A. Yee.</li> <li>Q. Is that fair?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. Would you also agree that environmental compliance is a cost for any company?</li> <li>A. Well, there are costs associated with it, yee.</li> <li>Q. And in terms of a return on investment, do you know what that would be for environmental compliance?</li> <li>A. I have no idea.</li> </ul>	888

1					
		889			891
1	A, Yes.		1	A. On cocasion, we will buy components to build the	
2	Q. You're a part of an organization, at least within		2	door. But, you know, we besidelly do component rebuilds	
3	the United States, of industry leaders in the coke		3	an the doors.	
4	industry?		4	${f Q}.$ How much does that cost to do a rebuild of a	
5	A. Yes.		5	door?	
6	${\sf Q}.$ And you said you are familiar with the Monessen		6	A. It varies. It depends on the damage and what has	
7	facility?		7	to be done to the door. I don't know the specific,	
8	A. Generally familiar with it. I've never actually		8	eact cost.	
9	been to the Monessen facility.		9	Q. Well, estimate, if you could.	
10	${f Q}.$ Okay. You are aware that Monessen had been on		10	A. It can probably range — and it depends on the	
11	hot idle for five years?		11	size of the door — it can probably range from 5,000 to	
12	A. Yes.		12	10,000 or \$15,000 per door.	
13	${\sf Q}.$ And you are aware that the DEP issued a penalty		13	Q. \$15,000 per door. How many doors on Battery B?	
14	of \$1.8 million against them?		14	A. One hundred fifty.	
15	A. I was aware that they had been issued a		15	MR. WILLIS: You guys don't have that calculator?	
16	substantial penalty, yes.		16	MR. DAUSCH: Huh-uh (negative.)	
17	Q. Did you know if it was greater than your penalty?		17	BY MR. WILLIS:	
18	A. I just knew that they had been issued a		18	Q. If we were to take 150 times 15,00 I don't	
19	substantial penalty.		19	know if you can do the math in your head, but I may have	
20	${\sf Q}.$ Would it surprise you if you heard that it was		20	my assistant here that would be \$2.25 million; is	
21	\$1.8 million?		21	that correct? Does that seem accurate?	
22	A. I thought it was somewhere in the 1 to 1.5 range.		22	A. Yes.	
23	But, you know, as I said, I didn't know the specific		23	Q. To do a complete door refurbishing for every	
2.4	number.		24	door?	
25	${f Q}.$ That's fair. Do you know the size of Monessen?		25	A. You did the math.	
		890			892
1	A. It's a two-battery operation, two small	890	1	Q. Okay. Do you accept that math?	892
1 2	A. It's a two-battery operation, two small batteries.	890	1 2	Q. Okay. Do you accept that math? A. (No response.)	892
	A set of the	890			892
2	batteries.	890	2	A. (No response.)	892
2 3	Datteries. Q. Two small batteries?	890	2 3	A. (No response.) Q. Yes or no?	892
2 3 4	A. Un-hub (affirmative.)	890	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. (No response.)</li> <li>Q. Yes or no?</li> <li>A. I didn't do the math, so no.</li> </ul>	892
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>batteries.</li> <li>Q. Two small batteries?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh (affinative.)</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many ovens per battery?</li> </ul>	890	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. (No response.)</li> <li>Q. Yes or no?</li> <li>A. I didn't do the math, so no.</li> <li>Q. I'm saying, do you accept the math? You saw me</li> </ul>	892
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>batteries.</li> <li>Q. Two small batteries?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many ovens per battery?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure.</li> </ul>	890	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. (No response.)</li> <li>Q. Yes or no?</li> <li>A. I didn't do the math, so no.</li> <li>Q. I'm saying, do you accept the math? You saw me</li> <li>do it. Do you accept that as a fair number?</li> <li>A. What did you multiply?</li> <li>Q. 150 times 15,000.</li> </ul>	892
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>batteries.</li> <li>Q. Two small batteries?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affinmative.)</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many ovens per battery?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. You're not familiar with it that much?</li> </ul>	890	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. (No response.)</li> <li>Q. Yes or no?</li> <li>A. I didn't do the math, so no.</li> <li>Q. I'm saying, do you accept the math? You saw me</li> <li>do it. Do you accept that as a fair number?</li> <li>A. What did you multiply?</li> </ul>	892
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>batteries.</li> <li>Q. Two small batteries?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many ovens per battery?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. You're not familiar with it that much?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in this matter of scale, you would agree that Clairton Coke Works is substantially bigger</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. (No response.)</li> <li>Q. Yes or no?</li> <li>A. I didn't do the math, so no.</li> <li>Q. I'm saying, do you accept the math? You saw me</li> <li>do it. Do you accept that as a fair number?</li> <li>A. What did you multiply?</li> <li>Q. 150 times 15,000.</li> </ul>	892
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>batteries.</li> <li>Q. Two small batteries?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh (affimative.)</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many ovens per battery?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. You're not familiar with it that much?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in this matter of scale, you would agree that Clairton Coke Works is substantially bigger than Monessen?</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. (No response.)</li> <li>Q. Yes or no?</li> <li>A. I didn't do the math, so no.</li> <li>Q. I'm saying, do you accept the math? You saw me</li> <li>do it. Do you accept that as a fair number?</li> <li>A. What did you multiply?</li> <li>Q. 150 times 15,000.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: So it would be 150 doors</li> <li>times \$15,000 per door, is that the</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: Correct.</li> </ul>	892
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>batteries.</li> <li>Q. Two small batteries?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many ovens per battery?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. You're not familiar with it that much?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in this matter of scale, you would agree that Clairton Coke Works is substantially bigger than Monessen?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. (No response.)</li> <li>Q. Yes or no?</li> <li>A. I didn't do the math, so no.</li> <li>Q. I'm saying, do you accept the math? You saw me</li> <li>do it. Do you accept that as a fair number?</li> <li>A. What did you multiply?</li> <li>Q. 150 times 15,000.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: So it would be 150 doors</li> <li>times \$15,000 per door, is that the MR. WILLIS: Correct.</li> <li>BY MR. WILLIS:</li> </ul>	892
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>batteries.</li> <li>Q. Two small batteries?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many ovens per battery?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. You're not familiar with it that much?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in this matter of scale, you would agree that Clairton Coke Works is substantially bigger than Monessen?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you ever had to replace the battery</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. (No response.)</li> <li>Q. Yes or no?</li> <li>A. I didn't do the math, so no.</li> <li>Q. I'm saying, do you accept the math? You saw me do it. Do you accept that as a fair number?</li> <li>A. What did you multiply?</li> <li>Q. 150 times 15,000. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: So it would be 150 doors times \$15,000 per door, is that the MR. WILLIS: Correct.</li> <li>BY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Now, you said if you had unlimited resources,</li> </ul>	892
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>batteries.</li> <li>Q. Two small batteries?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh (affimative.)</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many ovens per battery?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. You're not familiar with it that much?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in this matter of scale, you would agree that Clairton Coke Works is substantially bigger than Monessen?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you ever had to replace the battery doors the doors on a coke oven battery of Battery B?</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. (No response.)</li> <li>Q. Yes or no?</li> <li>A. I didn't do the math, so no.</li> <li>Q. I'm saying, do you accept the math? You saw me</li> <li>do it. Do you accept that as a fair number?</li> <li>A. What did you multiply?</li> <li>Q. 150 times 15,000.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: So it would be 150 doors</li> <li>times \$15,000 per door, is that the MR. WILLIS: Correct.</li> <li>BY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Now, you said if you had unlimited resources, there's nothing you could do in terms of reducing these</li> </ul>	892
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>batteries.</li> <li>Q. Two small batteries?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many ovens per battery?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. You're not familiar with it that much?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in this matter of scale, you would agree that Clairton Coke Works is substantially bigger than Monessen?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you ever had to replace the battery doors the doors on a coke oven battery of Battery B?</li> <li>A. Myself personally?</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. (No response.)</li> <li>Q. Yes or no?</li> <li>A. I didn't do the math, so no.</li> <li>Q. I'm saying, do you accept the math? You saw me</li> <li>do it. Do you accept that as a fair number?</li> <li>A. What did you multiply?</li> <li>Q. 150 times 15,000.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: So it would be 150 doors</li> <li>times \$15,000 per door, is that the MR. WILLIS: Correct.</li> <li>BY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Now, you said if you had unlimited resources,</li> <li>there's nothing you could do in terms of reducing these</li> <li>door leaks; is that accurate?</li> </ul>	892
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>batteries.</li> <li>Q. Two small batteries?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many ovens per battery?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. You're not familiar with it that much?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in this matter of scale, you would agree that Clairton Coke Works is substantially bigger than Monessen?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you ever had to replace the battery doors the doors on a coke oven battery of Battery B?</li> <li>A. Myself personally?</li> <li>Q. You, as in U.S. Steel.</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. (No response.)</li> <li>Q. Yes or no?</li> <li>A. I didn't do the math, so no.</li> <li>Q. I'm saying, do you accept the math? You saw me</li> <li>do it. Do you accept that as a fair number?</li> <li>A. What did you multiply?</li> <li>Q. 150 times 15,000.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: So it would be 150 doors</li> <li>times \$15,000 per door, is that the MR. WILLIS: Correct.</li> <li>EY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Now, you said if you had unlimited resources, there's nothing you could do in terms of reducing these door leaks; is that accurate?</li> <li>A. Yes, in the time period allotted.</li> </ul>	892
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>batteries.</li> <li>Q. Two small batteries?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many ovens per battery?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. You're not familiar with it that much?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in this matter of scale, you would agree that Clairton Coke Works is substantially bigger than Monessen?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you ever had to replace the battery doors the doors on a coke oven battery of Battery B?</li> <li>A. Myself personally?</li> <li>Q. You, as in U.S. Steel.</li> <li>A. Yes, they were they are replaced on a periodic</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. (No response.)</li> <li>Q. Yes or no?</li> <li>A. I didn't do the math, so no.</li> <li>Q. I'm saying, do you accept the math? You saw me</li> <li>do it. Do you accept that as a fair number?</li> <li>A. What did you multiply?</li> <li>Q. 150 times 15,000.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: So it would be 150 doors</li> <li>times \$15,000 per door, is that the</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: Correct.</li> <li>BY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Now, you said if you had unlimited resources,</li> <li>there's nothing you could do in terms of reducing these</li> <li>door leaks; is that accurate?</li> <li>A. Yes, in the time period allotted.</li> <li>Q. You couldn't come up with \$2 million?</li> </ul>	892
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>batteries.</li> <li>Q. Two small batteries?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many ovens per battery?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. You're not familiar with it that much?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in this matter of scale, you would agree that Clairton Coke Works is substantially bigger than Monessen?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you ever had to replace the battery cloors the doors on a coke oven battery of Battery B?</li> <li>A. Myself personally?</li> <li>Q. You, as in U.S. Steel.</li> <li>A. Yes, they were they are replaced on a periodic basis as they need to be maintained.</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. (No response.)</li> <li>Q. Yes or no?</li> <li>A. I didn't do the math, so no.</li> <li>Q. I'm saying, do you accept the math? You saw me</li> <li>do it. Do you accept that as a fair number?</li> <li>A. What did you multiply?</li> <li>Q. 150 times 15,000.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: So it would be 150 doors</li> <li>times \$15,000 per door, is that the MR. WILLIS: Correct.</li> <li>BY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Now, you said if you had unlimited resources,</li> <li>there's nothing you could do in terms of reducing these</li> <li>door leaks; is that accurate?</li> <li>A. Yes, in the time period allotted.</li> <li>Q. You couldn't come up with \$2 million?</li> <li>A. You couldn't refurbish those doors in that time</li> </ul>	892
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>batteries.</li> <li>Q. Two small batteries?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many ovens per battery?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. You're not familiar with it that much?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in this matter of scale, you would agree that Clairton Coke Works is substantially bigger than Monessen?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you ever had to replace the battery doors the doors on a coke oven battery of Battery B?</li> <li>A. Myself personally?</li> <li>Q. You, as in U.S. Steel.</li> <li>A. Yes, they were they are replaced on a periodic basis as they need to be maintained.</li> <li>Q. What's the period?</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. (No response.)</li> <li>Q. Yes or no?</li> <li>A. I didn't do the meth, so no.</li> <li>Q. I'm saying, do you accept the math? You saw me</li> <li>do it. Do you accept that as a fair number?</li> <li>A. What did you multiply?</li> <li>Q. 150 times 15,000.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: So it would be 150 doors</li> <li>times \$15,000 per door, is that the MR. WILLIS: Correct.</li> <li>BY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Now, you said if you had unlimited resources, there's nothing you could do in terms of reducing these door leaks; is that accurate?</li> <li>A. Yes, in the time period allotted.</li> <li>Q. You couldn't come up with \$2 million?</li> <li>A. You couldn't refurbish those doors in that time period.</li> </ul>	892
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>batteries.</li> <li>Q. Two small batteries?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many ovens per battery?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. You're not familiar with it that much?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in this matter of scale, you would agree that Clairton Coke Works is substantially bigger than Monessen?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you ever had to replace the battery doors the doors on a coke oven battery of Battery B?</li> <li>A. Myself personally?</li> <li>Q. You, as in U.S. Steel.</li> <li>A. Yes, they were they are replaced on a periodic basis as they need to be maintained.</li> <li>Q. What's the period?</li> <li>A. It depends on the door service and the door</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 :	<ul> <li>A. (No response.)</li> <li>Q. Yes or no?</li> <li>A. I didn't do the math, so no.</li> <li>Q. I'm saying, do you accept the math? You saw me</li> <li>do it. Do you accept that as a fair number?</li> <li>A. What did you multiply?</li> <li>Q. 150 times 15,000.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: So it would be 150 doors</li> <li>times \$15,000 per door, is that the MR. WILLIS: Correct.</li> <li>BY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Now, you said if you had unlimited resources, there's nothing you could do in terms of reducing these door leaks; is that accurate?</li> <li>A. Yes, in the time period allotted.</li> <li>Q. You couldn't come up with \$2 million?</li> <li>A. You couldn't refurbish those doors in that time period.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> </ul>	892
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>batteries.</li> <li>Q. Two small batteries?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many ovens per battery?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. You're not familiar with it that much?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in this matter of scale, you would agree that Clairton Coke Works is substantially bigger than Monessen?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Bave you ever had to replace the battery doors the doors on a coke oven battery of Battery B?</li> <li>A. Myself personally?</li> <li>Q. You, as in U.S. Steel.</li> <li>A. Yes, they were they are replaced on a periodic basis as they need to be maintained.</li> <li>Q. What's the period?</li> <li>A. It depends on the door service and the door condition. We have an internal shop in the Clairton</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 :	<ul> <li>A. (No response.)</li> <li>Q. Yes or no?</li> <li>A. I didn't do the meth, so no.</li> <li>Q. I'm saying, do you accept the math? You saw me</li> <li>do it. Do you accept that as a fair number?</li> <li>A. What did you multiply?</li> <li>Q. 150 times 15,000.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: So it would be 150 doors</li> <li>times \$15,000 per door, is that the MR. WILLIS: Correct.</li> <li>BY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Now, you said if you had unlimited resources,</li> <li>there's nothing you could do in terms of reducing these</li> <li>door leaks; is that accurate?</li> <li>A. Yes, in the time period allotted.</li> <li>Q. You couldn't come up with \$2 million?</li> <li>A. You couldn't refurbish those doors in that time</li> <li>period.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. Because you can't take all the doors off the</li> </ul>	892
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>batteries.</li> <li>Q. Two small batteries?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many ovens per battery?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. You're not familiar with it that much?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in this matter of scale, you would agree that Clairton Coke Works is substantially bigger than Monessen?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you ever had to replace the battery doors the doors on a coke oven battery of Battery B?</li> <li>A. Myself personally?</li> <li>Q. You, as in U.S. Steel.</li> <li>A. Yes, they were they are replaced on a periodic basis as they need to be maintained.</li> <li>Q. What's the period?</li> <li>A. It depends on the door service and the door facility where we do all of our own door rebuilds.</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 21	<ul> <li>A. (No response.)</li> <li>Q. Yes or no?</li> <li>A. I didn't do the meth, so no.</li> <li>Q. I'm saying, do you accept the math? You saw me do it. Do you accept that as a fair number?</li> <li>A. What did you multiply?</li> <li>Q. 150 times 15,000.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: So it would be 150 doors times \$15,000 per door, is that the MR. WILLIS: Correct.</li> <li>BY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Now, you said if you had unlimited resources, there's nothing you could do in terms of reducing these door leaks; is that accurate?</li> <li>A. Yes, in the time period allotted.</li> <li>Q. You couldn't come up with \$2 million?</li> <li>A. You couldn't refurbish those doors in that time period.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. Because you can't take all the doors off the battery and refurbish them all at once. You've got to</li> </ul>	892
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>batteries.</li> <li>Q. Two small batteries?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many ovens per battery?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. You're not familiar with it that much?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in this matter of scale, you would agree that Clairton Coke Works is substantially bigger than Monessen?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you ever had to replace the battery doors the doors on a coke oven battery of Battery B?</li> <li>A. Myself personally?</li> <li>Q. You, as in U.S. Steel.</li> <li>A. Yes, they were they are replaced on a periodic basis as they need to be maintained.</li> <li>Q. What's the period?</li> <li>A. It depends on the door service and the door condition. We have an internal shop in the Clairton facility where we do all of our own door rebuilds.</li> <li>Q. So you rebuild the doors?</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 1 1	<ul> <li>A. (No response.)</li> <li>Q. Yes or no?</li> <li>A. I didn't do the math, so no.</li> <li>Q. I'm saying, do you accept the math? You saw me</li> <li>do it. Do you accept that as a fair number?</li> <li>A. What did you multiply?</li> <li>Q. 150 times 15,000.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: So it would be 150 doors</li> <li>times \$15,000 per door, is that the MR. WILLIS: Correct.</li> <li>BY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Now, you said if you had unlimited resources, there's nothing you could do in terms of reducing these door leaks; is that accurate?</li> <li>A. Yes, in the time period allotted.</li> <li>Q. You couldn't come up with \$2 million?</li> <li>A. You couldn't refurbish those doors in that time period.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. Because you can't take all the doors off the bettery and refurbish them all at once. You've got to have doors on the battery to operate the battery.</li> </ul>	892
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>batteries.</li> <li>Q. Two small batteries?</li> <li>A. Un-huh (affirmative.)</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many ovens per battery?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. You're not familiar with it that much?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in this matter of scale, you would agree that Clairton Coke Works is substantially bigger than Monessen?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you ever had to replace the battery doors the doors on a coke oven battery of Battery B?</li> <li>A. Myself personally?</li> <li>Q. You, as in U.S. Steel.</li> <li>A. Yes, they were they are replaced on a periodic basis as they need to be maintained.</li> <li>Q. What's the period?</li> <li>A. It depends on the door service and the door facility where we do all of our own door rebuilds.</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 21	<ul> <li>A. (No response.)</li> <li>Q. Yes or no?</li> <li>A. I didn't do the meth, so no.</li> <li>Q. I'm saying, do you accept the math? You saw me do it. Do you accept that as a fair number?</li> <li>A. What did you multiply?</li> <li>Q. 150 times 15,000.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: So it would be 150 doors times \$15,000 per door, is that theMR. WILLIS: Correct.</li> <li>BY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Now, you said if you had unlimited resources, there's nothing you could do in terms of reducing these door leaks; is that accurate?</li> <li>A. Yes, in the time period allotted.</li> <li>Q. You couldn't come up with \$2 million?</li> <li>A. You couldn't refurbish those doors in that time period.</li> <li>Q. Why not?</li> <li>A. Because you can't take all the doors off the battery and refurbish them all at once. You've got to</li> </ul>	892

-		893	1 A. Idan't know. I suppose not.	895
1	Q. In what time period?		<ol> <li>A. I don't know. I suppose not.</li> <li>Q. If you did, you wouldn't have these conditions</li> </ol>	
2	A. Well, it takes a significant volume of time to		3 placed in the order, is that fair? The Battery B limit,	
3	rebuild a door. Q. How much time does it take?		4 you wouldn't have that put in that order?	
4 5	A. I can't speak to that specifically. I've never		5 A. No.	
6	had direct-line responsibility for the door repair shop,		6 Q. I'm going to turn to Exhibit 17. We're going to	
7	but it takes weeks.		7 go to page 9 sorry 16, not page 9. Are you familiar	
8	Q. Weeks?		8 with this document?	
9	A. Yes.		9 A. Ingeneral, yes.	
10	Q. You're familiar with the ACHD inspectors and the	1	Q. Okay. I'm going to draw your attention to the	
11	Keramida inspectors and the Veolia inspectors that are		1 top table. Can you read what that table is at the top?	
12	on your property at any given point in time, correct?		2 A. Yeah, that's the hydrogen sulfide performance	
13	A. Yes.		3 from our coke oven gas desulfurization plant.	
14	Q. Does U.S. Steel allow them to take photos of the	1		
15	facility?		5 MR. UAUSCH: What number did you say?	
16	A. To my knowledge, I don't know that we do.		6 MR. WILLIS: 16.	
	Q. Are they allowed to take videos?		7 HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, I think you're at	
17 18	<ul> <li>Are they allowed to take videos?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> </ul>		8 Tab 17.	
		1		
19	Q. You mentioned that opposing counsel indicated that you had no participation in the enforcement order,		0 MR. RHOADS: You said page 9?	
20 21	is that correct, that's at issue today? Did you have		1 MR. WILLIS: Yes, sir.	
22			2 MR. DAUSCH: Do you want to ask if he is familiar	
	any participation in that order?		3 with it again since he had the wrong	
23 24	<ul> <li>A. No, we received the enforcement order.</li> <li>Q. Do you anticipate ever having participation in an</li> </ul>		4 MR. WILLIS: Yes, yeah.	
24	enforcement order against you?		5 BY MR. WILLIS:	
		894		896
-				0.0.0
	A. I don't know.		1 Q. Please, if you've seen it.	
1	A. Idon't know. O. Well		<ol> <li>Q. Please, if you've seen it.</li> <li>A. Which chart are we looking at?</li> </ol>	
2	Q. Well			
2 3	Q. Well A. We are only one side to the party.		<ul> <li>A. Which chart are we looking at?</li> <li>Q. Well, look to the first page just to make sure</li> </ul>	
2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. We are only one side to the party.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And you understand that the Allegheny</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>A. Which chart are we looking at?</li> <li>Q. Well, look to the first page just to make sure</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. We are only one side to the party.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And you understand that the Allegheny</li> <li>County Health Department is a regulatory agency; is that</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>A. Which chart are we looking at?</li> <li>Q. Well, look to the first page just to make sure</li> <li>you're familiar with that document. That was my first</li> </ul>	
2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. We are only one side to the party.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And you understand that the Allegheny</li> </ul>		A. Which chart are we looking at? Q. Well, look to the first page just to make sure you're familiar with that document. That was my first question, are you familiar with that document?	
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Well A. We are only one side to the party. Q. Correct. And you understand that the Allegheny County Health Department is a regulatory agency; is that correct?		<ul> <li>A. Which chart are we looking at?</li> <li>Q. Well, look to the first page just to make sure</li> <li>you're familiar with that document. That was my first</li> <li>question, are you familiar with that document?</li> <li>A. I don't know that I've ever seen the document</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Well A. We are only one side to the party. Q. Correct. And you understand that the Allegheny County Health Department is a regulatory agency; is that correct? A. Yes.		<ul> <li>A. Which chart are we looking at?</li> <li>Q. Well, look to the first page just to make sure</li> <li>you're familiar with that document. That was my first</li> <li>question, are you familiar with that document?</li> <li>A. I don't know that I've ever seen the document</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Well A. We are only one side to the party. Q. Correct. And you understand that the Allegheny County Health Department is a regulatory agency; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And outside of a consent order you understand		<ul> <li>A. Which chart are we looking at?</li> <li>Q. Well, look to the first page just to make sure</li> <li>you're familiar with that document. That was my first</li> <li>question, are you familiar with that document?</li> <li>A. I don't know that I've ever seen the document</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A before.</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Well A. We are only one side to the party. Q. Correct. And you understand that the Allegheny County Health Department is a regulatory agency; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And outside of a consent order you understand what a consent order is, correct?	1	<ul> <li>A. Which chart are we looking at?</li> <li>Q. Well, look to the first page just to make sure</li> <li>you're familiar with that document. That was my first</li> <li>question, are you familiar with that document?</li> <li>A. I don't know that I've ever seen the document</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A before.</li> <li>Q. That's fair. And on page 9, if you look at 2019</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Well A. We are only one side to the party. Q. Correct. And you understand that the Allegheny County Health Department is a regulatory agency; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And outside of a consent order you understand what a consent order is, correct? A. In general, yes.	1	<ul> <li>A. Which chart are we looking at?</li> <li>Q. Well, look to the first page just to make sure</li> <li>you're familiar with that document. That was my first</li> <li>question, are you familiar with that document?</li> <li>A. I don't know that I've ever seen the document</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A before.</li> <li>Q. That's fair. And on page 9, if you look at 2019</li> <li>in that top table, that top chart, there's a line for</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. We are only one side to the party.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And you understand that the Allegheny</li> <li>County Health Department is a regulatory agency; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And outside of a consent order you understand what a consent order is, correct?</li> <li>A. In general, yes.</li> <li>Q. Well, you said you signed one for the May 7, 2018</li> </ul>	1	<ul> <li>A. Which chart are we looking at?</li> <li>Q. Well, look to the first page just to make sure</li> <li>you're familiar with that document. That was my first</li> <li>question, are you familiar with that document?</li> <li>A. I don't know that I've ever seen the document</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A before.</li> <li>Q. That's fair. And on page 9, if you look at 2019</li> <li>in that top table, that top chart, there's a line for</li> <li>"liberty." Do you see that line?</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. We are only one side to the party.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And you understand that the Allegheny</li> <li>County Health Department is a regulatory agency; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And outside of a consent order you understand what a consent order is, correct?</li> <li>A. In general, yes.</li> <li>Q. Well, you said you signed one for the May 7, 2018</li> <li>Consent Order, you signed that order?</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>A. Which chart are we looking at?</li> <li>Q. Well, look to the first page just to make sure</li> <li>you're familiar with that document. That was my first</li> <li>question, are you familiar with that document?</li> <li>A. I don't know that I've ever seen the document</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A before.</li> <li>Q. That's fair. And on page 9, if you look at 2019</li> <li>in that top table, that top chart, there's a line for</li> <li>"liberty." Do you see that line?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: I'm going to object to questions</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. We are only one side to the party.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And you understand that the Allegheny</li> <li>County Health Department is a regulatory agency; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And outside of a consent order you understand what a consent order is, correct?</li> <li>A. In general, yes.</li> <li>Q. Well, you said you signed one for the May 7, 2018</li> <li>Consent Order, you signed that order?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	1	<ul> <li>A. Which chart are we looking at?</li> <li>Q. Well, look to the first page just to make sure</li> <li>you're familiar with that document. That was my first</li> <li>question, are you familiar with that document?</li> <li>A. I don't know that I've ever seen the document</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A before.</li> <li>Q. That's fair. And on page 9, if you look at 2019</li> <li>in that top table, that top chart, there's a line for</li> <li>"liberty." Do you see that line?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: I'm going to object to questions</li> <li>about a document he's never seen before. All he can do</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. We are only one side to the party.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And you understand that the Allegheny</li> <li>County Health Department is a regulatory agency; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And outside of a consent order you understand what a consent order is, correct?</li> <li>A. In general, yes.</li> <li>Q. Well, you said you signed one for the May 7, 2018</li> <li>Consent Order, you signed that order?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you reviewed that order?</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>A. Which chart are we looking at?</li> <li>Q. Well, look to the first page just to make sure</li> <li>you're familiar with that document. That was my first</li> <li>question, are you familiar with that document?</li> <li>A. I don't know that I've ever seen the document</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A before.</li> <li>Q. That's fair. And on page 9, if you look at 2019</li> <li>in that top table, that top chart, there's a line for</li> <li>"liberty." Do you see that line?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: I'm going to object to questions</li> <li>about a document he's never seen before. All he can do</li> <li>is read what is on the document.</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Well A. We are only one side to the party. Q. Correct. And you understand that the Allegheny County Health Department is a regulatory agency; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And outside of a consent order you understand what a consent order is, correct? A. In general, yes. Q. Well, you said you signed one for the May 7, 2018 Consent Order, you signed that order? A. Yes. Q. And you reviewed that order? A. Yes.		<ul> <li>A. Which chart are we looking at?</li> <li>Q. Well, look to the first page just to make sure</li> <li>you're familiar with that document. That was my first</li> <li>question, are you familiar with that document?</li> <li>A. I don't know that I've ever seen the document</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A before.</li> <li>Q. That's fair. And on page 9, if you look at 2019</li> <li>in that top table, that top chart, there's a line for</li> <li>"liberty." Do you see that line?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: I'm going to object to questions</li> <li>about a document he's never seen before. All he can do</li> <li>is read what is on the document.</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: That's all I want him to do.</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. We are only one side to the party.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And you understand that the Allegheny County Health Department is a regulatory agency; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And outside of a consent order you understand what a consent order is, correct?</li> <li>A. In general, yes.</li> <li>Q. Well, you said you signed one for the May 7, 2018</li> <li>Consent Order, you signed that order?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you reviewed that order?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you reviewed that order?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you participated in that order to the extent</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>A. Which chart are we looking at?</li> <li>Q. Well, look to the first page just to make sure</li> <li>you're familiar with that document. That was my first</li> <li>question, are you familiar with that document?</li> <li>A. I don't know that I've ever seen the document</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A before.</li> <li>Q. That's fair. And on page 9, if you look at 2019</li> <li>in that top table, that top chart, there's a line for</li> <li>"liberty." Do you see that line?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: I'm going to object to questions</li> <li>about a document he's never seen before. All he can do</li> <li>is read what is on the document.</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: That's all I want him to do.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Yeah, he can testify to</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. We are only one side to the party.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And you understand that the Allegheny County Health Department is a regulatory agency; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And outside of a consent order you understand what a consent order is, correct?</li> <li>A. In general, yes.</li> <li>Q. Well, you said you signed one for the May 7, 2018</li> <li>Consent Order, you signed that order?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you reviewed that order?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you participated in that order to the extent that you reviewed and signed it?</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>A. Which chart are we looking at?</li> <li>Q. Well, look to the first page just to make sure</li> <li>you're familiar with that document. That was my first</li> <li>question, are you familiar with that document?</li> <li>A. I don't know that I've ever seen the document</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A before.</li> <li>Q. That's fair. And on page 9, if you look at 2019</li> <li>in that top table, that top chart, there's a line for</li> <li>"liberty." Do you see that line?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: I'm going to object to questions</li> <li>about a document he's never seen before. All he can do</li> <li>is read what is on the document.</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: That's all I want him to do.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Yeah, he can testify to</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. We are only one side to the party.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And you understand that the Allegheny County Health Department is a regulatory agency; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And outside of a consent order you understand what a consent order is, correct?</li> <li>A. In general, yes.</li> <li>Q. Well, you said you signed one for the May 7, 2018</li> <li>Consent Order, you signed that order?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you reviewed that order?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you participated in that order to the extent that you reviewed and signed it?</li> <li>A. Yes, and U.S. Steel participated in it.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>A. Which chart are we looking at?</li> <li>Q. Well, look to the first page just to make sure</li> <li>you're familiar with that document. That was my first</li> <li>question, are you familiar with that document?</li> <li>A. I don't know that I've ever seen the document</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A before.</li> <li>Q. That's fair. And on page 9, if you look at 2019</li> <li>in that top table, that top chart, there's a line for</li> <li>"liberty." Do you see that line?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: I'm going to object to questions</li> <li>about a document he's never seen before. All he can do</li> <li>is read what is on the document.</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: That's all I want him to do.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Yeah, he can testify to</li> <li>what he sees on the document before.</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. We are only one side to the party.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And you understand that the Allegheny County Health Department is a regulatory agency; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And outside of a consent order you understand what a consent order is, correct?</li> <li>A. In general, yes.</li> <li>Q. Well, you said you signed one for the May 7, 2018 Consent Order, you signed that order?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you reviewed that order?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you participated in that order to the extent that you reviewed and signed it?</li> <li>A. Yes, and U.S. Steel participated in it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But that's a consent order, it's in the</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>A. Which chart are we looking at?</li> <li>Q. Well, look to the first page just to make sure</li> <li>you're familiar with that document. That was my first</li> <li>question, are you familiar with that document?</li> <li>A. I don't know that I've ever seen the document</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A before.</li> <li>Q. That's fair. And on page 9, if you look at 2019</li> <li>in that top table, that top chart, there's a line for</li> <li>"liberty." Do you see that line?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: I'm going to object to questions</li> <li>about a document he's never seen before. All he can do</li> <li>is read what is on the document.</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: That's all I want him to do.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Yeah, he can testify to</li> <li>what he sees on the document even though he is not he</li> <li>has not personally seen this document before.</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. We are only one side to the party.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And you understand that the Allegheny County Health Department is a regulatory agency; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And outside of a consent order you understand what a consent order is, correct?</li> <li>A. In general, yes.</li> <li>Q. Well, you said you signed one for the May 7, 2018</li> <li>Consent Order, you signed that order?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you reviewed that order?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you participated in that order to the extent that you reviewed and signed it?</li> <li>A. Yes, and U.S. Steel participated in it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But that's a consent order, it's in the title, right, that you consented to the order?</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>A. Which chart are we looking at?</li> <li>Q. Well, look to the first page just to make sure</li> <li>you're familiar with that document. That was my first</li> <li>question, are you familiar with that document?</li> <li>A. I don't know that I've ever seen the document</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A before.</li> <li>Q. That's fair. And on page 9, if you look at 2019</li> <li>in that top table, that top chart, there's a line for</li> <li>"liberty." Do you see that line?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: I'm going to object to questions</li> <li>about a document he's never seen before. All he can do</li> <li>is read what is on the document.</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: That's all I want him to do.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Yeah, he can testify to</li> <li>what he sees on the document even though he is not he</li> <li>has not personally seen this document before.</li> <li>MR. RHOADS: Yeah, I see the point on there</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. We are only one side to the party.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And you understand that the Allegheny County Health Department is a regulatory agency; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And outside of a consent order you understand what a consent order is, correct?</li> <li>A. In general, yes.</li> <li>Q. Well, you said you signed one for the May 7, 2018</li> <li>Consent Order, you signed that order?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you reviewed that order?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you participated in that order to the extent that you reviewed and signed it?</li> <li>A. Yes, and U.S. Steel participated in it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But that's a consent order, it's in the title, right, that you consented to the order?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>A. Which chart are we looking at?</li> <li>Q. Well, look to the first page just to make sure</li> <li>you're familiar with that document. That was my first</li> <li>question, are you familiar with that document?</li> <li>A. I don't know that I've ever seen the document</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A before.</li> <li>Q. That's fair. And on page 9, if you look at 2019</li> <li>in that top table, that top chart, there's a line for</li> <li>"liberty." Do you see that line?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: I'm going to object to questions</li> <li>about a document he's never seen before. All he can do</li> <li>is read what is on the document.</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: That's all I want him to do.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Yeah, he can testify to</li> <li>what he sees on the document even though he is not he</li> <li>has not personally seen this document before.</li> <li>MR. RHOADS: Yeah, I see the point on there</li> <li>marked "liberty."</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. We are only one side to the party.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And you understand that the Allegheny County Health Department is a regulatory agency; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And outside of a consent order you understand what a consent order is, correct?</li> <li>A. In general, yes.</li> <li>Q. Well, you said you signed one for the May 7, 2018 Consent Order, you signed that order?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you reviewed that order?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you participated in that order to the extent that you reviewed and signed it?</li> <li>A. Yes, and U.S. Steel participated in it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But that's a consent order, it's in the title, right, that you consented to the order?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But this is an enforcement order, correct?</li> </ul>	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>A. Which chart are we looking at?</li> <li>Q. Well, look to the first page just to make sure</li> <li>you're familiar with that document. That was my first</li> <li>question, are you familiar with that document?</li> <li>A. I don't know that I've ever seen the document</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A before.</li> <li>Q. That's fair. And on page 9, if you look at 2019</li> <li>in that top table, that top chart, there's a line for</li> <li>"liberty." Do you see that line?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: I'm going to object to questions</li> <li>about a document he's never seen before. All he can do</li> <li>is read what is on the document.</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: That's all I want him to do.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Yeah, he can testify to</li> <li>what he sees on the document before.</li> <li>MR. RHOADS: Yeah, I see the point on there</li> <li>marked "liberty."</li> <li>BY MR, WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Okay. And 2009, do you see where it says</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. We are only one side to the party.</li> <li>Q. Correct. And you understand that the Allegheny County Health Department is a regulatory agency; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And outside of a consent order you understand what a consent order is, correct?</li> <li>A. In general, yes.</li> <li>Q. Well, you said you signed one for the May 7, 2018 Consent Order, you signed that order?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you reviewed that order?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you participated in that order to the extent that you reviewed and signed it?</li> <li>A. Yes, and U.S. Steel participated in it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But that's a consent order, it's in the title, right, that you consented to the order?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. But this is an enforcement order, correct?</li> <li>A. Uh-hh (affirmative.)</li> </ul>	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>A. Which chart are we looking at?</li> <li>Q. Well, look to the first page just to make sure</li> <li>you're familiar with that document. That was my first</li> <li>question, are you familiar with that document?</li> <li>A. I don't know that I've ever seen the document</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A before.</li> <li>Q. That's fair. And on page 9, if you look at 2019</li> <li>in that top table, that top chart, there's a line for</li> <li>"liberty." Do you see that line?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: I'm going to object to questions</li> <li>about a document he's never seen before. All he can do</li> <li>is read what is on the document.</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: That's all I want him to do.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Yeah, he can testify to</li> <li>what he sees on the document even though he is not he</li> <li>has not personally seen this document before.</li> <li>MR. RHOADS: Yeah, I see the point on there</li> <li>marked "liberty."</li> <li>EY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Okay. And 2009, do you see where it says</li> <li>where that line intersects with 15?</li> </ul>	

Τ

		897			899
1	was some hot idling with respect to what was three or		1	many of them involve environmental compliance; is that	
2	four batteries?		2	fair?	
3	A. I think in 2009, we took 13, 14, and 15 and B to		3	A. Yes.	
4	hot idle for some period of time.		4	$Q_{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}$ You have to be concerned about your workforce,	
5	${\sf Q}.$ Okay. And you said that there was significant		5	correct?	
6	problems after that; is that correct?		6	A. Correct.	
7	A. Yes.		7	${\sf Q}.$ You had to have the proper number of people to do	
8	Q. Okay. So if I'm correct, and correct me if		8	the job to get your production numbers where you want	
9	I'm wrong it looks as though that line trends		9	them to be; isn't that correct?	
10	downward and then pops up a little bit on 2010, it goes		10	A. That's correct.	
11	above 15. Is that micrograms per cubic meter?		11	${f Q}.$ And you have to balance all of these things to	
12	A. I don't believe it goes above 15 in 2010, no.		12	allow this operation to be maximized, to maximize your	
13	All the points on the graph are below 15 in 2010.		13	output and your operation; is that fair?	
14	Q. I'm sorry, you're looking at the top blue line?		14	A. Yes.	
15	A. Yes. I'm sorry, you're - I was in 2011. You		15	Q. In that balancing, which is more important to	
16	are connect.		16	you; jobs or environmental performance?	
17	Q. Okay. Sorry, I was		17	A. Environmental performance.	
18	A. That goes down to 15.		18	Q, Okay. You indicated that there is cleaned air	
19	Q. I apologize. I thought I was losing it there.		19	that goes through the bag house; is that fair?	
20	Then it descends considerably in 2011; is that		20	A. Well, the air that contains the pollutants enters	
21	fair?		21	the bag house, goes through the fabric filters on the	
22	A. That's connect.		22	bag cages. By virtue of going through those fabric	
23	Q. It goes below the 15 in 2011. Now, 2009, you		23	filters, it cleans the pollutants, some of the	
24	have four batteries offline basically for six months; is		24	pollutants, from the air and then the air is discharged	
25	that fair?		25	from the stack.	
20	Chile Lait.				
		898			900
1	A. I'm not sure what the durations of time they were		1	$Q.\;$ It doesn't capture all the pollutant, though?	
2	idle. I was not at Clairton in 2009.		2	A. No.	
3	Q. Oh, I'm sorry. When did you return to Clairton?		3	Q. It doesn't capture gaseous pollutants?	
4	A. 2015.		4	A. That's correct.	
5	${\sf Q}.$ Okay. You mentioned the impact of the		5	${\sf Q}.$ And those gaseous pollutants could involve SO2;	
6	enforcement order in terms of jobs. You suggested that		6	is that correct?	
7	if you do not camply, there could be the loss of many		7	A. It could be, yes.	
8	jobs; is that correct?		8	Q. Could it involve benzene?	
9	A. Yes.		9	A. It could be, yes.	
10	Q. If you camply, will those jobs be lost?		10	Q. Xylene?	
11	A. If we comply with the enforcement order?		11	A. It could be, yes.	
12	Q. Yes, sir.		12	Q. Toluene?	
13	A. Then we would not have to hot idle two batteries.		13	A. It could be there, yes.	
14	${\sf Q}.$ And that would mean you would not have to lose		14	${\sf Q}.~$ And the shed on Battery B, does that control for	
15	those employees?		15	any of those gaseous pollutants?	
16	A. That's correct.		16	A. The shed is just a capture device. The bag house	
17	${\sf Q}.$ And as a part of your plan, you indicated that		17	is what actually removes the particulate matter from the	
18	you were hiring more people to implement that plan?		18	emissions.	
19	A. Yes.		19	Q. The shed captures particulate matter?	
20	${\sf Q}.~$ So as a matter of course, the enforcement order		20	A. Yes.	
21	has caused you to employ more people?		21	Q. It doesn't capture gaseous emissions?	
22	A. We are employing more people in an attempt to		22	A. Well, it captures all of the emissions.	
23	couply with the enforcement order, yes.		23	Q. Including gaseous emissions?	
24	Q. Okay. There are many considerations in your		24	A. Yes.	
25	position as the manager of the Clairton Coke Works, and		25	Q. All of them?	
1					

		901		903
1	A. Not all of them, no.	1	Q. Now, let me ask you: are you do you ever get	
2	Q. And why not?	2	an opportunity to review what used to be called Notices	
3	A. Well, there can be leakage from the shed.	3	of Violation from ACHD?	
4	Q. There are two open sides on the shed; is that	4	A. Occasionally, yes.	
5	correct?	5	Q. Occasionally, okay. And if you look at Q3-2017,	
6	A. Yes.	6	is it fair to say that reflects that there were 223	
7	${\sf Q}.$ Those gases could exit the shed through those	7	violations noted?	
8	ports?	8	A. Yes.	
9	A. They can, yes.	9	Q. Okay. And that's after the consent judgment; is	
10	Q. And you have two doors at the top of that shed.	10	that correct?	
11	Where does that go?	11	A. Yes.	
12	A. Those are access doors to access the coke-side	12	${\sf Q}.$ Would you agree that there is an increase in the	
13	flushing liquor returns coming off the coke battery.	13	number of violations on this sheet from 7 to 223? Is	
14	Q. And you have operators that go through those	14	that what that reflects?	
15	access doors?	15	A. Yes.	
16	A. Operators and maintenance personnel, yes.	16	${\sf Q}.$ And does that also reflect that increase from a	
17	Q. Is there somebody watching those folks do their	17	period of 2013 to 2017?	
18	jobs?	18	A. Yes.	
19	A. Not all the time, no.	19	Q. Why is there a shed on Battery B?	
20	Q. Is it possible for them to open those doors and	20	A. The shed was part of the original design	
21	leave them open?	21	installation on Battery B for pushing emission controls.	
22	A. Yes.	22	Q. There's no shed on Battery C?	
23	Q. Would that allow for gaseous emissions to go	23	A. No.	
24	through those doors?	24	Q. And why is there no shed on Battery C?	
25	A. If the doors were left open, yes.	25	A. Because there's a different pushing emission	
	9	02		904
1	${\sf Q}.$ The top of the shed, is that attached to the top	02	control technology on Battery C.	904
2	$Q_{\rm \cdot}$ . The top of the shed, is that attached to the top of the battery? And let me clarify that to make it more	1 2	${\sf Q}.$ And you believe that is a better control	904
2 3	$Q_{\rm \cdot}$ The top of the shed, is that attached to the top of the battery? And let me clarify that to make it more specific.	1 2 3	Q. And you believe that is a better control technology on Battery C?	904
2 3 4	Q. The top of the shed, is that attached to the top of the battery? And let me clarify that to make it more specific. Is there a gap or seam between the top of the	1 2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. And you believe that is a better control technology on Battery C?</li><li>A. No. Actually, the shed is probably a better</li></ul>	904
2 3 4 5	Q. The top of the shed, is that attached to the top of the battery? And let me clarify that to make it more specific. Is there a gap or seam between the top of the battery and the top of the shed?	1 2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. And you believe that is a better control technology on Battery C?</li><li>A. No. Actually, the shed is probably a better overall technology for pushing emission controls just</li></ul>	904
2 3 4 5 6	Q. The top of the shed, is that attached to the top of the battery? And let me clarify that to make it more specific. Is there a gap or seam between the top of the battery and the top of the shed? A. There is, yes. There has to be to allow for	1 2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. And you believe that is a better control technology on Battery C?</li> <li>A. No. Actually, the shed is probably a better overall technology for pushing emission controls just because of the full containment of the entire travel,</li> </ul>	904
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. The top of the shed, is that attached to the top of the battery? And let me clarify that to make it more specific.</li> <li>Is there a gap or seam between the top of the battery and the top of the shed?</li> <li>A. There is, yes. There has to be to allow for expension and contraction and independent movement.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. And you believe that is a better control technology on Battery C?</li> <li>A. No. Actually, the shed is probably a better overall technology for pushing emission controls just because of the full containment of the entire travel, and I'll use as an example the travel performance on</li> </ul>	904
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. The top of the shed, is that attached to the top of the battery? And let me clarify that to make it more specific.</li> <li>Is there a gap or seam between the top of the battery and the top of the shed?</li> <li>A. There is, yes. There has to be to allow for expansion and contraction and independent movement.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that an opportunity for gaseous</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. And you believe that is a better control technology on Battery C?</li> <li>A. No. Actually, the shed is probably a better overall technology for pushing emission controls just because of the full containment of the entire travel, and I'll use as an example the travel performance on Battery B, which is 100 percent.</li> </ul>	904
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. The top of the shed, is that attached to the top of the battery? And let me clarify that to make it more specific.</li> <li>Is there a gap or seam between the top of the battery and the top of the shed?</li> <li>A. There is, yes. There has to be to allow for expansion and contraction and independent movement.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that an opportunity for gaseous emissions to escape?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. And you believe that is a better control technology on Battery C?</li> <li>A. No. Actually, the shed is probably a better overall technology for pushing emission controls just because of the full containment of the entire travel, and I'll use as an example the travel performance on Battery B, which is 100 percent.</li> <li>Q. But you didn't apply that to Battery C?</li> </ul>	904
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. The top of the shed, is that attached to the top of the battery? And let me clarify that to make it more specific.</li> <li>Is there a gap or seam between the top of the battery and the top of the shed?</li> <li>A. There is, yes. There has to be to allow for expension and contraction and independent movement.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that an opportunity for gaseous emissions to escape?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. And you believe that is a better control technology on Battery C?</li> <li>A. No. Actually, the shed is probably a better overall technology for pushing emission controls just because of the full containment of the entire travel, and I'll use as an example the travel performance on Battery B, which is 100 percent.</li> <li>Q. But you didn't apply that to Battery C?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	904
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. The top of the shed, is that attached to the top of the battery? And let me clarify that to make it more specific.</li> <li>Is there a gap or seam between the top of the battery and the top of the shed?</li> <li>A. There is, yes. There has to be to allow for expansion and contraction and independent movement.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that an opportunity for gaseous emissions to escape?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm going to go to ACHD 14. Are you</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. And you believe that is a better control technology on Battery C?</li> <li>A. No. Actually, the shed is probably a better overall technology for pushing emission controls just because of the full containment of the entire travel, and I'll use as an example the travel performance on Battery B, which is 100 percent.</li> <li>Q. But you didn't apply that to Battery C?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. But you said Battery C was your best performing</li> </ul>	904
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. The top of the shed, is that attached to the top of the battery? And let me clarify that to make it more specific.</li> <li>Is there a gap or seam between the top of the battery and the top of the shed?</li> <li>A. There is, yes. There has to be to allow for expension and contraction and independent movement.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that an opportunity for gaseous emissions to escape?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm going to go to ACHD 14. Are you familiar with this document? Have you seen this before?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. And you believe that is a better control technology on Battery C?</li> <li>A. No. Actually, the shed is probably a better overall technology for pushing emission controls just because of the full containment of the entire travel, and I'll use as an example the travel performance on Battery B, which is 100 percent.</li> <li>Q. But you didn't apply that to Battery C?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. But you said Battery C was your best performing battery?</li> </ul>	904
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. The top of the shed, is that attached to the top of the battery? And let me clarify that to make it more specific.</li> <li>Is there a gap or seam between the top of the battery and the top of the shed?</li> <li>A. There is, yes. There has to be to allow for expension and contraction and independent movement.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that an opportunity for gaseous emissions to escape?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm going to go to ACHD 14. Are you familiar with this document? Have you seen this before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. And you believe that is a better control technology on Battery C?</li> <li>A. No. Actually, the shed is probably a better overall technology for pushing emission controls just because of the full containment of the entire travel, and I'll use as an example the travel performance on Battery B, which is 100 percent.</li> <li>Q. But you didn't apply that to Battery C?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. But you said Battery C was your best performing battery?</li> <li>A. It's the newest. It's the best technology. It's</li> </ul>	904
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. The top of the shed, is that attached to the top of the battery? And let me clarify that to make it more specific.</li> <li>Is there a gap or seam between the top of the battery and the top of the shed?</li> <li>A. There is, yes. There has to be to allow for expansion and contraction and independent movement.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that an opportunity for gaseous emissions to escape?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm going to go to ACHD 14. Are you familiar with this document? Have you seen this before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you had a chance to review it?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. And you believe that is a better control technology on Battery C?</li> <li>A. No. Actually, the shed is probably a better overall technology for pushing emission controls just because of the full containment of the entire travel, and I'll use as an example the travel performance on Battery B, which is 100 percent.</li> <li>Q. But you didn't apply that to Battery C?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. But you said Battery C was your best performing battery?</li> <li>A. It's the newest. It's the best technology. It's the best performing overall from an emissions</li> </ul>	904
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. The top of the shed, is that attached to the top of the battery? And let me clarify that to make it more specific.</li> <li>Is there a gap or seam between the top of the battery and the top of the shed?</li> <li>A. There is, yes. There has to be to allow for expension and contraction and independent movement.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that an opportunity for gaseous emissions to escape?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm going to go to ACHD 14. Are you familiar with this document? Have you seen this before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you had a chance to review it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. And you believe that is a better control technology on Battery C?</li> <li>A. No. Actually, the shed is probably a better overall technology for pushing emission controls just because of the full containment of the entire travel, and I'll use as an example the travel performance on Battery B, which is 100 percent.</li> <li>Q. But you didn't apply that to Battery C?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. But you said Battery C was your best performing battery?</li> <li>A. It's the newest. It's the best technology. It's the best performing overall from an emissions standpoint, yes.</li> </ul>	904
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. The top of the shed, is that attached to the top of the battery? And let me clarify that to make it more specific.</li> <li>Is there a gap or seam between the top of the battery and the top of the shed?</li> <li>A. There is, yes. There has to be to allow for expension and contraction and independent movement.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that an opportunity for gaseous emissions to escape?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm going to go to ACHD 14. Are you familiar with this document? Have you seen this before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you had a chance to review it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If you look at the Q1-2013, it says there were</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. And you believe that is a better control technology on Battery C?</li> <li>A. No. Actually, the shed is probably a better overall technology for pushing emission controls just because of the full containment of the entire travel, and I'll use as an example the travel performance on Battery B, which is 100 percent.</li> <li>Q. But you didn't apply that to Battery C?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. But you said Battery C was your best performing battery?</li> <li>A. It's the newest. It's the best technology. It's the best performing overall from an emissions standpoint, yes.</li> <li>Q. So there are fewer leaks, you would agree, on</li> </ul>	904
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. The top of the shed, is that attached to the top of the battery? And let me clarify that to make it more specific.</li> <li>Is there a gap or seam between the top of the battery and the top of the shed?</li> <li>A. There is, yes. There has to be to allow for expension and contraction and independent movement.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that an opportunity for gaseous emissions to escape?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm going to go to ACHD 14. Are you familiar with this document? Have you seen this before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you had a chance to review it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. And you believe that is a better control technology on Battery C?</li> <li>A. No. Actually, the shed is probably a better overall technology for pushing emission controls just because of the full containment of the entire travel, and I'll use as an example the travel performance on Battery B, which is 100 percent.</li> <li>Q. But you didn't apply that to Battery C?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. But you said Battery C was your best performing battery?</li> <li>A. It's the newest. It's the best technology. It's the best performing overall from an emissions standpoint, yes.</li> </ul>	904
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. The top of the shed, is that attached to the top of the battery? And let me clarify that to make it more specific.</li> <li>Is there a gap or seam between the top of the battery and the top of the shed?</li> <li>A. There is, yes. There has to be to allow for expansion and contraction and independent movement.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that an opportunity for gaseous emissions to escape?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm going to go to ACHD 14. Are you familiar with this document? Have you seen this before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you had a chance to review it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If you look at the Q1-2013, it says there were seven violations?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. And you believe that is a better control technology on Battery C?</li> <li>A. No. Actually, the shed is probably a better overall technology for pushing emission controls just because of the full containment of the entire travel, and I'll use as an example the travel performance on Battery B, which is 100 percent.</li> <li>Q. But you didn't apply that to Battery C?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. But you said Battery C was your best performing battery?</li> <li>A. It's the newest. It's the best technology. It's the best performing overall from an emissions standpoint, yes.</li> <li>Q. So there are fewer leaks, you would agree, on Battery C as opposed to Battery B?</li> </ul>	904
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. The top of the shed, is that attached to the top of the battery? And let me clarify that to make it more specific.</li> <li>Is there a gap or seam between the top of the battery and the top of the shed?</li> <li>A. There is, yes. There has to be to allow for expansion and contraction and independent movement.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that an opportunity for gaseous emissions to escape?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm going to go to ACHD 14. Are you familiar with this document? Have you seen this before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you had a chance to review it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If you look at the Q1-2013, it says there were seven violations?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: I have the same objection as to</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. And you believe that is a better control technology on Battery C?</li> <li>A. No. Actually, the shed is probably a better overall technology for pushing emission controls just because of the full containment of the entire travel, and I'll use as an example the travel performance on Battery B, which is 100 percent.</li> <li>Q. But you didn't apply that to Battery C?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. But you said Battery C was your best performing battery?</li> <li>A. It's the newest. It's the best technology. It's the best performing overall from an emissions standpoint, yes.</li> <li>Q. So there are fewer leaks, you would agree, on Battery C as opposed to Battery B?</li> <li>A. Relative to what?</li> </ul>	904
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. The top of the shed, is that attached to the top of the battery? And let me clarify that to make it more specific.</li> <li>Is there a gap or seam between the top of the battery and the top of the shed?</li> <li>A. There is, yes. There has to be to allow for expension and contraction and independent movement.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that an opportunity for gaseous emissions to escape?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm going to go to ACHD 14. Are you familiar with this document? Have you seen this before?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you had a chance to review it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If you look at the Q1-2013, it says there were seven violations?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: I have the same objection as to foundation for a document he's never seen before.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. And you believe that is a better control technology on Battery C?</li> <li>A. No. Actually, the shed is probably a better overall technology for pushing emission controls just because of the full containment of the entire travel, and I'll use as an example the travel performance on Battery B, which is 100 percent.</li> <li>Q. But you didn't apply that to Battery C?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. But you said Battery C was your best performing battery?</li> <li>A. It's the newest. It's the best technology. It's the best performing overall from an emissions standpoint, yes.</li> <li>Q. So there are fewer leaks, you would agree, on Battery C as opposed to Battery B?</li> <li>A. Relative to what?</li> <li>Q. Battery B; Battery C to Battery B.</li> </ul>	904
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. The top of the shed, is that attached to the top of the battery? And let me clarify that to make it more specific.</li> <li>Is there a gap or seam between the top of the battery and the top of the shed?</li> <li>A. There is, yes. There has to be to allow for expansion and contraction and independent movement.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that an opportunity for gaseous emissions to escape?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm going to go to ACHD 14. Are you familiar with this document? Have you seen this before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you had a chance to review it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If you look at the Q1-2013, it says there were seven violations?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: I have the same objection as to foundation for a document he's never seen before.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. And you believe that is a better control technology on Battery C?</li> <li>A. No. Actually, the shed is probably a better overall technology for pushing emission controls just because of the full containment of the entire travel, and I'll use as an example the travel performance on Battery B, which is 100 percent.</li> <li>Q. But you didn't apply that to Battery C?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. But you said Battery C was your best performing battery?</li> <li>A. It's the newest. It's the best technology. It's the best performing overall from an emissions standpoint, yes.</li> <li>Q. So there are fewer leaks, you would agree, on Battery C as opposed to Battery B?</li> <li>A. Relative to what?</li> <li>Q. Battery B; Battery C to Battery B.</li> <li>A. I'm not sure what your question was.</li> </ul>	904
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. The top of the shed, is that attached to the top of the battery? And let me clarify that to make it more specific.</li> <li>Is there a gap or seam between the top of the battery and the top of the shed?</li> <li>A. There is, yes. There has to be to allow for expansion and contraction and independent movement.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that an opportunity for gaseous emissions to escape?</li> <li>A. Yee.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm going to go to ACHD 14. Are you familiar with this document? Have you seen this before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you had a chance to review it?</li> <li>A. Yee.</li> <li>Q. If you look at the Q1-2013, it says there were seven violations?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: I have the same objection as to foundation for a document he's never seen before.</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: He's looking at it now. I'm asking him to read the chart.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. And you believe that is a better control technology on Battery C?</li> <li>A. No. Actually, the shed is probably a better overall technology for pushing emission controls just because of the full containment of the entire travel, and I'll use as an example the travel performance on Battery B, which is 100 percent.</li> <li>Q. But you didn't apply that to Battery C?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. But you said Battery C was your best performing battery?</li> <li>A. It's the newest. It's the best technology. It's the best performing overall from an emissions standpoint, yes.</li> <li>Q. So there are fewer leaks, you would agree, on Battery C as opposed to Battery B?</li> <li>A. Relative to what?</li> <li>Q. Battery B; Battery C to Battery B.</li> <li>A. T'n not sure what your question was.</li> <li>Q. Fewer leaks, fewer door leaks on the coke side of</li> </ul>	904
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. The top of the shed, is that attached to the top of the battery? And let me clarify that to make it more specific.</li> <li>Is there a gap or seam between the top of the battery and the top of the shed?</li> <li>A. There is, yes. There has to be to allow for expension and contraction and independent movement.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that an opportunity for gaseous emissions to escape?</li> <li>A. Yee.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm going to go to ACHD 14. Are you familiar with this document? Have you seen this before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you had a chance to review it?</li> <li>A. Yee.</li> <li>Q. If you look at the Q1-2013, it says there were seven violations?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: I have the same objection as to foundation for a document he's never seen before.</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: He's looking at it now. I'm asking him to read the chart.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. And you believe that is a better control technology on Battery C?</li> <li>A. No. Actually, the shed is probably a better overall technology for pushing emission controls just because of the full containment of the entire travel, and I'll use as an example the travel performance on Battery B, which is 100 percent.</li> <li>Q. But you didn't apply that to Battery C?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. But you said Battery C was your best performing battery?</li> <li>A. It's the newest. It's the best technology. It's the best performing overall from an emissions standpoint, yes.</li> <li>Q. So there are fewer leaks, you would agree, on Battery C as opposed to Battery B?</li> <li>A. Relative to what?</li> <li>Q. Battery B; Battery C to Battery B.</li> <li>A. I'm not sure what your question was.</li> <li>Q. Fewer leaks, fewer door leaks on the coke side of Battery C. Are there fewer leaks there as opposed to</li> </ul>	904
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. The top of the shed, is that attached to the top of the battery? And let me clarify that to make it more specific.</li> <li>Is there a gap or seam between the top of the battery and the top of the shed?</li> <li>A. There is, yes. There has to be to allow for expansion and contraction and independent movement.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that an opportunity for gaseous emissions to escape?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm going to go to ACHD 14. Are you familiar with this document? Have you seen this before?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you had a chance to review it?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If you look at the Q1-2013, it says there were seven violations?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: I have the same objection as to foundation for a document he's never seen before.</li> <li>MR. WIILLIS: He's looking at it now. I'm asking him to read the chart.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. And you believe that is a better control technology on Battery C?</li> <li>A. No. Actually, the shed is probably a better overall technology for pushing emission controls just because of the full containment of the entire travel, and I'll use as an example the travel performance on Battery B, which is 100 percent.</li> <li>Q. But you didn't apply that to Battery C?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. But you said Battery C was your best performing battery?</li> <li>A. It's the newest. It's the best technology. It's the best performing overall from an emissions standpoint, yes.</li> <li>Q. Buttery B; Battery C to Battery B.</li> <li>A. Relative to what?</li> <li>Q. Fewer leaks, fewer door leaks on the coke side of Battery C. Are there fewer leaks there as opposed to the term Battery C. Are there fewer leaks there as opposed to the term B.</li> </ul>	904

		905			907
1	pressure control technology on Battery C.	505	1	of the door, so you are physically walking closer.	507
2	Q. Has anybody ever investigated the opportunity to		2	But it's also in place, I believe, to account for	
3	apply such technology to Battery B?		3	the fact that the door leakage is captured by the	
4	A. We have not, no.		4	emission control shed.	
5	${\sf Q}.$ On the coke side of Battery B, you're aware that		5	Q. And that standard involves a deduction of the	
6	there's a 25-foot minimum distance for doing		6	actual door leaks; is that fair?	
7	inspections?		7	A. Yes.	
8	A. (No nesponse.)		8	${\sf Q}.$ Do you know how many deductions there are?	
9	Q. I'll back up. I'll back up.		9	A. It's a percentage of the total number of doors	
10	You were identifying a photo that showed the face		10	that are read. So if all 150 doors are in service,	
11	of the coke side of a battery?		11	meaning all 75 coke ovens are in operation, it's 4.5.	
12	A. It was not the coke side in the photo. It was		12	Q. 4.5 doors?	
13	the pusher side.		13	A. Un-huh (affimative.)	
14	${\sf Q}.$ It was the pusher side, okay. And there was a		14	Q. Are deducted?	
15	bench with an individual on the bench?		15	A. Yes.	
16	A. Yes.		16	Q. Per day?	
17	${\sf Q}.$ And that individual was closer than 25 feet away		17	A. Per observation.	
18	from the door?		18	Q. Per observation, okay. And so if you saw five	
19	A. The individual on the bench was closer than 25		19	pushes and you saw or you saw five leaks, I'm sorry,	
20	feet away from the door, yes.		20	not five pushes, but you saw five leaks, would that	
21	Q. And you identified a white demarcation at the		21	result in five actual leaks, door leaks, under Allegheny	
22	foot of the photo that you approximated to be about 25		22	County's enforcement order?	
23	feet?		23	A. No. The calculation would be five minus 4.5,	
24	A. It's greater than 25 feet. I don't know what the		24	assuming that all 75 ovens weren't in service, or it	
25	exact distance is.		25	would result in a 0.5.	
		906			908
1	Q. Okay. And you indicated you see more emissions		1	Q. So in actuality, it's not literally five leaks,	
2	if you are further than 25 feet away?		2	it's not literally 10 leaks in any given month?	
3	A. Could you restate state the question, please?		3	A. It's 10 leaks yard equivalent.	
-	Q. You indicated that you would see more emissions		4	Q. Which takes into consideration a deduction of	
5	from the door if you were 25 feet away, more than 25		5	four leaks per day?	
6 7	feet away?		6 7	A. Yes.	
8	A. No, I don't believe that's what I indicated.		8	Q. 4.5 leaks per day; is that correct?	
9	Q. Okay. So you believe if you were closer to the		9	A. Per observation, yes.	
10	door, you would see more emissions? A. Yes.		10	Q. Per observation. I'm sorry, I want to loop back to that plan that you gave the county in terms of your	
11	Q. Okay. Currently, you have Method 303 inspectors		11	intended compliance. You did that in good faith, right?	
12	that are closer to the door than 25 feet away?		12	A. To what plan are you referring?	
13	A. Where?		13	Q. The plan that was required under the enforcement	
14	Q. On the coke side.		14	order.	
15	A. Of what?		15	A. Yes.	
16	Q. Of Battery B.		16	Q. And you gave some considerable thought as to what	
17	A. Yes, they the inspectors on Battery B have to		17	you could do to come into compliance?	
18	walk on the bench to inspect the door on the coke side.		18	A. Yes.	
19	Q. Are you aware of the coke-side yard equivalency?		19	Q. And you anticipated that you would do that in	
20	A. Yes.		20	bopes of complying?	
21	Q. Do you know why that's in place?		21	A, Yes.	
22	A. It's in place - I believe it's a two-part		22	Q. You didn't set it up in such a way that you	
23	reason. Because on Battery B, or any battery that's		23	weren't going to comply with it and allow these	
24	equipped with a coke-side shed, you physically can't		24	batteries to go into hot idle?	
25	walk the required distance to read during the traverse		25	A. No.	

		909	911
	1 Q. And when you implement that plan, it is the		1 allowed me to design the packing that I referenced.
	2 intent to implement it with the hope that you would		2 It's a very specialized skill set.
	3 comply with that 10-leak standard?		3 Q. Is it a skill set that could be learned?
	A. We were going to try very diligently to comply		4 A. Yes.
	5 with that standard, yes. But the concern that we have		5 MR. WILLIS: I have no more questions.
	6 is with the existing technology, and it's the industry		6 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
	7 technology, that that standard cannot be met on an		7 BY MR. DAUSCH:
	8 ongoing, continuous basis.		8 Q. Mr. Rhoads, you were asked about the different
	Q. Even though you've done it on an ongoing,		9 hazardous air pollutants that can be emitted from
1	0 continuous basis in the past?	1	10 operating coke batteries; do you recall that testimony?
1	A. We've never done it on an ongoing, continuous	1	11 A. Repeat that, please.
1	2 basis.	1	12 Q. Mr. Willis had asked you about different
1	3 Q. You've done it for six months?	1	13 hazardous air pollutants that are emitted from coke
1	A. That's not an ongoing, continuous basis.	1	14 batteries.
1	$\bar{Q}$ . I don't think that the order required an ongoing,	1	15 A. Yes.
1	6 continuous basis. It was for six months; is that fair?	1	Q. And you mentioned a few of them by name, coke
1	7 A. Yes.	1	oven gas, benzene, toluene; do you recall that
1	Q. Okay. And you said given the current technology	1	18 testimony?
1			19 A. Yes.
21			Q. And is the Clairton plant allowed to have
2			unlimited emissions of these hazardous air pollutants
22			22 into the air?
23			23 A. No.
24	en en anteres en	2.	
25	the coke-making process at that facility?	2:	?5 standards?
1	A. No. The individual that I was actually speaking	910	912 1 A. Yes.
	to is assigned to the chemicals and utilities division	2	2 Q. And does NESHAP stand for National Emissions
3			<ol> <li>Q. And does NESHAP stand for National Emissions</li> <li>Standards For Hazardous Air Pollutants?</li> </ol>
3	as the process engineer. So he doesn't do a whole lot	1	
	as the process engineer. So he doesn't do a whole lot with the coke-making operation.		3 Standards For Hazardous Air Pollutants?
4	as the process engineer. So he doesn't do a whole lot with the coke-making operation. Q. Do you have a process engineer that would handle		<ul> <li>3 Standards For Hazardous Air Pollutants?</li> <li>4 A. Yes.</li> </ul>
5	as the process engineer. So he doesn't do a whole lot with the coke-making operation. Q. Do you have a process engineer that would handle the coke-making possess?		<ul> <li>3 Standards For Hazardous Air Pollutants?</li> <li>4 A. Yes.</li> <li>5 Q. Hazardous air pollutants like the pollutants that</li> </ul>
5	as the process engineer. So he doesn't do a whole lot with the coke-making operation. Q. Do you have a process engineer that would handle the coke-making possess? A. I have a process engineer that works in the	5	<ul> <li>3 Standards For Hazardous Air Pollutants?</li> <li>4 A. Yes.</li> <li>5 Q. Hazardous air pollutants like the pollutants that</li> <li>6 Mr. Willis asked you about?</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7	as the process engineer. So he doesn't do a whole lot with the coke-making operation. Q. Do you have a process engineer that would handle the coke-making possess? A. I have a process engineer that works in the heating and patching area. He is responsible for		<ul> <li>3 Standards For Hazardous Air Pollutants?</li> <li>4 A. Yes.</li> <li>5 Q. Hazardous air pollutants like the pollutants that</li> <li>6 Mr. Willis asked you about?</li> <li>7 A. Yes.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 7	as the process engineer. So he doesn't do a whole lot with the coke-making operation. Q. Do you have a process engineer that would handle the coke-making possess? A. I have a process engineer that works in the heating and patching area. He is responsible for analyzing data and basically prioritizing refracturing		<ul> <li>3 Standards For Hazardous Air Pollutants?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>9 A. Yes.</li> <li>9 What's the Clairton plant's compliance percentage</li> <li>9 with those NESHAP standards?</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>as the process engineer. So he doesn't do a whole lot with the coke-making operation.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a process engineer that would handle the coke-making possess?</li> <li>A. I have a process engineer that works in the heating and patching area. He is responsible for analyzing data and basically prioritizing refracturing maintenance.</li> <li>Q. Now, this facility is the largest coke facility</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>3 Standards For Hazardous Air Pollutants?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Hazardous air pollutants like the pollutants that</li> <li>Mr. Willis asked you about?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What's the Clairton plant's compliance percentage</li> <li>with those NESHAP standards?</li> <li>A. 100 percent.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>as the process engineer. So he doesn't do a whole lot with the coke-making operation.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a process engineer that would handle the coke-making possess?</li> <li>A. I have a process engineer that works in the heating and patching area. He is responsible for analyzing data and basically prioritizing refracturing maintenance.</li> <li>Q. Now, this facility is the largest coke facility in North America, and U.S. Steel is a company who made</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>3 Standards For Hazardous Air Pollutants?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Hazardous air pollutants like the pollutants that</li> <li>Mr. Willis asked you about?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What's the Clairton plant's compliance percentage</li> <li>with those NESHAP standards?</li> <li>A. 100 percent.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Anything else, Mr.</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>as the process engineer. So he doesn't do a whole lot with the coke-making operation.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a process engineer that would handle the coke-making possess?</li> <li>A. I have a process engineer that works in the heating and patching area. He is responsible for analyzing data and basically prioritizing refracturing maintenance.</li> <li>Q. Now, this facility is the largest coke facility in North America, and U.S. Steel is a company who made profits of \$214,000,000 in the second quarter.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>3 Standards For Hazardous Air Pollutants?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Hazardous air pollutants like the pollutants that</li> <li>Mr. Willis asked you about?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What's the Clairton plant's compliance percentage</li> <li>with those NESHAP standards?</li> <li>A. 100 percent.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Anything else, Mr.</li> <li>Willis?</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 100 111 12 133 14	<ul> <li>as the process engineer. So he doesn't do a whole lot with the coke-making operation.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a process engineer that would handle the coke-making possess?</li> <li>A. I have a process engineer that works in the heating and patching area. He is responsible for analyzing data and basically prioritizing refracturing maintenance.</li> <li>Q. Now, this facility is the largest coke facility in North America, and U.S. Steel is a company who made profits of \$214,000,000 in the second quarter.</li> <li>Is there any prohibition that you can think of</li> </ul>	2 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>3 Standards For Hazardous Air Pollutants?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Hazardous air pollutants like the pollutants that</li> <li>Mr. Willis asked you about?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What's the Clairton plant's compliance percentage</li> <li>with those NESHAP standards?</li> <li>A. 100 percent.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Anything else, Mr.</li> <li>Willis?</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: Yeah.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 1 0 11 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>as the process engineer. So he doesn't do a whole lot with the coke-making operation.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a process engineer that would handle the coke-making possess?</li> <li>A. I have a process engineer that works in the heating and patching area. He is responsible for analyzing data and basically prioritizing refracturing maintenance.</li> <li>Q. Now, this facility is the largest coke facility in North America, and U.S. Steel is a company who made profits of \$214,000,000 in the second quarter.</li> <li>Is there any prohibition that you can think of that would prevent you from finding enough engineers</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Standards For Hazardous Air Pollutants?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Hazardous air pollutants like the pollutants that</li> <li>Mr. Willis asked you about?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What's the Clairton plant's compliance percentage</li> <li>with those NESHAP standards?</li> <li>A. 100 percent.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Anything else, Mr.</li> <li>Willis?</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: Yeah.</li> <li>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 111 122 133 144 155 16	<ul> <li>as the process engineer. So he doesn't do a whole lot with the cole-making operation.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a process engineer that would handle the cole-making possess?</li> <li>A. I have a process engineer that works in the heating and patching area. He is responsible for analyzing data and basically prioritizing refracturing maintenance.</li> <li>Q. Now, this facility is the largest coke facility in North America, and U.S. Steel is a company who made profits of \$214,000,000 in the second quarter.</li> <li>Is there any prohibition that you can think of that would prevent you from finding enough engineers with enough qualifications to get you through the</li> </ul>	2 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Standards For Hazardous Air Pollutants?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Hazardous air pollutants like the pollutants that</li> <li>Mr. Willis asked you about?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What's the Clairton plant's compliance percentage</li> <li>with those NESHAP standards?</li> <li>A. 100 percent.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Anything else, Mr.</li> <li>Willis?</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: Yeah.</li> <li>EY MR. WILLIS:</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 1 0 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>as the process engineer. So he doesn't do a whole lot with the coke-making operation.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a process engineer that would handle the coke-making possess?</li> <li>A. I have a process engineer that works in the heating and patching area. He is responsible for analyzing data and basically prioritizing refracturing maintenance.</li> <li>Q. Now, this facility is the largest coke facility in North America, and U.S. Steel is a company who made profits of \$214,000,000 in the second quarter.</li> <li>Is there any prohibition that you can think of that would prevent you from finding enough engineers with enough qualifications to get you through the compliance of this enforcement order?</li> </ul>	2 2 3 3 4 3 3 4 4 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Standards For Hazardous Air Pollutants?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Hazardous air pollutants like the pollutants that</li> <li>Mr. Willis asked you about?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What's the Clairton plant's compliance percentage</li> <li>with those NESHAP standards?</li> <li>A. 100 percent.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Anything else, Mr.</li> <li>Willis?</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: Yeah.</li> <li><u>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</u></li> <li>EY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Notwithstanding the NESHAP, would you agree that</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 100 111 12 133 144 155 166 177 18	<text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>3 Standards For Hazardous Air Pollutants?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Hazardous air pollutants like the pollutants that</li> <li>Mr. Willis asked you about?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What's the Clairton plant's compliance percentage</li> <li>with those NESHAP standards?</li> <li>A. 100 percent.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Anything else, Mr.</li> <li>Willis?</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: Yeah.</li> <li><u>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</u></li> <li>BY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Notwithstanding the NESHAP, would you agree that</li> <li>the Allegheny County Health Department's regulations are</li> </ul>
2 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 6 17 7 18 19	<ul> <li>as the process engineer. So he doesn't do a whole lot with the cole-making operation.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a process engineer that would handle the cole-making possess?</li> <li>A. I have a process engineer that works in the heating and patching area. He is responsible for analyzing data and basically prioritizing refracturing maintenance.</li> <li>Q. Now, this facility is the largest coke facility in North America, and U.S. Steel is a company who made profits of \$214,000,000 in the second quarter.</li> <li>Is there any prohibition that you can think of that would prevent you from finding enough engineers with enough qualifications to get you through the compliance of this enforcement order?</li> <li>A. I think process experience is probably a greater deterrent than my ability to hire additional staff.</li> </ul>	2 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9	<ul> <li>Standards For Hazardous Air Pollutants?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Hazardous air pollutants like the pollutants that</li> <li>Mr. Willis asked you about?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What's the Clairton plant's compliance percentage</li> <li>with those NESHAP standards?</li> <li>A. 100 percent.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Anything else, Mr.</li> <li>Willis?</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: Yeah.</li> <li><u>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</u></li> <li>BY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Notwithstanding the NESHAP, would you agree that</li> <li>the Allegheny County Health Department's regulations are</li> <li>more stringent than that of the federal government?</li> </ul>
4 5 6 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>as the process engineer. So he doesn't do a whole lot with the cole-making operation.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a process engineer that would handle the cole-making possess?</li> <li>A. I have a process engineer that works in the heating and patching area. He is responsible for analyzing data and basically prioritizing refracturing maintenance.</li> <li>Q. Now, this facility is the largest coke facility in North America, and U.S. Steel is a company who made profits of \$214,000,000 in the second quarter.</li> <li>Is there any prohibition that you can think of that would prevent you from finding enough engineers with enough qualifications to get you through the compliance of this enforcement order?</li> <li>A. I think process experience is probably a greater deterrent than my ability to hire additional staff.</li> <li>Q. How so?</li> </ul>	2 2 3 4 3 4 4 5 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Standards For Hazardous Air Pollutants?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Hazardous air pollutants like the pollutants that</li> <li>Mr. Willis asked you about?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What's the Clairton plant's compliance percentage</li> <li>with those NESHAP standards?</li> <li>A. 100 percent.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Anything else, Mr.</li> <li>Willis?</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: Yeah.</li> <li><u>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</u></li> <li>BY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Notwithstanding the NESHAP, would you agree that</li> <li>the Allegheny County Health Department's regulations are</li> <li>more stringent than that of the federal government?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 177 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>as the process engineer. So he doesn't do a whole lot with the cole-making operation.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a process engineer that would handle the cole-making possess?</li> <li>A. I have a process engineer that works in the heating and patching area. He is responsible for analyzing data and basically prioritizing refracturing maintenance.</li> <li>Q. Now, this facility is the largest coke facility in North America, and U.S. Steel is a company who made profits of \$214,000,000 in the second quarter.</li> <li>Is there any prohibition that you can think of that would prevent you from finding enough engineers with enough qualifications to get you through the compliance of this enforcement order?</li> <li>A. I think process experience is probably a greater determent than my ability to hire additional staff.</li> <li>Q. How so?</li> </ul>	2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Standards For Hazardous Air Pollutants?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Hazardous air pollutants like the pollutants that</li> <li>Mr. Willis asked you about?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What's the Clairton plant's compliance percentage</li> <li>with those NESHAP standards?</li> <li>A. 100 percent.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Anything else, Mr.</li> <li>Willis?</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: Yeah.</li> <li><u>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</u></li> <li>BY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Notwithstanding the NESHAP, would you agree that</li> <li>the Allegheny County Health Department's regulations are</li> <li>more stringent than that of the federal government?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In your understanding of the Allegheny County</li> </ul>
24 5 6 77 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<text><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></text>	2 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Standards For Hazardous Air Pollutants?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Hazardous air pollutants like the pollutants that</li> <li>Mr. Willis asked you about?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What's the Clairton plant's compliance percentage</li> <li>with those NESHAP standards?</li> <li>A. 100 percent.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Anything else, Mr.</li> <li>Willis?</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: Yeah.</li> <li><u>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</u></li> <li>BY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Notwithstanding the NESHAP, would you agree that</li> <li>the Allegheny County Health Department's regulations are</li> <li>more stringent than that of the federal government?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In your understanding of the Allegheny County</li> <li>Health Department, do you know or are you aware that the</li> </ul>
4 5 6 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<text><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></text>	2 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Standards For Hazardous Air Pollutants?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Hazardous air pollutants like the pollutants that</li> <li>Mr. Willis asked you about?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What's the Clairton plant's compliance percentage</li> <li>with those NESHAP standards?</li> <li>A. 100 percent.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Anything else, Mr.</li> <li>Willis?</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: Yeah.</li> <li><u>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</u></li> <li>BY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Notwithstanding the NESHAP, would you agree that</li> <li>the Allegheny County Health Department's regulations are</li> <li>more stringent than that of the federal government?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In your understanding of the Allegheny County</li> <li>Health Department, do you know or are you aware that the</li> <li>Allegheny County Health Department is concerned about</li> </ul>
24 5 6 77 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<text><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></text>	2 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Standards For Hazardous Air Pollutants?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Hazardous air pollutants like the pollutants that</li> <li>Mr. Willis asked you about?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What's the Clairton plant's compliance percentage</li> <li>with those NESHAP standards?</li> <li>A. 100 percent.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Anything else, Mr.</li> <li>Willis?</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: Yeah.</li> <li><u>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</u></li> <li>BY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Notwithstanding the NESHAP, would you agree that</li> <li>the Allegheny County Health Department's regulations are</li> <li>more stringent than that of the federal government?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In your understanding of the Allegheny County</li> <li>Health Department, do you know or are you aware that the</li> <li>Allegheny County Health Department is concerned about</li> <li>public health?</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 177 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<text><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></text>	2 2 3 3 4 3 5 4 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Standards For Hazardous Air Pollutants?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Hazardous air pollutants like the pollutants that</li> <li>Mr. Willis asked you about?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What's the Clairton plant's compliance percentage</li> <li>with those NESHAP standards?</li> <li>A. 100 percent.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Anything else, Mr.</li> <li>Willis?</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: Yeah.</li> <li><u>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</u></li> <li>EY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Notwithstanding the NESHAP, would you agree that</li> <li>the Allegheny County Health Department's regulations are</li> <li>more stringent than that of the federal government?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. In your understanding of the Allegheny County</li> <li>Health Department, do you know or are you aware that the</li> <li>Allegheny County Health Department is concerned about</li> <li>public health?</li> </ul>

		913	015
1	Q. It's a public health agency?	913	915 1 COURT REPORTER: I mean, I have them.
2	A. Yes.		2 MR. DAUSCH: So I have ACHD 1 through 27, and
3	Q. We talked about those BTEX, benzene, toluene,		3 then U.S. Steel 1 through 69, and then we have Joint
4	xylene, ethylbenzene, yes?		4 Exhibit 1 and 2.
5	A. I'm sorry, what was the question?		5 For our next witness, we will call Tishie
6	Q. Do you recall us talking about benzene, toluene,		6 Woodwell.
7	xylene?		7 TISHIE WOODWELL, called as a witness, being
8	A. Yes.		8 previously sworn, testified as follows:
9	Q. And you said you were aware that benzene was a		9 DIRECT EXAMINATION
10	carcinogen?		0 BY MR. DAUSCH:
11	A. Yes.	1	
12	Q. Do you consider that a public health concern?		2 A. Sure, I'm Tishie Woodwell, I'm currently the
13	A. Yes.	1	
14	MR. WILLIS: I have no further questions.	1	
15	HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Anything else, Mr.	1	
16	Dausch?	1	a produced to a lot of formal and ender and
17	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	1	
18	BY MR. DAUSCH:	1	
19	Q. Mr. Rhoads, is it your testimony that any	1	
20	emissions of any hazardous air pollutants in whatever	2	
21	miniscule amounts would constitute a public health	2	n a dha ann a marain ann a chan a chan ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann a' ann ann
22	concern?	2	
23	A. No.	2	
24	Q. And is it your understanding that there has been	2	
25	some analysis done on these pollutants and that's why	2	
1	emissions limitations exist? A. Yes, it's my understanding that was the basis of		916 A. Ch, definitely, yes, the existing coke facilities, as well as some of our former ones.
2	A. Yes, it's my understanding that was the basis of		A. Oh, definitely, yes, the existing coke facilities, as well as some of our former ones.
	A. Yes, it's my understanding that was the basis of the NESHAP standards.		<ul> <li>A. Oh, definitely, yes, the existing coke</li> <li>facilities, as well as some of our former ones.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell us about your employment history</li> </ul>
2 3 4	A. Yes, it's my understanding that was the basis of the NESHAP standards. MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have.		<ul> <li>A. Ch, definitely, yes, the existing coke</li> <li>facilities, as well as some of our former ones.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell us about your employment history</li> <li>with United States Steel?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	A. Yes, it's my understanding that was the basis of the NESHAP standards. MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Willis?		<ul> <li>A. Ch, definitely, yes, the existing coke</li> <li>facilities, as well as some of our former ones.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell us about your employment history</li> <li>with United States Steel?</li> <li>A. Sure. I've been with U.S. Steel for 29 — just</li> </ul>
2 3 4	A. Yes, it's my understanding that was the basis of the NESHAP standards. MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have.		<ul> <li>A. Ch, definitely, yes, the existing coke</li> <li>facilities, as well as some of our former ones.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell us about your employment history</li> <li>with United States Steel?</li> <li>A. Sure. I've been with U.S. Steel for 29 — just</li> <li>over 29 years. And for about 10 years, I was in our law</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes, it's my understanding that was the basis of the NESHAP standards. MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Willis? <u>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</u> BY MR. WILLIS:		<ul> <li>A. Ch, definitely, yes, the existing coke</li> <li>facilities, as well as some of our former ones.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell us about your employment history</li> <li>with United States Steel?</li> <li>A. Sure. I've been with U.S. Steel for 29 — just</li> <li>over 29 years. And for about 10 years, I was in our law</li> <li>department as our environmental air attorney. Then for</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes, it's my understanding that was the basis of the NESHAP standards. MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Willis? RECROSS-EXAMINATION		<ul> <li>A. Ch, definitely, yes, the existing coke</li> <li>facilities, as well as some of our former ones.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell us about your employment history</li> <li>with United States Steel?</li> <li>A. Sure. I've been with U.S. Steel for 29 — just</li> <li>over 29 years. And for about 10 years, I was in our law</li> <li>department as our environmental air attorney. Then for</li> <li>the last 12 years, I've been on the technical side in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yes, it's my understanding that was the basis of the NESHAP standards.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Willis?</li> <li>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>EY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Is it your understanding that NESHAP has anything to do with health outcomes?</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>A. Ch, definitely, yes, the existing coke</li> <li>facilities, as well as some of our former ones.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell us about your employment history</li> <li>with United States Steel?</li> <li>A. Sure. I've been with U.S. Steel for 29 — just</li> <li>over 29 years. And for about 10 years, I was in our law</li> <li>department as our environmental air attorney. Then for</li> <li>the last 12 years, I've been on the technical side in</li> <li>environmental affairs.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yee, it's my understanding that was the basis of the NESHAP standards.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Willis?</li> <li>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Is it your understanding that NESHAP has anything to do with health outcomes?</li> <li>A. I don't know the exact basis of the standard.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>A. Ch, definitely, yes, the existing coke</li> <li>facilities, as well as some of our former ones.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell us about your employment history</li> <li>with United States Steel?</li> <li>A. Sure. I've been with U.S. Steel for 29 — just</li> <li>over 29 years. And for about 10 years, I was in our law</li> <li>department as our environmental air attorney. Then for</li> <li>the last 12 years, I've been on the technical side in</li> <li>environmental affairs.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What is your educational background?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yes, it's my understanding that was the basis of the NESHAP standards.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Willis?</li> <li>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>EY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Is it your understanding that NESHAP has anything to do with health outcomes?</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>A. Ch, definitely, yes, the existing coke</li> <li>facilities, as well as some of our former ones.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell us about your employment history</li> <li>with United States Steel?</li> <li>A. Sure. I've been with U.S. Steel for 29 — just</li> <li>over 29 years. And for about 10 years, I was in our law</li> <li>department as our environmental air attorney. Then for</li> <li>the last 12 years, I've been on the technical side in</li> <li>environmental affairs.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What is your educational background?</li> <li>A. I have a BS — a BA in sociology, and then I have</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Yee, it's my understanding that was the basis of the NESHAP standards.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Willis?</li> <li>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>EY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Is it your understanding that NESHAP has anything to do with health outcomes?</li> <li>A. I don't know the exact basis of the standard.</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: Okay. No further questions.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: Nothing.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>A. Ch, definitely, yes, the existing coke</li> <li>facilities, as well as some of our former ones.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell us about your employment history</li> <li>with United States Steel?</li> <li>A. Sure. I've been with U.S. Steel for 29 — just</li> <li>over 29 years. And for about 10 years, I was in our law</li> <li>department as our environmental air attorney. Then for</li> <li>the last 12 years, I've been on the technical side in</li> <li>environmental affairs.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What is your educational background?</li> <li>A. I have a BS — a BA in sociology, and then I have</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Yee, it's my understanding that was the basis of the NESHAP standards.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Willis? <u>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</u></li> <li>BY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Is it your understanding that NESHAP has anything to do with health outcomes?</li> <li>A. I don't know the exact basis of the standard. MR. WILLIS: Okay. No further questions.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>A. Ch, definitely, yes, the existing coke</li> <li>facilities, as well as some of our former ones.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell us about your employment history</li> <li>with United States Steel?</li> <li>A. Sure. I've been with U.S. Steel for 29 — just</li> <li>over 29 years. And for about 10 years, I was in our law</li> <li>department as our environmental air attorney. Then for</li> <li>the last 12 years, I've been on the technical side in</li> <li>environmental affairs.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What is your educational background?</li> <li>A. I have a ES — a EA in sociology, and then I have</li> <li>a Master's in criminal justice and a law degree.</li> <li>Q. Did you have additional post-graduate classes</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Yee, it's my understanding that was the basis of the NESHAP standards.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Willis?</li> <li>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Is it your understanding that NESHAP has anything to do with health outcomes?</li> <li>A. I don't know the exact basis of the standard. MR. WILLIS: Okay. No further questions. MR. DAUSCH: Nothing. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: All right. You may step down, sir.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>A. Ch, definitely, yes, the existing coke</li> <li>facilities, as well as some of our former ones.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell us about your employment history</li> <li>with United States Steel?</li> <li>A. Sure. I've been with U.S. Steel for 29 — just</li> <li>over 29 years. And for about 10 years, I was in our law</li> <li>department as our environmental air attorney. Then for</li> <li>the last 12 years, I've been on the technical side in</li> <li>environmental affairs.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What is your educational background?</li> <li>A. I have a BS — a BA in sociology, and then I have</li> <li>a Master's in criminal justice and a law degree.</li> <li>Q. Did you have additional post-graduate classes</li> <li>that you cook when you had your legal role?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yee, it's my understanding that was the basis of the NESHAP standards.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Willis?</li> <li>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>EY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Is it your understanding that NESHAP has anything to do with health outcomes?</li> <li>A. I don't know the exact basis of the standard.</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: Okay. No further questions.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: Nothing.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: All right. You may step</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>A. Ch, definitely, yes, the existing coke</li> <li>facilities, as well as some of our former ones.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell us about your employment history</li> <li>with United States Steel?</li> <li>A. Sure. I've been with U.S. Steel for 29 — just</li> <li>over 29 years. And for about 10 years, I was in our law</li> <li>department as our environmental air attorney. Then for</li> <li>the last 12 years, I've been on the technical side in</li> <li>environmental affairs.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What is your educational background?</li> <li>A. I have a ES — a EA in sociology, and then I have</li> <li>a Master's in criminal justice and a law degree.</li> <li>Q. Did you have additional post-graduate classes</li> <li>that you took when you had your legal role?</li> <li>A. I did. Because I was a sociology major, I found</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yee, it's my understanding that was the basis of the NESHAP standards.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Willis? <u>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</u></li> <li>EY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Is it your understanding that NESHAP has anything to do with health outcomes?</li> <li>A. I don't know the exact basis of the standard. MR. WILLIS: Okay. No further questions. MR. DAUSCH: Nothing. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: All right. You may step down, sir. And since it's noon, or almost noon we can go off the record.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>A. Ch, definitely, yes, the existing coke</li> <li>facilities, as well as some of our former ones.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell us about your employment history</li> <li>with United States Steel?</li> <li>A. Sure. I've been with U.S. Steel for 29 — just</li> <li>over 29 years. And for about 10 years, I was in our law</li> <li>department as our environmental air attorney. Then for</li> <li>the last 12 years, I've been on the technical side in</li> <li>environmental affairs.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What is your educational background?</li> <li>A. I have a ES — a EA in sociology, and then I have</li> <li>a Master's in criminal justice and a law degree.</li> <li>Q. Did you have additional post-graduate classes</li> <li>that you took when you had your legal role?</li> <li>A. I did. Because I was a sociology major, I found</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yee, it's my understanding that was the basis of the NESHAP standards.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Willis? <u>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</u></li> <li>BY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Is it your understanding that NESHAP has anything to do with health outcomes?</li> <li>A. I don't know the exact basis of the standard. MR. WILLIS: Okay. No further questions. MR. DAUSCH: Nothing. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: All right. You may step down, sir.</li> <li>And since it's noon, or almost noon we can go off the record. (The hearing recessed at 11:59 a.m. and</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>A. Ch, definitely, yes, the existing coke</li> <li>facilities, as well as some of our former ones.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell us about your employment history</li> <li>with United States Steel?</li> <li>A. Sure. I've been with U.S. Steel for 29 — just</li> <li>over 29 years. And for about 10 years, I was in our law</li> <li>department as our environmental air attorney. Then for</li> <li>the last 12 years, I've been on the technical side in</li> <li>environmental affairs.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What is your educational background?</li> <li>A. I have a BS — a BA in sociology, and then I have</li> <li>a Master's in criminal justice and a law degree.</li> <li>Q. Did you have additional post-graduate classes</li> <li>that you took when you had your legal role?</li> <li>A. I did. Because I was a sociology major, I found</li> <li>that I needed to gain a better understanding on the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yee, it's my understanding that was the basis of the NESHAP standards.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Willis? <u>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</u></li> <li>BY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Is it your understanding that NESHAP has anything to do with health outcomes?</li> <li>A. I don't know the exact basis of the standard. MR. WILLIS: Okay. No further questions. MR. DAUSCH: Nothing. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: All right. You may step down, sir.</li> <li>And since it's noon, or almost noon we can go off the record. (The hearing recessed at 11:59 a.m. and reconvened at 1:05 p.m.)</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>A. Ch, definitely, yes, the existing coke</li> <li>facilities, as well as some of our former ones.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell us about your employment history</li> <li>with United States Steel?</li> <li>A. Sure. I've been with U.S. Steel for 29 — just</li> <li>over 29 years. And for about 10 years, I was in our law</li> <li>department as our environmental air attorney. Then for</li> <li>the last 12 years, I've been on the technical side in</li> <li>environmental affairs.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What is your educational background?</li> <li>A. I have a BS — a BA in sociology, and then I have</li> <li>a Master's in criminal justice and a law degree.</li> <li>Q. Did you have additional post-graduate classes</li> <li>that you took when you had your legal role?</li> <li>A. I did. Because I was a sociology major, I found</li> <li>that I needed to gain a better understanding on the</li> <li>technical side.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yee, it's my understanding that was the basis of the NESHAP standards.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Willis? <u>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</u></li> <li>BY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Is it your understanding that NESHAP has anything to do with health outcomes?</li> <li>A. I don't know the exact basis of the standard. MR. WILLIS: Okay. No further questions. MR. DAUSCH: Nothing. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: All right. You may step down, sir.</li> <li>And since it's noon, or almost noon we can go off the record. (The hearing recessed at 11:59 a.m. and</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>A. Ch, definitely, yes, the existing coke</li> <li>facilities, as well as some of our former ones.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell us about your employment history</li> <li>with United States Steel?</li> <li>A. Sure. I've been with U.S. Steel for 29 — just</li> <li>over 29 years. And for about 10 years, I was in our law</li> <li>department as our environmental air attorney. Then for</li> <li>the last 12 years, I've been on the technical side in</li> <li>environmental affairs.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What is your educational background?</li> <li>A. I have a ES — a EA in sociology, and then I have</li> <li>a Master's in criminal justice and a law degree.</li> <li>Q. Did you have additional post-graduate classes</li> <li>that you took when you had your legal role?</li> <li>A. I did. Because I was a sociology major, I found</li> <li>that I needed to gain a better understanding on the</li> <li>technical side.</li> <li>So while I was in the law department, because I</li> <li>really focused on compliance, I went back to school. I</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yee, it's my understanding that was the basis of the NESHAP standards.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Willis?</li> <li>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>EY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Is it your understanding that NESHAP has anything to do with health outcomes?</li> <li>A. I don't know the exact basis of the standard.</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: Okay. No further questions.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: Nothing.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: All right. You may step down, sir.</li> <li>And since it's noon, or almost noon we can go off the record.</li> <li>(The hearing recessed at 11:59 a.m. and reconvened at 1:05 p.m.)</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Let's go back on the</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>A. Ch, definitely, yes, the existing coke</li> <li>facilities, as well as some of our former ones.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell us about your employment history</li> <li>with United States Steel?</li> <li>A. Sure. I've been with U.S. Steel for 29 — just</li> <li>over 29 years. And for about 10 years, I was in our law</li> <li>department as our environmental air attorney. Then for</li> <li>the last 12 years, I've been on the technical side in</li> <li>environmental affairs.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What is your educational background?</li> <li>A. I have a BS — a BA in sociology, and then I have</li> <li>a Master's in criminal justice and a law degree.</li> <li>Q. Did you have additional post-graduate classes</li> <li>that you took when you had your legal role?</li> <li>A. I did. Because I was a sociology major, I found</li> <li>that I needed to gain a better understanding on the</li> <li>technical side.</li> <li>So while I was in the law department, because I</li> <li>really focused on compliance, I went back to school. I</li> <li>took organic chemistry, biology, and also a number of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yee, it's my understanding that was the basis of the NESHAP standards.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Willis? <u>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</u></li> <li>BY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Is it your understanding that NESHAP has anything to do with health outcomes?</li> <li>A. I don't know the exact basis of the standard. MR. WILLIS: Okay. No further questions. MR. DAUSCH: Nothing. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: All right. You may step down, sir.</li> <li>And since it's noon, or almost noon we can go off the record. (The hearing recessed at 11:59 a.m. and reconvened at 1:05 p.m.)</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Let's go back on the record.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>A. Ch, definitely, yes, the existing coke</li> <li>facilities, as well as some of our former ones.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell us about your employment history</li> <li>with United States Steel?</li> <li>A. Sure. I've been with U.S. Steel for 29 — just</li> <li>over 29 years. And for about 10 years, I was in our law</li> <li>department as our environmental air attorney. Then for</li> <li>the last 12 years, I've been on the technical side in</li> <li>environmental affairs.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What is your educational background?</li> <li>A. I have a BS — a BA in sociology, and then I have</li> <li>a Master's in criminal justice and a law degree.</li> <li>Q. Did you have additional post-graduate classes</li> <li>that you took when you had your legal role?</li> <li>A. I did. Because I was a sociology major, I found</li> <li>that I needed to gain a better understanding on the</li> <li>technical side.</li> <li>So while I was in the law department, because I</li> <li>really focused on compliance, I went back to school. I</li> <li>took organic chemistry, biology, and also a number of</li> <li>classes at Duquesne for their Master's of environmental</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yee, it's my understanding that was the basis of the NESHAP standards.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Willis? <u>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</u></li> <li>BY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Is it your understanding that NESHAP has anything to do with health outcomes?</li> <li>A. I don't know the exact basis of the standard. MR. WILLIS: Okay. No further questions. MR. DAUSCH: Nothing. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: All right. You may step down, sir.</li> <li>And since it's noon, or almost noon we can go off the record. (The hearing recessed at 11:59 a.m. and reconvened at 1:05 p.m.)</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Let's go back on the record.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: So we'll put this on the record: we</li> </ul>	10 12 12 12 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Ch, definitely, yes, the existing coke</li> <li>facilities, as well as some of our former ones.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell us about your employment history</li> <li>with United States Steel?</li> <li>A. Sure. I've been with U.S. Steel for 29 — just</li> <li>over 29 years. And for about 10 years, I was in our law</li> <li>department as our environmental air attorney. Then for</li> <li>the last 12 years, I've been on the technical side in</li> <li>environmental affairs.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What is your educational background?</li> <li>A. I have a BS — a BA in sociology, and then I have</li> <li>a Master's in criminal justice and a law degree.</li> <li>Q. Did you have additional post-graduate classes</li> <li>that you took when you had your legal role?</li> <li>A. I did. Because I was a sociology major, I found</li> <li>that I needed to gain a better understanding on the</li> <li>technical side.</li> <li>So while I was in the law department, because I</li> <li>really focused on compliance, I went back to school. I</li> <li>took organic chemistry, biology, and also a number of</li> <li>classes at Duqueene for their Master's of environmental</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yee, it's my understanding that was the basis of the NESHAP standards.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Willis? NECROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>EY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Is it your understanding that NESHAP has anything to do with health outcomes?</li> <li>A. I don't know the exact basis of the standard. MR. WILLIS: Okay. No further questions. MR. DAUSCH: Nothing. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: All right. You may step down, sir.</li> <li>And since it's noon, or almost noon we can go off the record. (The hearing recessed at 11:59 a.m. and reconvened at 1:05 p.m.)</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Let's go back on the record.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: So we'll put this on the record: we want to make sure we have the right exhibits that have</li> </ul>	10 12 12 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Ch, definitely, yes, the existing coke</li> <li>facilities, as well as some of our former ones.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell us about your employment history</li> <li>with United States Steel?</li> <li>A. Sure. I've been with U.S. Steel for 29 — just</li> <li>over 29 years. And for about 10 years, I was in our law</li> <li>department as our environmental air attorney. Then for</li> <li>the last 12 years, I've been on the technical side in</li> <li>environmental affairs.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What is your educational background?</li> <li>A. I have a ES — a EA in sociology, and then I have</li> <li>a Master's in criminal justice and a law degree.</li> <li>Q. Did you have additional post-graduate classes</li> <li>that I needed to gain a better understanding on the</li> <li>technical side.</li> <li>So while I was in the law department, because I</li> <li>really focused on compliance, I went back to school. I</li> <li>took organic chemistry, biology, and also a number of</li> <li>classes at Duquesne for their Master's of environmental</li> <li>program.</li> <li>Q. Did you have any training that's specific to the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yee, it's my understanding that was the basis of the NESHAP standards.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: That's all I have.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Willis?</li> <li>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>EY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. Is it your understanding that NESHAP has anything to do with health outcomes?</li> <li>A. I don't know the exact basis of the standard.</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: Okay. No further questions.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: Nothing.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: All right. You may step down, sir.</li> <li>And since it's noon, or almost noon we can go off the record.</li> <li>(The hearing recessed at 11:59 a.m. and reconvened at 1:05 p.m.)</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Let's go back on the record.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: So we'll put this on the record: we want to make sure we have the right exhibits that have been admitted so far.</li> </ul>	10 12 12 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Ch, definitely, yes, the existing coke</li> <li>facilities, as well as some of our former ones.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell us about your employment history</li> <li>with United States Steel?</li> <li>A. Sure. I've been with U.S. Steel for 29 — just</li> <li>over 29 years. And for about 10 years, I was in our law</li> <li>department as our environmental air attorney. Then for</li> <li>the last 12 years, I've been on the technical side in</li> <li>environmental affairs.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What is your educational background?</li> <li>A. I have a ES — a EA in sociology, and then I have</li> <li>a Master's in criminal justice and a law degree.</li> <li>Q. Did you have additional post-graduate classes</li> <li>that you took when you had your legal role?</li> <li>A. I did. Because I was a sociology major, I found</li> <li>that I needed to gain a better understanding on the</li> <li>technical side.</li> <li>So while I was in the law department, because I</li> <li>really focused on compliance, I went back to school. I</li> <li>took organic chemistry, biology, and also a number of</li> <li>classes at Duquesne for their Master's of environmental</li> <li>program.</li> <li>Q. Did you have any training that's specific to the</li> </ul>

T

		01.0			
1	process, we do a lot of in-house training because we	917	1	A. They go through a process, again, prescribed by	919
2	have experts that worked in the facilities for years and		2	the Clean Air Act, where they evaluate the data,	
3	have a lot of technical knowledge. So we have coke-		3	determine who and what sources contribute to that	
4	making classes. I attended that. Then later, I taught		4	monitor, and then they work the various stakeholders to	
5	the environmental section of the coke-making class.		5	develop a plan to reduce emissions from the various	
6	Q. And approximately how long did you teach the		6	facilities, cars, trucks, that type of thing, as well as	
7	environmental section of the coke-making class?		7	industrial facilities or other areas. They put that	
8	A. I taught for about five years, give or take; and		8	into a regulation or a permit and then implement it in	
9	then now, I'm focusing my department to it and I review		9	order to get the area into attainment.	
10	their slides and make sure they understand what needs to		10	Q. And that plan that you just described, does it	
11	be taught.		11	have a name?	
12	Q. Okay. In your role, do you have responsibility		12	A. It's referred to as a state implementation plan.	
13	for overseeing compliance with air emissions regulations		13	Q. And "state implementation plan" is sometimes	
14	at the Clairton plant?		14	called a "SIP" for short?	
15	A. Yes, I do.		15	A. Yes, uh-huh (affirmative.)	
16	Q. And have you had to familiarize yourself with		16	Q. Okay. Who is typically involved in developing	
17	those regulations?		17	the SIP?	
18			18	A. There are many different folks involved in making	
19	A. Absolutely.				
20	Q. Are you familiar with both criteria pollutants		19	the SIP: obviously, the regulating agency; for example,	
	and hazardous air pollutants?		20	here, Allegheny County, as well as the Pennsylvania	
21	A. Yes, I am.		21	Department of Environmental Protection, U.S. EPA; and	
22	Q. And is there a difference?		22	then the various sources that could be culpable or	
23	A. There is a difference. The Clean Air Act		23	contribute to the monitored exceedences; and then	
0.4	discusses them both and sets forth the procedures for		24	because it's a public process, stakeholders; and then	
24			25	a	
24 25	those. For the criteria pollutants, those are common		25	also area source representatives, like people that deal	
	those. For the criteria pollutants, those are common		25	also area source representatives, like people that deal	
25		918			920
25	pollutants, and there are six of them.	918	1	with cars, if that's an item that they are focused on.	920
25 1 2	pollutants, and there are six of them. And then the hazardous air pollutants, there are	918	1 2	with cars, if that's an item that they are focused on. Q. And did Allegheny County prepare a SIP for SO2?	920
25	pollutants, and there are six of them. And then the hazardous air pollutants, there are about 189, give or take, depending on the time.	918	1 2 3	with cars, if that's an item that they are focused on. Q. And did Allegheny County prepare a SIP for SO2? A. Yes.	920
25 1 2 3 4	pollutants, and there are six of them. And then the hazardous air pollutants, there are about 189, give or take, depending on the time. Q. The two pollutants identified in the enforcement	918	1 2 3 4	<pre>with cars, if that's an item that they are focused on. Q. And did Allegheny County prepare a SIP for SO2? A. Yes. Q. And was it finalized?</pre>	920
25 1 2 3 4 5	pollutants, and there are six of them. And then the hazardous air pollutants, there are about 189, give or take, depending on the time. Q. The two pollutants identified in the enforcement order, specifically SO2 and EM2.5, are those criteria	918	1 2 3 4 5	<pre>with cars, if that's an item that they are focused on. Q. And did Allegheny County prepare a SIP for SO2? A. Yes. Q. And was it finalized? A. Not yet. The standard was set back in 2010 and</pre>	920
25 1 2 3 4 5 6	<pre>pollutants, and there are six of them. And then the hazardous air pollutants, there are about 189, give or take, depending on the time. Q. The two pollutants identified in the enforcement order, specifically SO2 and RM2.5, are those criteria pollutants or hazardous air pollutants?</pre>	918	1 2 3 4 5 6	<pre>with cars, if that's an item that they are focused on. Q. And did Allegheny County prepare a SIP for SO2? A. Yes. Q. And was it finalized? A. Not yet. The standard was set back in 2010 and the area was Allegheny County was out of attainment,</pre>	920
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>pollutants, and there are six of them. And then the hazardous air pollutants, there are about 189, give or take, depending on the time. Q. The two pollutants identified in the enforcement order, specifically SO2 and EM2.5, are those criteria pollutants or hazardous air pollutants? A. They are criteria pollutants.</pre>	918	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>with cars, if that's an item that they are focused on.</li> <li>Q. And did Allegheny County prepare a SIP for SO2?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And was it finalized?</li> <li>A. Not yet. The standard was set back in 2010 and the area was Allegheny County was out of attainment, so they worked on the plan and then they submitted the</li> </ul>	920
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>pollutants, and there are six of them. And then the hazardous air pollutants, there are about 189, give or take, depending on the time. Q. The two pollutants identified in the enforcement order, specifically SO2 and RM2.5, are those criteria pollutants or hazardous air pollutants? A. They are criteria pollutants. Q. How are criteria pollutants regulated?</pre>	918	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>with cars, if that's an item that they are focused on.</li> <li>Q. And did Allegheny County prepare a SIP for SO2?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And was it finalized?</li> <li>A. Not yet. The standard was set back in 2010 and the area was Allegheny County was out of attainment, so they worked on the plan and then they submitted the plan. DEP looked at it, and it is currently with EPA</li> </ul>	920
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>pollutants, and there are six of them. And then the hazardous air pollutants, there are about 189, give or take, depending on the time. Q. The two pollutants identified in the enforcement order, specifically SO2 and EM2.5, are those criteria pollutants or hazardous air pollutants? A. They are criteria pollutants. Q. How are criteria pollutants regulated? A. The Clean Air Act provides the method and</pre>	918	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>with cars, if that's an item that they are focused on.</li> <li>Q. And did Allegheny County prepare a SIP for SO2?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And was it finalized?</li> <li>A. Not yet. The standard was set back in 2010 and the area was Allegheny County was out of attainment, so they worked on the plan and then they submitted the plan. DEP looked at it, and it is currently with EPA who has to approve it. It's in the proposed stage.</li> </ul>	920
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>pollutants, and there are six of them.</li> <li>And then the hazardous air pollutants, there are about 189, give or take, depending on the time.</li> <li>Q. The two pollutants identified in the enforcement order, specifically SO2 and EM2.5, are those criteria pollutants or hazardous air pollutants?</li> <li>A. They are criteria pollutants.</li> <li>Q. How are criteria pollutants regulated?</li> <li>A. The Clean Air Act provides the method and procedures used to regulate those. They start out by</li> </ul>	918	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>with cars, if that's an item that they are focused on.</li> <li>Q. And did Allegheny County prepare a SIP for SO2?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And was it finalized?</li> <li>A. Not yet. The standard was set back in 2010 and the area was Allegheny County was out of attainment, so they worked on the plan and then they submitted the plan. DEP looked at it, and it is currently with EPA who has to approve it. It's in the proposed stage.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Was U.S. Steel involved at all in the SIP</li> </ul>	920
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>pollutants, and there are six of them.</li> <li>And then the hazardous air pollutants, there are about 189, give or take, depending on the time.</li> <li>Q. The two pollutants identified in the enforcement order, specifically SO2 and FM2.5, are those criteria pollutants or hazardous air pollutants?</li> <li>A. They are criteria pollutants.</li> <li>Q. How are criteria pollutants regulated?</li> <li>A. The Clean Air Act provides the method and proceedines used to regulate those. They start out by doing acience and studies to determine what those</li> </ul>	918	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>with cars, if that's an item that they are focused on.</li> <li>Q. And did Allegheny County prepare a SIP for SO2?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And was it finalized?</li> <li>A. Not yet. The standard was set back in 2010 and the area was Allegheny County was out of attainment, so they worked on the plan and then they submitted the plan. DEP looked at it, and it is currently with EPA who has to approve it. It's in the proposed stage.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Was U.S. Steel involved at all in the SIP for SO2?</li> </ul>	920
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>pollutants, and there are six of them. And then the hazardous air pollutants, there are about 189, give or take, depending on the time. Q. The two pollutants identified in the enforcement order, specifically SO2 and EM2.5, are those criteria pollutants or hazardous air pollutants? A. They are criteria pollutants. Q. How are criteria pollutants regulated? A. The Clean Air Act provides the method and procedures used to regulate those. They start out by doing science and studies to determine what those pollutants — how they impact public health, and then</pre>	918	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>with cars, if that's an item that they are focused on. Q. And did Allegheny County prepare a SIP for SO2? A. Yes. Q. And was it finalized? A. Not yet. The standard was set back in 2010 and the area was Allegheny County was out of attainment, so they worked on the plan and then they submitted the plan. DEP looked at it, and it is currently with EPA who has to approve it. It's in the proposed stage. Q. Okay. Was U.S. Steel involved at all in the SIP for SO2? A. Yes, we were very involved.</pre>	920
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>pollutants, and there are six of them.</li> <li>And then the hazardous air pollutants, there are about 189, give or take, depending on the time.</li> <li>Q. The two pollutants identified in the enforcement order, specifically SO2 and RM2.5, are those criteria pollutants or hazardous air pollutants?</li> <li>A. They are criteria pollutants.</li> <li>Q. How are criteria pollutants regulated?</li> <li>A. The Clean Air Act provides the method and procedures used to regulate those. They start out by doing science and studies to determine what those pollutants — how they impact public health, and then the jurisdictions go through and determine if the areas</li> </ul>	918	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>with cars, if that's an item that they are focused on.</li> <li>Q. And did Allegheny County prepare a SIP for SO2?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And was it finalized?</li> <li>A. Not yet. The standard was set back in 2010 and the area was Allegheny County was out of attainment, so they worked on the plan and then they submitted the plan. DEP looked at it, and it is currently with EPA who has to approve it. It's in the proposed stage.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Was U.S. Steel involved at all in the SIP for SO2?</li> <li>A. Yes, we were very involved.</li> <li>Q. What was U.S. Steel's role?</li> </ul>	920
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>pollutants, and there are six of them.</li> <li>And then the hazardous air pollutants, there are about 189, give or take, depending on the time.</li> <li>Q. The two pollutants identified in the enforcement order, specifically SO2 and FM2.5, are those criteria pollutants or hazardous air pollutants?</li> <li>A. They are criteria pollutants.</li> <li>Q. How are criteria pollutants regulated?</li> <li>A. The Clean Air Act provides the method and procedures used to regulate those. They start out by doing acience and studies to determine what those pollutants — how they impact public health, and then the jurisdictions go through and determine if the areas aren't meeting attainment or attaining those standards,</li> </ul>	918	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>with cars, if that's an item that they are focused on.</li> <li>Q. And did Allegheny County prepare a SIP for SO2?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And was it finalized?</li> <li>A. Not yet. The standard was set back in 2010 and the area was Allegheny County was out of attainment, so they worked on the plan and then they submitted the plan. DEP looked at it, and it is currently with EPA who has to approve it. It's in the proposed stage.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Was U.S. Steel involved at all in the SIP for SO2?</li> <li>A. Yes, we were very involved.</li> <li>Q. What was U.S. Steel's role?</li> <li>A. We worked with the Allegheny Health Department</li> </ul>	920
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>pollutants, and there are six of them.</li> <li>And then the hazardous air pollutants, there are about 189, give or take, depending on the time.</li> <li>Q. The two pollutants identified in the enforcement order, specifically SO2 and PM2.5, are those criteria pollutants or hazardous air pollutants?</li> <li>A. They are criteria pollutants.</li> <li>Q. How are criteria pollutants regulated?</li> <li>A. The Clean Air Act provides the method and procedures used to regulate those. They start out by doing science and studies to determine what those pollutants — how they impact public health, and then the jurisdictions go through and determine if the areas aren't meeting attainment or attaining those standards, how to make the area come into attairment.</li> </ul>	918	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>with cars, if that's an item that they are focused on.</li> <li>Q. And did Allegheny County prepare a SIP for SO2?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And was it finalized?</li> <li>A. Not yet. The standard was set back in 2010 and the area was Allegheny County was out of attainment, so they worked on the plan and then they submitted the plan. DEP looked at it, and it is currently with EPA who has to approve it. It's in the proposed stage.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Was U.S. Steel involved at all in the SIP for SO2?</li> <li>A. Yes, we were very involved.</li> <li>Q. What was U.S. Steel's role?</li> <li>A. We worked with the Allegheny Health Department and the other team members to look at the modeling for</li> </ul>	920
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>pollutants, and there are six of them.</li> <li>And then the hazardous air pollutants, there are about 189, give or take, depending on the time.</li> <li>Q. The two pollutants identified in the enforcement order, specifically SO2 and RM2.5, are those criteria pollutants or hazardous air pollutants?</li> <li>A. They are criteria pollutants.</li> <li>Q. How are criteria pollutants regulated?</li> <li>A. The Clean Air Act provides the method and procedures used to regulate those. They start out by doing science and studies to determine what those pollutants — how they impact public health, and then the jurisdictions go through and determine if the areas aren't meeting attainment or attaining those standards, how to make the area come into attainment.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so these standards apply to areas, not</li> </ul>	918	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>with cars, if that's an item that they are focused on.</li> <li>Q. And did Allegheny County prepare a SIP for SO2?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And was it finalized?</li> <li>A. Not yet. The standard was set back in 2010 and the area was Allegheny County was out of attainment, so they worked on the plan and then they submitted the plan. DEP looked at it, and it is currently with EPA who has to approve it. It's in the proposed stage.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Was U.S. Steel involved at all in the SIP for SO2?</li> <li>A. Yes, we were very involved.</li> <li>Q. What was U.S. Steel's role?</li> <li>A. We worked with the Allegheny Health Department and the other team members to look at the modeling for SO2, and then we worked with the agencies and our</li> </ul>	920
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>pollutants, and there are six of them.</li> <li>And then the hazardous air pollutants, there are about 189, give or take, depending on the time.</li> <li>Q. The two pollutants identified in the enforcement order, specifically SO2 and PM2.5, are those criteria pollutants or hazardous air pollutants?</li> <li>A. They are criteria pollutants.</li> <li>Q. How are criteria pollutants regulated?</li> <li>A. The Clean Air Act provides the method and procedures used to regulate those. They start out by doing science and studies to determine what those pollutants — how they impact public health, and then the jurisdictions go through and determine if the areas aren't meeting attainment or attaining those standards, how to make the area come into attairment.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so these standards apply to areas, not to specific plants like the Clairton plant?</li> </ul>	918	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>with cars, if that's an item that they are focused on.</li> <li>Q. And did Allegheny County prepare a SIP for SO2?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And was it finalized?</li> <li>A. Not yet. The standard was set back in 2010 and the area was Allegheny County was out of attainment, so they worked on the plan and then they submitted the plan. DEP looked at it, and it is currently with EPA who has to approve it. It's in the proposed stage.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Was U.S. Steel involved at all in the SIP for SO2?</li> <li>A. Yes, we were very involved.</li> <li>Q. What was U.S. Steel's role?</li> <li>A. We worked with the Allegheny Health Department and the other team members to look at the modeling for SO2, and then we worked with the againties and our internal folks to develop strategies to reduce those SO2</li> </ul>	920
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>pollutants, and there are six of them.</li> <li>And then the hazardous air pollutants, there are about 189, give or take, depending on the time.</li> <li>Q. The two pollutants identified in the enforcement order, specifically SO2 and FM2.5, are those criteria pollutants or hazardous air pollutants?</li> <li>A. They are criteria pollutants.</li> <li>Q. How are criteria pollutants regulated?</li> <li>A. The Clean Air Act provides the method and procedures used to regulate those. They start out by doing science and studies to determine what those pollutants — how they impact public health, and then the jurisdictions go through and determine if the areas aren't meeting attainment or attaining those standards, how to make the area come into attairment.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so these standards apply to areas, not to specific plants like the Clairton plant?</li> </ul>	918	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>with cars, if that's an item that they are focused on.</li> <li>Q. And did Allegheny County prepare a SIP for SO2?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And was it finalized?</li> <li>A. Not yet. The standard was set back in 2010 and the area was Allegheny County was out of attainment, so they worked on the plan and then they submitted the plan. DEP looked at it, and it is currently with EPA who has to approve it. It's in the proposed stage.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Was U.S. Steel involved at all in the SIP for SO2?</li> <li>A. Yee, we were very involved.</li> <li>Q. What was U.S. Steel's role?</li> <li>A. We worked with the Allegheny Health Department and the other team members to look at the modeling for SO2, and then we worked with the agencies and our internal folks to develop strategies to reduce those SO2 emissions.</li> </ul>	920
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>pollutants, and there are six of them.</li> <li>And then the hazardous air pollutants, there are about 189, give or take, depending on the time.</li> <li>Q. The two pollutants identified in the enforcement order, specifically SO2 and EM2.5, are those criteria pollutants or hazardous air pollutants?</li> <li>A. They are criteria pollutants.</li> <li>Q. How are criteria pollutants regulated?</li> <li>A. The Clean Air Act provides the method and procedures used to regulate those. They start out by doing science and studies to determine what those pollutants — how they inpact public health, and then the jurisdictions go through and determine if the areas arean't meeting attainment or attainment.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so these standards apply to areas, not to specific plants like the Clairton plant?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. We've heard in this hearing reference to</li> </ul>	918	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>with cars, if that's an item that they are focused on.</li> <li>Q. And did Allegheny County prepare a SIP for SO2?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And was it finalized?</li> <li>A. Not yet. The standard was set back in 2010 and the area was Allegheny County was out of attainment, so they worked on the plan and then they submitted the plan. DEP looked at it, and it is currently with EPA who has to approve it. It's in the proposed stage.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Was U.S. Steel involved at all in the SIP for SO2?</li> <li>A. Yes, we were very involved.</li> <li>Q. What was U.S. Steel's role?</li> <li>A. We worked with the Allegheny Health Department and the other team members to look at the modaling for SO2, and then we worked with the againties and our internal folks to develop strategies to reduce those SO2 emissions.</li> <li>Q. Can you look at Exhibit 45, please?</li> </ul>	920
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>pollutants, and there are six of them.</li> <li>And then the hazardous air pollutants, there are about 189, give or take, depending on the time.</li> <li>Q. The two pollutants identified in the enforcement order, specifically SO2 and PM2.5, are those criteria pollutants or hazardous air pollutants?</li> <li>A. They are criteria pollutants.</li> <li>Q. How are criteria pollutants regulated?</li> <li>A. The Clean Air Act provides the method and procedures used to regulate those. They start out by doing acience and studies to determine what those pollutants – how they impact public health, and then the jurisdictions go through and determine if the areas area't meeting attainment or attaining those standards, how to make the area cone into attainment.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so these standards apply to areas, not to specific plants like the Clairton plant?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. We've heard in this hearing reference to different monitors. Do those have any relevance to</li> </ul>	918	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>with cars, if that's an item that they are focused on.</li> <li>Q. And did Allegheny County prepare a SIP for SO2?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And was it finalized?</li> <li>A. Not yet. The standard was set back in 2010 and the area was Allegheny County was out of attainment, so they worked on the plan and then they submitted the plan. DEP looked at it, and it is currently with EPA who has to approve it. It's in the proposed stage.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Was U.S. Steel involved at all in the SIP for SO2?</li> <li>A. Yes, we were very involved.</li> <li>Q. What was U.S. Steel's role?</li> <li>A. We worked with the Allegheny Health Department and the other team members to look at the modeling for SO2, and then we worked with the agencies and our internal folks to develop strategies to reduce those SO2 emissions.</li> <li>Q. Can you look at Exhibit 45, please?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> </ul>	920
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>pollutants, and there are six of them.</li> <li>And then the hazardous air pollutants, there are about 189, give or take, depending on the time.</li> <li>Q. The two pollutants identified in the enforcement order, specifically SO2 and FM2.5, are those criteria pollutants or hazardous air pollutants?</li> <li>A. They are criteria pollutants.</li> <li>Q. How are criteria pollutants regulated?</li> <li>A. The Clean Air Act provides the method and procedures used to regulate those. They start out by doing acience and studies to determine what those pollutants — how they impact public health, and then the jurisdictions go through and determine if the areas aren't meeting attainment or attaining those standards, how to make the area come into attairment.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so these standards apply to areas, not to specific plants like the Clairton plant?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. We've heard in this hearing reference to different monitors. Do those have any relevance to criteria pollutants and how they are regulated?</li> </ul>	918	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>with cars, if that's an item that they are focused on.</li> <li>Q. And did Allegheny County prepare a SIP for SO2?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And was it finalized?</li> <li>A. Not yet. The standard was set back in 2010 and the area was Allegheny County was out of attainment, so they worked on the plan and then they submitted the plan. DEP looked at it, and it is currently with EPA who has to approve it. It's in the proposed stage.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Was U.S. Steel involved at all in the SIP for SO2?</li> <li>A. Yes, we were very involved.</li> <li>Q. What was U.S. Steel's role?</li> <li>A. We worked with the Allegheny Health Department and the other team members to look at the modeling for SO2, and then we worked with the agencies and our internal folks to develop strategies to reduce those SO2 emissions.</li> <li>Q. Can you look at Exhibit 45, please?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Are you familiar with what Exhibit 45 is?</li> </ul>	920
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>pollutants, and there are six of them.</li> <li>And then the hazardous air pollutants, there are about 189, give or take, depending on the time.</li> <li>Q. The two pollutants identified in the enforcement order, specifically SO2 and FM2.5, are those criteria pollutants or hazardous air pollutants?</li> <li>A. They are criteria pollutants.</li> <li>Q. How are criteria pollutants regulated?</li> <li>A. The Clean Air Act provides the method and procedures used to regulate those. They start out by doing science and studies to determine what those pollutants – how they inpact public health, and then the jurisdictions go through and determine if the areas aren't meeting attainment or attaining those standards, how to make the area come into attairment.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so these standards apply to areas, not to specific plants like the Clairton plant?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. We've heard in this hearing reference to different monitors. Do those have any relevance to criteria pollutants and how they are regulated?</li> <li>A. Yee. The monitor data is the data upon which the</li> </ul>	918	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>with cars, if that's an item that they are focused on.</li> <li>Q. And did Allegheny County prepare a SIP for SO2?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And was it finalized?</li> <li>A. Not yet. The standard was set back in 2010 and the area was Allegheny County was out of attainment, so they worked on the plan and then they submitted the plan. DEP looked at it, and it is currently with EPA who has to approve it. It's in the proposed stage.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Was U.S. Steel involved at all in the SIP for SO2?</li> <li>A. Yes, we were very involved.</li> <li>Q. What was U.S. Steel's role?</li> <li>A. We worked with the Allegheny Health Department and the other team members to look at the modeling for SO2, and then we worked with the agencies and our internal folks to develop strategies to reduce those SO2 emissions.</li> <li>Q. Can you look at Exhibit 45, please?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Are you familiar with what Exhibit 45 is?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> </ul>	920
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>pollutants, and there are six of them.</li> <li>And then the hazardous air pollutants, there are about 189, give or take, depending on the time.</li> <li>Q. The two pollutants identified in the enforcement order, specifically SO2 and FM2.5, are those criteria pollutants or hazardous air pollutants?</li> <li>A. They are criteria pollutants.</li> <li>Q. How are criteria pollutants regulated?</li> <li>A. The Clean Air Act provides the method and procedures used to regulate those. They start out by doing science and studies to determine what those pollutants — how they impact public health, and then the jurisdictions go through and determine if the areas aren't meeting attainment or attaining those standards, how to make the area come into attainment.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so these standards apply to areas, not to specific plants like the Clairton plant?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. We've heard in this hearing reference to different monitors. Do those have any relevance to criteria pollutants and how they are regulated?</li> <li>A. Yee. The monitor data is the data upon which the designations of attainment or non-attainment are made.</li> </ul>	918	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>with cars, if that's an item that they are focused on.</li> <li>Q. And did Allegheny County prepare a SIP for SO??</li> <li>A. Yas.</li> <li>Q. And was it finalized?</li> <li>A. Not yet. The standard was set back in 2010 and the area was Allegheny County was out of attainment, so they worked on the plan and then they submitted the plan. DEP looked at it, and it is currently with EPA who has to approve it. It's in the proposed stage.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Was U.S. Steel involved at all in the SIP for SO??</li> <li>A. Yes, we were vary involved.</li> <li>Q. What was U.S. Steel's role?</li> <li>A. We worked with the Allegheny Health Department and the other team members to look at the modeling for SO2, and then we worked with the agencies and our internal folks to develop strategies to reduce those SO2 emissions.</li> <li>Q. Can you look at Exhibit 45, please?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. And what is it?</li> </ul>	920
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>pollutants, and there are six of them.</li> <li>And then the hazardous air pollutants, there are about 189, give or take, depending on the time.</li> <li>Q. The two pollutants identified in the enforcement order, specifically SO2 and FM2.5, are those criteria pollutants or hazardous air pollutants?</li> <li>A. They are criteria pollutants.</li> <li>Q. How are criteria pollutants regulated?</li> <li>A. The Clean Air Act provides the method and procedures used to regulate those. They start out by doing science and studies to determine what those pollutants – how they inpact public health, and then the jurisdictions go through and determine if the areas aren't meeting attainment or attaining those standards, how to make the area come into attairment.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so these standards apply to areas, not to specific plants like the Clairton plant?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. We've heard in this hearing reference to different monitors. Do those have any relevance to criteria pollutants and how they are regulated?</li> <li>A. Yee. The monitor data is the data upon which the</li> </ul>		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>with cars, if that's an item that they are focused on.</li> <li>Q. And did Allegheny County prepare a SIP for SO2?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And was it finalized?</li> <li>A. Not yet. The standard was set back in 2010 and the area was Allegheny County was out of attainment, so they worked on the plan and then they submitted the plan. DEP looked at it, and it is currently with EPA who has to approve it. It's in the proposed stage.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Was U.S. Steel involved at all in the SIP for SO2?</li> <li>A. Yes, we were very involved.</li> <li>Q. What was U.S. Steel's role?</li> <li>A. We worked with the Allegheny Health Department and the other team members to look at the modeling for SO2, and then we worked with the agencies and our internal folks to develop strategies to reduce those SO2 emissions.</li> <li>Q. Can you look at Exhibit 45, please?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Are you familiar with what Exhibit 45 is?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> </ul>	920

	1	921 Q. Okay. And did this permit have some relation to	1	county has are Article 21 regulations?	923
	2	the SIP process that you just described?	2	A. Uh-huh (affirmative.)	
	3	A. Yes. The SIP itself is a regulation or a plan	3	Q. You have to say "yes" or "no."	
	4	developed by the agency; and then to make sure that the	4	A. Ch, yes, sorry.	
	5	different reductions are required, they can either do it	5	Q. And when an Article 21 regulation is enacted, is	
	6	by rule or, in this case, they do a permit. So this is	6	there some process that occurs?	
	7	a permit that we have to implement our reductions for	7	A. Yes. The process is very complicated here in	
	8	the SO2 SIP.	8	Allegheny County because it goes through the public	
	9	Q. And what is the regulating agency that issues	9	is involved through all the different stages, but it	
	10	this permit?	10	starts with the regulation subcommittee, then to the air	
	11	A. In this case, it's Allegheny County Health	11	advisory committee, then to the Board of Health, then to	
	12	Department.	12	the County Council, and then it goes out for public	
	13	Q. Okay. Can you look at page 4 of this permit that	13	comment. So it's a very long process.	
	14	is Exhibit 45, U.S. Steel?	14	Q. Does the Article 21 regulations that exist today,	
	15	A. Yes.	15	do they have door-leak limits for batteries?	
	16	Q. Table 2-1, emission unit identification, what	16	A. Yes, they do.	
	17	does this table represent?	17	Q. And those regulations, did they go through that	
	18	A. Those are the emission units that are regulated	18	rulemaking process that you described?	
	19	by the permit.	19	A. To my knowledge, yes, they did.	
	20	Q. Who prepared the permit that we are looking at?	20	Q. Are you familiar with the B Battery coke-side,	
	21	A. The permit is prepared by the Allegheny County	21	door-leak standard that's in the enforcement order?	
	22	Health Department.	22	A. Yes, Iam.	
1	23	Q. Okay. And what does the table reflect, Table	23	Q. Did that go through any rulemaking process?	
2	24	2-1?	24	A. No, it did not.	
2	25	A. It lists the emission units that are impacted by	25	Q. Was there any chance for public comment on that	
1					
		922			924
	1	the permit, and so those are the ones that are addressed	1	standard?	
	2	for the SO2 reductions.	2	A. No, there was not.	
	3	${\sf Q}.$ Okay. And would the sources that are identified	3	Q. To your knowledge, did U.S. Steel have any	
	4	in this table be the sources that you would expect to	4	ability to review that limit with the Department before	
		have contributions of SO2?	5	it was placed in the enforcement order?	
	6	A. Yes, they are the ones that have significant	6	A. No, we did not.	
		contribution for which we implemented control	7	${\sf Q}.$ I want to switch from SO2 to the other criteria	
		strategies.	8	and pollutant identified in the enforcement order,	
	9	Q. Okay. Are any door-leak fugitive emission points	9	PM2.5. Is there also a SIP process that would occur for	
		identified in that table?	10	PM2.5?	
	.1	A. No, they are not.	11	A. Yes.	
	.2	Q. And why is that?	12	Q. What's the status of that?	
	.3	A. Because they weren't considered a source that	13	A. The standard was revised in so where we are	
1 +	.4	impacted the monitor enough to be considered relevant.	14	with that is that Allegheny County is currently	
1	5	O Olour To there a success that seems had a the	1 =	developing a OTD which it has only to finalize an and	
1	5	Q. Okay. Is there a process that occurs before the	15	developing a SIP which it has yet to finalize or even	
1	.6	SIP is finalized and submitted by the county?	16	propose.	
1 1 1	.6	SIP is finalized and submitted by the county? A. Yes. As I mentioned, the county or the	16 17	propose. Q. And does U.S. Steel expect to be involved in that	
1 1 1 1	.6 .7 .8	SIP is finalized and submitted by the county? A. Yes. As I mentioned, the county or the jurisdiction issues permits or rules. They go through	16 17 18	propose. Q. And does U.S. Steel expect to be involved in that SIP process?	
1 1 1 1 1	.6 .7 .8 .9 1	SIP is finalized and submitted by the county? A. Yes. As I mentioned, the county or the jurisdiction issues permits or rules. They go through public comment, and then they are consolidated into the	16 17 18 19	propose. Q. And does U.S. Steel expect to be involved in that SIP process? A. Yes, we do.	
1 1 1 1 1 2	.6 .7 .8 .9 1	SIP is finalized and submitted by the county? A. Yes. As I mentioned, the county or the jurisdiction issues permits or rules. They go through public comment, and then they are consolidated into the plan which also goes out for public comment, and then	16 17 18 19 20	<pre>propose. Q. And does U.S. Steel expect to be involved in that SIP process? A. Yes, we do. Q. Okay. We talked about the criteria pollutants.</pre>	
1 1 1 1 2 2	.6 .7 .8 .9 .1 .1	SIP is finalized and submitted by the county? A. Yes. As I mentioned, the county or the jurisdiction issues permits or rules. They go through public comment, and then they are consolidated into the plan which also goes out for public comment, and then there can be hearings if people request them.	16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>propose. Q. And does U.S. Steel expect to be involved in that SIP process? A. Yes, we do. Q. Okay. We talked about the criteria pollutants. I want to switch now to hazardous air pollutants.</pre>	
1 1 1 1 2 2 2	.6 .7 .8 .9 1 .0 1 .2	SIP is finalized and submitted by the county? A. Yes. As I mentioned, the county or the jurisdiction issues permits or rules. They go through public comment, and then they are consolidated into the plan which also goes cut for public comment, and then there can be hearings if people request them. Q. Okay. And are there occasions where regulations	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>propose.</li> <li>Q. And does U.S. Steel expect to be involved in that SIP process?</li> <li>A. Yes, we do.</li> <li>Q. Okay. We talked about the criteria pollutants.</li> <li>I want to switch now to hazardous air pollutants. Are there hazardous air pollutants that are</li> </ul>	
1 1 1 1 2 2 2. 2.	.6 .7 .8 .9 1 .0 1 .2 .3	SIP is finalized and submitted by the county? A. Yes. As I mentioned, the county or the jurisdiction issues permits or rules. They go through public comment, and then they are consolidated into the plan which also goes out for public comment, and then there can be hearings if people request them. Q. Okay. And are there occasions where regulations are enacted or adjusted as part of the SIP process?	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>propose.</li> <li>Q. And does U.S. Steel expect to be involved in that SIP process?</li> <li>A. Yes, we do.</li> <li>Q. Okay. We talked about the criteria pollutants.</li> <li>I want to switch now to hazardous air pollutants. Are there hazardous air pollutants that are emitted from the coke batteries at Clairton?</li> </ul>	
1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2	.6 .7 .8 .9 1 .0 1 .1 .2 .3 .4	SIP is finalized and submitted by the county? A. Yes. As I mentioned, the county or the jurisdiction issues permits or rules. They go through public comment, and then they are consolidated into the plan which also goes out for public comment, and then there can be hearings if people request them. Q. Okay. And are there occasions where regulations are enacted or adjusted as part of the SIP process? A. Yeah, there can be.	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>propose.</li> <li>Q. And does U.S. Steel expect to be involved in that SIP process?</li> <li>A. Yes, we do.</li> <li>Q. Okay. We talked about the criteria pollutants.</li> <li>I want to switch now to hazardous air pollutants. Are there hazardous air pollutants that are emitted from the coke batteries at Clairton?</li> <li>A. Yes, there are.</li> </ul>	
1 1 1 1 2 2 2. 2.	.6 .7 .8 .9 1 .0 1 .1 .2 .3 .4	SIP is finalized and submitted by the county? A. Yes. As I mentioned, the county or the jurisdiction issues permits or rules. They go through public comment, and then they are consolidated into the plan which also goes out for public comment, and then there can be hearings if people request them. Q. Okay. And are there occasions where regulations are enacted or adjusted as part of the SIP process?	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>propose.</li> <li>Q. And does U.S. Steel expect to be involved in that SIP process?</li> <li>A. Yes, we do.</li> <li>Q. Okay. We talked about the criteria pollutants.</li> <li>I want to switch now to hazardous air pollutants. Are there hazardous air pollutants that are emitted from the coke batteries at Clairton?</li> </ul>	

I

				20
1	9: testimony in this hearing, correct?	25	A. Yes, they were.	92
2	A. Correct.	2	Q. And can you explain how that process occurred?	
3	Q. Are hazardous air pollutants regulated	3	A. So the Clean Air Act addressed coke facilities	
4	differently than the criteria pollutants that you just	4	and they set up a review of what was going on at the	
5	talked about?	5	time and they used the stakeholder process. So	
6	A. Yes, they are. Under the Clean Air Act, they	6	representatives from the various agencies, Allegheny	
7	are, yes.	7	County Health Department representatives or DEP, was	
8	Q. Okay. And how are hazardous air pollutants	8	there. There were coke manufactures represented there.	
9	regulated?	9	The United Steelworkers were represented and many	
10	A. The Clean Air Act contains a list of hazardous	10	environmental groups that were maybe represented here	
11	air pollutants. There are about 187 to 189 that comes	11	today.	
12	and goes depending on different petitions to the agency.	12	Q. Okay. And what ultimately happened? Were NESHAP	
13	Then the Clean Air Act requires that MACT	13	regulations proposed for coke batteries?	
14	standards are set for those hazardous air pollutants;	14	A. Yeah, the NESHAP regulations for coke batteries	
15	and for the ease of regulating them, they categorize	15	were proposed and then they were finalized, and they	
L5 L6	and for the ease of regularing them, they categorize them as source categories.	15	were proposed and then they were linalized, and they addressed what we've been talking about here, charging,	
17	So where there's a list of hazardous air	17	doors, lids, and offtakes.	
18	pollutants, they then categorize, like, where they are	18	Q. And the proposal, was that based on the MACT that	
.0	most likely coming from. So there will be a MACT set	19	vou described earlier?	
20	for boat builders, for auto repair shops, for coke	20	A. Yes, it established what the MACT standards were;	
21	plants and that type of thing. So they developed the	20	and then due to the complexity of the coke facilities,	
	MACT standard and then all that goes through public	22	they also set the standards.	
3	comment also.	23	Q. So let's first start with Exhibit 46.	
	Q. In very simple terms, what is a MACT standard?	24	A. Okay.	
2.4	Q. IN VELY SHIPTE CELIS, WHAT IS A PACE SCANDER :	29	A. Okay.	
:4 :5	A. The MACT standard, it stands for Maximum	25	Q. Can you tell us what Exhibit 46 is, please?	
	A. The MACT standard, it stands for Maximum	-	Q. Can you tell us what Exhibit 46 is, please?	9
5	92 Achievable Control Technology. Depending on the size of	6 1	<ul> <li>Q. Can you tell us what Exhibit 46 is, please?</li> <li>A. Yeeh. I think my copy might be a little mixed</li> </ul>	9
1	92 Achievable Control Technology. Depending on the size of the group that's being regulated, it's generally the	6 1 2	A. Yeah. I think my copy might be a little mixed up.	g
5 1 2 3	92 Achievable Control Technology. Depending on the size of the group that's being regulated, it's generally the average of the top 12 percent.	6 1 2 3	<ul> <li>A. Yeah. I think my copy might be a little mixed up.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>	S
5 1 2 3 4	92 Achievable Control Technology. Depending on the size of the group that's being regulated, it's generally the average of the top 12 percent. But then some different categories, there aren't	6 1 2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. Yeeh. I think my copy might be a little mixed up.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Oh, here we go. Yeeh, it had the midsection at</li> </ul>	9
1 2 3 4 5	92 Achievable Control Technology. Depending on the size of the group that's being regulated, it's generally the average of the top 12 percent. But then some different categories, there aren't that many folks in them, so if it's smaller group, I	6 1 2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. Yeah. I think my copy might be a little mixed up.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Oh, here we go. Yeah, it had the midsection at the beginning.</li> </ul>	9
5 1 2 3 4 5 6	92 Achievable Control Technology. Depending on the size of the group that's being regulated, it's generally the average of the top 12 percent. But then some different categories, there aren't that many folks in them, so if it's smaller group, I think it is 30 or less, and then you take the top five	6 1 2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Yeah. I think my copy might be a little mixed up.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Oh, here we go. Yeah, it had the midsection at the beginning.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>	2
5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	92 Achievable Control Technology. Depending on the size of the group that's being regulated, it's generally the average of the top 12 percent. But then some different categories, there aren't that many folks in them, so if it's smaller group, I think it is 30 or less, and then you take the top five performers.	6 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Yeah. I think my copy might be a little mixed up.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Oh, here we go. Yeah, it had the midsection at the beginning.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But it looks and it's these are the NESHAP</li> </ul>	<u>c</u>
5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	92 Achievable Control Technology. Depending on the size of the group that's being regulated, it's generally the average of the top 12 percent. But then some different categories, there aren't that many folks in them, so if it's smaller group, I think it is 30 or less, and then you take the top five performers. Q. And when you said "source categories," can you in	6 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yeah. I think my copy might be a little mixed up.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Oh, here we go. Yeah, it had the midsection at the beginning.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But it looks and it's these are the NESHAP standards for coke oven batteries for doors, lids,</li> </ul>	9
5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	92 Achievable Control Technology. Depending on the size of the group that's being regulated, it's generally the average of the top 12 percent. But then some different categories, there aren't that many folks in them, so if it's smaller group, I think it is 30 or less, and then you take the top five performers. Q. And when you said "source categories," can you in simple terms explain what that means?	6 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yeah. I think my copy might be a little mixed up.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Ch, here we go. Yeah, it had the midsection at the beginning.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But it looks and it's these are the NESHAP standards for coke oven batteries for doors, lids, offtakes, and charging.</li> </ul>	Ē
5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 0	92 Achievable Control Technology. Depending on the size of the group that's being regulated, it's generally the average of the top 12 percent. But then some different categories, there aren't that many folks in them, so if it's smaller group, I think it is 30 or less, and then you take the top five performers. Q. And when you said "source categories," can you in simple terms explain what that means? A. Source category means the type of industry or	6 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yeah. I think my copy might be a little mixed up.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Oh, here we go. Yeah, it had the midsection at the beginning.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But it looks and it's these are the NESHAP standards for coke oven batteries for doors, lids, offtakes, and charging.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you have what's in the top left</li> </ul>	<u>c</u>
5 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 0 1	92 Achievable Control Technology. Depending on the size of the group that's being regulated, it's generally the average of the top 12 percent. But then some different categories, there aren't that many folks in them, so if it's smaller group, I think it is 30 or less, and then you take the top five performers. Q. And when you said "source categories," can you in simple terms explain what that means? A. Source category means the type of industry or activity that emits that type of pollutant.	6 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Yeeh. I think my copy might be a little mixed up.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Oh, here we go. Yeeh, it had the midsection at the beginning.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But it looks and it's these are the NESEAP standards for coke oven batteries for doors, lids, offtakes, and charging.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you have what's in the top left labeled 40 CFR, Part 63?</li> </ul>	2
5 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 9 0 1 1 2 2	92 Achievable Control Technology. Depending on the size of the group that's being regulated, it's generally the average of the top 12 percent. But then some different categories, there aren't that meny folks in them, so if it's smaller group, I think it is 30 or less, and then you take the top five performers. Q. And when you said "source categories," can you in simple terms explain what that means? A. Source category means the type of industry or activity that emits that type of pollutant. Q. And so, for example, would there be MACT	6 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Yeah. I think my copy might be a little mixed up.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Oh, here we go. Yeah, it had the midsection at the beginning.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But it looks and it's these are the NESEAP standards for coke oven batteries for doors, lids, offtakes, and charging.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you have what's in the top left labeled 40 CFR, Part 63?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	Ē
5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 0 1 2 3	92 Achievable Control Technology. Depending on the size of the group that's being regulated, it's generally the average of the top 12 percent. But then some different categories, there aren't that many folks in them, so if it's smaller group, I think it is 30 or less, and then you take the top five performers. Q. And when you said "source categories," can you in simple terms explain what that means? A. Source category means the type of industry or activity that emits that type of pollutant. Q. And so, for example, would there be MACT standards that are specific to coke batteries?	6 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Yeeh. I think my copy might be a little mixed up.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Oh, here we go. Yeeh, it had the midsection at the beginning.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But it looks and it's these are the NESEAP standards for coke oven batteries for doors, lids, offitakes, and charging.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you have what's in the top left labeled 40 CFR, Part 63?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it dated December 4th, 1992?</li> </ul>	5
5 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 0 1 2 3 4	92 Achievable Control Technology. Depending on the size of the group that's being regulated, it's generally the average of the top 12 percent. But then some different categories, there aren't that many folks in them, so if it's smaller group, I think it is 30 or less, and then you take the top five performers. Q. And when you said "source categories," can you in simple terms explain what that means? A. Source category means the type of industry or activity that emits that type of pollutant. Q. And so, for example, would there be MACT standards that are specific to coke batteries? A. Yes, there are.	6 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yeeh. I think my copy might be a little mixed up.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Oh, here we go. Yeeh, it had the midsection at the beginning.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But it looks and it's these are the NESHAP standards for coke oven batteries for doors, lids, offtakes, and charging.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you have what's in the top left labeled 40 CFR, Part 63?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it dated December 4th, 1992?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> </ul>	2
5 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 5 5 5	92 Achievable Control Technology. Depending on the size of the group that's being regulated, it's generally the average of the top 12 percent. But then some different categories, there aren't that many folks in them, so if it's smaller group, I think it is 30 or less, and then you take the top five performers. Q. And when you said "source categories," can you in simple terms explain what that means? A. Source category means the type of industry or activity that emits that type of pollutant. Q. And so, for example, would there be MACT standards that are specific to coke batteries? A. Yes, there are. Q. Okay. And how does that relate to what we've	6 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Yeah. I think my copy might be a little mixed up.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Oh, here we go. Yeah, it had the midsection at the beginning.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But it looks and it's these are the NESEAP standards for coke oven batteries for doors, lids, offtakes, and charging.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you have what's in the top left labeled 40 CFR, Part 63?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it dated December 4th, 1992?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>Q. And are these the proposed rules and notice of</li> </ul>	2
5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 6	92 Achievable Control Technology. Depending on the size of the group that's being regulated, it's generally the average of the top 12 percent. But then some different categories, there aren't that many folks in them, so if it's smaller group, I think it is 30 or less, and then you take the top five performers. Q. And when you said "source categories," can you in simple terms explain what that means? A. Source category means the type of industry or activity that emits that type of pollutant. Q. And so, for example, would there be MACT standards that are specific to coke batteries? A. Yes, there are. Q. Okay. And how does that relate to what we've heard about called NESHAF in this hearing?	6 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yeah. I think my copy might be a little mixed up.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Ch, here we go. Yeah, it had the midsection at the beginning.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But it looks and it's these are the NESEAP standards for coke oven batteries for doors, lids, offtakes, and charging.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you have what's in the top left labeled 40 CFR, Part 63?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it dated December 4th, 1992?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>Q. And are these the proposed rules and notice of public hearing?</li> </ul>	2
5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7	92 Achievable Control Technology. Depending on the size of the group that's being regulated, it's generally the average of the top 12 percent. But then some different categories, there aren't that many folks in them, so if it's smaller group, I think it is 30 or less, and then you take the top five performers. <ul> <li>Q. And when you said "source categories," can you in simple terms explain what that means?</li> <li>A. Source category means the type of industry or activity that emits that type of pollutant.</li> <li>Q. And so, for example, would there be MACT standards that are specific to coke batteries?</li> <li>A. Yes, there are.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And how does that relate to what we've heard about called NESHAP in this hearing?</li> <li>A. They — the NESHAP is the program, I guess, and</li> </ul>	6 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yeeh. I think my copy might be a little mixed up.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Oh, here we go. Yeeh, it had the midsection at the beginning.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But it looks and it's - these are the NESHAP standards for coke oven batteries for doors, lids, offtakes, and charging.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you have what's in the top left labeled 40 CFR, Part 63?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it dated December 4th, 1992?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>Q. And are these the proposed rules and notice of public hearing?</li> <li>A. Yes, these would be the proposed rules for the</li> </ul>	9
5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 3	92 Achievable Control Technology. Depending on the size of the group that's being regulated, it's generally the average of the top 12 percent. But then some different categories, there aren't that many folks in them, so if it's smaller group, I think it is 30 or less, and then you take the top five performers. Q. And when you said "source categories," can you in simple terms explain what that means? A. Source category means the type of industry or activity that emits that type of pollutant. Q. And so, for example, would there be MACT standards that are specific to coke batteries? A. Yes, there are. Q. Okay. And how does that relate to what we've heard about called NESHAP in this hearing? A. They — the NESHAP is the program, I guess, and then the MACT standard is the criteria or technology	6 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yeah. I think my copy might be a little mixed up.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Oh, here we go. Yeah, it had the midsection at the begimming.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But it looks and it's these are the NESEAP standards for coke oven batteries for doors, lids, offtakes, and charging.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you have what's in the top left labeled 40 CFR, Part 63?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it dated December 4th, 1992?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>Q. And are these the proposed rules and notice of public hearing?</li> <li>A. Yes, these would be the proposed rules for the regulations.</li> </ul>	9
5 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 5 7 3 9 9 0	92 Achievable Control Technology. Depending on the size of the group that's being regulated, it's generally the average of the top 12 percent. But then some different categories, there aren't that many folks in them, so if it's smaller group, I think it is 30 or less, and then you take the top five performers. Q. And when you said "source categories," can you in simple terms explain what that means? A. Source category means the type of industry or activity that emits that type of pollutant. Q. And so, for example, would there be MACT standards that are specific to coke batteries? A. Yes, there are. Q. Okay. And how does that relate to what we've heard about called NESHAP in this hearing? A. They — the NESHAP is the program, I guess, and then the MACT standard is the criteria or technology that they use to set them initially.	6 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Yeah. I think my copy might be a little mixed y.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Ch, here we go. Yeah, it had the midsection at the beginning.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But it looks and it's - these are the NESEAP standards for coke oven batteries for doors, lids, offtakes, and charging.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you have what's in the top left labeled 40 CFR, Part 63?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it dated December 4th, 1992?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>Q. And are these the proposed rules and notice of public hearing?</li> <li>A. Yes, these would be the proposed rules for the regulations.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so when the NESHAP regulations for</li> </ul>	5
5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 3 9 0 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 0 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 0 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 0 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 0 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 0 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 9 0 0 1 2 3 4 5 7 7 8 9 9 0 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 0 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 7 7 8 9 9 0 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 7 7 8 9 9 0 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 7 7 7 8 9 9 0 0 1 2 3 4 4 5 5 7 7 8 9 9 0 0 1 2 3 4 4 5 7 7 8 9 9 0 0 1 2 3 4 4 5 5 7 1 2 3 1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 7 7 8 9 0 0 1 2 3 4 5 7 7 8 9 9 0 0 1 2 3 3 4 5 7 7 9 9 0 0 1 2 3 3 4 5 7 9 9 0 0 1 2 3 3 4 5 7 7 9 9 0 0 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 7 7 7 8 9 9 0 0 1 2 3 3 4 5 7 7 9 9 0 0 1 2 3 3 4 5 7 7 9 9 0 0 1 2 3 3 4 4 5 7 7 9 9 0 0 1 2 3 3 4 5 7 7 9 9 9 0 1 2 3 3 4 5 7 7 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 1 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 0 1 9 9 9 9	<ul> <li>Achievable Control Technology. Depending on the size of the group that's being regulated, it's generally the average of the top 12 percent.</li> <li>But then some different categories, there aren't that many folks in them, so if it's smaller group, I think it is 30 or less, and then you take the top five performers.</li> <li>Q. And when you said "source categories," can you in simple terms explain what that means?</li> <li>A. Source category means the type of industry or activity that emits that type of pollutant.</li> <li>Q. And so, for example, would there be MACT standards that are specific to coke batteries?</li> <li>A. Yes, there are.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And how does that relate to what we've heard about called NESHAP in this hearing?</li> <li>A. They — the NESHAP is the program, I guess, and then MACT standard is the criteria or technology that they use to set them initially.</li> </ul>	6 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yeeh. I think my copy might be a little mixed y.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Oh, here we go. Yeeh, it had the midsection at the beginning.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But it looks and it's - these are the NESEAP standards for coke oven batteries for doors, lids, offtakes, and charging.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you have what's in the top left labeled 40 CFR, Part 63?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it dated December 4th, 1992?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>Q. And are these the proposed rules and notice of public hearing?</li> <li>A. Yes, these would be the proposed rules for the regulations.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so when the NESHAP regulations for coke batteries were developed, was their first proposed</li> </ul>	5
5 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 3 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 5 7 3 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 7 3 9 0 0 1 1 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	<ul> <li>Achievable Control Technology. Depending on the size of the group that's being regulated, it's generally the average of the top 12 percent.</li> <li>But then some different categories, there aren't that many folks in them, so if it's smaller group, I think it is 30 or less, and then you take the top five performers.</li> <li>Q. And when you said "source categories," can you in simple terms explain what that means?</li> <li>A. Source category means the type of industry or activity that emits that type of pollutant.</li> <li>Q. And so, for example, would there be MACT standards that are specific to coke batteries?</li> <li>A. Yas, there are.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And how does that relate to what we've heard about called NESHAP in this hearing?</li> <li>A. They — the NESHAP is the program, I guess, and then MACT standard is the criteria or technology that they use to set them initially.</li> </ul>	6 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yeeh. I think my copy might be a little mixed y.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Oh, here we go. Yeeh, it had the midsection at the beginning.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But it looks and it's - these are the NESEAP standards for coke oven batteries for doors, lids, offtakes, and charging.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you have what's in the top left labeled 40 CFR, Part 63?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it dated December 4th, 1992?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>Q. And are these the proposed rules and notice of public hearing?</li> <li>A. Yes, these would be the proposed rules for the formations.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so when the NESHAP regulations for coke batteries were developed, was their first proposed rules and then a process before they became final?</li> </ul>	2
5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 7 3 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	<ul> <li>92</li> <li>Achievable Control Technology. Depending on the size of the group that's being regulated, it's generally the average of the top 12 percent.</li> <li>But then some different categories, there aren't that many folks in them, so if it's smaller group, I think it is 30 or less, and then you take the top five performers.</li> <li>Q. And when you said "source categories," can you in simple terms explain what that means?</li> <li>A. Source category means the type of industry or activity that emits that type of pollutant.</li> <li>Q. And so, for example, would there be MACT standards that are specific to coke batteries?</li> <li>A. Yee, there are.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And how does that relate to what we've heard about called NESHAP in this hearing?</li> <li>A. They — the NESHAP is the program, I guess, and then the MACT standard is the criteria or technology that they use to set them initially.</li> <li>Decple use them initially.</li> </ul>	6 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yeeh. I think my copy might be a little mixed y.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Oh, here we go. Yeeh, it had the mideoction at the beginning.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But it looks and it's - these are the NESEAP standards for coke oven batteries for doors, lids, offtakes, and charging.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you have what's in the top left labeled 40 CFR, Part 63?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it dated December 4th, 1992?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>Q. And are these the proposed rules and notice of public hearing?</li> <li>A. Yes, these would be the proposed rules for the regulations.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so when the NESHAP regulations for coke batteries were developed, was their first proposed rules and then a process before they became final?</li> <li>A. Yes, as standard with most - all of EFA</li> </ul>	2
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 0 1 1 3 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 0 1 1 3 3 3 5 7 8 9 0 1 1 1 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>Achievable Control Technology. Depending on the size of the group that's being regulated, it's generally the average of the top 12 percent.</li> <li>But then some different categories, there aren't that many folks in them, so if it's smaller group, I think it is 30 or less, and then you take the top five performers.</li> <li>Q. And when you said "source categories," can you in simple terms explain what that means?</li> <li>A. Source category means the type of industry or activity that emits that type of pollutant.</li> <li>Q. And so, for example, would there be MACT standards that are specific to coke batteries?</li> <li>A. Yas, there are.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And how does that relate to what we've heard about called NESHAP in this hearing?</li> <li>A. They — the NESHAP is the program, I guess, and then MACT standard is the criteria or technology that they use to set them initially.</li> </ul>	6 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yeeh. I think my copy might be a little mixed y.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Oh, here we go. Yeeh, it had the midsection at the beginning.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But it looks and it's - these are the NESEAP standards for coke oven batteries for doors, lids, offtakes, and charging.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you have what's in the top left labeled 40 CFR, Part 63?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is it dated December 4th, 1992?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>Q. And are these the proposed rules and notice of public hearing?</li> <li>A. Yes, these would be the proposed rules for the formations.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so when the NESHAP regulations for coke batteries were developed, was their first proposed rules and then a process before they became final?</li> </ul>	9

		929	
1	There could be a public hearing. They review the	1	performing coke plant that was analyzed as part of the
2	comments and then make adjustments, if appropriate, and	2	NESHAP process?
3	then issue the final rule, which also goes out for	Э	A. That's connect.
4	public connent.	4	${\sf Q}.~$ And what was the top-performing plant that was
5	${\bf Q}.~$ And was there a final NESHAP rule that was	5	used to develop the LAER track regulations?
6	promulgated for coke batteries?	6	A. It was our Clairton facility.
7	A. Yes, there was.	7	Q. Okay. What track did U.S. Steel choose?
8	Q. Can you look at Exhibit 47?	8	A. Initially, all the coke facilities, including
9	A. Yes.	9	ours, chose the MACT track because we were just kind of
10	Q. What is Exhibit 47?	10	getting organized in seeing where we were compared to
11	A. This is the final rule for the NESHAPs for coke	11	all these new standards. Eventually, we chose the LAER
12	oven batberies, charging lids, doors, offtakes.	12	track.
13	HEARING OFFICER SLATER: So this went into effect	13	Q. After the NESHAP standards were enacted, were
4	in October of 1993?	14	they ever reviewed again?
15	MS. WOODWELL: Yeah, that's when the rule was	15	A. Yes, absolutely. The Clean Air Act prescribes
.6	finalized, correct.	16	actually two different stages. The first stage is to
.7	HEARING OFFICER SLATER: And this is the current	17	set the MACT standard, and then there's a second stage
.8	rule that is in effect?	18	which is that the technology rule is to be reviewed
9	MS. WOODWELL: Yes, it is, with some	19	for — it is called the residual risk review, and that
0	modifications.	20	is to determine if the rules that were in place are
1	MR. DAUSCH: That we will discuss here in a	21	protective of the public with an ample margin of safety.
2	minute.	22	Q. And did that risk review occur?
3	HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Okay.	23	A. Yes, it did, for the coke batteries, yes.
4	MR. WOODWELL: It laid out a process.	24	Q. And what is your understanding of what happened?
5	HEARING OFFICER SLATER: I'm putting the cart	24	<ul> <li>And what is your understanding of what happened?</li> <li>A. The process again went through the — it was for</li> </ul>
	9	30	
1	before the horse here.	1	residual risk. So EPA modeled and determined whether or
2	MR. DAUSCH: That's okay.	2	not the standards were protective of public health
3	BY MR. DAUSCH:	3	within an ample margin of safety.
4	${\sf Q}.~$ Were there different tracks that were created	4	And then they set the they set the standards.
5	with respect to the NESHAP for coke batteries?	5	It turned out to be actually that they found it
5	A. Yes. As I referenced before, they established	6	protective - that LAER was protective of public health.
7	the MACT track, which is the maximum achievable control	7	So they basically revised the standard to
3	technology track, which was for sort of the top you	8	implement LAER. So the rule went through proposal
)	know, the group of top performers, either the 12 percent	9	again, public comment, and then finally it was
	or five. And then -	10	promulgated.
	Q. And when you say, "top performers, are you	11	${\bf Q}.$ And if you look at Exhibit 48, can you tell us
	talking about top-performing coke batteries or coke	12	what this is?
	plants?	13	A. This is the proposed rule for the residual risk
	A. Yes, with the technologies, yes.	14	review of the NESHAP.
	Q. And those were reviewed as part of the NESHAP	15	Q. Okay. And just like the original NESHAP process,
e C	rulemaking process?	16	when this risk review was done, was there a proposed
	A. Connect.	17	rule that was able to be commented on by the public
}	Q. Okay.	18	before there was a final rule?
)	A. And then the second track was the LAER track,	19	A. Yes, absolutely.
)	which is L-A-E-R, the lowest achievable emission rate.	20	Q. And did that process happen?
	And that's different than the MACT track because this is	21	A. Yes, it did.
	actually the lowest emission rate, which signifies it's	22	Q. Was there a final rule?
	the top performer in that group. It's the lowest you	23	A. Yes, the final rule was published or promulgated
	the top performer in that group. It's the lowest you can go.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Q. And if you look at Exhibit 49 --

25

 ${\sf Q}.~$  And so the LAER track would be based on the top-

		933		
1	A. Yes, that's the final rule.	933	A. Yes.	2
2	Q. What was the result of the final rule that was		Q. Do you recall the t	estimony that Ms. Graham gave
3	enacted?		on the first day related to	
4	A. Actually, after an extensive review, the EPA		coke oven gas and different	
5	determined that the LAER standards, which were part of		them?	
6	the original option, in the original rule in one of the		A. Yes, Ido.	
7	tracks, that actually was protective of public health			ental affairs group that you
8	within an ample margin of safety, and so they set that			, do you have some role with
9	as the standard.		respect to SDS or MSDS shee	
10	Q. And when the review was done of public health,	1	A. Yes, we do.	
11	what types of pollutants were reviewed?	1	Q. What are those shee	- 43
12	A. The hazardous air pollutants that they regulated.	1	A. They are safety data	
13	Q. Okay. And those were reviewed specifically with	1	MSDSes. Now they are SDSes.	
14	respect to coke plants?	1	to let folks know what is in	
15	A. Yes.	1	product that you have on site	
16	Q. Okay. And is Clairton still subject to NESHAP	1	impacts are to you and your o	-
17	requirements related to coke plants?	1	Q. Okay. And are they	
18	A. Absolutely.	1		air pollutants from battery
19	Q. And how has Clairton's compliance been?	1	fugitive emission points?	
20	A. We are 100 percent compliant with the NESHAP.	2		pose is to let you know what
21	Q. So Clairton is 100 percent complying with the	2	is in the product. It's not	
22	National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air	2	associated with it.	
23	Pollutants?	2	_	w that the federal government
24	A. That's correct.	2	did would have been the NESI	
				and process and you
25	Q. Do the NESHAP regulations include door-leak	2	described?	
25	Q. Do the NESHAP regulations include door-leak	2	described?	
		934		9
1	standards?	934	A. Yes.	
1 2	standards? A. Yes, they do.	934	A. Yes. Q. I want to switch top	ics and talk about the Title
1 2 3	standards? A. <b>Yes, they do.</b> Q. And did those door-leak standards go through that	934	A. Yes. Q. I want to switch top Y operating permit. Are you	ics and talk about the Title
1 2 3 4	standards? A. Yes, they do.	934	A. Yes. Q. I want to switch top	ics and talk about the Title
1 2 3 4 5	<pre>standards? A. Yes, they do. Q. And did those door-leak standards go through that same process that you just described? A. Yes, they were a critical part of the residual</pre>	934	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I want to switch top</li> <li>7 operating permit. Are you</li> <li>kocument?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> </ul>	ics and talk about the Title A familiar with that
1 2 3 4 5 6	standards? A. Yes, they do. Q. And did those door-leak standards go through that same process that you just described? A. Yes, they were a critical part of the residual risk review, as they are one of the primary sources	934	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I want to switch top</li> <li>7 operating permit. Are you</li> <li>kocument?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> </ul>	ics and talk about the Title
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>standards? A. Yes, they do. Q. And did those door-leak standards go through that same process that you just described? A. Yes, they were a critical part of the residual</pre>	934	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I want to switch top</li> <li>7 operating permit. Are you</li> <li>kocument?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> </ul>	ics and talk about the Title A familiar with that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	standards? A. Yes, they do. Q. And did those door-leak standards go through that same process that you just described? A. Yes, they were a critical part of the residual risk review, as they are one of the primary sources	934	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I want to switch top</li> <li>7 operating permit. Are you</li> <li>kocument?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. And do you have to b</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> </ul>	ics and talk about the Title A familiar with that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	standards? A. Yes, they do. Q. And did those door-leak standards go through that same process that you just described? A. Yes, they were a critical part of the residual risk review, as they are one of the primary sources regulated under the NESHAP.	934	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I want to switch top</li> <li>7 operating permit. Are you</li> <li>kocument?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. And do you have to b</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> </ul>	ics and talk about the Title a familiar with that e as part of your job? le in preparing the documents
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>standards?</li> <li>A. Yes, they do.</li> <li>Q. And did those door-leak standards go through that same process that you just described?</li> <li>A. Yes, they were a critical part of the residual risk review, as they are one of the primary sources regulated under the NESHAP.</li> <li>Q. And was there also an analysis of door leaks that</li> </ul>	934	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I want to switch top 7 operating permit. Are you kocurnent?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. And do you have to b A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Did you have some roo hat ultimately became the T</li> </ul>	ics and talk about the Title a familiar with that e as part of your job? le in preparing the documents
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>standards?</li> <li>A. Yes, they do.</li> <li>Q. And did those door-leak standards go through that same process that you just described?</li> <li>A. Yes, they were a critical part of the residual risk review, as they are one of the primary sources regulated under the NESHAP.</li> <li>Q. And was there also an analysis of door leaks that occur underneath a shed?</li> </ul>	934	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I want to switch top 7 operating permit. Are you kocurnent?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. And do you have to b A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Did you have some roo hat ultimately became the T</li> </ul>	ics and talk about the Title a familiar with that e as part of your job? le in preparing the documents Title V operating permit? <b>a that prepared the original</b>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>standards?</li> <li>A. Yes, they do.</li> <li>Q. And did those door-leak standards go through that same process that you just described?</li> <li>A. Yes, they were a critical part of the residual risk review, as they are one of the primary sources regulated under the NESHAP.</li> <li>Q. And was there also an analysis of door leaks that occur undermeath a shed?</li> <li>A. Yesh. There was a lot of discussion about the</li> </ul>	934	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I want to switch top</li> <li>7 operating permit. Are you</li> <li>kocument?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. And do you have to be</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Did you have some not hat ultimately became the T</li> <li>A. Yes, i was on the team</li> </ul>	ics and talk about the Title a familiar with that e as part of your job? le in preparing the documents fitle V operating permit? In that prepared the original in I also was on the team
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>standards?</li> <li>A. Yes, they do.</li> <li>Q. And did those door-leak standards go through that same process that you just described?</li> <li>A. Yes, they were a critical part of the residual risk review, as they are one of the primary sources regulated under the NESHAP.</li> <li>Q. And was there also an analysis of door leaks that occur underneath a shed?</li> <li>A. Yeah. There was a lot of discussion about the shed — batteries with sheds because it is different</li> </ul>	934	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I want to switch top</li> <li>7 operating permit. Are you</li> <li>kocument?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. And do you have to be</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Did you have some rookat ultimately became the T</li> <li>A. Yes, i was on the teamitie V applications, and the</li> </ul>	ics and talk about the Title a familiar with that e as part of your job? le in preparing the documents fitle V operating permit? In that prepared the original in I also was on the team
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>standards?</li> <li>A. Yes, they do.</li> <li>Q. And did those door-leak standards go through that same process that you just described?</li> <li>A. Yes, they were a critical part of the residual risk review, as they are one of the primary sources regulated under the NESHAP.</li> <li>Q. And was there also an analysis of door leaks that occur undermeath a shed?</li> <li>A. Yeah. There was a lot of discussion about the shed — batteries with sheds because it is different than the other types of pollution control equipment. So</li> </ul>	934	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I want to switch top</li> <li>/ operating permit. Are you</li> <li>kocument?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. And do you have to be</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Did you have some not</li> <li>hat ultimately became the I</li> <li>A. Yes, i was on the tead</li> <li>title V applications, and there</li> <li>that worked on the permit where</li> <li>comment and was finalized.</li> </ul>	ics and talk about the Title a familiar with that e as part of your job? le in preparing the documents fitle V operating permit? In that prepared the original in I also was on the team
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>standards?</li> <li>A. Yes, they do.</li> <li>Q. And did those door-leak standards go through that same process that you just described?</li> <li>A. Yes, they were a critical part of the residual risk review, as they are one of the primary sources regulated under the NESHAP.</li> <li>Q. And was there also an analysis of door leaks that occur underneath a shed?</li> <li>A. Yeah. There was a lot of discussion about the shed — batteries with sheds because it is different than the other types of pollution control equipment. So the agency looked at that specifically and regulated the</li> </ul>	934	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I want to switch top</li> <li>/ operating permit. Are you</li> <li>kocument?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. And do you have to be</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Did you have some not</li> <li>hat ultimately became the I</li> <li>A. Yes, i was on the tead</li> <li>title V applications, and there</li> <li>that worked on the permit where</li> <li>comment and was finalized.</li> </ul>	ics and talk about the Title a familiar with that e as part of your job? le in preparing the documents Title V operating permit? In that prepared the original A I also was on the team A it went out for public bit 30, please, which would
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>standards?</li> <li>A. Yes, they do.</li> <li>Q. And did those door-leak standards go through that same process that you just described?</li> <li>A. Yes, they were a critical part of the residual risk review, as they are one of the primary sources regulated under the NESHAP.</li> <li>Q. And was there also an analysis of door leaks that occur underneath a shed?</li> <li>A. Yeah. There was a lot of discussion about the shed - batteries with sheds because it is different than the other types of pollution control equipment. So the agency looked at that specifically and regulated the emissions from the doors under the shed.</li> </ul>	934 934	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I want to switch top</li> <li>7 operating permit. Are you</li> <li>kocument?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. And do you have to b</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Did you have some rook</li> <li>hat ultimately became the T</li> <li>A. Yes, i was on the tear</li> <li>itle V applications, and there</li> <li>hat worked on the permit where</li> <li>comment and was finalized.</li> <li>Q. Can you look at Exhi</li> </ul>	ics and talk about the Title a familiar with that e as part of your job? le in preparing the documents title V operating permit? In that prepared the original in I also was on the team in it went out for public bit 30, please, which would
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>standards?</li> <li>A. Yes, they do.</li> <li>Q. And did those door-leak standards go through that same process that you just described?</li> <li>A. Yes, they were a critical part of the residual risk review, as they are one of the primary sources regulated under the NESHAP.</li> <li>Q. And was there also an analysis of door leaks that occur underneath a shed?</li> <li>A. Yeah. There was a lot of discussion about the shed — batteries with sheds because it is different than the other types of pollution control equipment. So the agency looked at that specifically and regulated the emissions from the doors under the NESHAP regulations</li> </ul>	934 934	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I want to switch top</li> <li>7 operating permit. Are you</li> <li>kcument?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. And do you have to be</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Did you have some not</li> <li>hat ultimately became the T</li> <li>A. Yes, i was on the tead</li> <li>title V applications, and then</li> <li>that worked on the permit when</li> <li>comment and was finalized.</li> <li>Q. Can you look at Exhine</li> <li>e in the first binder? Can</li> </ul>	ics and talk about the Title a familiar with that e as part of your job? le in preparing the documents Title V operating permit? In that prepared the original a I also was on the team a it went out for public bit 30, please, which would you tell us what that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>standards?</li> <li>A. Yes, they do.</li> <li>Q. And did those door-leak standards go through that same process that you just described?</li> <li>A. Yes, they were a critical part of the residual risk review, as they are one of the primary sources regulated under the NESHAP.</li> <li>Q. And was there also an analysis of door leaks that occur underneath a shed?</li> <li>A. Yeah. There was a lot of discussion about the shed - batteries with sheds because it is different than the other types of pollution control equipment. So the agency looked at that specifically and regulated the emissions from the doors under the shed.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And with respect to the NESHAP regulations that regulate door emissions, how has Clairton's</li> </ul>	934 934 14 12 12 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I want to switch top</li> <li>/ operating permit. Are you</li> <li>kocument?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. And do you have to be</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Did you have some not</li> <li>hat ultimately became the Ta</li> <li>A. Yes, i was on the tea</li> <li>hat worked on the permit where</li> <li>comment and was finalized.</li> <li>Q. Can you look at Exhi</li> <li>e in the first binder? Can</li> <li>cournent is?</li> </ul>	ics and talk about the Title a familiar with that e as part of your job? le in preparing the documents Title V operating permit? In that prepared the original A I also was on the team A it went out for public bit 30, please, which would you tell us what that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>standards?</li> <li>A. Yes, they do.</li> <li>Q. And did those door-leak standards go through that same process that you just described?</li> <li>A. Yes, they were a critical part of the residual risk review, as they are one of the primary sources regulated under the NESHAP.</li> <li>Q. And was there also an analysis of door leaks that occur underneath a shed?</li> <li>A. Yesh. There was a lot of discussion about the shed - batteries with sheds because it is different than the other types of pollution control equipment. So the agency looked at that specifically and regulated the emissions from the doors under the shed.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And with respect to the NESHAP regulations that regulate door emissions, how has Clairton's compliance percentage been?</li> </ul>	934 934 10 11 12 12 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I want to switch top</li> <li>7 operating permit. Are you</li> <li>8 Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. And do you have to be</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. Did you have some rook</li> <li>hat ultimately became the I</li> <li>A. Yes, i was on the tear</li> <li>itle V applications, and there</li> <li>itle V applications, and there</li> <li>itle V applications, and there</li> <li>itle V applications and there</li> <li>itle V</li></ul>	ics and talk about the Title a familiar with that e as part of your job? le in preparing the documents Title V operating permit? In that prepared the original A I also was on the team A it went out for public bit 30, please, which would you tell us what that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>standards?</li> <li>A. Yes, they do.</li> <li>Q. And did those door-leak standards go through that same process that you just described?</li> <li>A. Yes, they were a critical part of the residual risk review, as they are one of the primary sources regulated under the NESHAP.</li> <li>Q. And was there also an analysis of door leaks that occur underneath a shed?</li> <li>A. Yeah. There was a lot of discussion about the shed - batteries with sheds because it is different than the other types of pollution control equipment. So the agency looked at that specifically and regulated the emissions from the doors under the shed.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And with respect to the NESHAP regulations that regulate door emissions, how has Clairton's compliance percentage been?</li> <li>A. We are 100 percent compliant with that emission</li> </ul>	934 934	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I want to switch top</li> <li>7 operating permit. Are you</li> <li>8 Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. And do you have to be</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. Did you have some rook</li> <li>hat ultimately became the I</li> <li>A. Yes, i was on the tear</li> <li>itle V applications, and there</li> <li>itle V applications, and there</li> <li>itle V applications, and there</li> <li>itle V applications and there</li> <li>itle V</li></ul>	ics and talk about the Title a familiar with that e as part of your job? le in preparing the documents Title V operating permit? In that prepared the original a I also was on the team a it went out for public bit 30, please, which would you tell us what that emmit for Clairton Works Fiealth Department. t include the federal NESHAP
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>standards?</li> <li>A. Yes, they do.</li> <li>Q. And did those door-leak standards go through that same process that you just described?</li> <li>A. Yes, they were a critical part of the residual risk review, as they are one of the primary sources regulated under the NESHAP.</li> <li>Q. And was there also an analysis of door leaks that occur underneath a shed?</li> <li>A. Yesh. There was a lot of discussion about the shed - batteries with sheds because it is different than the other types of pollution control equipment. So the agency looked at that specifically and regulated the emissions from the doors under the shed.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And with respect to the NESHAP regulations that regulate door emissions, how has Clairton's compliance percentage been?</li> <li>A. We are 100 percent compliant with that emission limit.</li> </ul>	934 934	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I want to switch top</li> <li>7 operating permit. Are you</li> <li>8 Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. And do you have to be</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. And do you have to be</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Did you have some not</li> <li>hat ultimately became the T</li> <li>A. Yes, i was on the tead</li> <li>title V applications, and then</li> <li>hat worked on the permit when</li> <li>comment and was finalized.</li> <li>Q. Can you look at Exhite</li> <li>e in the first binder? Can</li> <li>cournent is?</li> <li>A. This is the Title V personal by the Allegheny County</li> <li>Q. And would this permit</li> </ul>	ics and talk about the Title a familiar with that e as part of your job? le in preparing the documents Title V operating permit? In that prepared the original a I also was on the team a it went out for public bit 30, please, which would you tell us what that emmit for Clairton Works r Health Department. It include the federal NESHAP ticle 21 standards that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>standards?</li> <li>A. Yes, they do.</li> <li>Q. And did those door-leak standards go through that same process that you just described?</li> <li>A. Yes, they were a critical part of the residual risk review, as they are one of the primary sources regulated under the NESHAP.</li> <li>Q. And was there also an analysis of door leaks that occur undermeath a shed?</li> <li>A. Yeah. There was a lot of discussion about the shed - batteries with sheds because it is different than the other types of pollution control equipment. So the agency looked at that specifically and regulated the emissions from the doors under the shed.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And with respect to the NESHAP regulations that regulate door emissions, how has Clairton's compliance percentage been?</li> <li>A. We are 100 percent compliant with that emission limit.</li> <li>Q. So what does that mean in terms of hazardous air</li> </ul>	934 934 10 11 12 14 15 16 17 18 16 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I want to switch top</li> <li>7 operating permit. Are you</li> <li>kocument?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. And do you have to be</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Did you have some not</li> <li>hat ultimately became the T</li> <li>A. Yes, i was on the tear</li> <li>itle V applications, and there</li> <li>hat worked on the permit where</li> <li>comment and was finalized.</li> <li>Q. Can you look at Exhining</li> <li>e in the first binder? Can ocument is?</li> <li>A. This is the Title V permits</li> <li>seued by the Allegheny County</li> <li>Q. And would this permit</li> <li>tandards, as well as the Are opply to the Clairton plant?</li> </ul>	ics and talk about the Title a familiar with that e as part of your job? le in preparing the documents Title V operating permit? In that prepared the original a I also was on the team a it went out for public bit 30, please, which would you tell us what that emmit for Clairton Works r Health Department. It include the federal NESHAP ticle 21 standards that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>standards?</li> <li>A. Yes, they do.</li> <li>Q. And did those door-leak standards go through that same process that you just described?</li> <li>A. Yes, they were a critical part of the residual risk review, as they are one of the primary sources regulated under the NESHAP.</li> <li>Q. And was there also an analysis of door leaks that occur underneath a shed?</li> <li>A. Yeah. There was a lot of discussion about the shed - batteries with sheds because it is different than the other types of pollution control equipment. So the agency looked at that specifically and regulated the emissions from the doors under the shed.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And with respect to the NESHAP regulations that regulate door emissions, how has Clairton's compliance percentage been?</li> <li>A. We are 100 percent compliant with that emission limit.</li> <li>Q. So what does that mean in terms of hazardous air pollutants?</li> </ul>	934 934 10 11 12 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I want to switch top</li> <li>7 operating permit. Are you</li> <li>kocument?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. And do you have to be</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Did you have some not</li> <li>hat ultimately became the T</li> <li>A. Yes, i was on the tear</li> <li>itle V applications, and there</li> <li>hat worked on the permit where</li> <li>comment and was finalized.</li> <li>Q. Can you look at Exhining</li> <li>e in the first binder? Can ocument is?</li> <li>A. This is the Title V permits</li> <li>seued by the Allegheny County</li> <li>Q. And would this permit</li> <li>tandards, as well as the Are opply to the Clairton plant?</li> </ul>	ics and talk about the Title a familiar with that e as part of your job? le in preparing the documents title V operating permit? In that prepared the original a I also was on the team in it want out for public bit 30, please, which would you tell us what that emmit for Clairton Works Fealth Department. t include the federal NESHAP ticle 21 standards that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>standards?</li> <li>A. Yes, they do.</li> <li>Q. And did those door-leak standards go through that same process that you just described?</li> <li>A. Yes, they were a critical part of the residual risk review, as they are one of the primary sources regulated under the NESHAP.</li> <li>Q. And was there also an analysis of door leaks that occur underneath a shed?</li> <li>A. Yesh. There was a lot of discussion about the shed - batteries with sheds because it is different than the other types of pollution control equipment. So the agency looked at that specifically and regulated the emissions from the doors under the shed.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And with respect to the NESHAP regulations that regulate door emissions, how has Clairton's compliance percentage been?</li> <li>A. We are 100 percent compliant with that emission limit.</li> <li>Q. So what does that mean in terms of hazardous air pollutants?</li> </ul>	934 934 10 11 12 14 15 16 15 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I want to switch top</li> <li>/ operating permit. Are you</li> <li>/ kcument?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. And do you have to be</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Did you have some not</li> <li>hat ultimately became the T</li> <li>A. Yes, i was on the tear</li> <li>itle V applications, and then</li> <li>hat worked on the permit when</li> <li>comment and was finalized.</li> <li>Q. Can you look at Exhinise</li> <li>in the first binder? Can ocument is?</li> <li>A. This is the Title V permit</li> <li>second by the Allegheny County</li> <li>Q. And would this permit</li> <li>tandards, as well as the Arr</li> <li>pply to the Clairton plant?</li> <li>A. Yes. The Title V program</li> </ul>	ics and talk about the Title a familiar with that e as part of your job? le in preparing the documents title V operating permit? In that prepared the original a I also was on the team in it went out for public bit 30, please, which would you tell us what that exmit for Clairton Works r Health Department. It include the federal NESHAP ticle 21 standards that pram is the — was designed eaically take all of the

11					
1	and local requirements.	937	1	They also talked about how important it was to	939
2	Q. Is that why the document is as thick as it is?		2	get more than just one reading. Because of this	
3	A. Yes. It's over 250 pages. And in addition to		3	deviation, it's important to get a bunch of readings so	
4	the requirements, the other reason why it's so long is		4	they are actually representative of what the conditions	
5	it's required to have testing, monitoring, record		5	are at that time.	
6	keeping, and reporting to ensure compliance with those		6	Q. Are you familiar with the six-minute averaging	
7	conditions. So it's very voluminous.		7	period?	
8	Q. Okay. I want to switch topics and talk a little		8	A. Yes, Method 9 requires a six-minute averaging	
9	bit about methods for reading opacity.		9	period.	
10	A. Okay.		10	Q. Would you be able to read Method 9 and see that?	
11	Q. Were you here throughout this hearing and heard a		11	A. Yes.	
12	little bit about the testimony of Method 9 and reading		12	Q. Okay.	
13	opacity?		13	A. It's clearly set forth in the - in Method 9,	
14	A. Yes.		14	which is an EPA procedure. All those go out for public	
15	Q. Are you familiar with Method 9?		15	comment and review. And you need to read at 15-second	
16	A. Iam.		16	intervals for six minutes or read 24 different times,	
17	Q. Have you ever been certified under Method 9?		17	and then you average them.	
18	A. I have been.		18	Q. And what's the purpose of doing that averaging	
19	Q. And in your role, do you have to understand the		19	period?	
20	different methods for opacity readings?		20	A. The averaging period, as I just talked about, was	
21	A. Yes, I do. Because we are required to do Method		21	there is a known potential for deviation from reader to	
22	9, or various method readings in our facilities, we hire		22	reader because we are human and so they want you to read	
23	consultants or contractors to do some of that, as well		23	more to kind of smooth that out so it's more accurate.	
	as being inspected by the various agency		24	Q. And were you present for some of the Allegheny	
24	as being meterican by the various agency				
24 25	representatives.	938	25	County Health Department inspectors who say they do	940
24		938	25 1 2	County Health Department inspectors who say they do Method 9 opacity readings in a blink of an eye? A. Yes, I was present.	940
24 25 1	representatives. So it's important for us to understand what's	938	1	Method 9 opacity readings in a blink of an eye?	940
24 25 1 2	representatives. So it's important for us to understand what's required, how it's to be done, and then we can see if	938	1 2	Method 9 opacity readings in a blink of an eye? A. Yes, I was present.	940
24 25 1 2 3	representatives. So it's important for us to understand what's required, how it's to be done, and then we can see if there are any issues that come up as the inspections are	938	1 2 3	Method 9 opacity readings in a blink of an eye? A. Yes, I was present. Q. And is your understanding that that's a proper	940
24 25 1 2 3 4	representatives. So it's important for us to understand what's required, how it's to be done, and then we can see if there are any issues that come up as the inspections are being done.	938	1 2 3 4	Method 9 opacity readings in a blink of an eye? A. Yes, I was present. Q. And is your understanding that that's a proper Method 9 opacity observation?	940
24 25 1 2 3 4 5	representatives. So it's important for us to understand what's required, how it's to be done, and then we can see if there are any issues that come up as the inspections are being done. Q. Has EPA ever done any studies that you are aware	938	1 2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Method 9 opacity readings in a blink of an eye?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was present.</li> <li>Q. And is your understanding that that's a proper</li> <li>Method 9 opacity observation?</li> <li>A. I found that testimony a bit confusing because</li> </ul>	940
24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6	So it's important for us to understand what's required, how it's to be done, and then we can see if there are any issues that come up as the inspections are being done. Q. Has EPA ever done any studies that you are aware of on the different methods for reading opacity?	938	1 2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Method 9 opacity readings in a blink of an eye?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was present.</li> <li>Q. And is your understanding that that's a proper</li> <li>Method 9 opacity observation?</li> <li>A. I found that testimony a bit confusing because</li> <li>Method 9 doesn't address instantaneous. It's an</li> </ul>	940
24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So it's important for us to understand what's required, how it's to be done, and then we can see if there are any issues that come up as the inspections are being done. Q. Has EPA ever done any studies that you are aware of on the different methods for reading opacity? A. Yes, they've done a lot of studies.	938	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Method 9 opacity readings in a blink of an eye? A. Yes, I was present. Q. And is your understanding that that's a proper Method 9 opacity observation? A. I found that testimony a bit confusing because Method 9 doesn't address instantaneous. It's an averaging time.	940
24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	So it's important for us to understand what's required, how it's to be done, and then we can see if there are any issues that come up as the inspections are being done. Q. Has EPA ever done any studies that you are aware of on the different methods for reading opacity? A. Yes, they've done a lot of studies. Q. Okay. If we look at Exhibit 38, are you familiar	938	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Method 9 opacity readings in a blink of an eye?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was present.</li> <li>Q. And is your understanding that that's a proper</li> <li>Method 9 opacity observation?</li> <li>A. I found that testimony a bit confusing because</li> <li>Method 9 doesn't address instantaneous. It's an averaging time.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did EPA ever discuss using Method 9 to</li> </ul>	940
24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>so it's important for us to understand what's required, how it's to be done, and then we can see if there are any issues that come up as the inspections are being done. Q. Has EPA ever done any studies that you are aware of on the different methods for reading opacity? A. Yes, they've done a lot of studies. Q. Okay. If we look at Exhibit 38, are you familiar with this document? A. Yes. Q. What is that?</pre>	938	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Method 9 opacity readings in a blink of an eye?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was present.</li> <li>Q. And is your understanding that that's a proper</li> <li>Method 9 opacity observation?</li> <li>A. I found that testimony a bit confusing because</li> <li>Method 9 doesn't address instantaneous. It's an averaging time.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did EPA ever discuss using Method 9 to enforce SIP limits?</li> <li>A. Method 9 can be used to enforce SIP limits if the regulation, the underlying regulation or permit, says</li> </ul>	940
24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	So it's important for us to understand what's required, how it's to be done, and then we can see if there are any issues that come up as the inspections are being done. Q. Has EPA ever done any studies that you are aware of on the different methods for reading opacity? A. Yes, they've done a lot of studies. Q. Okay. If we look at Exhibit 38, are you familiar with this document? A. Yes.	938	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Method 9 opacity readings in a blink of an eye?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was present.</li> <li>Q. And is your understanding that that's a proper</li> <li>Method 9 opacity observation?</li> <li>A. I found that testimony a bit confusing because</li> <li>Method 9 doesn't address instantaneous. It's an averaging time.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did EPA ever discuss using Method 9 to enforce SIP limits?</li> <li>A. Method 9 can be used to enforce SIP limits if the</li> </ul>	940
24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>so it's important for us to understand what's required, how it's to be done, and then we can see if there are any issues that come up as the inspections are being done. Q. Has EPA ever done any studies that you are aware of on the different methods for reading opacity? A. Yes, they've done a lot of studies. Q. Okay. If we look at Exhibit 38, are you familiar with this document? A. Yes. Q. What is that?</pre>	938	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Method 9 opacity readings in a blink of an eye?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was present.</li> <li>Q. And is your understanding that that's a proper</li> <li>Method 9 opacity observation?</li> <li>A. I found that testimony a bit confusing because</li> <li>Method 9 doesn't address instantaneous. It's an averaging time.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did EPA ever discuss using Method 9 to enforce SIP limits?</li> <li>A. Method 9 can be used to enforce SIP limits if the regulation, the underlying regulation or permit, says</li> </ul>	940
24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>so it's important for us to understand what's required, how it's to be done, and then we can see if there are any issues that come up as the inspections are being done. Q. Has EPA ever done any studies that you are aware of on the different methods for reading opacity? A. Yes, they've done a lot of studies. Q. Okay. If we look at Exhibit 38, are you familiar with this document? A. Yes. Q. What is that? A. The is a study that was prepared by US EPA</pre>	938	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Method 9 opacity readings in a blink of an eye?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was present.</li> <li>Q. And is your understanding that that's a proper</li> <li>Method 9 opacity observation?</li> <li>A. I found that testimony a bit confusing because</li> <li>Method 9 doesn't address instantaneous. It's an averaging time.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did EPA ever discuss using Method 9 to enforce SIP limits?</li> <li>A. Method 9 can be used to enforce SIP limits if the regulation, the underlying regulation or permit, says</li> <li>Method 9; and also if it's silent, then you defer to</li> </ul>	940
24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>spresentatives. So it's important for us to understand what's required, how it's to be done, and then we can see if there are any issues that come up as the inspections are being done. Q. Has EPA ever done any studies that you are aware of on the different methods for reading opacity? A. Yes, they've done a lot of studies. Q. Okay. If we look at Exhibit 38, are you familiar with this document? A. Yes. Q. What is that? A. The is a study that was prepared by US EPA looking at Method 9, which is the opacity regulation</pre>	938	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Method 9 opacity readings in a blink of an eye?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was present.</li> <li>Q. And is your understanding that that's a proper Method 9 opacity observation?</li> <li>A. I found that testimony a bit confusing because Method 9 doesn't address instantaneous. It's an averaging time.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did EPA ever discuss using Method 9 to enforce SIP limits?</li> <li>A. Method 9 can be used to enforce SIP limits if the regulation, the underlying regulation or permit, says Method 9; and also if it's silent, then you defer to Method 9.</li> </ul>	940
24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>spreaentatives. So it's important for us to understand what's required, how it's to be done, and then we can see if there are any issues that come up as the inspections are being done. Q. Has EPA ever done any studies that you are aware of on the different methods for reading opacity? A. Yes, they've done a lot of studies. Q. Okay. If we look at Exhibit 38, are you familiar with this document? A. Yes. Q. What is that? A. The is a study that was prepared by US EPA looking at Method 9, which is the opacity regulation that we talked about, and also Method 22. Q. And was there any conclusion reached about Method 9 in its accuracy?</pre>	938	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Method 9 opacity readings in a blink of an eye?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was present.</li> <li>Q. And is your understanding that that's a proper Method 9 opacity observation?</li> <li>A. I found that testimony a bit confusing because</li> <li>Method 9 doesn't address instantaneous. It's an averaging time.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did EPA ever discuss using Method 9 to enforce SIP limits?</li> <li>A. Method 9 can be used to enforce SIP limits if the regulation, the underlying regulation or permit, says Method 9; and also if it's silent, then you defer to Method 9.</li> <li>But many jurisdictions have averaging periods that are different than the six minutes and then you wouldn't necessarily — you wouldn't use Method 9.</li> </ul>	940
24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>representatives.</li> <li>So it's important for us to understand what's required, how it's to be done, and then we can see if there are any issues that come up as the inspections are being done.</li> <li>Q. Has EPA ever done any studies that you are aware of on the different methods for reading opacity?</li> <li>A. Yes, they've done a lot of studies.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If we look at Exhibit 38, are you familiar with this document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is that?</li> <li>A. The is a study that was prepared by US EPA looking at Method 9, which is the opacity regulation that we talked about, and also Method 22.</li> <li>Q. And was there any conclusion reached about Method 9 in its accuracy?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	938	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Method 9 opacity readings in a blink of an eye?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was present.</li> <li>Q. And is your understanding that that's a proper Method 9 opacity observation?</li> <li>A. I found that testimony a bit confusing because Method 9 doesn't address instantaneous. It's an averaging time.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did EPA ever discuss using Method 9 to enforce SIP limits?</li> <li>A. Method 9 can be used to enforce SIP limits if the regulation, the underlying regulation or permit, says Method 9; and also if it's silent, then you defer to Method 9.</li> <li>But many jurisdictions have averaging periods that are different than the six minutes and then you</li> </ul>	940
24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>spreaentatives. So it's important for us to understand what's required, how it's to be done, and then we can see if there are any issues that come up as the inspections are being done. Q. Has EPA ever done any studies that you are aware of on the different methods for reading opacity? A. Yes, they've done a lot of studies. Q. Okay. If we look at Exhibit 38, are you familiar with this document? A. Yes. Q. What is that? A. The is a study that was prepared by US EPA looking at Method 9, which is the opacity regulation that we talked about, and also Method 22. Q. And was there any conclusion reached about Method 9 in its accuracy?</pre>	938	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Method 9 opacity readings in a blink of an eye?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was present.</li> <li>Q. And is your understanding that that's a proper Method 9 opacity observation?</li> <li>A. I found that testimony a bit confusing because Method 9 doesn't address instantaneous. It's an averaging time.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did EPA ever discuss using Method 9 to enforce SIP limits?</li> <li>A. Method 9 can be used to enforce SIP limits if the regulation, the underlying regulation or parmit, says Method 9; and also if it's silent, then you defer to Method 9.</li> <li>But many jurisdictions have averaging periods that are different than the six minutes and then you wouldn't necessarily — you wouldn't use Method 9.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were there ever alternative methods for reading opacity that were developed?</li> </ul>	940
24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>representatives.</li> <li>So it's important for us to understand what's required, how it's to be done, and then we can see if there are any issues that come up as the inspections are being done.</li> <li>Q. Has EPA ever done any studies that you are aware of on the different methods for reading opacity?</li> <li>A. Yes, they've done a lot of studies.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If we look at Exhibit 38, are you familiar with this document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is that?</li> <li>A. The is a study that was prepared by US EPA looking at Method 9, which is the opacity regulation that we talked about, and also Method 22.</li> <li>Q. And was there any conclusion reached about Method 9 in its accuracy?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What was that?</li> <li>A. There are a lot of details contained in here; but</li> </ul>	938	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Method 9 opacity readings in a blink of an eye?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was present.</li> <li>Q. And is your understanding that that's a proper Method 9 opacity observation?</li> <li>A. I found that testimony a bit confusing because Method 9 doesn't address instantaneous. It's an averaging time.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did EPA ever discuss using Method 9 to enforce SIP limits?</li> <li>A. Method 9 can be used to enforce SIP limits if the regulation, the underlying regulation or permit, says Method 9; and also if it's silent, then you defer to Method 9.</li> <li>But many jurisdictions have averaging periods that are different than the six minutes and then you wouldn't necessarily — you wouldn't use Method 9.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were there ever alternative methods for reading opacity that were developed?</li> <li>A. Yee, EFA did develop those.</li> </ul>	940
24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>representatives.</li> <li>So it's important for us to understand what's required, how it's to be done, and then we can see if there are any issues that come up as the inspections are being done.</li> <li>Q. Has EPA ever done any studies that you are aware of on the different methods for reading opacity?</li> <li>A. Yes, they've done a lot of studies.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If we look at Exhibit 38, are you familiar with this document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is that?</li> <li>A. The is a study that was prepared by US EPA looking at Method 9, which is the opacity regulation that we talked about, and also Method 22.</li> <li>Q. And was there any conclusion reached about Method 9 in its accuracy?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What was that?</li> <li>A. There are a lot of details contained in here; but fundamentally, it is the - they concluded - we talk</li> </ul>	938	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Method 9 opacity readings in a blink of an eye?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was present.</li> <li>Q. And is your understanding that that's a proper Method 9 opacity observation?</li> <li>A. I found that testimony a bit confusing because Method 9 doesn't address instantaneous. It's an averaging time.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did EPA ever discuss using Method 9 to enforce SIP limits?</li> <li>A. Method 9 can be used to enforce SIP limits if the regulation, the underlying regulation or permit, says Method 9; and also if it's silent, then you defer to Method 9.</li> <li>But many jurisdictions have averaging periods that are different than the six minutes and then you wouldn't necessarily — you wouldn't use Method 9.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were there ever alternative methods for reading opacity that were developed?</li> <li>A. Yes, EFA did develop those.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Can you look at Exhibit 39? What is</li> </ul>	940
24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>representatives.</li> <li>So it's important for us to understand what's required, how it's to be done, and then we can see if there are any issues that come up as the inspections are being done.</li> <li>Q. Has EPA ever done any studies that you are aware of on the different methods for reading opacity?</li> <li>A. Yes, they've done a lot of studies.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If we look at Exhibit 38, are you familiar with this document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is that?</li> <li>A. The is a study that was prepared by US EFA looking at Method 9, which is the opacity regulation that we talked about, and also Method 22.</li> <li>Q. And was there any conclusion reached about Method 9 in its accuracy?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What was that?</li> <li>A. There are a lot of details contained in here; but functionation that we talk at the previous of the talk about it is the - they concluded we talk about it a lot because there's subjectivity when you do</li> </ul>	938	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Method 9 opacity readings in a blink of an eye?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was present.</li> <li>Q. And is your understanding that that's a proper Method 9 opacity observation?</li> <li>A. I found that testimony a bit confusing because Method 9 doesn't address instantaneous. It's an averaging time.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did EPA ever discuss using Method 9 to enforce SIP limits?</li> <li>A. Method 9 can be used to enforce SIP limits if the regulation, the underlying regulation or permit, says Method 9; and also if it's silent, then you defer to Method 9.</li> <li>But many jurisdictions have averaging periods that are different than the six minutes and then you wouldn't necessarily - you wouldn't use Method 9.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were there ever alternative methods for reading opacity that were developed?</li> <li>A. Yes, EFA did develop those.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Can you look at Exhibit 39? What is Exhibit 39?</li> </ul>	940
24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>representatives.</li> <li>So it's important for us to understand what's required, how it's to be done, and then we can see if there are any issues that come up as the inspections are being done.</li> <li>Q. Has EPA ever done any studies that you are aware of on the different methods for reading opacity?</li> <li>A. Yes, they've done a lot of studies.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If we look at Exhibit 38, are you familiar with this document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is that?</li> <li>A. The is a study that was prepared by US EPA looking at Method 9, which is the opacity regulation that we talked about, and also Method 22.</li> <li>Q. And was there any conclusion reached about Method 9 in its accuracy?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What was that?</li> <li>A. There are a lot of details contained in here; but fundamentally, it is the - they concluded - we talk about it a lot because there's subjectivity when you do readings, and we commonly refer to it as a plus or minus</li> </ul>	938	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Method 9 opacity readings in a blink of an eye?</li> <li>A. Yee, I was present.</li> <li>Q. And is your understanding that that's a proper Method 9 opacity observation?</li> <li>A. I found that testimony a bit confusing because Method 9 doesn't address instantaneous. It's an averaging time.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did EPA ever discuss using Method 9 to enforce SIP limits?</li> <li>A. Method 9 can be used to enforce SIP limits if the regulation, the underlying regulation or permit, says Method 9; and also if it's silent, then you defer to bethod 9.</li> <li>But many jurisdictions have averaging periods that are different than the six minutes and then you wouldn't necessarily — you wouldn't use Method 9.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were there ever alternative methods for reading opacity that were developed?</li> <li>A. Yes, EFA did develop those.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Can you look at Exhibit 39? What is Exhibit 39?</li> </ul>	940
24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>representatives.</li> <li>So it's important for us to understand what's required, how it's to be done, and then we can see if there are any issues that come up as the inspections are being done.</li> <li>Q. Has EPA ever done any studies that you are aware of on the different methods for reading opacity?</li> <li>A. Yee, they've done a lot of studies.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If we look at Exhibit 38, are you familiar with this document?</li> <li>A. Yee.</li> <li>Q. What is that?</li> <li>A. The is a study that was prepared by US EPA looking at Method 9, which is the opacity regulation that we talked about, and also Method 22.</li> <li>Q. And was there any conclusion reached about Method 9 in its accuracy:</li> <li>A. Yee.</li> <li>Q. What was that?</li> <li>A. There are a lot of details contained in here; but functional that we can are a lot of details contained in here; but functionally, it is the - they concluded - we talk about it a lot because there's subjectivity when you do reachings, and we commonly refer to it as a plus or minus seven and a half percent, which is what they concluded</li> </ul>	938	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Method 9 opacity readings in a blink of an eye?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was present.</li> <li>Q. And is your understanding that that's a proper Method 9 opacity observation?</li> <li>A. I found that testimony a bit confusing because Method 9 doesn't address instantaneous. It's an averaging time.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did EPA ever discuss using Method 9 to enforce SIP limits?</li> <li>A. Method 9 can be used to enforce SIP limits if the regulation, the underlying regulation or permit, says Method 9; and also if it's silent, then you defer to Method 9.</li> <li>M. The many jurisdictions have averaging periods that are different than the six minutes and then you wouldn't necessarily - you wouldn't use Method 9.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were there ever alternative methods for reading opacity that were developed?</li> <li>A. Yes, EFA did develop those.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Can you look at Exhibit 39? What is ischibit 39?</li> <li>A. Okay. Exhibit 39 is the final rule of EFA's development of alternate quecity limits. So similar to</li> </ul>	940
24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>representatives.</li> <li>So it's important for us to understand what's required, how it's to be done, and then we can see if there are any issues that come up as the inspections are being done.</li> <li>Q. Has EPA ever done any studies that you are aware of on the different methods for reading opacity?</li> <li>A. Yes, they've done a lot of studies.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If we look at Exhibit 38, are you familiar with this document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is that?</li> <li>A. The is a study that was prepared by US EPA looking at Method 9, which is the opacity regulation that we talked about, and also Method 22.</li> <li>Q. And was there any conclusion reached about Method 9 in its accuracy?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What was that?</li> <li>A. There are a lot of details contained in here; but fundamentally, it is the - they concluded - we talk about it a lot because there's subjectivity when you do readings, and we commonly refer to it as a plus or minus</li> </ul>	938	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Method 9 opacity readings in a blink of an eye?</li> <li>A. Yee, I was present.</li> <li>Q. And is your understanding that that's a proper Method 9 opacity observation?</li> <li>A. I found that testimony a bit confusing because Method 9 doesn't address instantaneous. It's an averaging time.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did EPA ever discuss using Method 9 to enforce SIP limits?</li> <li>A. Method 9 can be used to enforce SIP limits if the regulation, the underlying regulation or permit, says Method 9; and also if it's silent, then you defer to bethod 9.</li> <li>But many jurisdictions have averaging periods that are different than the six minutes and then you wouldn't necessarily — you wouldn't use Method 9.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were there ever alternative methods for reading opacity that were developed?</li> <li>A. Yes, EFA did develop those.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Can you look at Exhibit 39? What is Exhibit 39?</li> </ul>	940

1

Γ

		941			943
1	for Method 203.	941	1	source testing manual?	943
2	Q. And what is Method 203?		2	A. Yes, it is.	
3	A. EPA understood that there might be times when the		3	Q. In the source testing manual, it says that	
4	six-minute average, or Method 9, is inappropriate. They		4	there is a date of November 22, 1993. Do you see that?	
5	did a number of studies looking at different regulations		5	A, Ido.	
6	and they found that there's some regulations that		6	Q. How does that date compare to when Method 203 was	
7	require, like, an instantaneous reading, and they		7	ultimately a final rule?	
8	thought it was important, again, to have consistency, to		8	A. It's - I believe this would be when it was	
9	have a rule that regulates the instantaneous observation		9	proposed because it was finalized much later than that.	
10	of opacity.		10	Q. Okay. There's been some questioning with	
11	Q. Okay. And so Method 203 then would have been an		11	different inspectors about whether U.S. Steel has ever	
12			12	20 2	
	alternative method developed by EPA for use of			raised any concerns about how the county's or the	
13	instantaneous readings?		13	Department's inspectors do observations at the Clairton	
14	A. That's correct.		14	plant. Were you here for that testimony?	
15	Q. And can you use Method 203 to take an opacity		15	A. Yes, I was.	
16	reading in the blink of an eye?		16	Q. Has U.S. Steel ever raised concerns?	
17	A. No. The rule is very prescriptive, again,		17	A. Yes. We have fairly regular discussions with the	
18	because just a glance isn't appropriate, and they wanted		18	county representatives about different concerns of the	
19	to make sure that you had multiple different readings.		19	source testing manual, and our understanding, although	
20	Their rule says that you need to do five-second		20	it's been awhile, is that they were going to revise the	
21	intervals for a period of a minute. So it's still		21	source testing menual to address many of the issues that	
22	really, really short, you know, it's much shorter than		22	we've talked to them about, but I don't believe they	
23	Method 9. So it's instantaneous but it's not just a		23	have done that.	
24	glance.		24	${\sf Q}.$ Okay. And do you know where that process stands?	
25	$Q_{*}$ . So an instantaneous opacity reading would then		25	A. No. Last I heard, they are working on it.	
		942			944
1	mean it would take at least a minute?	J42	1	${\sf Q}.$ Okay. Were you present when Mr. Rhoads described	244
2	A. Connect, under Method 303 I mean 203, sorry.		2	the C Battery, the newest battery at the Clairton plant?	
3	${\sf Q}.$ Okay. Do you know if this Method 203 for		3	A. Yes, I was.	
4	instantaneous opacity readings is referenced anywhere in		4	Q. Can you look at Exhibit 50? Are you familiar	
5	the Department's source testing manual?		5	with this document?	
6	A. Yes, it is.		6	A. Yes, I am. It's the — it's our C Battery pennit	
7	Q. Okay. If we look at Exhibit 22, which is the		7	issued by the Allegheny County Health Department.	
8	source testing manual,		8	Q. And is the C Battery included in Clairton's Title	
9	A. Okay.		9	V operating permit?	
10	Q there's an appendix in the back; is that		10	A. It is currently not, but it will be on the -	
11	correct?		11	when the Title V permit is reissued.	
12	A. Yes.		12	Q. And why is it not on the current Title V	
13	Q. And after the appendix, a cover sheet?		13	operating permit?	
14	A. Yes.		14	A. The Title V permit is the operating permit that	
15	Q. It will be on the left side of our binder when we		15	the agencies issue for five years. Towards the end of	
16	get there. On the right-hand side, there are different		16	that, the permittee is required to submit a renewal.	
17	methods and references; is that correct?		17	application, and then the agency updates the Title V	
18	A. That's connect.		18	permit to include new regulations but also a new	
19			19	and the second	
	Q. And does Method 203 that you described, the 203C,		20	installation permit. So that's why I anticipate that	
20	show up in this document?			this permit will be included in the next re-issuance of	
21	A. Yes, it does. It's in the middle of the page.		21	the Title V.	

22  ${\sf Q}.~$  And you had mentioned that this is a reading for

23 an instantaneous opacity reading; is that right?

24 A. That's correct.

25 Q. Is it referenced that way in the Department's 24 Title V operating permit? 25

 $Q_{\mbox{\scriptsize C}}$  Okay. Was it the timing of the C Battery that

was the reason why it wasn't included in the existing

A. That's correct.

22

23

		945	947	7
	Q. Okay. I want to talk to you a little bit about		compliance target.	
			Q. And was there some period of time that U.S. Steel	
	those standards compare to standards at other coke		3 had to reach that compliance target?	
4	plants in the country?		A. Yes, three years from the effective date of the	
5	A. They are the most stringent in the country.		agreement.	
e	Q. And with respect to overall batteries, since the		Q. What was the purpose of that three-year period?	
1	2017 timeframe, how has the compliance range generally		A. The purpose was to have us work on the batteries	
8	been at Clairton?	8	and implement the improvements mainly to the walls and	
9	A. Generally very high, 98, 99 percent plant-wide.		get the batteries up to the 98.5-percent compliance rate	
10	Q. In a situation where the plant is subject to the	10	) or above.	
11	most stringent regulations in the country, how do you	1:	Q. Okay. That three-year period to reach the	
12	view that percentage?	12	2 compliance rate, was U.S. Steel given a similar	
13	A. I view it very high and very good. And I think	13	timeframe in the enforcement order?	
14	that if you kind of equated it to I have kids and I have	14	A. No, it was not.	
15	taken tests in my timeframe and, you know, if my	15	Q. How do they differ?	
16	daughtar comes home with an 98 or 99-percent compliance,	16	A. Well, three years is three years. The	
17	I am very pleased. You know, I still maybe ask her if	17	enforcement order, we got it about mid-June 2018 and the	
18	she can do better; but generally speaking, that's very	18	compliance starts the beginning of 2019. So it's about	
19	good.	19	six months.	
20	$Q.\;$ And how does your based or your experience,	20	${\sf Q}.$ Okay. And does that limit the types of things	
21	how does that percentage compare to other coke plants?	21	U.S. Steel can do to try to comply?	
22	A. It's very high, particularly considering that the	22	A. Yes, very much so.	
23	standards are so tight.	23	Q. In what way?	
24	${\sf Q}.$ We had talked a lot in this hearing about a 2016	24	A. There are a number of items, and Mike Rhodes	
25	Consent Judgment. Were you involved in any way with	25	alluded to one of them, where we are working on	
-		946	948	
1 2	that? A. Yes, I was.	946	948 improving the doors on the coke side of B Battery, and that takes time, and it would prohibit installation of	
		1	improving the doors on the coke side of B Battery, and that takes time, and it would prohibit installation of	
2	A. Yes, I was.	1	improving the doors on the coke side of B Battery, and that takes time, and it would prohibit installation of	
2	A. Yes, I was. Q. And can you look at that document which is in	1 2 3	improving the doors on the coke side of B Battery, and that takes time, and it would prohibit installation of additional controls even if they were available.	
2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Yes, I was.</li><li>Q. And can you look at that document which is in Exhibit 1, and it's an attachment to the enforcement</li></ul>	1 2 3 4	improving the doors on the coke side of B Battery, and that takes time, and it would prohibit installation of additional controls even if they were available. Q. Can you look at page 4 on the 2016 Consent	
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And can you look at that document which is in Exhibit 1, and it's an attachment to the enforcement order that's the subject of this appeal. This is U.S.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5	<pre>improving the doors on the coke side of B Battery, and that takes time, and it would prohibit installation of additional controls even if they were available. Q. Can you look at page 4 on the 2016 Consent Judgment, please?</pre>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And can you look at that document which is in Exhibit 1, and it's an attachment to the enforcement order that's the subject of this appeal. This is U.S. Steel Exhibit 1.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>improving the doors on the coke side of B Battery, and that takes time, and it would prohibit installation of additional controls even if they were available. Q. Can you look at page 4 on the 2016 Consent Judgment, please? A. Okay.</pre>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And can you look at that document which is in Exhibit 1, and it's an attachment to the enforcement order that's the subject of this appeal. This is U.S. Steel Exhibit 1.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: In the appendix? MR. DAUSCH: It's about halfway through the document and it says page 1 of 24 on it.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>improving the doors on the coke side of B Battery, and that takes time, and it would prohibit installation of additional controls even if they were available. Q. Can you look at page 4 on the 2016 Consent Judgment, please? A. Okay. Q. U.S. Steel and the Department agreed on paragraph 26, correct? A. That's correct.</pre>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And can you look at that document which is in Exhibit 1, and it's an attachment to the enforcement order that's the subject of this appeal. This is U.S. Steel Exhibit 1.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: In the appendix?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: It's about halfway through the document and it says page 1 of 24 on it.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, I see.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>improving the doors on the coke side of B Battery, and that takes time, and it would prohibit installation of additional controls even if they were available. Q. Can you look at page 4 on the 2016 Consent Judgment, please? A. Okay. Q. U.S. Steel and the Department agreed on paragraph 26, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And it says, "The parties have agreed that the</pre>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Yes, I was. Q. And can you look at that document which is in Exhibit 1, and it's an attachment to the enforcement order that's the subject of this appeal. This is U.S. Steel Exhibit 1. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: In the appendix? MR. DAUSCH: It's about halfway through the document and it says page 1 of 24 on it. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, I see. EY MR. DAUSCH:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>improving the doors on the coke side of B Battery, and that takes time, and it would prohibit installation of additional controls even if they were available. Q. Can you look at page 4 on the 2016 Consent Judgment, please? A. Okay. Q. U.S. Steel and the Department agreed on paragraph 26, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And it says, "The parties have agreed that the most effective surrogate for environmental performance</pre>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And can you look at that document which is in Exhibit 1, and it's an attachment to the enforcement order that's the subject of this appeal. This is U.S. Steel Exhibit 1.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: In the appendix? MR. DAUSCH: It's about halfway through the document and it says page 1 of 24 on it. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, I see.</li> <li>EY MR. DAUSCH:</li> <li>Q. And is this the 2016 Consent Judgment that was</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 10 11	<ul> <li>improving the doors on the coke side of B Battery, and that takes time, and it would prohibit installation of additional controls even if they were available.</li> <li>Q. Can you look at page 4 on the 2016 Consent Judgment, please?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. U.S. Steel and the Department agreed on paragraph 26, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And it says, "The parties have agreed that the most effective surrogate for environmental performance across the entire facility is plume opacity from the</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And can you look at that document which is in Exhibit 1, and it's an attachment to the enforcement order that's the subject of this appeal. This is U.S. Steel Exhibit 1.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: In the appendix? MR. DAUSCH: It's about halfway through the document and it says page 1 of 24 on it. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, I see.</li> <li>EY MR. DAUSCH:</li> <li>Q. And is this the 2016 Consent Judgment that was agreed to between U.S. Steel and the Department and</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>improving the doors on the coke side of B Battery, and that takes time, and it would prohibit installation of additional controls even if they were available.</li> <li>Q. Can you look at page 4 on the 2016 Consent Judgment, please?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. U.S. Steel and the Department agreed on paragraph 26, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And it says, "The parties have agreed that the most effective surrogate for environmental performance across the entire facility is plume opacity from the battery combustion stacks;" is that right?</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And can you look at that document which is in Exhibit 1, and it's an attachment to the enforcement order that's the subject of this appeal. This is U.S. Steel Exhibit 1.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: In the appendix?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: It's about halfway through the document and it says page 1 of 24 on it.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, I see.</li> <li>EY MR. DAUSCH:</li> <li>Q. And is this the 2016 Consent Judgment that was agreed to between U.S. Steel and the Department and entered by Judge Ward of the Allegheny County Court of</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>improving the doors on the coke side of B Battery, and that takes time, and it would prohibit installation of additional controls even if they were available.</li> <li>Q. Can you look at page 4 on the 2016 Consent Judgment, please?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. U.S. Steel and the Department agreed on paragraph 26, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And it says, "The parties have agreed that the most effective surrogate for environmental performance across the entire facility is plume opacity from the battery combustion stacks;" is that right?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And can you look at that document which is in Exhibit 1, and it's an attachment to the enforcement order that's the subject of this appeal. This is U.S. Steel Exhibit 1.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: In the appendix? MR. DAUSCH: It's about halfway through the document and it says page 1 of 24 on it. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, I see.</li> <li>EY MR. DAUSCH:</li> <li>Q. And is this the 2016 Consent Judgment that was agreed to between U.S. Steel and the Department and entered by Judge Ward of the Allegheny County Court of Common Pleas?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>improving the doors on the coke side of B Battery, and that takes time, and it would prohibit installation of additional controls even if they were available.</li> <li>Q. Can you look at page 4 on the 2016 Consent Judgment, please?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. U.S. Steel and the Department agreed on paragraph 26, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And it says, "The parties have agreed that the most effective surrogate for environmental performance across the entire facility is plume opacity from the battery combustion stacks;" is that right?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And how is plume opacity measured from the</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And can you look at that document which is in Exhibit 1, and it's an attachment to the enforcement order that's the subject of this appeal. This is U.S. Steel Exhibit 1.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: In the appendix? MR. DAUSCH: It's about halfway through the document and it says page 1 of 24 on it. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, I see.</li> <li>BY MR. DAUSCH:</li> <li>Q. And is this the 2016 Consent Judgment that was agreed to between U.S. Steel and the Department and entered by Judge Ward of the Allegheny County Court of Common Pleas?</li> <li>A. Yee, it is.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>improving the doors on the coke side of B Battery, and that takes time, and it would prohibit installation of additional controls even if they were available.</li> <li>Q. Can you look at page 4 on the 2016 Consent Judgment, please?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. U.S. Steel and the Department agreed on paragraph 26, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And it says, "The parties have agreed that the most effective surrogate for environmental performance across the entire facility is plume opacity from the battery combustion stacks;" is that right?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And how is plume opacity measured from the battery combustion stacks?</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And can you look at that document which is in Exhibit 1, and it's an attachment to the enforcement order that's the subject of this appeal. This is U.S. Steel Exhibit 1.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: In the appendix?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: It's about halfway through the document and it says page 1 of 24 on it.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, I see.</li> <li>EY MR. DAUSCH:</li> <li>Q. And is this the 2016 Consent Judgment that was agreed to between U.S. Steel and the Department and entered by Judge Ward of the Allegheny County Court of Common Pleas?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>Q. Were you involved in that?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>improving the doors on the coke side of B Battery, and that takes time, and it would prohibit installation of additional controls even if they were available.</li> <li>Q. Can you look at page 4 on the 2016 Consent Judgment, please?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. U.S. Steel and the Department agreed on paragraph 26, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And it says, "The parties have agreed that the most effective surrogate for environmental performance across the entire facility is plume opacity from the battery combustion stacks;" is that right?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And how is plume opacity measured from the battery combustion stacks?</li> <li>A. As discussed by others, the battery stacks are</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And can you look at that document which is in Exhibit 1, and it's an attachment to the enforcement order that's the subject of this appeal. This is U.S. Steel Exhibit 1.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: In the appendix?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: It's about halfway through the document and it says page 1 of 24 on it.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, I see.</li> <li>EY MR. DAUSCH:</li> <li>Q. And is this the 2016 Consent Judgment that was agreed to between U.S. Steel and the Department and entered by Judge Ward of the Allegheny County Court of Common Pleas?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>Q. Were you involved in that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>improving the doors on the coke side of B Battery, and that takes time, and it would prohibit installation of additional controls even if they were available.</li> <li>Q. Can you look at page 4 on the 2016 Consent Judgment, please?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. U.S. Steel and the Department agreed on paragraph 26, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And it says, "The parties have agreed that the most effective surrogate for environmental performance across the entire facility is plume opacity from the battery combustion stacks;" is that right?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And how is plume opacity measured from the battery combustion stacks?</li> <li>A. Is discussed by others, the battery stacks are the tall stacks, and we have continuos opacity monitors</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And can you look at that document which is in Exhibit 1, and it's an attachment to the enforcement order that's the subject of this appeal. This is U.S. Steel Exhibit 1.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: In the appendix? MR. DAUSCH: It's about halfway through the document and it says page 1 of 24 on it. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, I see.</li> <li>EY MR. DAUSCH:</li> <li>Q. And is this the 2016 Consent Judgment that was agreed to between U.S. Steel and the Department and entered by Judge Ward of the Allegheny County Court of Common Pleas?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>Q. Were you involved in that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>improving the doors on the coke side of B Battery, and that takes time, and it would prohibit installation of additional controls even if they were available.</li> <li>Q. Can you look at page 4 on the 2016 Consent Judgment, please?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. U.S. Steel and the Department agreed on paragraph 26, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And it says, "The parties have agreed that the most effective surrogate for environmental performance across the entire facility is plume opacity from the battery combustion stacks;" is that right?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And how is plume opacity measured from the battery combustion stacks?</li> <li>A. As discussed by others, the battery stacks are</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And can you look at that document which is in Exhibit 1, and it's an attachment to the enforcement order that's the subject of this appeal. This is U.S. Steel Exhibit 1.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: In the appendix?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: It's about halfway through the document and it says page 1 of 24 on it.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, I see.</li> <li>EY MR. DAUSCH:</li> <li>Q. And is this the 2016 Consent Judgment that was agreed to between U.S. Steel and the Department and entered by Judge Ward of the Allegheny County Court of Common Pleas?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>Q. Where you involved in that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. What was your role?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>improving the doors on the coke side of B Battery, and that takes time, and it would prohibit installation of additional controls even if they were available.</li> <li>Q. Can you look at page 4 on the 2016 Consent Judgment, please?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. U.S. Steel and the Department agreed on paragraph 26, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And it says, "The parties have agreed that the most effective surrogate for environmental performance across the entire facility is plume opacity from the battery combustion stacks;" is that right?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And how is plume opacity measured from the battery combustion stacks?</li> <li>A. s discussed by others, the battery stacks are the tall stacks, and we have continuos opacity monitors installed in those which can read for 24/7, 365 days a</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And can you look at that document which is in Exhibit 1, and it's an attachment to the enforcement order that's the subject of this appeal. This is U.S. Steel Exhibit 1.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: In the appendix?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: It's about halfway through the document and it says page 1 of 24 on it.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, I see.</li> <li>BY MR. DAUSCH:</li> <li>Q. And is this the 2016 Consent Judgment that was agreed to between U.S. Steel and the Department and entered by Judge Ward of the Allegheny County Court of Common Pleas?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>Q. Were you involved in that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. What was your role?</li> <li>A. I was lead on the technical side.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>improving the doors on the coke side of B Battery, and that takes time, and it would prohibit installation of additional controls even if they were available.</li> <li>Q. Can you look at page 4 on the 2016 Consent Judgment, please?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. U.S. Steel and the Department agreed on paragraph 26, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And it says, "The parties have agreed that the most effective surrogate for environmental performance across the entire facility is plume opacity from the battery combustion stacks;" is that right?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And how is plume opacity measured from the battery combustion stacks?</li> <li>A. As discussed by others, the battery stacks are the tall stacks, and we have continuos opacity monitors installed in those which can read for 24/7, 365 days a year the opacity from an objective perspective.</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And can you look at that document which is in Exhibit 1, and it's an attachment to the enforcement order that's the subject of this appeal. This is U.S. Steel Exhibit 1.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: In the appendix?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: It's about halfway through the document and it says page 1 of 24 on it. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, I see.</li> <li>EY MR. DAUSCH:</li> <li>Q. And is this the 2016 Consent Judgment that was agreed to between U.S. Steel and the Department and entered by Judge Ward of the Allegheny County Court of Common Pleas?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>Q. Where you involved in that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. What was your role?</li> <li>A. I was lead on the technical side.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what generally was agreed to in the</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>improving the doors on the coke side of B Battery, and that takes time, and it would prohibit installation of additional controls even if they were available.</li> <li>Q. Can you look at page 4 on the 2016 Consent Judgment, please?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. U.S. Steel and the Department agreed on paragraph 26, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And it says, "The parties have agreed that the most effective surrogate for environmental performance across the entire facility is plume opacity from the battery combustion stacks;" is that right?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And how is plume opacity measured from the battery combustion stacks?</li> <li>A. that succession stacks?</li> <li>A. that succession stacks?</li> <li>A. As discussed by others, the battery stacks are the tall stacks, and we have continuos opacity monitors installed in those which can read for 24/7, 365 days a year the opacity from an objective perspective.</li> <li>Q. And why is it that battery stack opacity is the</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And can you look at that document which is in Exhibit 1, and it's an attachment to the enforcement order that's the subject of this appeal. This is U.S. Steel Exhibit 1.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: In the appendix?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: It's about halfway through the document and it says page 1 of 24 on it.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, I see.</li> <li>EY MR. DAUSCH:</li> <li>Q. And is this the 2016 Consent Judgment that was agreed to between U.S. Steel and the Department and entered by Judge Ward of the Allegheny County Court of Common Pleas?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>Q. Where you involved in that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. What was your role?</li> <li>A. I was lead on the technical side.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what generally was agreed to in the 2016 Consent Judgment that was agreed to in the</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>improving the doors on the coke side of B Battery, and that takes time, and it would prohibit installation of additional controls even if they were available.</li> <li>Q. Can you look at page 4 on the 2016 Consent Judgment, please?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. U.S. Steel and the Department agreed on paragraph 26, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And it says, "The parties have agreed that the most effective surrogate for environmental performance across the entire facility is plume opacity from the battery combustion stacks;" is that right?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And how is plume opacity measured from the battery combustion stacks;</li> <li>M. As discussed by others, the battery stacks are the tall stacks, and we have continuos opacity monitors installed in those which can read for 24/7, 365 days a year the opacity from an objective perspective.</li> <li>Q. And why is it that battery stack opacity is the bast overall surrogate for environmental performance</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. And can you look at that document which is in Exhibit 1, and it's an attachment to the enforcement order that's the subject of this appeal. This is U.S. Steel Exhibit 1.</li> <li>MEARING OFFICER SLATER: In the appendix?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: It's about halfway through the document and it says page 1 of 24 on it.</li> <li>MEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, I see.</li> <li>BY MR. DAUSCH:</li> <li>And is this the 2016 Consent Judgment that was agreed to between U.S. Steel and the Department and entered by Judge Ward of the Allegheny County Court of Common Pleas?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>Q. Where you involved in that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I was.</li> <li>Q. What was your role?</li> <li>A. I was lead on the technical side.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what generally was agreed to in the 2016 Consent Judgment that was agreed to judgment that was entered by the Court?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>improving the doors on the coke side of B Battery, and that takes time, and it would prohibit installation of additional controls even if they were available.</li> <li>Q. Can you look at page 4 on the 2016 Consent Judgment, please?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. U.S. Steel and the Department agreed on paragraph 26, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And it says, "The parties have agreed that the most effective surrogate for environmental performance across the entire facility is plume opacity from the battery combustion stacks;" is that right?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And how is plume opacity measured from the battery combustion stacks;</li> <li>M. As discussed by others, the battery stacks are the tall stacks, and we have continuos opacity monitors installed in those which can read for 24/7, 365 days a year the opacity from an objective perspective.</li> <li>Q. And why is it that battery stack opacity is the best overall surrogate for environmental performance across the entire Clairton plant?</li> </ul>	

		949			951
1	emissions from the facility. You can see them when you	949	1	Q. Can you look at Exhibit 41 on page 8? This is	901
2	drive along the street. So they represent overall		2	the second binder. Can you tell us what this depicts?	
3	compliance. It's a good surrogate to show how the plant		3	A. Yes. This is a chart, a graph of our stack	
4	is operating.		4	opacity plant-wide. It contains on the one side the	
5	Q. And how does their height compare to the height		5	percent compliance; and then as it goes across the	
6	of the batteries?		6	bottom, it has the data or the dates from January 2013	
7	A. The stacks are very tall; the batteries are much		7	through September 2018.	
8	closer to the ground.		8	Q. And so would we be able to look at this data and	
9	Q. Okay. Does that have any effect on emissions?		9	see how performance of the battery stacks have changed	
10	A. It has a big effect as demonstrated through		10	since the 2016 Consent Judgment?	
11	various models. The taller the stack, the higher the		11	A. Yes, it demonstrates that.	
12	emissions are, so they can go further out into the		12	Q. Do the battery stacks have two different opacity	
13	communities around the facility.	- 14	13	standards?	
14	The fugitives are much lower to the ground, so		14	A. Yes, they have a 60 percent and a 20 percent. So	
15	the chance of them going off property are less than the		15	on the chart, the 60 percent is the orangish line and	
16	stacks.		16	the 20 percent is the blue line.	
17	Q. And how big is the property at Clairton?		17	Q. And what does this chart show with respect to the	
18	A. The property is about just over three miles long.		18	20 or the 60-percent opacity standard for the battery	
19	Q. Okay. Do you recall what the compliance standard		19	stacks?	
20	was that was agreed to in the 2016 Consent Judgment?		20	A. It shows that for out of the entire timeframe, we	
21	A. 98 and a half percent.		21	were just about at 100 percent, or very close, for 60	
22	Q. Okay. And compliance for the stacks, you		22	percent.	
23	mentioned, is determined by the COMS, or the continuous		23	Q. And what does this show with respect to the 20	
24	opacity monitoring system, correct?		24	percent standard?	
25	A. Yes.		25	A. That throughout the timeframe, we were very high;	
100			10		
1 2	Q. And how is that data stored? A. It's collected through electronic transmissions	950	1 2	and then we became almost close to 100 percent around 2016 to present.	952
		950		-	952
2	A. It's collected through electronic transmissions	950	2	2016 to present.	952
2 3	A. It's collected through electronic transmissions into an electronic database.	950	2 3	2016 to present. Q. And was that following the 2016 Consent Judgment?	952
2 3 4	<ul><li>A. It's collected through electronic transmissions</li><li>into an electronic database.</li><li>Q. And as part of your regular job duties, do you</li></ul>	950	2 3 4	2016 to present. Q. And was that following the 2016 Consent Judgment? A. Yes, it was.	952
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. It's collected through electronic transmissions</li><li>into an electronic database.</li><li>Q. And as part of your regular job duties, do you review that data?</li></ul>	950	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>2016 to present.</li><li>Q. And was that following the 2016 Consent Judgment?</li><li>A. Yes, it was.</li><li>Q. And in the 2016 Consent Judgment, one of the</li></ul>	952
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. It's collected through electronic transmissions into an electronic database.</li> <li>Q. And as part of your regular job duties, do you review that data?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> </ul>	950	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>2016 to present. Q. And was that following the 2016 Consent Judgment? A. Yes, it was. Q. And in the 2016 Consent Judgment, one of the focuses was on improving battery stack compliance?</pre>	952
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. It's collected through electronic transmissions into an electronic database.</li> <li>Q. And as part of your regular job duties, do you review that data?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And it's voluminous if we tried to print it out</li> </ul>	950	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>2016 to present.</li> <li>Q. And was that following the 2016 Consent Judgment?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was.</li> <li>Q. And in the 2016 Consent Judgment, one of the focuses was on improving battery stack compliance?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	952
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. It's collected through electronic transmissions into an electronic database.</li> <li>Q. And as part of your regular job duties, do you review that data?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And it's voluminous if we tried to print it out here?</li> </ul>	950	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>2016 to present.</li> <li>Q. And was that following the 2016 Consent Judgment?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was.</li> <li>Q. And in the 2016 Consent Judgment, one of the focuses was on improving battery stack compliance?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you know what recent battery stack</li> </ul>	952
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. It's collected through electronic transmissions into an electronic database.</li> <li>Q. And as part of your regular job duties, do you review that data?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And it's voluminous if we tried to print it out here?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	950	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>2016 to present. Q. And was that following the 2016 Consent Judgment? A. Yee, it was. Q. And in the 2016 Consent Judgment, one of the focuses was on improving battery stack compliance? A. Yees. Q. And do you know what recent battery stack compliance has been?</pre>	952
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. It's collected through electronic transmissions into an electronic database.</li> <li>Q. And as part of your regular job duties, do you review that data?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And it's voluminous if we tried to print it out here?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. U.S. Steel 43 in our binders is noted as an</li> </ul>	950	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>2016 to present.</li> <li>Q. And was that following the 2016 Consent Judgment?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was.</li> <li>Q. And in the 2016 Consent Judgment, one of the focuses was on improving battery stack compliance?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you know what recent battery stack compliance has been?</li> <li>A. Over 99 percent compliant plant-wide.</li> </ul>	952
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. It's collected through electronic transmissions into an electronic database.</li> <li>Q. And as part of your regular job duties, do you review that data?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And it's voluminous if we tried to print it out here?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. U.S. Steel 43 in our binders is noted as an electronic exhibit because it's an Excel file that was</li> </ul>	950	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>2016 to present. Q. And was that following the 2016 Consent Judgment? A. Yes, it was. Q. And in the 2016 Consent Judgment, one of the focuses was on improving battery stack compliance? A. Yes. Q. And do you know what recent battery stack compliance has been? A. Over 99 percent compliant plant-wide. Q. And what was the compliance target in the 2016</pre>	952
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. It's collected through electronic transmissions into an electronic database.</li> <li>Q. And as part of your regular job duties, do you review that data?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And it's voluminous if we tried to print it out here?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. U.S. Steel 43 in our binders is noted as an electronic exhibit because it's an Excel file that was produced with our prehearing statement, but I think</li> </ul>	950	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>2016 to present.</li> <li>Q. And was that following the 2016 Consent Judgment?</li> <li>A. Yee, it was.</li> <li>Q. And in the 2016 Consent Judgment, one of the focuses was on improving battery stack compliance?</li> <li>A. Yee.</li> <li>Q. And do you know what recent battery stack compliance has been?</li> <li>A. Over 99 percent compliant plant-wide.</li> <li>Q. And what was the compliance target in the 2016 Consent Judgment?</li> </ul>	952
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. It's collected through electronic transmissions into an electronic database.</li> <li>Q. And as part of your regular job duties, do you review that data?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And it's voluminous if we tried to print it out here?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. U.S. Steel 43 in our binders is noted as an electronic exhibit because it's an Excel file that was produced with our prehearing statement, but I think everybody should have the CD. We put the Excel file on</li> </ul>	950	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>2016 to present. Q. And was that following the 2016 Consent Judgment? A. Yes, it was. Q. And in the 2016 Consent Judgment, one of the focuses was on improving battery stack compliance? A. Yes. Q. And do you know what recent battery stack compliance has been? A. Over 99 percent compliant plant-wide. Q. And what was the compliance target in the 2016 Consent Judgment? A. 98.5.</pre>	952
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. It's collected through electronic transmissions into an electronic database.</li> <li>Q. And as part of your regular job duties, do you review that data?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And it's voluminous if we tried to print it out here?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. U.S. Steel 43 in our binders is noted as an electronic exhibit because it's an Excel file that was produced with our prehearing statement, but I think everybody should have the CD. We put the Excel file on the CD.</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>2016 to present. Q. And was that following the 2016 Consent Judgment? A. Yes, it was. Q. And in the 2016 Consent Judgment, one of the focuses was on improving battery stack compliance? A. Yes. Q. And do you know what recent battery stack compliance has been? A. Over 99 percent compliant plant-wide. Q. And what was the compliance target in the 2016 Consent Judgment? A. 98.5. Q. Okay. And in a situation where U.S. Steel has</pre>	952
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. It's collected through electronic transmissions into an electronic database.</li> <li>Q. And as part of your regular job duties, do you review that data?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And it's voluminous if we tried to print it out here?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. U.S. Steel 43 in our binders is noted as an electronic exhibit because it's an Excel file that was produced with our prehearing statement, but I think everybody should have the CD. We put the Excel file on the CD.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Yeah, is that this one</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>2016 to present.</li> <li>Q. And was that following the 2016 Consent Judgment?</li> <li>A. Yee, it was.</li> <li>Q. And in the 2016 Consent Judgment, one of the focuses was on improving battery stack compliance?</li> <li>A. Yee.</li> <li>Q. And do you know what recent battery stack compliance has been?</li> <li>A. Over 99 percent compliant plant-wide.</li> <li>Q. And what was the compliance target in the 2016 Consent Judgment?</li> <li>A. 98.5.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in a situation where U.S. Steel has over 99-percent compliance on the best overall surrogate</li> </ul>	952
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. It's collected through electronic transmissions into an electronic database.</li> <li>Q. And as part of your regular job duties, do you review that data?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And it's voluminous if we tried to print it out here?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. U.S. Steel 43 in our binders is noted as an electronic exhibit because it's an Excel file that was produced with our prehearing statement, but I think everybody should have the CD. We put the Excel file on the CD.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Yeah, is that this one at the beginning?</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>2016 to present.</li> <li>Q. And was that following the 2016 Consent Judgment?</li> <li>A. Yee, it was.</li> <li>Q. And in the 2016 Consent Judgment, one of the focuses was on improving battery stack compliance?</li> <li>A. Yee.</li> <li>Q. And do you know what recent battery stack compliance has been?</li> <li>A. Over 99 percent compliant plant-wide.</li> <li>Q. And what was the compliance target in the 2016 Consent Judgment?</li> <li>A. 99.5.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in a situation where U.S. Steel has over 99-percent compliance on the best overall surrogate for environmental performance site-wide, was that also</li> </ul>	952
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. It's collected through electronic transmissions into an electronic database.</li> <li>Q. And as part of your regular job duties, do you review that data?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And it's voluminous if we tried to print it out here?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. U.S. Steel 43 in our binders is noted as an electronic exhibit because it's an Excel file that was produced with our prehearing statement, but I think everybody should have the CD. We put the Excel file on the CD.</li> <li>MEARING OFFICER SLATER: Yeah, is that this one at the beginning?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: Yeah, Exhibit 43 is the COMS data.</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>2016 to present.</li> <li>Q. And was that following the 2016 Consent Judgment?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was.</li> <li>Q. And in the 2016 Consent Judgment, one of the focuses was on improving battery stack compliance?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you know what recent battery stack compliance has been?</li> <li>A. Over 99 percent compliant plant-wide.</li> <li>Q. And what was the compliance target in the 2016 Consent Judgment?</li> <li>A. 99.5.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in a situation where U.S. Steel has over 99-percent compliance on the best overall surrogate for environmental performance site-wide, was that also the same timeframe that U.S. Steel received the</li> </ul>	952
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. It's collected through electronic transmissions into an electronic database.</li> <li>Q. And as part of your regular job duties, do you review that data?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And it's voluminous if we tried to print it out here?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. U.S. Steel 43 in our binders is noted as an electronic exhibit because it's an Excel file that was produced with our prehearing statement, but I think everybody should have the CD. We put the Excel file on the CD.</li> <li>MEARING OFFICER SLATER: Yeah, is that this one at the beginning?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: Yeah, Exhibit 43 is the COMS data. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Okay.</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>2016 to present.</li> <li>Q. And was that following the 2016 Consent Judgment?</li> <li>A. Yee, it was.</li> <li>Q. And in the 2016 Consent Judgment, one of the focuses was on improving battery stack compliance?</li> <li>A. Yee.</li> <li>Q. And do you know what recent battery stack compliance has been?</li> <li>A. Over 99 percent compliant plant-wide.</li> <li>Q. And what was the compliance target in the 2016 Consent Judgment?</li> <li>A. 98.5.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in a situation where U.S. Steel has over 99-percent compliance on the best overall surrogate for environmental performance site-wide, was that also the same timeframe that U.S. Steel received the enforcement order that's the subject of this appeal?</li> </ul>	952
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. It's collected through electronic transmissions into an electronic database.</li> <li>Q. And as part of your regular job duties, do you review that data?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And it's voluminous if we tried to print it out here?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. U.S. Steel 43 in our binders is noted as an electronic exhibit because it's an Excel file that was produced with our prehearing statement, but I think everybody should have the CD. We put the Excel file on the CD.</li> <li>MERAING OFFICER SLATER: Yeah, is that this one at the beginning?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: Yeah, Exhibit 43 is the COMS data. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Okay.</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>2016 to present.</li> <li>Q. And was that following the 2016 Consent Judgment?</li> <li>A. Yee, it was.</li> <li>Q. And in the 2016 Consent Judgment, one of the focuses was on improving battery stack compliance?</li> <li>A. Yee.</li> <li>Q. And do you know what recent battery stack compliance has been?</li> <li>A. Over 99 percent compliant plant-wide.</li> <li>Q. And what was the compliance target in the 2016 Consent Judgment?</li> <li>A. 98.5.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in a situation where U.S. Steel has over 99-percent compliance on the best overall surrogate for environmental performance site-wide, was that also the same timeframe that U.S. Steel received the enforcement order that's the subject of this appeal?</li> <li>A. Yee.</li> </ul>	952
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. It's collected through electronic transmissions into an electronic database.</li> <li>Q. And as part of your regular job duties, do you review that data?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And it's voluminous if we tried to print it out here?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. U.S. Steel 43 in our binders is noted as an electronic exhibit because it's an Excel file that was produced with our prehearing statement, but I think everybody should have the CD. We put the Excel file on the CD.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Yeah, is that this one at the beginning?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: Yeah, Exhibit 43 is the COMS data. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Okay.</li> <li>EY MR. DAUSCH:</li> <li>Q. Can you tell us how stack compliance has been</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>2016 to present.</li> <li>Q. And was that following the 2016 Consent Judgment?</li> <li>A. Yee, it was.</li> <li>Q. And in the 2016 Consent Judgment, one of the focuses was on improving battery stack compliance?</li> <li>A. Yees.</li> <li>Q. And do you know what recent battery stack compliance has been?</li> <li>A. Over 99 percent compliant plant-wide.</li> <li>Q. And what was the compliance target in the 2016 Consent Judgment?</li> <li>A. 96.5.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in a situation where U.S. Steel has over 99-percent compliance on the best overall surrogate for environmental performance site-wide, was that also the same timeframe that U.S. Steel received the enforcement order that's the subject of this appeal?</li> <li>A. Yee.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to switch topics and talk about the B</li> </ul>	952
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. It's collected through electronic transmissions into an electronic database.</li> <li>Q. And as part of your regular job duties, do you review that data?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And it's voluminous if we tried to print it out here?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. U.S. Steel 43 in our binders is noted as an electronic exhibit because it's an Excel file that was produced with our prehearing statement, but I think everybody should have the CD. We put the Excel file on the CD.</li> <li>MEARING OFFICER SLATER: Yeah, is that this one at the beginning?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: Yeah, Exhibit 43 is the COMS data. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Okay.</li> <li>EY MR. DAUSCH:</li> <li>P. Can you tell us how stack compliance has been since the 2016 Consent Judgment?</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>2016 to present.</li> <li>Q. And was that following the 2016 Consent Judgment?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was.</li> <li>Q. And in the 2016 Consent Judgment, one of the focuses was on improving battery stack compliance?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you know what recent battery stack compliance has been?</li> <li>A. Over 99 percent compliant plant-wide.</li> <li>Q. And what was the compliance target in the 2016 Consent Judgment?</li> <li>A. 98.5.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in a situation where U.S. Steel has over 99-percent compliance on the best overall surrogate for environmental performance site-wide, was that also the same timeframe that U.S. Steel received the enforcement order that's the subject of this appeal?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to switch topics and talk about the B Eattery coke-side door standard.</li> </ul>	952
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. It's collected through electronic transmissions into an electronic database.</li> <li>Q. And as part of your regular job duties, do you review that data?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And it's voluminous if we tried to print it out here?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. U.S. Steel 43 in our binders is noted as an electronic exhibit because it's an Excel file that was produced with our prehearing statement, but I think everybody should have the CD. We put the Excel file on the CD.</li> <li>MERARING OFFICER SLATER: Yeah, is that this one at the beginning?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: Yeah, Exhibit 43 is the COMS data. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Okay.</li> <li>EY MR. DAUSCH:</li> <li>Q. Can you tell us how stack compliance has been since the 2016 Consent Judgment?</li> <li>A. It's improved and is very good.</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>2016 to present.</li> <li>Q. And was that following the 2016 Consent Judgment?</li> <li>A. Yee, it was.</li> <li>Q. And in the 2016 Consent Judgment, one of the focuses was on improving battery stack compliance?</li> <li>A. Yee.</li> <li>Q. And do you know what recent battery stack compliance has been?</li> <li>A. Over 99 percent compliant plant-wide.</li> <li>Q. And what was the compliance target in the 2016 Consent Judgment?</li> <li>A. 98.5.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in a situation where U.S. Steel has over 99-percent compliance on the best overall surrogate for environmental performance site-wide, was that also the same timeframe that U.S. Steel received the enforcement order that's the subject of this appeal?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to switch topics and talk about the B fattery coke-side door standard.</li> <li>Are you familiar with the 10 door-leak per month</li> </ul>	952
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. It's collected through electronic transmissions into an electronic database.</li> <li>Q. And as part of your regular job duties, do you review that data?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And it's voluminous if we tried to print it out here?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. U.S. Steel 43 in our binders is noted as an electronic exhibit because it's an Excel file that was produced with our prehearing statement, but I think everybody should have the CD. We put the Excel file on the CD.</li> <li>MEARING OFFICER SLATER: Yeah, is that this one at the beginning?</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: Yeah, Exhibit 43 is the COMS data. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Okay.</li> <li>EY MR. DAUSCH:</li> <li>Q. Can you tell us how stack compliance has been since the 2016 Consent Judgment?</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do we have the voluminous COMS data in</li> </ul>		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>2016 to present.</li> <li>Q. And was that following the 2016 Consent Judgment?</li> <li>A. Yee, it was.</li> <li>Q. And in the 2016 Consent Judgment, one of the focuses was on improving battery stack compliance?</li> <li>A. Yee.</li> <li>Q. And do you know what recent battery stack compliance has been?</li> <li>A. Over 99 percent compliant plant-wide.</li> <li>Q. And what was the compliance target in the 2016 Consent Judgment?</li> <li>A. 99.5.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in a situation where U.S. Steel has over 99-percent compliance on the best overall surrogate for environmental performance site-wide, was that also the same timeframe that U.S. Steel received the enforcement order that's the subject of this appeal?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to switch topics and talk about the B Battery coke-side door standard.</li> </ul>	952

l

		953		955
1	${\sf Q},\;\;$ Does the B Battery doors currently have standards		l order?	
2	that apply to it?		A. That the requirement in the enforcement order is	
3	A. Yes, it does.		nine times more stringent than the federal NESHAP.	
4	Q. And what are those standards?		Q. Do you believe this standard is achievable?	
5	A. It has the federal NESHAP requirement, as well as		A. I do not believe it's achievable on a consistent	
6	the Article 21 standard.		basis, no.	
7	Q. And how are those standards enforced?		Q. And why is that?	
8	A. The federal standards are enforced through the		A. Because the EPA has done an extensive review on	
9	Method 303 readings, and Allegheny County standards are		what was achievable, and they recognized in their	
10	enforced through the Health Department.	1	research and documentation that you can't get to 100	
11	$Q_{*}$ . And the Method 303 readings are done by the	1	percent, that there are a certain number of leaks that	
12	Keramida inspectors who testified in this case?	12	will occur, and they went through the data and the	
13	A. That's connect.	1:	analysis and determined that four percent was the	
14	$Q_{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}$ . Are we able to compare the current standards that	14	appropriate number.	
15	apply to the B Battery doors to the standard that's in	13	Q. And was that four percent number in any way	
16	the enforcement order?	10	related to the LAER track that you mentioned earlier?	
17	A. Yes, we can compare the federal standard because	17	A. The four percent is the LAER track. The original	
18	it's yard equivalent on a monthly basis.	18	MACT requirement was higher than that, and then the four	
19	${\sf Q}.$ Okay. So if we look at U.S. Steel 51, has that	19	percent was the LAER track that we became subject to, or	
20	comparison been done?	20	everybody became subject to, in 2010 but we took it	
21	A. Yes, it has.	21	earlier.	
22	${\sf Q}.$ Will you explain to us how this comparison works?	22	Q. And remind us what LAER means.	
23	A. Yes. First, it lists the federal NESHAP	23	A. It's the lowest achievable emission rate. So	
24	requirement, which is the four percent leaking doors,	24	that is, by definition, what is achievable with	
25	and then the proposed limit in the enforcement order.	25	technology.	
1 2	The B Battery has 75 batteries, so it has 75 doors. And then we — you calculate the number of —	1		
3	the maximum number of allowed door leaks for a 30-day	3	The second s	
4	period using the yard equivalent.	4		
5	Q. And so 75 represents the number of doors on just	5		
6	the coke side of B Battery?	6		
7	A. That's connect.	7	we can confer.	
8	Q. And you have listed here that the federal NESHAP	8	HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Sure, yeah. Let's take	
9	standard is four percent, and you mentioned earlier that	9	10 minutes.	
10	it's a monthly	10		
11	A. Yes, it's a monthly rolling average.	11	reconvened at 2:11 p.m.)	
12	Q. Can you explain generally what that means?	12		
13	A. Sure. So what we - what is required under the	13	record.	
14	NESHAP is that the Mathod 303 inspectors, or the	14	Mr. Willis, did you have some questions for Ms.	
15	Keramidas, go out every day and read the doors and then	15	Woodwell?	
16	they calculate the percent and then they do a 30-day	16	MR. WILLIS: Yes, sir.	
17	rolling average. So each day moves along 30 days, and	17	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
18	then the last day drops off and you roll along, and you	18	BY MR. WILLIS:	
19	have to meet the four percent.	19	Q. Ms. Woodwell, going back to your education, where	
20	Q. So every day, there is a percentage that is	20	did you go to undergrad?	
21	calculated based on the preceding 29 days?	21	A. University of Vermont.	
22	A. Connect.	22	Q. And for do you have a Master's degree? Do you	
23		0.0		
	${\sf Q}.$ Okay. And what does this show us with respect to	23	have a Master's?	
24	Q. Okay. And what does this show us with respect to the comparison of the existing NESHAP standard compared	23	A. Yes, University of Pittsburgh.	
24 25				

ŀ

		957			959
1	A. University of Pittsburgh.		1	that's currently on appeal, did we order the shutdown of	
2	Q. Thank you. Is Allegheny County currently in		2	any portion of U.S. Steel Clairton Works?	
3	attainment for SO2 or PM2.5?		3	A. No.	
4	A. With the new standards, no.		4	${\sf Q}.$ Did we order the entire facility to be shutdown?	
5	Q. Is SO2 a source of specific designation? Does		5	A. No.	
6	that qualify as a source of specific designation with		6	Q. It says "information." Does it specify what	
7	respect to		7	information? Do we have to rely on the NESHAP	
8	A. I'm not sure I understand what you mean. SO2 is		8	inspections?	
9	a pollutant, a criteria pollutant.		9	MR. DAUSCH: Object to the extent he is asking	
10	Q. I want to shift gears. I want to do this a		10	her to interpret a regulation that the Department	
11	little differently. Are you aware of Article 21?		11	contends is at issue in this case.	
12	A. Yes, Iam.		12	MR. WILLIS: Well, I do believe she said she was	
13	Q. And is it fair to say that under the Clean Air	1.1	13	an attorney.	
14	Act, the SIP regulations by any state or delegated		14	BY MR. WILLIS:	
15	authority can be more stringent than the Clean Air Act?		15	Q. Are you an attorney, Ms. Woodwell.	
16	A. That's fair, yes.		16	A. I am an attorney. I'm not representing the	
17	Q. Okay. I want to show you Article 21. Can you		17	company or making legal decisions for them.	
18			18		
19	flip to 2109.04, please?		19	Q. Are you a licensed attorney in the Commonwealth	
20	HEARING OFFICER SLATER: 2109.04?		20	of Pennsylvania?	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	MR. WILLIS: .04, yes.			A. Iam.	
21	HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Okay.		21	Q. What's your PA ID number?	
22	BY MR. WILLIS:		22	A. Okay, I don't use it very often.	
23	Q. What's that title?		23	Q. Do you know what it is?	
24	A. The title of 2109.04?	1	24	MR. DAUSCH: It's completely irrelevant.	
25	Q. Yes.		25	HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Yeah, I think the point	
1	A 10-1	958	1	has been a shall also have a Time and a share the	960
1	A. "Orders establishing an additional or more		1	has been established here. I'm going to allow Ms.	
2	restrictive standard."		2	Woodwell to answer to the best of her knowledge with,	
3	Q. To your understanding, is that portion of the		3	you know, the understanding that she is not a or she	
4	Article 21 SIPed? Is it part of the state		4	does not represent the Health Department in any sort of	
5	implementation plan?		5	interpretation, in any capacity, especially regarding	
6	A. I don't know that.		6	interpretation of Health Department regulations.	
7	Q. Could you read that first paragraph, please?	1.3	7	MS. WOODWELL: Could you repeat the question?	
8	A. Paragraph A?		8	BY MR. WILLIS:	
9	Q. Yes, please.		9	${f Q}.$ Yeah. Does it specify any particular information	
10	A. "General: Whenever the Department finds, on a		10	that must be relied on?	
11	basis of any information available to it, that emissions		11	A. Are you referring to the first sentence?	
12	from any source are causing or significantly		12	Q. Yes.	
13	contributing to the exceedance of any ambient air		13	A. No, it does not discuss that.	
14	quality standard established by Section 2101.10 of this		14	$Q. \ \mbox{You would agree it would say "any information"?}$	
15	Article at any location within the Commonwealth, that		15	A. It says "any information."	
16	such emissions violate the requirement of Section		16	Q. Okay. In the SIP process, U.S. Steel with	
17	2101.12 of this Article relating to interstate		17	respect to the SO2 SIP process, U.S. Steel was	

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

like?

18 pollution, or that such emissions may otherwise

19 reasonably be anticipated to endanger the public health,

20 safety or welfare, it may order the person responsible

21 for such source to comply with additional or more

22 stringent emission limitations than established by this

23 Article or it may order immediate shutdown of the source

24 or any part thereof."

25  ${f Q}.$  Thank you. With respect to the enforcement order

A. We submitted the pennit application, yes.

A. It was involved in the process, yes.

Q. But did you submit any sort of draft as to how

intimately involved in that process; wouldn't you agree?

 $\mathsf{Q}.\;$  At some point, did U.S. Steel offer any

suggestions in terms of a draft of how they would like

to see that SIP to be reflected, that permit to look

2       A. I don't recall.       2       Q.         3       Q. Would you do something like that? Would you       3       application         4       draft a draft permit to reflect how you would receive       4       A.         5       how that permit should look?       5       about how	
2       A. I don't recall.       2       Q.         3       Q. Would you do something like that? Would you       3       application         4       draft a draft permit to reflect how you would receive       4       A.         5       how that permit should look?       5       about how	963
2       A. I don't recall.       2       Q.         3       Q. Would you do something like that? Would you       3       application         4       draft a draft permit to reflect how you would receive       4       A.         5       how that permit should look?       5       about how	Yes.
4       draft a draft permit to reflect how you would receive       4       A.         5       how that permit should look?       5       about how	Did you make any specific requests as to the
5 how that permit should look? 5 about he	tion of the source testing manual?
5 how that permit should look? 5 about he	We talked to the county on numerous occasions
	w that standard, that guidance or manual, is
0 A, WOLLD WE EVER!	at our facility, yes.
7 Q. Yes. 7 Q.	And you referred to it as "guidance." Is that
	see it?
9 Q. Would you? 9 A.	Well, it's not a regulation and it's a manual.
	d on where we are, I'm assuming it's a guidance.
	a regulation.
	And to the best of your knowledge, U.S. Steel
	mply with Article 21?
14 Q. I'm not asking if you did. I said would you, is 14 A.	
	It does not comply with the source testing
16 A. I'm trying to figure out in the context — 16 manual?	
	It —
	Is there any compliance on U.S. Steel's part with
	to the source testing manual?
20 HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Willis, could you 20 A.	
	Did ACHD ever concede to any of U.S. Steel's
	s regarding the source testing manual?
	We had discussions about how it will be
	bed and applied, yes.
	That wasn't my question. My question was, did
962 1 and the emission limits were based on the modeling. 1 you d	964 did the ACHD ever concede to your request?
	I believe we reached an agreement on how it would
3 A. The MACT is a technology and a health-based 3 be implemented 3	mented, yes.
4 standard, yes. 4 Q.	You made a request and the ACHD agreed to comply
5 Q. The enforcement order that's on appeal currently, 5 with that	t request?
6 does it address federal violations? 6 A.	I am not sure of a specific instance that that
7 A. No, it does not. 7 occurred.	
8 Q. Does it have Article 21 violations? 8 Q.	To your knowledge, are inspectors allowed to take
9 A. Yes, it does. 9 photos a	t the facility?
10 Q. Method 203, does that apply to the federal 10 A.	To my knowledge, U.S. Steel's position is that we
	people from taking photos.
11 standards? 11 prohibit	And why is that?
12 A. Just for clarification, are you talking about the 12 Q. i	Because it's our policy established by the
12     A. Just for clarification, are you talking about the     12     Q. 1       13     NESHAP standards, or what federal standards are you     13     A. 1	Because it's our policy established by the ion, and we have proprietary information on
12     A. Just for clarification, are you talking about the     12     Q. 1       13     NESHAP standards, or what federal standards are you     13     A. 1	
12A. Just for clarification, are you talking about the12Q. i13NESHAP standards, or what federal standards are you13A. i14referring to?14comporation15Q. Any federal standards.15site.	
12A. Just for clarification, are you talking about the12Q. 1213NESHAP standards, or what federal standards are you13A. 1214referring to?14comporation15Q. Any federal standards.15site.16A. It could.16Q. 00	ion, and we have proprietary information on
12       A. Just for clarification, are you talking about the       12       Q. 12         13       NESHAP standards, or what federal standards are you       13       A. 11         14       referring to?       14       comporation         15       Q. Any federal standards.       15       site.         16       A. It could.       16       Q. 0	ion, and we have proprietary information on Okay. If you could turn to Exhibit 38, please, 2 specifically. Are you there?
12       A. Just for clarification, are you talking about the       12       Q. 12         13       NESHAP standards, or what federal standards are you       13       A. 11         14       referring to?       14       comporation         15       Q. Any federal standards.       15       site.         16       A. It could.       16       Q. 02         17       Q. What federal standards could it apply to?       17       and page         18       A. Any federally enforceable limits that require       18       A. 2	ion, and we have proprietary information on Okay. If you could turn to Exhibit 38, please, 2 specifically. Are you there?
12A. Just for clarification, are you talking about the12Q. if13NESHAP standards, or what federal standards are you13A. if14referring to?14comporation15Q. Any federal standards.15site.16A. It could.16Q. of17Q. What federal standards could it apply to?17and page18A. Any federally enforceable limits that require18A. standards19that type of reading.19Q. of	ion, and we have proprietary information on Okay. If you could turn to Exhibit 38, please, 2 specifically. Are you there? Yes.
12A. Just for clarification, are you talking about the12Q. ii13NESHAP standards, or what federal standards are you13A. ii14referring to?14couporation15Q. Any federal standards.15site.16A. It could.16Q. or17Q. What federal standards could it apply to?17and page18A. Any federally enforcesible limits that require18A. standards.19that type of reading.19Q. or20Q. Okay. The source testing manual, is that a20sentence	ion, and we have proprietary information on Okay. If you could turn to Exhibit 38, please, 2 specifically. Are you there? Yes. Could you read the third paragraph, the first
12A. Just for clarification, are you talking about the12Q. J.13NESHAP standards, or what federal standards are you13A. J.14referring to?14corporation15Q. Any federal standards.15site.16A. It could.16Q. G.17Q. What federal standards could it apply to?1718A. Any federally enforceable limits that require1819that type of reading.19Q. G.20Q. Okay. The source testing manual, is that a20sentence21regulation?21A. J.	<pre>ion, and we have proprietary information on Okay. If you could turn to Exhibit 38, please, 2 specifically. Are you there? Yes. Could you read the third paragraph, the first of that third paragraph?</pre>
12A. Just for clarification, are you talking about the12Q. J.13NESHAP standards, or what federal standards are you13A. J.14referring to?14corporation15Q. Any federal standards.15site.16A. It could.16Q. G.17Q. What federal standards could it apply to?1718A. Any federally enforceable limits that require1819that type of reading.19Q. G.20Q. Okay. The source testing manual, is that a20sentence21regulation?21A. J.	<pre>ion, and we have proprietary information on Okay. If you could turn to Exhibit 38, please, 2 specifically. Are you there? Yes. Could you read the third paragraph, the first of that third paragraph? In the introduction? Yes, please, where it says, "State implementation</pre>
12A. Just for clarification, are you talking about the12Q. J.13NESEAP standards, or what federal standards are you13A. J.14referring to?14comporation15Q. Any federal standards.15site.16A. It could.16Q. G.17Q. What federal standards could it apply to?1718A. Any federally enforceable limits that require1819that type of reading.1920Q. Okay. The source testing manual, is that a2021regulation?2122A. No.2223Q. Do you recall U.S. Steel ever approaching ACHD2324D. you recall U.S. Steel ever approaching ACHD23	<pre>ion, and we have proprietary information on Okay. If you could turn to Exhibit 38, please, 2 specifically. Are you there? Yes. Could you read the third paragraph, the first of that third paragraph? In the introduction? Yes, please, where it says, "State implementation</pre>
12A. Just for clarification, are you talking about the12Q. J.13NESHAP standards, or what federal standards are you13A. J.14referring to?14corporation15Q. Any federal standards.15site.16A. It could.16Q. G.17Q. What federal standards could it apply to?1718A. Any federally enforceable limits that require1819that type of reading.1920Q. Okay. The source testing manual, is that a2021A. S.22Q.22A. No.22Q.23Q. Do you recall U.S. Steel ever approaching ACHD2324with respect to the application of the source testing2424A. of	<pre>ion, and we have proprietary information on Okay. If you could turn to Exhibit 38, please, 2 specifically. Are you there? Yes. Could you read the third paragraph, the first of that third paragraph? In the introduction? Yes, please, where it says, "State implementation</pre>

		965		967
1	which in some cases may differ from the federal opacity	1	A. No.	5.01
2	standards in terms of opacity limit, the measurement	2	${\sf Q}.$ You mentioned something about the residual risk	
3	method, or test procedures or data evaluation	3	assessment, correct?	
4	techniques."	4	A. Yes.	
5	${\sf Q}.$ And if you go to the next paragraph, could you	5	${\sf Q},\;$ Is the basis of the residual risk based on the	
6	read that first sentence, please?	6	evaluation of any new control technology or practices	
7	A. The one starting with, "Tederal opacity"?	7	since the MACT was first promulgated?	
8	Q. Yes.	8	A. Residual risk?	
9	A. Okay. "Federal opacity standards in most SIP	9	Q. Yes, ma'am.	
10	opacity regulations are independently enforceable; i.e.,	10	A. No, it's based on risk, not technology.	
11	sources may be cited for opacity violations even when	11	Q. Do the NESHAPs protect the MACT?	
12	it's in compliance with the particulate math standard."	12	A. No, not directly.	
13	Q. Okay. Could you go to page 11 of the same	13	${\sf Q}.$ Okay. I think I heard you say that fugitives are	
14	document? At the bottom of that page, it says	14	not leaving the property. Are we talking about fugitive	
15	"Video" Could you read those first two sentences?	15	emissions?	
16	A. Yep. "Video is an excellent tool for opacity	16	A. I was referring to the context in which I said	
17	work." I'm sorry, the first two sentences?	17	related fugitives to property boundary. I was talking	
18	Q. Yes, please.	18	about fugitive emissions, yes.	
19	A. "Because of the wider tonal range of video, it	19	Q. Do you have any modeling for that to demonstrate	
20	does a better job of reproducing actual appearances of	20	that?	
21	the plume than photography."	21	A. For clarification, the question I was addressing	
22	Q. You also prohibit videos on site?	22	was opacity - or emissions out of stack versus	
23	A. We do.	23	emissions from fugitives and the distinction between the	
24	Q. For trade secret purposes?	24	dispersion from a tall stack versus fugitives which are	
25	A. Yes, and safety; and also recently, home	25	closer to the ground.	
1	security, homeland security. Q. Okay. If you go to the first page of this	1	<ul> <li>Q. Correct, and I was asking about fugitives.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	
3		3		
4	document when this was promulgated or when was this published, not promulgated. When was this published?	4	Q. You're saying that fugitives did not leave the property?	
5	A. It was in December of 1993.	5	A. No, I did not say they did not leave the	
6	Q. Okay, thank you. Are COMS a perfect surrogate	6	property. I said there is more of a chance for	
7	for a facility's environmental performance?	7	emissions from a stack high in the air to leave the	
8	A. It depends on what sources are installed.	8	property than there would be from fugitives closer to	
9	Q. Well, with respect to the stacks at U.S. Steel	9	the ground.	
10	Clairton Coke Works, are they a perfect surrogate for	10	Q. I see, But you would concede that fugitives do	
11	the facility's environmental performance?	11	leave the property?	
11	A. No, nothing is perfect.	12	A. They can.	
13	Q. Are there aspects of the plant's environmental	13	Q. Do they?	
14	performance not reflected in the COMS?	14	A. On occasion, yes.	
15	A. Yes.	15	Q. What occasions would those be?	
16	Q. Would fugitives be one of those things?	16	A. The most likely occasion would be on a dry day	
17	A. That's connect.	17	would be dust which would be from the roadways or from a	
18	Q. And with the enforcement order that's currently	18	coal pile similar to a parking lot or a storage pile.	
19	on appeal, does it address the COMS in terms of a	19	If you have high winds, those emissions or	
20	violation?	20	fugitives can cross the property boundary.	
101	A. No.	21	Q. But fugitives from the batteries do not?	
21				
21 22		22	A. I did not say they did not. I said that - I	
	Q. Is there a penalty assessed for exceedances at the COMS?	22 23	A. I did not say they did not. I said that - I gave an example of what fugitives, fugitives from the	
22	Q. Is there a penalty assessed for exceedances at		A STATUS DOWNERS	
22 23	$Q_{\bullet}$ . Is there a penalty assessed for exceedances at the COMS?	23	gave an example of what fugitives, fugitives from the	

		969		971
1	${\sf Q}.$ Okay. With respect to the fugitives from a		1 A. Not that I recall, no.	
2	battery, what are the conditions for them to leave the		2 Q. Okay. Was Clairton ever evaluated for residual	
3	property?		3 risk?	
4	A. I can't say for sure. There would be multiple		A. It was part of the initial MACT, and then they	
5	things that would be impacting whether or not they could		5 were they we took the LAER limit. So it wasn't	
6	leave. It would be wind direct. It would be type of		6 directly evaluated for risk. They did the modeling	
7	emissions, source of emissions, those types of criteria.		7 based on coke facilities in general.	
8	Q. That sounds fairly random. Is there anything		8 Q. So it was not a part of that assessment?	
9	that would keep it on the property?		9 A. No.	
10	A. The battery fugitive emissions?	1	Q. Okay. How can you evaluate the risk with respect	
11	Q. Yeah.	1	1 to the largest coke plant in North America without a	
12	A. Yeah, if conditions are such that it would	1:	2 residual risk evaluation?	
13	they would dissipate before they hit the property	1	A. I'm sourcy?	
14	boundary, they would stay within the property boundary.	1.	Q. If you weren't a part of that evaluation, how can	
15	Q. Have you done any studies to determine that?	1.	you say how can you evaluate its risk?	
16	A. We've done modeling for the various parameters	1	A. The residual risk that is required by EPA to	
17	for the IM and SO2.	1	protect health goes through a process of looking at the	
18	${\sf Q}.$ With respect to the consent judgment that you	18	various sources and determining how they impact health.	
19	were involved with, you read that document before it was	19	So the they looked at it individually based on	
20	executed by your company, correct?	20	doors, lids, offtakes. So there's a lot of - when you	
21	A. Yes.	2	do the risk, it's a procedure that they use.	
22	Q. It's very similar to prior consent orders,	22	Q. But you weren't a part of that risk, you just	
23	consent judgments in the past with respect to some	23		
24	boilerplate language; is that fair?	24	A. We were involved in the residual risk evaluation;	
25	A. I can't say that I've compared them, but there	25	but because our facilities weren't the MACT track, we	
1				
1		970		972
1	were a lot of conditions that are most likely	1		972
2	boilemplate language, yes.	1	specifically looking at us. But we ware involved in the	972
2 3	<b>boilerplate language, yes.</b> Q. Let's turn to that really quickly. I believe	1 2 3	specifically looking at us. But we ware involved in the discussions, yes.	972
2 3 4	boilerplate language, yes. Q. Let's turn to that really quickly. I believe that's on the second section of Exhibit 11.	1 2 3 4	specifically looking at us. But we were involved in the discussions, yes. Q. But they were not specifically looking at you?	972
2 3 4 5	boilerplate language, yes. Q. Let's turn to that really quickly. I believe that's on the second section of Exhibit 11. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Exhibit ?	1 2 3 4 5	specifically looking at us. But we were involved in the discussions, yes. Q. But they were not specifically looking at you? A. No.	972
2 3 4 5 6	boilerplate language, yes. Q. Let's turn to that really quickly. I believe that's on the second section of Exhibit 11. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Exhibit ? MR. WILLIS: 1.	1 2 3 4 5 6	<pre>specifically looking at us. But we were involved in the discussions, yes. Q. But they were not specifically looking at you? A. No. Q. Okay. With respect to stack emissions, do they</pre>	972
2 3 4 5 6 7	boilerplate language, yes. Q. Let's turn to that really quickly. I believe that's on the second section of Exhibit 11. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Exhibit ? MR. WILLIS: 1. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, Exhibit 1.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>specifically looking at us. But we were involved in the discussions, yes. Q. But they were not specifically looking at you? A. No. Q. Okay. With respect to stack emissions, do they have a certain odor that you could discern from the</pre>	972
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	boilerplate language, yes. Q. Let's turn to that really quickly. I believe that's on the second section of Exhibit 11. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Exhibit ? MR. WILLIS: 1. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, Exhibit 1. BY MR. WILLIS:	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8	<pre>specifically looking at us. But we were involved in the discussions, yes. Q. But they were not specifically looking at you? A. No. Q. Okay. With respect to stack emissions, do they have a certain odor that you could discern from the batteries, the battery stacks?</pre>	972
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>boilexplate language, yes. Q. Let's turn to that really quickly. I believe that's on the second section of Exhibit 11. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Exhibit ? MR. WILLIS: 1. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, Exhibit 1. EY MR. WILLIS: Q. I will direct you to page 19 of 24. Could you</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>specifically looking at us. But we were involved in the discussions, yes.</li> <li>Q. But they were not specifically looking at you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. With respect to stack emissions, do they have a certain odor that you could discern from the batteries, the battery stacks?</li> <li>A. I don't know that there's a specific odor.</li> </ul>	972
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>boilerplate language, yes. Q. Let's turn to that really quickly. I believe that's on the second section of Exhibit 11. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Exhibit ? MR. WILLIS: 1. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, Exhibit 1. EY MR. WILLIS: Q. I will direct you to page 19 of 24. Could you read B, the first sentence under "Dispute</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>specifically looking at us. But we were involved in the discussions, yes.</li> <li>Q. But they were not specifically looking at you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. With respect to stack emissions, do they have a certain odor that you could discern from the batteries, the battery stacks?</li> <li>A. I don't know that there's a specific odor.</li> <li>Q. Is there an odor with respect to fugitives from a</li> </ul>	972
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>boilerplate language, yes. Q. Let's turn to that really quickly. I believe that's on the second section of Exhibit 11. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Exhibit ? MR. WILLIS: 1. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, Exhibit 1. EY MR. WILLIS: Q. I will direct you to page 19 of 24. Could you read B, the first sentence under "Dispute resolutions"?</pre>	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 10 11	<pre>specifically looking at us. But we were involved in the discussions, yes. Q. But they were not specifically looking at you? A. No. Q. Okay. With respect to stack emissions, do they have a certain odor that you could discern from the batteries, the battery stacks? A. I don't know that there's a specific odor. Q. Is there an odor with respect to fugitives from a battery?</pre>	972
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>boilemplate language, yes. Q. Let's turn to that really quickly. I believe that's on the second section of Exhibit 11. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Exhibit ? MR. WILLIS: 1. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, Exhibit 1. EY MR. WILLIS: Q. I will direct you to page 19 of 24. Could you read B, the first sentence under "Dispute resolutions"? A. Okay. On page 19, paragraph B, under "Dispute</pre>	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>specifically looking at us. But we were involved in the discussions, yes.</li> <li>Q. But they were not specifically looking at you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. With respect to stack emissions, do they have a certain odor that you could discern from the batteries, the battery stacks?</li> <li>A. I don't know that there's a specific odor.</li> <li>Q. Is there an odor with respect to fugitives from a battery?</li> <li>A. There can be.</li> </ul>	972
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>boilexplate language, yes. Q. Let's turn to that really quickly. I believe that's on the second section of Exhibit 11. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Exhibit ? MR. WILLIS: 1. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, Exhibit 1. EY MR. WILLIS: Q. I will direct you to page 19 of 24. Could you read B, the first sentence under "Dispute resolutions"? A. Okay. On page 19, paragraph B, under "Dispute resolutions" right?</pre>	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>specifically looking at us. But we were involved in the discussions, yes.</li> <li>Q. But they were not specifically looking at you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. With respect to stack emissions, do they have a certain odor that you could discern from the batteries, the battery stacks?</li> <li>A. I don't know that there's a specific odor.</li> <li>Q. Is there an odor with respect to fugitives from a battery?</li> <li>A. There can be.</li> <li>Q. How would that smell be described?</li> </ul>	972
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>boilerplate language, yes. Q. Let's turn to that really quickly. I believe that's on the second section of Exhibit 11. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Exhibit ? MR. WILLIS: 1. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, Exhibit 1. EY MR. WILLIS: Q. I will direct you to page 19 of 24. Could you read B, the first sentence under "Dispute resolutions"? A. Okay. On page 19, paragraph B, under "Dispute resolutions" right? Q. Correct.</pre>	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>specifically looking at us. But we were involved in the discussions, yes.</li> <li>Q. But they were not specifically looking at you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. With respect to stack emissions, do they have a certain odor that you could discern from the batteries, the battery stacks?</li> <li>A. I don't know that there's a specific odor.</li> <li>Q. Is there an odor with respect to fugitives from a battery?</li> <li>A. There can be.</li> <li>Q. How would that smell be described?</li> <li>A. It depends what smell it is. I don't know 'cause</li> </ul>	972
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>boilemplate language, yes. Q. Let's turn to that really quickly. I believe that's on the second section of Exhibit 11. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Exhibit ? MR. WILLIS: 1. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, Exhibit 1. EY MR. WILLIS: Q. I will direct you to page 19 of 24. Could you read B, the first sentence under "Dispute resolutions"? A. Okay. On page 19, paragraph B, under "Dispute resolutions" right? Q. Correct. A. Okay. "If, in one party's opinion, there is a</pre>	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>specifically looking at us. But we were involved in the discussions, yes.</li> <li>Q. But they were not specifically looking at you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. With respect to stack emissions, do they have a certain odor that you could discern from the batteries, the battery stacks?</li> <li>A. I don't know that there's a specific odor.</li> <li>Q. Is there an odor with respect to fugitives from a battery?</li> <li>A. There can be.</li> <li>Q. How would that smell be described?</li> <li>A. It depends what smell it is. I don't know 'cause I don't - it can vary depending on people what it</li> </ul>	972
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>boileplate language, yes.</li> <li>Q. Let's turn to that really quickly. I believe that's on the second section of Exhibit 11. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Exhibit ? MR. WILLIS: 1. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, Exhibit 1.</li> <li>BY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. I will direct you to page 19 of 24. Could you read B, the first sentence under "Dispute resolutions"?</li> <li>A. Okay. On page 19, paragraph B, under "Dispute resolutions" right?</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. Okay. "If, in one party's opinion, there is a diapute between the parties with respect to</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>specifically looking at us. But we were involved in the discussions, yes.</li> <li>Q. But they were not specifically looking at you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. With respect to stack emissions, do they have a certain odor that you could discern from the batteries, the battery stacks?</li> <li>A. I don't know that there's a specific odor.</li> <li>Q. Is there an odor with respect to fugitives from a battery?</li> <li>A. There can be.</li> <li>Q. How would that smell be described?</li> <li>A. It depends what smell it is. I don't know 'cause I don't - it can vary depending on people what it smells like.</li> </ul>	972
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>boilemplate language, yes.</li> <li>Q. Let's turn to that really quickly. I believe that's on the second section of Exhibit 11. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Exhibit ? MR. WILLIS: 1. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, Exhibit 1.</li> <li>EY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. I will direct you to page 19 of 24. Could you read B, the first sentence under "Dispute resolutions"?</li> <li>A. Gkay. On page 19, paragraph B, under "Dispute resolutions" right?</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. Gkay. "If, in one party's opinion, there is a dispute between the parties with respect to implementation of this consent judgment or the</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>specifically looking at us. But we were involved in the discussions, yes.</li> <li>Q. But they were not specifically looking at you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. With respect to stack emissions, do they have a certain odor that you could discern from the batteries, the battery stacks?</li> <li>A. I don't know that there's a specific odor.</li> <li>Q. Is there an odor with respect to fugitives from a battery?</li> <li>A. There can be.</li> <li>Q. How would that smell be described?</li> <li>A. It depends what smell it is. I don't know 'cause I don't - it can vary depending on people what it smalls like.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever heard of descriptions for the</li> </ul>	972
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>boileplate language, yes.</li> <li>Q. Let's turn to that really quickly. I believe that's on the second section of Exhibit 11. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Exhibit ? MR. WILLIS: 1. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, Exhibit 1.</li> <li>BY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. I will direct you to page 19 of 24. Could you read B, the first sentence under "Dispute resolutions"?</li> <li>A. Ckay. On page 19, paragraph B, under "Dispute resolutions" right?</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. Ckay. "If, in one party's opinion, there is a dispute between the parties with respect to implementation of this consent judgment or the implementation of any provision in this consent</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>specifically looking at us. But we were involved in the discussions, yes.</li> <li>Q. But they were not specifically looking at you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. With respect to stack emissions, do they have a certain odor that you could discern from the batteries, the battery stacks?</li> <li>A. I don't know that there's a specific odor.</li> <li>Q. Is there an odor with respect to fugitives from a battery?</li> <li>A. There can be.</li> <li>Q. How would that smell be described?</li> <li>A. It depends what smell it is. I don't know 'cause I don't — it can vary depending on people what it smalls like.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever heard of descriptions for the smells coming from fugitives?</li> </ul>	972
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>boileplate language, yes.</li> <li>Q. Let's turn to that really quickly. I believe that's on the second section of Exhibit 11. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Exhibit ? MR. WILLIS: 1. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, Exhibit 1.</li> <li>EY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. I will direct you to page 19 of 24. Could you read B, the first sentence under "Dispute resolutions"?</li> <li>A. Okay. On page 19, paragraph B, under "Dispute resolutions" right?</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. Okay. "If, in one party's opinion, there is a dispute between the parties with respect to implementation of this consent judgment or the implementation of any provision in this consent judgment, the parties may send a written notice of</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>specifically looking at us. But we were involved in the discussions, yes.</li> <li>Q. But they were not specifically looking at you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. With respect to stack emissions, do they have a certain odor that you could discern from the batteries, the battery stacks?</li> <li>A. I don't know that there's a specific odor.</li> <li>Q. Is there an odor with respect to fugitives from a battery?</li> <li>A. There can be.</li> <li>Q. How would that smell be described?</li> <li>A. It depends what smell it is. I don't know 'cause I don't - it can vary depending on people what it smalls like.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever heard of descriptions for the smells coming from fugitives?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	972
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>boileplate language, yes.</li> <li>Q. Let's turn to that really quickly. I believe that's on the second section of Exhibit 11. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Exhibit ? MR. WILLIS: 1. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, Exhibit 1. </li> <li>EY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. I will direct you to page 19 of 24. Could you read B, the first sentence under "Dispute resolutions"?</li> <li>A. Gkay. On page 19, paragraph B, under "Dispute resolutions" right?</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. Gkay. "If, in one party's opinion, there is a dispute between the parties with respect to implementation of this consent judgment, the parties may send a written notice of dispute to the other party outlining the nature of the</li></ul>	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>specifically looking at us. But we were involved in the discussions, yes.</li> <li>Q. But they were not specifically looking at you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. With respect to stack emissions, do they have a certain odor that you could discern from the batteries, the battery stacks?</li> <li>A. I don't know that there's a specific odor.</li> <li>Q. Is there an odor with respect to fugitives from a battery?</li> <li>A. There can be.</li> <li>Q. How would that smell be described?</li> <li>A. It depends what smell it is. I don't know 'cause I don't — it can vary depending on people what it smells like.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever heard of descriptions for the smells coming from fugitives?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what were those descriptions?</li> </ul>	972
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>boilemplate language, yes.</li> <li>Q. Let's turn to that really quickly. I believe that's on the second section of Exhibit 11. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Exhibit ? MR. WILLIS: 1. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, Exhibit 1.</li> <li>EY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. I will direct you to page 19 of 24. Could you read B, the first sentence under "Dispute resolutions"?</li> <li>A. Gkay. On page 19, paragraph B, under "Dispute resolutions" right?</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. Gkay. "If, in one party's opinion, there is a dispute between the parties with respect to implementation of this consent judgment or the implementation of any provision in this consent judgment, the parties may send a written notice of dispute to the other party outlining the nature of the dispute and requesting informal negotiations to resolve</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>specifically looking at us. But we were involved in the discussions, yes.</li> <li>Q. But they were not specifically looking at you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. With respect to stack emissions, do they have a certain odor that you could discern from the batteries, the battery stacks?</li> <li>A. I don't know that there's a specific odor.</li> <li>Q. Is there an odor with respect to fugitives from a battery?</li> <li>A. There can be.</li> <li>Q. How would that smell be described?</li> <li>A. It depends what smell it is. I don't know 'cause i don't — it can vary depending on people what it smalls like.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever heard of descriptions for the smells coming from fugitives?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what were those descriptions?</li> <li>A. Very similar to sewage treatment plants, which is</li> </ul>	972
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>boileplate language, yes.</li> <li>Q. Let's turn to that really quickly. I believe that's on the second section of Exhibit 11. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Exhibit ? MR. WILLIS: 1. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, Exhibit 1.</li> <li>EY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. I will direct you to page 19 of 24. Could you read B, the first sentence under "Dispute resolutions"?</li> <li>A. Okay. On page 19, paragraph B, under "Dispute resolutions" right?</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. Okay. "If, in one party's opinion, there is a dispute between the parties with respect to implementation of any provision in this consent judgment, the parties may send a written notice of dispute to the other party outlining the nature of the dispute."</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>specifically looking at us. But we were involved in the discussions, yes.</li> <li>Q. But they were not specifically looking at you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. With respect to stack emissions, do they have a certain odor that you could discern from the batteries, the battery stacks?</li> <li>A. I don't know that there's a specific odor.</li> <li>Q. Is there an odor with respect to fugitives from a battery?</li> <li>A. There can be.</li> <li>Q. How would that smell be described?</li> <li>A. It depends what smell it is. I don't know 'cause I don't — it can vary depending on people what it smells like.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever heard of descriptions for the smells coming from fugitives?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what were those descriptions?</li> <li>A. Very similar to sewage treatment plants, which is the rotten egg smell.</li> </ul>	972
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>boileplate language, yes.</li> <li>Q. Let's turn to that really quickly. I believe that's on the second section of Exhibit 1.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Exhibit ?</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: 1.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, Exhibit 1.</li> <li>EY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. I will direct you to page 19 of 24. Could you read B, the first sentence under "Dispute resolutions"</li> <li>A. Gkay. On page 19, paragraph B, under "Dispute resolutions" right?</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. Gkay. "If, in one party's opinion, there is a dispute between the parties with respect to implementation of this consent judgment or the implementation of any provision in this consent judgment, the parties may send a written notice of dispute to the other party outlining the nature of the cispute."</li> <li>Q. Has any notice of a dispute ever been transmitted</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>specifically looking at us. But we were involved in the discussions, yes.</li> <li>Q. But they were not specifically looking at you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. With respect to stack emissions, do they have a certain odor that you could discern from the batteries, the battery stacks?</li> <li>A. I don't know that there's a specific odor.</li> <li>Q. Is there an odor with respect to fugitives from a battery?</li> <li>A. There can be.</li> <li>Q. How would that smell be described?</li> <li>A. It depends what smell it is. I don't know 'cause I don't - it can vary depending on people what it smells like.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever heard of descriptions for the smells coming from fugitives?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what were those descriptions?</li> <li>A. Very similar to sewage treatment plants, which is the rotten egg smell.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know what raw coke oven gas smells</li> </ul>	972
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>boileplate language, yes.</li> <li>Q. Let's turn to that really quickly. I believe that's on the second section of Exhibit 11. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Exhibit ? MR. WILLIS: 1. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Oh, Exhibit 1.</li> <li>EY MR. WILLIS:</li> <li>Q. I will direct you to page 19 of 24. Could you read B, the first sentence under "Dispute resolutions"?</li> <li>A. Okay. On page 19, paragraph B, under "Dispute resolutions" right?</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. Okay. "If, in one party's opinion, there is a dispute between the parties with respect to implementation of any provision in this consent judgment, the parties may send a written notice of dispute to the other party outlining the nature of the dispute."</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>specifically looking at us. But we were involved in the discussions, yes.</li> <li>Q. But they were not specifically looking at you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. With respect to stack emissions, do they have a certain odor that you could discern from the batteries, the battery stacks?</li> <li>A. I don't know that there's a specific odor.</li> <li>Q. Is there an odor with respect to fugitives from a battery?</li> <li>A. There can be.</li> <li>Q. How would that smell be described?</li> <li>A. It depends what smell it is. I don't know 'cause I don't — it can vary depending on people what it smells like.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever heard of descriptions for the smells coming from fugitives?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And what were those descriptions?</li> <li>A. Very similar to sewage treatment plants, which is the rotten egg smell.</li> </ul>	972

Г

	97	3		
1	${\bf Q}.$ Have you heard of descriptions of what raw coke	1	${\bf Q}_{\star}$ . Thank you. Notwithstanding the consent judgment,	97
2	oven gas smells like?	2	U.S. Steel has received quarterly penalties subsequent	
3	A. Yes.	3	to the entry of that consent judgment?	
4	Q. What would that be?	4	A. Yes, they have.	
5	A. Similar to the sewage treatment plant. It would	5	${\sf Q}.$ Has U.S. Steel appealed any of those prior to the	
6	be a raw — I mean, it was a — it's a rotten egg smell.	6	one currently on appeal?	
7	${\sf Q}.~$ You say similar to, but it actually would be	7	A. Okay, I just want to make sure. Can you repeat	
8	attributable to what, that smell? What's your	8	one more time?	
9	A. I think smells are a very personal item, and so	9	$Q.\ $ Sure. I believe it would be the second quarter	
10	what could smell like a rotten egg to one person may not	10	of 2016 and the third quarter of 2017. Has U.S. Steel	
11	to others. So it just could be associated with the	11	appealed any of the quarterly penalties attributable to	
12	rotten egg smell, but it might depend on the individual.	12	the Clairton Coke Works?	
13	Q. Well, under that analysis, two people could have	13	A. I don't recall, no.	
14	a different interpretation of what the color blue is;	14	$Q_{\ast}$ With respect to the I may have asked this, but	
15	would that be correct?	15	I want some clarity on this. With respect to the SIP	
16	A. Yes, and actually that is, in fact, true.	16	process, specifically with the SO2 SIP, does that SIP	
17	Depending on whether or not you have color blindness or	17	take into consideration compliance with the regulation,	
18	not, there are interpretations of what the color blue	18	Article 21?	
19	could be.	19	A. Does the SIP process - not the SIP process, no.	
20	${\sf Q}.~$ But if you don't have color blindness, even	20	${\sf Q}.$ Does it take into consideration violations with	
21	between two people, they could say they are two	21	respect to Article 21?	
22	different things?	22	A. The SIP process does not.	
23	A. One could be turquoise, one could be dark blue,	23	Q. So if the EPA determines that that SIP is	
0.4	light blue, navy blue. Yes, blue can be different	24	appropriate and is going to be sufficient to bring the	
24				
25	shades.	25	county into attainment, it does not consider the	
25	shades. 974	25		9
25	shades. 974 Q. I see. You mentioned that you thought 98 percent	25 1	violations which would occur subsequent to that	9.
25 1 2	shades. 974 Q. I see. You mentioned that you thought 98 percent compliance was a good number?	25 1 1 2	violations which would occur subsequent to that decision?	97
25 1 2 3	<pre>shades. 974 Q. I see. You mentioned that you thought 98 percent compliance was a good number? A. I think it's very good, yes.</pre>	25 I 1 2 3	violations which would occur subsequent to that decision? A. If EPA approves the SIP, they would determine	9
25 1 2 3 4	<pre>shades. 974 Q. I see. You mentioned that you thought 98 percent compliance was a good number? A. I think it's very good, yes. Q. And you made a metaphor, I believe, between your</pre>	25 1 2 3 4	violations which would occur subsequent to that decision? A. If EPA approves the SIP, they would determine compliance within that MACT by the monitored data. Does	97
25 1 2 3 4 5	<pre>shades. 974 Q. I see. You mentioned that you thought 96 percent compliance was a good number? A. I think it's very good, yes. Q. And you made a metaphor, I believe, between your daughter's grades?</pre>	25 1 2 3 4 5	violations which would occur subsequent to that decision? A. If EPA approves the SIP, they would determine compliance within that MACT by the monitored data. Does that answer your question?	9.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6	<pre>shades. 974 Q. I see. You mentioned that you thought 98 percent compliance was a good number? A. I think it's very good, yes. Q. And you made a metaphor, I believe, between your daughter's grades? A. Yes.</pre>	25 1 2 3 4 5 6	violations which would occur subsequent to that decision? A. If EPA approves the SIP, they would determine compliance within that MACT by the monitored data. Does that answer your question? Q. No. My question is, does it take into	9
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>shades. 974 Q. I see. You mentioned that you thought 98 percent ccmpliance was a good number? A. I think it's very good, yes. Q. And you made a metaphor, I believe, between your daughter's grades? A. Yes. Q. Wouldn't you agree there is a substantial</pre>	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>violations which would occur subsequent to that decision?</li> <li>A. If EPA approves the SIP, they would determine compliance within that MACT by the monitored data. Does that answer your question?</li> <li>Q. No. My question is, does it take into consideration violations to Article 21?</li> </ul>	9.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>shades. 974 Q. I see. You mentioned that you thought 98 percent compliance was a good number? A. I think it's very good, yes. Q. And you made a metaphor, I believe, between your daughter's grades? A. Yes. Q. Wouldn't you agree there is a substantial difference between a grade and the emission of toxics?</pre>	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>violations which would occur subsequent to that decision?</li> <li>A. If EPA approves the SIP, they would determine compliance within that MACT by the monitored data. Does that answer your question?</li> <li>Q. No. My question is, does it take into consideration violations to Article 21?</li> <li>A. US EPA with attainment and the standard, no.</li> </ul>	97
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>974 Q. I see. You mentioned that you thought 98 percent compliance was a good number? A. I think it's very good, yes. Q. And you made a metaphor, I believe, between your daughter's grades? A. Yes. Q. Wouldn't you agree there is a substantial difference between a grade and the emission of toxics? A. One is a grade on a test and one is a percentage</pre>	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>violations which would occur subsequent to that decision? A. If EPA approves the SIP, they would determine compliance within that MACT by the monitored data. Does that answer your question? Q. No. My question is, does it take into consideration violations to Article 21? A. US EPA with attainment and the standard, no. Q. Is it possible for Allegheny County let's</pre>	97
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>shades.</li> <li>974</li> <li>Q. I see. You mentioned that you thought 98 percent compliance was a good number?</li> <li>A. I think it's very good, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you made a metaphor, I believe, between your daughter's grades?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Wouldn't you agree there is a substantial difference between a grade and the emission of toxics?</li> <li>A. One is a grade on a test and one is a percentage on toxics. Yes, they are different.</li> </ul>	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>violations which would occur subsequent to that decision?</li> <li>A. If EPA approves the SIP, they would determine compliance within that MACT by the monitored data. Does that answer your question?</li> <li>Q. No. My question is, does it take into consideration violations to Article 21?</li> <li>A. US EPA with attainment and the standard, no.</li> <li>Q. Is it possible for Allegheny County — let's presume for a moment that the SIP is accepted, that it</li> </ul>	97
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>shades. 974 Q. I see. You mentioned that you thought 98 percent compliance was a good number? A. I think it's very good, yes. Q. And you made a metaphor, I believe, between your daughter's grades? A. Yes. Q. Wouldn't you agree there is a substantial difference between a grade and the emission of toxics? A. One is a grade on a test and one is a percentage on toxics. Yes, they are different. Q. And the consequences are vastly different?</pre>	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>violations which would occur subsequent to that decision?</li> <li>A. If EPA approves the SIP, they would determine compliance within that MACT by the monitored data. Does that answer your question?</li> <li>Q. No. My question is, does it take into consideration violations to Article 21?</li> <li>A. US EPA with attainment and the standard, no.</li> <li>Q. Is it possible for Allegheny County — let's presume for a moment that the SIP is accepted, that it is accepted, and fortunately, Allegheny County comes</li> </ul>	91
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>shades.</li> <li>974</li> <li>Q. I see. You mentioned that you thought 98 percent compliance was a good number?</li> <li>A. I think it's very good, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you made a metaphor, I believe, between your daughter's grades?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Wouldn't you agree there is a substantial difference between a grade and the emission of toxics?</li> <li>A. One is a grade on a test and one is a percentage on toxics. Yes, they are different.</li> <li>Q. And the consequences are vastly different?</li> <li>A. Yes, depending on your perspective, of course.</li> </ul>	25 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>violations which would occur subsequent to that decision?</li> <li>A. If EPA approves the SIP, they would determine compliance within that MACT by the monitored data. Does that answer your question?</li> <li>Q. No. My question is, does it take into consideration violations to Article 21?</li> <li>A. US EPA with attainment and the standard, no.</li> <li>Q. Is it possible for Allegheny County — let's presume for a moment that the SIP is accepted, that it is accepted, and fortunately, Allegheny County comes into attainment with that standard and similarly with</li> </ul>	91
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>shades.</li> <li>974</li> <li>Q. I see. You mentioned that you thought 98 percent compliance was a good number?</li> <li>A. I think it's very good, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you made a metaphor, I believe, between your daughter's grades?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Wouldn't you agree there is a substantial difference between a grade and the emission of toxics?</li> <li>A. One is a grade on a test and one is a percentage on toxics. Yee, they are different.</li> <li>Q. And the consequences are vastly different?</li> <li>A. Yes, depending on your perspective, of course.</li> <li>Q. Is there a perspective where a grade is more</li> </ul>	25 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>violations which would occur subsequent to that decision?</li> <li>A. If EPA approves the SIP, they would determine compliance within that MACT by the monitored data. Does that answer your question?</li> <li>Q. No. My question is, does it take into consideration violations to Article 21?</li> <li>A. US EPA with attainment and the standard, no.</li> <li>Q. Is it possible for Allegheny County let's presume for a moment that the SIP is accepted, that it is accepted, and fortunately, Allegheny County comes into attainment with that standard and similarly with the RM2.5 standard. Is it possible to lose that</li> </ul>	97
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	<ul> <li>shades.</li> <li>974</li> <li>Q. I see. You mentioned that you thought 98 percent compliance was a good number?</li> <li>A. I think it's very good, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you made a metaphor, I believe, between your daughter's grades?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Wouldn't you agree there is a substantial difference between a grade and the emission of toxics?</li> <li>A. One is a grade on a test and one is a percentage on toxics. Yes, they are different.</li> <li>Q. And the consequences are vastly different?</li> <li>A. Yes, depending on your perspective, of course.</li> <li>Q. Is there a perspective where a grade is more critical than an exposure to a toxic</li> </ul>	25 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>violations which would occur subsequent to that decision?</li> <li>A. If EPA approves the SID, they would determine compliance within that MACT by the monitored data. Does that answer your question?</li> <li>Q. No. My question is, does it take into consideration violations to Article 21?</li> <li>A. US EPA with attainment and the standard, no.</li> <li>Q. Is it possible for Allegheny County — let's presume for a moment that the SIP is accepted, that it is accepted, and fortunately, Allegheny County comes into attainment with that standard and similarly with the RM2.5 standard. Is it possible to lose that designation?</li> </ul>	97
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 5 5 1 2 3 1 5 5 5 1 2 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	<ul> <li>shades.</li> <li>974</li> <li>Q. I see. You mentioned that you thought 98 percent compliance was a good number?</li> <li>A. I think it's very good, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you made a metaphor, I believe, between your daughter's grades?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Wouldn't you agree there is a substantial difference between a grade and the emission of toxics?</li> <li>A. One is a grade on a test and one is a percentage on toxics. Yes, they are different.</li> <li>Q. And the consequences are vastly different?</li> <li>A. Yes, depending on your perspective, of course.</li> <li>Q. Is there a perspective where a grade is more critical than an exposure to a toxic</li> <li>A. No, public health is very critical. I'm just</li> </ul>	25 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>violations which would occur subsequent to that decision?</li> <li>A. If EPA approves the SIP, they would determine compliance within that MACT by the monitored data. Does that answer your question?</li> <li>Q. No. My question is, does it take into consideration violations to Article 21?</li> <li>A. US EPA with attainment and the standard, no.</li> <li>Q. Is it possible for Allegheny County — let's presume for a moment that the SIP is accepted, that it is accepted, and fortunately, Allegheny County comes into attainment with that standard and similarly with the RM2.5 standard. Is it possible to lose that designation?</li> <li>A. Yee, it is possible.</li> </ul>	9.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	<ul> <li>shades.</li> <li>974</li> <li>Q. I see. You mentioned that you thought 98 percent compliance was a good number?</li> <li>A. I think it's very good, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you made a metaphor, I believe, between your daughter's grades?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Wouldn't you agree there is a substantial difference between a grade and the emission of toxics?</li> <li>A. One is a grade on a test and one is a percentage on toxics. Yes, they are different.</li> <li>Q. And the consequences are vastly different?</li> <li>A. Yes, depending on your perspective, of course.</li> <li>Q. Is there a perspective where a grade is more critical than an exposure to a toxic</li> <li>A. No, public health is very critical. I'm just saying both are very important depending on your</li> </ul>	25 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>violations which would occur subsequent to that decision?</li> <li>A. If EPA approves the SIP, they would determine compliance within that MACT by the monitored data. Does that answer your question?</li> <li>Q. No. My question is, does it take into consideration violations to Article 21?</li> <li>A. US EPA with attainment and the standard, no.</li> <li>Q. Is it possible for Allegheny County — let's presume for a moment that the SIP is accepted, that it is accepted, and fortunately, Allegheny County comes into attainment with that standard and similarly with the RM2.5 standard. Is it possible to lose that designation?</li> <li>A. Yee, it is possible to go out of attainment?</li> </ul>	91
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 6 7 8 9 10 1 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 12 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 12 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	<ul> <li>shades.</li> <li>Q. I see. You mentioned that you thought 98 percent compliance was a good number?</li> <li>A. I think it's very good, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you made a metaphor, I believe, between your daughter's grades?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Wouldn't you agree there is a substantial difference between a grade and the emission of toxics?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Mo is a grade on a test and one is a percentage on toxics. Yes, they are different.</li> <li>Q. And the consequences are vastly different?</li> <li>A. Yes, depending on your perspective, of course.</li> <li>Q. Is there a perspective where a grade is more critical than an exposure to a toxic</li> <li>A. No, public health is very critical. I'm just saying both are very important depending on your perspective.</li> </ul>	25 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>violations which would occur subsequent to that decision?</li> <li>A. If EPA approves the SIP, they would determine compliance within that MACT by the monitored data. Does that answer your question?</li> <li>Q. No. My question is, does it take into consideration violations to Article 21?</li> <li>A. US EPA with attainment and the standard, no.</li> <li>Q. Is it possible for Allegheny County — let's presume for a moment that the SIP is accepted, that it is accepted, and fortunately, Allegheny County comes into attainment with that standard and similarly with the EM2.5 standard. Is it possible to lose that designation?</li> <li>A. Yee, it is possible to go out of attainment?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	91
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 12 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 12 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	<ul> <li>shades.</li> <li>974</li> <li>Q. I see. You mentioned that you thought 98 percent compliance was a good number?</li> <li>A. I think it's very good, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you made a metaphor, I believe, between your daughter's grades?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Wouldn't you agree there is a substantial difference between a grade and the emission of toxics?</li> <li>A. One is a grade on a test and one is a percentage on toxics. Yes, they are different.</li> <li>Q. And the consequences are vastly different?</li> <li>A. Yes, depending on your perspective, of course.</li> <li>Q. Is there a perspective where a grade is more critical than an exposure to a toxic</li> <li>A. No, public health is very critical. I'm just saying both are very important depending on your perspective.</li> <li>Q. Would you agree one is more important than the</li> </ul>	25 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>violations which would occur subsequent to that decision?</li> <li>A. If EPA approves the SIP, they would determine compliance within that MACT by the monitored data. Does that answer your question?</li> <li>Q. No. My question is, does it take into consideration violations to Article 21?</li> <li>A. US EPA with attainment and the standard, no.</li> <li>Q. Is it possible for Allegheny County — let's presume for a moment that the SIP is accepted, that it is accepted, and fortunately, Allegheny County comes into attainment with that standard and similarly with the HM2.5 standard. Is it possible to lose that designation?</li> <li>A. Yee, it is possible to go out of attainment?</li> <li>A. Yee.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And that would be because we would not be</li> </ul>	97
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 12 13 14 12 13 14 12 13 14 12 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 12 14 12 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	<ul> <li>shades.</li> <li>974</li> <li>Q. I see. You mentioned that you thought 98 percent compliance was a good number?</li> <li>A. I think it's very good, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you made a metaphor, I believe, between your daughter's grades?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Wouldn't you agree there is a substantial difference between a grade and the emission of toxics?</li> <li>A. One is a grade on a test and one is a percentage on toxics. Yes, they are different.</li> <li>Q. And the consequences are vastly different?</li> <li>A. Yes, depending on your perspective, of course.</li> <li>Q. Is there a perspective where a grade is more critical than an exposure to a toxic</li> <li>A. No, public health is very critical. I'm just saying both are very important depending on your perspective.</li> <li>Q. Would you agree one is more important than the other?</li> </ul>	25 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>violations which would occur subsequent to that decision?</li> <li>A. If EPA approves the SIP, they would determine compliance within that MACT by the monitored data. Does that answer your question?</li> <li>Q. No. My question is, does it take into consideration violations to Article 21?</li> <li>A. US EPA with attainment and the standard, no.</li> <li>Q. Is it possible for Allegheny County — let's presume for a moment that the SIP is accepted, that it is accepted, and fortunately, Allegheny County comes into attainment with that standard and similarly with the RM2.5 standard. Is it possible to lose that designation?</li> <li>A. Yee, it is possible to go out of attainment?</li> <li>A. Yee.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And that would be because we would not be able to maintain the standards or those limits that were</li> </ul>	9
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 .1 .2 .3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 .1 .2 .3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 .1 .2 .3 4 .5 6 .7 8 9 .0 .1 .2 .3 4 .5 .6 .7 .6 .0 .1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .5 .0 .1 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5	<ul> <li>shades.</li> <li>974</li> <li>Q. I see. You mentioned that you thought 98 percent compliance was a good number?</li> <li>A. I think it's very good, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you made a metaphor, I believe, between your daughter's grades?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Wouldn't you agree there is a substantial difference between a grade and the emission of toxics?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Mouldn't you agree there is a substantial difference between a grade and the emission of toxics?</li> <li>A. One is a grade on a test and one is a percentage on toxics. Yes, they are different.</li> <li>Q. And the consequences are vastly different?</li> <li>A. Yes, depending on your perspective, of course.</li> <li>Q. Is there a perspective where a grade is more critical than an exposure to a toxic</li> <li>A. No, public health is very critical. I'm just saying both are very important depending on your perspective.</li> <li>Q. Would you agree one is more important than the other?</li> <li>A. Public health is very important, yes.</li> </ul>	25 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>violations which would occur subsequent to that decision?</li> <li>A. If EPA approves the SIP, they would determine compliance within that MACT by the monitored data. Does that answer your question?</li> <li>Q. No. My question is, does it take into consideration violations to Article 21?</li> <li>A. US EPA with attainment and the standard, no.</li> <li>Q. Is it possible for Allegheny County - let's presume for a moment that the SIP is accepted, that it is accepted, and fortunately, Allegheny County comes into attainment with that standard and similarly with the EM2.5 standard. Is it possible to lose that cesignation?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is possible to go out of attainment?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And that would be because we would not be able to maintain the standards or those limits that were established in that SIP?</li> </ul>	9.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 9 .0 1 2 8 9 .0 1 2 3 7 8 9 .0 1 2 1 2 2 3 1 2 3 2 8 9 .0 1 2 3 1 2 3 4 5 5 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 2 3 4 5 5 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 7 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 2 1 2 3 2 1 2 3 1 2 3 2 1 2 3 2 3	<ul> <li>shades.</li> <li>974</li> <li>Q. I see. You mentioned that you thought 98 percent compliance was a good number?</li> <li>A. I think it's very good, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you made a metaphor, I believe, between your daughter's grades?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Wouldn't you agree there is a substantial difference between a grade and the emission of toxics?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Mouldn't you agree there is a substantial difference between a grade and the emission of toxics?</li> <li>A. One is a grade on a test and one is a percentage on toxics. Yes, they are different.</li> <li>Q. And the consequences are vastly different?</li> <li>A. Yes, depending on your perspective, of course.</li> <li>Q. Is there a perspective where a grade is more critical than an exposure to a toxic</li> <li>A. No, public health is very critical. I'm just seying both are very important depending on your perspective.</li> <li>Q. Would you agree one is more important than the other?</li> <li>A. Public health is very important, yes.</li> <li>Q. That's not my question.</li> </ul>	25 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>violations which would occur subsequent to that decision?</li> <li>A. If EPA approves the SIP, they would determine compliance within that MACT by the monitored data. Does fut answer your question?</li> <li>Q. No. My question is, does it take into consideration violations to Article 21?</li> <li>A. US EPA with attainment and the standard, no.</li> <li>Q. Is it possible for Allegheny County — let's presume for a moment that the SIP is accepted, that it is accepted, and fortunately, Allegheny County comes into attainment with that standard and similarly with the M2.5 standard. Is it possible to lose that designation?</li> <li>A. Yee, it is possible to go out of attainment?</li> <li>A. Yee.</li> <li>Q. So it's possible to go out of attainment?</li> <li>A. Yee.</li> <li>M. Okay. And that would be because we would not be able to maintain the standards or those limits that were established in that SIP?</li> </ul>	9
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 8 9 .0 1 2 3 2 3 4 5 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 2 3 4 5 5 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 5 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 7 7 8 9 .0 1 2 3 4 5 7 7 8 9 .0 1 2 2 .0 1 2 2 .0 1 2 2 .0 1 2 2 .0 1 2 .0 1 2 .0 2 .0	<ul> <li>shades.</li> <li>974</li> <li>Q. I see. You mentioned that you thought 98 percent compliance was a good number?</li> <li>A. I think it's very good, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you made a metaphor, I believe, between your claughter's grades?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Wouldn't you agree there is a substantial difference between a grade and the emission of toxics?</li> <li>A. One is a grade on a test and one is a percentage on toxics. Yes, they are different.</li> <li>Q. And the consequences are vastly different?</li> <li>A. Yes, depending on your perspective, of course.</li> <li>Q. Is there a perspective where a grade is more critical than an exposure to a toxic</li> <li>A. No, public health is very critical. I'm just saying both are very important depending on your perspective.</li> <li>Q. Would you agree one is more important than the other?</li> <li>A. Public health is very important, yes.</li> <li>Q. That's not my question.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	25 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		9
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 0 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	<ul> <li>shades.</li> <li>974</li> <li>Q. I see. You mentioned that you thought 98 percent compliance was a good number?</li> <li>A. I think it's very good, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you made a metaphor, I believe, between your daughter's grades?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Wouldn't you agree there is a substantial difference between a grade and the emission of toxics?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Mouldn't you agree there is a substantial difference between a grade and the emission of toxics?</li> <li>A. One is a grade on a test and one is a percentage on toxics. Yes, they are different.</li> <li>Q. And the consequences are vastly different?</li> <li>A. Yes, depending on your perspective, of course.</li> <li>Q. Is there a perspective where a grade is more critical than an exposure to a toxic</li> <li>A. No, public health is very critical. I'm just seying both are very important depending on your perspective.</li> <li>Q. Would you agree one is more important than the other?</li> <li>A. Public health is very important, yes.</li> <li>Q. That's not my question.</li> </ul>	25 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>violations which would occur subsequent to that decision?</li> <li>A. If EPA approves the SIP, they would determine compliance within that MACT by the monitored data. Does fut answer your question?</li> <li>Q. No. My question is, does it take into consideration violations to Article 21?</li> <li>A. US EPA with attainment and the standard, no.</li> <li>Q. Is it possible for Allegheny County — let's presume for a moment that the SIP is accepted, that it is accepted, and fortunately, Allegheny County comes into attainment with that standard and similarly with the M2.5 standard. Is it possible to lose that designation?</li> <li>A. Yee, it is possible to go out of attainment?</li> <li>A. Yee.</li> <li>Q. So it's possible to go out of attainment?</li> <li>A. Yee.</li> <li>M. Okay. And that would be because we would not be able to maintain the standards or those limits that were established in that SIP?</li> </ul>	9

	977	7		979
1	at the bottom that says "issued by" and "prepared by,"	1	A. Yes.	
2	underneath there's the name of Joann Truchan, PE, and	2	Q. And you referenced smells from a sewage treatment	
3	prepared by Hafeez Ajenifuja (phonetic,) I apologize.	3	plant?	
4	MR. DAUSCH: It's on the docket.	4	A. Yes.	
5	MR. WILLIS: It's on the docket.	5	$Q.\;$ Is there a sewage treatment plant near the	
6	BY MR. WILLIS:	6	Clairton plant?	
7	Q. Is that signed?	7	A. Yes, right across the street from the facility.	
8	A. Is the	8	MR. DALISCH: That's all I have.	
9	Q. Yes.	9	HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Willis?	
10	A. Is the document signed? No.	10	RECROSS-EXAMINATION	
11	${\sf Q}.$ Okay. Would you consider this the final version	11	BY MR. WILLIS:	
12	of that permit?	12	${\sf Q}. \ $ With respect to that sewage treatment plant, do	
13	A. Yes.	13	you know the size of that facility?	
14	Q. Even though it's not executed?	14	A. I don't know the specific size, no.	
15	A. I consider it to be. I	15	Q. Is it smaller than Clairton?	
16	Q. Do you know if it is?	16	A. Physically, yes.	
17	A. No, I dan't know.	17	MR. WILLIS: That's all I have.	
18	$Q.\ \mbox{To your understanding, a fully issued permit}$	18	HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Anything else, Mr.	
19	would be signed by the chief of engineering and the	19	Dausch?	
20	engineer that actually put together the document?	20	MR. DAUSCH: No nothing further.	
21	A. Yes.	21	HEARING OFFICER SLATER: All right. Ms.	
22	Q. So it's possible that this is a draft?	22	Woodwell, you may step down.	
23	A. Yes.	23	Mr. Dausch, you may call your next witness.	
24	${f Q}.$ Okay. Is there a test method that can determine	24	MR. DAUSCH: Well, I have good news for everybody, because we rest.	
25	fugitive emissions for purposes of establishing an			_
	978	3		980
1	emissions rate?	1	HEARING OFFICER SLATER: All right.	
2	A. Okay, one more time.			
2		2	MR. DAUSCH: And I think we have all of the	
3	${\sf Q}.~$ Is there a test method that can establish the	2	exhibits for the record up with you. We have a copy to	
4	$Q_{\star}$ . Is there a test method that can establish the emissions rate for the fugitive emissions?			
		3	exhibits for the record up with you. We have a copy to	
4	emissions rate for the fugitive emissions?	3 4	exhibits for the record up with you. We have a copy to compare if there are any questions, and they are all	
4 5	emissions rate for the fugitive emissions? A. Establish an emission rate?	3 4 5	exhibits for the record up with you. We have a copy to compare if there are any questions, and they are all we should all be on the same page, and I think it's all been recorded in the transcript. MR. WILLIS: Correct.	
4 5 6	emissions rate for the fugitive emissions? A. Establish an emission rate? Q. Yes.	3 4 5 6	exhibits for the record up with you. We have a copy to compare if there are any questions, and they are all we should all be on the same page, and I think it's all been recorded in the transcript. MR. WILLIS: Correct. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Did you guys want to be	
4 5 6 7	emissions rate for the fugitive emissions? A. Establish an emission rate? Q. Yes. A. A test method, not that I'm aware of, no.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	exhibits for the record up with you. We have a copy to compare if there are any questions, and they are all we should all be on the same page, and I think it's all been recorded in the transcript. MR. WILLIS: Correct. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Did you guys want to be on the record for this or no?	
4 5 7 8	<ul> <li>emissions rate for the fugitive emissions?</li> <li>A. Establish an emission rate?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. A test method, not that I'm aware of, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that why there's no emissions rate for</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	exhibits for the record up with you. We have a copy to compare if there are any questions, and they are all we should all be on the same page, and I think it's all been recorded in the transcript. MR, WILLIS: Correct. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Did you guys want to be on the record for this or no? MR. WILLIS: I did. I did want to comment on the	
4 5 7 8 9	<ul> <li>emissions rate for the fugitive emissions?</li> <li>A. Establish an emission rate?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. A test method, not that I'm aware of, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that why there's no emissions rate for fugitive emissions in the permit, to your knowledge?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	exhibits for the record up with you. We have a copy to compare if there are any questions, and they are all we should all be on the same page, and I think it's all been recorded in the transcript. MR. WILLIS: Correct. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Did you guys want to be on the record for this or no? MR. WILLIS: I did. I did want to comment on the record that I will go through each of these documents	
4 5 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>emissions rate for the fugitive emissions?</li> <li>A. Establish an emission rate?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. A test method, not that I'm aware of, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that why there's no emissions rate for fugitive emissions in the permit, to your knowledge?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	exhibits for the record up with you. We have a copy to compare if there are any questions, and they are all we should all be on the same page, and I think it's all been recorded in the transcript. MR. WILLIS: Correct. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Did you guys want to be on the record for this or no? MR. WILLIS: I did. I did want to comment on the record that I will go through each of these documents that we've put forward as an exhibit and correct the two	
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>emissions rate for the fugitive emissions?</li> <li>A. Establish an emission rate?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. A test method, not that I'm aware of, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that why there's no emissions rate for fugitive emissions in the permit, to your knowledge?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. You don't know?</li> <li>A. No, I don't know if that's why there isn't an emission rate for fugitives.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>exhibits for the record up with you. We have a copy to compare if there are any questions, and they are all we should all be on the same page, and I think it's all been recorded in the transcript. MR. WILLIS: Correct. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Did you guys want to be on the record for this or no? MR. WILLIS: I did. I did want to comment on the record that I will go through each of these documents that we've put forward as an exhibit and correct the two page the two-sided dilemma, because it's critical</pre>	
4 5 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>emissions rate for the fugitive emissions?</li> <li>A. Establish an emission rate?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. A test method, not that I'm aware of, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that why there's no emissions rate for fugitive emissions in the permit, to your knowledge?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. You don't know?</li> <li>A. No, I don't know if that's why there isn't an</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>exhibits for the record up with you. We have a copy to compare if there are any questions, and they are all we should all be on the same page, and I think it's all been recorded in the transcript. MR. WILLIS: Correct. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Did you guys want to be on the record for this or no? MR. WILLIS: I did. I did want to comment on the record that I will go through each of these documents that we've put forward as an exhibit and correct the two page the two-sided dilemma, because it's critical that the evidence be correct and not misrepresent our</pre>	
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>emissions rate for the fugitive emissions?</li> <li>A. Establish an emission rate?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. A test method, not that I'm aware of, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that why there's no emissions rate for fugitive emissions in the permit, to your knowledge?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. You don't know?</li> <li>A. No, I don't know if that's why there isn't an emission rate for fugitives.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	exhibits for the record up with you. We have a copy to compare if there are any questions, and they are all we should all be on the same page, and I think it's all been recorded in the transcript. MR. WILLIS: Correct. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Did you guys want to be on the record for this or no? MR. WILLIS: I did. I did want to comment on the record that I will go through each of these documents that we've put forward as an exhibit and correct the two page the two-sided dilemma, because it's critical that the evidence be correct and not misrepresent our position or to attempt to	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>emissions rate for the fugitive emissions?</li> <li>A. Establish an emission rate?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. A test method, not that I'm aware of, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that why there's no emissions rate for fugitive emissions in the permit, to your knowledge?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. You don't know?</li> <li>A. No, I don't know if that's why there isn't an emission rate for fugitives.</li> <li>Q. But you noted there was none in the permit, is that correct, in the Title V permit?</li> <li>A. No, I don't believe I said that.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	exhibits for the record up with you. We have a copy to compare if there are any questions, and they are all we should all be on the same page, and I think it's all been recorded in the transcript. MR. WILLIS: Correct. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Did you guys want to be on the record for this or no? MR. WILLIS: I did. I did want to comment on the record that I will go through each of these documents that we've put forward as an exhibit and correct the two page the two-sided dilemma, because it's critical that the evidence be correct and not misrepresent our position or to attempt to MR. DAUSCH: And I know there were some documents	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>emissions rate for the fugitive emissions?</li> <li>A. Establish an emission rate?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. A test method, not that I'm aware of, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that why there's no emissions rate for fugitive emissions in the permit, to your knowledge?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. You don't know?</li> <li>A. No, I don't know if that's why there isn't an emission rate for fugitives.</li> <li>Q. But you noted there was none in the permit, is that correct, in the Title V permit?</li> <li>A. No, I don't believe I said that.</li> <li>Q. You don't know or you don't recall?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	exhibits for the record up with you. We have a copy to compare if there are any questions, and they are all we should all be on the same page, and I think it's all been recorded in the transcript. MR. WILLIS: Correct. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Did you guys want to be on the record for this or no? MR. WILLIS: I did. I did want to comment on the record that I will go through each of these documents that we've put forward as an exhibit and correct the two page the two-sided dilemma, because it's critical that the evidence be correct and not misrepresent our position or to attempt to MR. DAUSCH: And I know there were some documents that were not in any way related to this case. I'm sure	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>emissions rate for the fugitive emissions?</li> <li>A. Establish an emission rate?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. A test method, not that I'm aware of, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that why there's no emissions rate for fugitive emissions in the permit, to your knowledge?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. You don't know?</li> <li>A. No, I don't know if that's why there isn't an emission rate for fugitives.</li> <li>Q. But you noted there was none in the permit, is that correct, in the Title V permit?</li> <li>A. No, I don't believe I said that.</li> <li>Q. You don't know or you don't recall?</li> <li>A. No, I don't believe I said that.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	exhibits for the record up with you. We have a copy to compare if there are any questions, and they are all we should all be on the same page, and I think it's all been recorded in the transcript. MR. WILLIS: Correct. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Did you guys want to be on the record for this or no? MR. WILLIS: I did. I did want to comment on the record that I will go through each of these documents that we've put forward as an exhibit and correct the two page the two-sided dilemma, because it's critical that the evidence be correct and not misrepresent our position or to attempt to MR. DAUSCH: And I know there were some documents that were not in any way related to this case. I'm sure we want to get those out of the record, just so there is	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>emissions rate for the fugitive emissions?</li> <li>A. Establish an emission rate?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. A test method, not that I'm aware of, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that why there's no emissions rate for fugitive emissions in the permit, to your knowledge?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. You don't know?</li> <li>A. No, I don't know if that's why there isn't an emission rate for fugitives.</li> <li>Q. But you noted there was none in the permit, is that correct, in the Title V permit?</li> <li>A. No, I don't believe I said that.</li> <li>Q. You don't know or you don't recall?</li> <li>A. No, I don't believe I said that.</li> <li>M. WILLIS: I have no further questions.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	exhibits for the record up with you. We have a copy to compare if there are any questions, and they are all we should all be on the same page, and I think it's all been recorded in the transcript. MR. WILLIS: Correct. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Did you guys want to be on the record for this or no? MR. WILLIS: I did. I did want to comment on the record that I will go through each of these documents that we've put forward as an exhibit and correct the two page the two-sided dilemma, because it's critical that the evidence be correct and not misrepresent our position or to attempt to MR. DAUSCH: And I know there were some documents that were not in any way related to this case. I'm sure we want to get those out of the record, just so there is no confusion.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>emissions rate for the fugitive emissions?</li> <li>A. Establish an emission rate?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. A test method, not that I'm aware of, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that why there's no emissions rate for fugitive emissions in the permit, to your knowledge?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. You don't know?</li> <li>A. No, I don't know if that's why there isn't an emission rate for fugitives.</li> <li>Q. But you noted there was none in the permit, is that correct, in the Title V permit?</li> <li>A. No, I don't believe I said that.</li> <li>Q. You don't know or you don't recall?</li> <li>A. No, I don't believe I said that.</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: I have no further questions.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Dausch, any</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>exhibits for the record up with you. We have a copy to compare if there are any questions, and they are all we should all be on the same page, and I think it's all been recorded in the transcript.</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: Correct.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Did you guys want to be on the record for this or no?</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: I did. I did want to comment on the record that I will go through each of these documents that we've put forward as an exhibit and correct the two page the two-sided dilemma, because it's critical that the evidence be correct and not misrepresent our position or to attempt to</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: And I know there were some documents that were not in any way related to this case. I'm sure we want to get those out of the record, just so there is no confusion.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Okay, that works for me.</li> </ul>	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>emissions rate for the fugitive emissions?</li> <li>A. Establish an emission rate?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. A test method, not that I'm aware of, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that why there's no emissions rate for fugitive emissions in the permit, to your knowledge?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. You don't know?</li> <li>A. No, I don't know if that's why there isn't an emission rate for fugitives.</li> <li>Q. But you noted there was none in the permit, is that correct, in the Title V permit?</li> <li>A. No, I don't believe I said that.</li> <li>Q. You don't know or you don't recall?</li> <li>A. No, I don't believe I said that.</li> <li>M. No, I don't believe I said that.</li> <li>M. WILLIS: I have no further questions. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Dausch, any redirect?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>exhibits for the record up with you. We have a copy to compare if there are any questions, and they are all we should all be on the same page, and I think it's all been recorded in the transcript.</li> <li>MR, WILLIS: Correct.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Did you guys want to be on the record for this or no?</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: I did. I did want to comment on the record that I will go through each of these documents that we've put forward as an exhibit and correct the two page the two-sided dilemma, because it's critical that the evidence be correct and not misrepresent our position or to attempt to</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: And I know there were some documents that were not in any way related to this case. I'm sure we want to get those out of the record, just so there is no confusion.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Okay, that works for me. MR. DAUSCH: And, Mr. Slater, I know it's your</li> </ul>	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>emissions rate for the fugitive emissions?</li> <li>A. Establish an emission rate?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. A test method, not that I'm aware of, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that why there's no emissions rate for fugitive emissions in the permit, to your knowledge?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. You don't know?</li> <li>A. No, I don't know if that's why there isn't an emission rate for fugitives.</li> <li>Q. But you noted there was none in the permit, is that correct, in the Title V permit?</li> <li>A. No, I don't believe I said that.</li> <li>Q. You don't know or you don't recall?</li> <li>A. No, I don't believe I said that.</li> <li>M. WILLIS: I have no further questions. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Dausch, any redirect?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>exhibits for the record up with you. We have a copy to compare if there are any questions, and they are all we should all be on the same page, and I think it's all been recorded in the transcript.</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: Correct.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Did you guys want to be on the record for this or no?</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: I did. I did want to comment on the record that I will go through each of these documents that we've put forward as an exhibit and correct the two page the two-sided dilemma, because it's critical that the evidence be correct and not misrepresent our position or to attempt to</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: And I know there were some documents that were not in any way related to this case. I'm sure we want to get those out of the record, just so there is no confusion.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Okay, that works for me. MR. DAUSCH: And, Mr. Slater, I know it's your preference usually at the end of the hearing to talk</li> </ul>	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>emissions rate for the fugitive emissions?</li> <li>A. Establish an emission rate?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. A test method, not that I'm aware of, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that why there's no emissions rate for fugitive emissions in the permit, to your knowledge?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. You don't know?</li> <li>A. No, I don't know if that's why there isn't an emission rate for fugitives.</li> <li>Q. But you noted there was none in the permit, is that correct, in the Title V permit?</li> <li>A. No, I don't believe I said that.</li> <li>Q. You don't know or you don't recall?</li> <li>A. No, I don't believe I said that.</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: I have no further questions. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Dausch, any</li> <li>redirect?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>exhibits for the record up with you. We have a copy to compare if there are any questions, and they are all we should all be on the same page, and I think it's all been recorded in the transcript.</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: Correct.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SIATER: Did you guys want to be on the record for this or no?</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: I did. I did want to comment on the record that I will go through each of these documents that we've put forward as an exhibit and correct the two page the two-sided dilemma, because it's critical that the evidence be correct and not misrepresent our position or to attempt to</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: And I know there were some documents that were not in any way related to this case. I'm sure we want to get those out of the record, just so there is no confusion.</li> <li>MEARING OFFICER SIATER: Okay, that works for me.</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: And, Mr. Slater, I know it's your preference usually at the end of the hearing to talk about post-hearing briefing. Do you like to do that off</li> </ul>	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>emissions rate for the fugitive emissions?</li> <li>A. Establish an emission rate?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. A test method, not that I'm aware of, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is that why there's no emissions rate for fugitive emissions in the permit, to your knowledge?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. You don't know?</li> <li>A. No, I don't know if that's why there isn't an emission rate for fugitives.</li> <li>Q. But you noted there was none in the permit, is that correct, in the Title V permit?</li> <li>A. No, I don't believe I said that.</li> <li>Q. You don't know or you don't recall?</li> <li>A. No, I don't believe I said that.</li> <li>M. WILLIS: I have no further questions. HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Mr. Dausch, any redirect?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>exhibits for the record up with you. We have a copy to compare if there are any questions, and they are all we should all be on the same page, and I think it's all been recorded in the transcript.</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: Correct.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Did you guys want to be on the record for this or no?</li> <li>MR. WILLIS: I did. I did want to comment on the record that I will go through each of these documents that we've put forward as an exhibit and correct the two page the two-sided dilemma, because it's critical that the evidence be correct and not misrepresent our position or to attempt to</li> <li>MR. DAUSCH: And I know there were some documents that were not in any way related to this case. I'm sure we want to get those out of the record, just so there is no confusion.</li> <li>HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Okay, that works for me. MR. DAUSCH: And, Mr. Slater, I know it's your preference usually at the end of the hearing to talk</li> </ul>	

98:	L
that is MR. DAUSCH: Would you prefer to do that now?	
HEARING OFFICER SLATER: Yeah, we might as well	
at least touch base on it now. We are off the record.	
(The hearing terminated at 2:47 p.m.)	
982 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	2
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	2
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA : :	2
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	2
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA : COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY :	2
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA : : COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY : I, Heidi R. Hawk, a Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the said	
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA : : COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY : I, Heidi R. Hawk, a Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the said Commonwealth and County, do hereby certify that pursua to the notice, the within named persons were sworn by	ant me
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA : : COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY : I, Heidi R. Hawk, a Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the said Commonwealth and County, do hereby certify that pursua to the notice, the within named persons were sworn by to testify to the truth and nothing but the truth; that	ant me
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA : : COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY : I, Heidi R. Hawk, a Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the said Commonwealth and County, do hereby certify that pursua to the notice, the within named persons were sworn by to testify to the truth and nothing but the truth; that the testimony was reduced to writing under my supervision; that this transcript is a true record of	ant me
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA : : COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY I, Heidi R. Hawk, a Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the said Commonwealth and County, do hereby certify that pursue to the notice, the within named persons were sworn by to testify to the truth and nothing but the truth; the the testimony was reduced to writing under my supervision; that this transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the witnesses. I further certify that I am neither attorney no	ant me at
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA : : COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY : I, Heidi R. Hawk, a Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the said Commonwealth and County, do hereby certify that pursua to the notice, the within named persons were sworn by to testify to the truth and nothing but the truth; that the testimony was reduced to writing under my supervision; that this transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the witnesses. I further certify that I am neither attorney no counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the	ant me at
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA : : COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY : I, Heidi R. Hawk, a Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the said Commonwealth and County, do hereby certify that pursua to the notice, the within named persons were sworn by to testify to the truth and nothing but the truth; the the testimony was reduced to writing under my supervision; that this transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the witnesses. I further certify that I am neither attorney no counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this hearing was taken; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of a	ant me at or
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA : : COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY I, Heidi R. Hawk, a Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the said Commonwealth and County, do hereby certify that pursue to the notice, the within named persons were sworn by to testify to the truth and nothing but the truth; that the testimony was reduced to writing under my supervision; that this transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the witnesses. I further certify that I am neither attorney no counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this hearing was taken; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of a attorney or counsel employed by the parties or financially interested in this action.	ant me at Dr ; ;
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA : : COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY I, Heidi R. Hawk, a Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the said Commonwealth and County, do hereby certify that pursue to the notice, the within named persons were sworn by to testify to the truth and nothing but the truth; that the testimony was reduced to writing under my supervision; that this transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the witnesses. I further certify that I am neither attorney no counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this hearing was taken; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of a attorney or counsel employed by the parties or financially interested in this action. In testimony whereof, I have hereunto subscribe	ant me at pr ; ; any ed
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA : : COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY I, Heidi R. Hawk, a Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the said Commonwealth and County, do hereby certify that pursue to the notice, the within named persons were sworn by to testify to the truth and nothing but the truth; that the testimony was reduced to writing under my supervision; that this transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the witnesses. I further certify that I am neither attorney no counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this hearing was taken; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of a attorney or counsel employed by the parties or financially interested in this action.	ant me at pr ; ; any ed
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA : : COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY I, Heidi R. Hawk, a Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the said Commonwealth and County, do hereby certify that pursue to the notice, the within named persons were sworn by to testify to the truth and nothing but the truth; that the testimony was reduced to writing under my supervision; that this transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the witnesses. I further certify that I am neither attorney no counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this hearing was taken; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of a attorney or counsel employed by the parties or financially interested in this action. In testimony whereof, I have hereunto subscribe my hand and affixed my seal of office this December 26	ant me at pr ; ; any ed
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA : : COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY I, Heidi R. Hawk, a Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the said Commonwealth and County, do hereby certify that pursue to the notice, the within named persons were sworn by to testify to the truth and nothing but the truth; that the testimony was reduced to writing under my supervision; that this transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the witnesses. I further certify that I am neither attorney no counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this hearing was taken; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of a attorney or counsel employed by the parties or financially interested in this action. In testimony whereof, I have hereunto subscribe my hand and affixed my seal of office this December 26	ant me at pr ; any ed
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COMMONWEALTH OF FENNSYLVANIA : 	ant me at pr ; any ed
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA : : COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY I, Heidi R. Hawk, a Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the said Commonwealth and County, do hereby certify that pursue to the notice, the within named persons were sworn by to testify to the truth and nothing but the truth; that the testimony was reduced to writing under my supervision; that this transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the witnesses. I further certify that I am neither attorney no counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this hearing was taken; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of a attorney or counsel employed by the parties or financially interested in this action. In testimony whereof, I have hereunto subscribe my hand and affixed my seal of office this December 26	ant me at pr ; any ed
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COMMONWEALTH OF FENNSYLVANIA : COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY .	ant me at pr ; any ed
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COMMONWEALTH OF FENNSYLVANIA : COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY .	ant me at pr ; any ed
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COMMONWEALTH OF FENNSYLVANIA : COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY . I, Heidi R. Hawk, a Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the said Commonwealth and County, do hereby certify that pursus to the notice, the within named persons were sworn by to testify to the truth and nothing but the truth; the the testimony was reduced to writing under my supervision; that this transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the witnesses. I further certify that I am neither attorney no counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this hearing was taken; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of a attorney or counsel employed by the parties or financially interested in this action. In testimony whereof, I have hereunto subscribes my hand and affixed my seal of office this December 26 2018. My Commission Expirest March 7, 201	ant me at pr ; any ed
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COMMONWEALTH OF FENNSYLVANIA : COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY : I, Heidi R. Hawk, a Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the said Commonwealth and County, do hereby certify that pursus to the notice, the within named persons were sworn by to testify to the truth and nothing but the truth; the the testimony was reduced to writing under my supervision; that this transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the witnesses. I further certify that I am neither attorney mo counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this hearing was taken; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of a attorney or counsel employed by the parties or financially interested in this action. In testimony whereof, I have hereunto subscribes my hand and affixed my seal of office this December 26 2018. My Commission Expires March 7, 201 March 7, 201 COMMONWEALTHFOF PENNSYLVA NOTARIAL SEAL	ant me at pr ; any ed
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COMMONWEALTH OF FENNSYLVANIA : COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY . I, Heidi R. Hawk, a Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified in and for the said Commonwealth and County, do hereby certify that pursus to the notice, the within named persons were sworn by to testify to the truth and nothing but the truth; the the testimony was reduced to writing under my supervision; that this transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the witnesses. I further certify that I am neither attorney no counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this hearing was taken; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of a attorney or counsel employed by the parties or financially interested in this action. In testimony whereof, I have hereunto subscribes my hand and affixed my seal of office this December 26 2018. My Commission Expirest March 7, 201	ant me at any ed 5,

837:4, 849:6,	<b>1980</b> [1] - 869:8	<b>2016</b> [22] - 832:22,	<b>26</b> [2] - 863:22, 948:8
and the second	and the second se		<b>27</b> [2] - 863:22, 915:2
860:24, 882:7,	869:10, 869:11		<b>29</b> [3] - 916:5, 916:6,
884:10, 884:14,	<b>1987</b> [1] - 808:10	858:12, 870:7,	954:21
886:16, 908:2,	1992 [1] - 928:13	881:23, 883:25,	2:00 [1] - 956:10
908:3, 916:6,		945:24, 946:12,	2:11 [1] - 956:11
		946:22, 948:4,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
A		949:20, 950:21,	3
		and the second se	
2.2. (4)	1:05[1] - 914:10		3 [10] - 806:22, 807:1,
		AND	807:18, 807:22,
	2		824:17, 838:24,
	2 1401 806-22 807-1	and the second se	855:17, 855:20,
852:9, 865:18,			869:21, 876:11
904:8, 912:10,			<b>3.3</b> [1] - 813:11
933:20, 933:21,	15 D	945:7, 975:10	
934:18, 951:21,		<b>2018</b> [13] - 805:1,	<b>30</b> [4] - 806:3, 926:6,
The second se		805:3, 808:6,	936:14, 954:17
	830:18, 838:23,	835:18, 836:7,	<b>30-day</b> [2] - 954:3,
	855:17, 855:20,		954:16
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	869:21, 876:11,		300 [2] - 813:17, 858:8
			303 [5] - 906:11,
	second of and an		942:2, 953:9,
<b>12</b> [3] - 916:8, 926:3,			953:11, 954:14
930:9			<b>35</b> [1] - 833:15
<b>13</b> [4] - 810:16,			A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O
838:19, 856:1, 897:3	And the second s	841:1, 842:2, 842:5,	36-hour [1] - 857:7
14 [4] - 838:19, 856:1,		842:16, 849:12,	<b>365</b> [3] - 848:17,
		849:22, 853:17,	884:12, 948:19
	831:25, 838:20,	896:9, 947:18	38 [2] - 938:8, 964:16
	839:7, 876:15,		<b>39</b> [3] - 940:20,
and and the second of	910:23, 951:14,		940:21, 940:22
	951:16, 951:18,	A2	
and the second s			4
		a sector transfer	
897:11, 897:12,		A REAL AND A	4 [3] - 826:12, 921:13,
897:13, 897:18,	and the local commence of the co-		948:4
897:23			4.5 [4] - 907:11,
<b>15,00</b> [1] - 891:18	and the second second second second		907:12, 907:23,
<b>15.000</b> [1] - 892:8			908:7
15-second (1) -	2008 [2] - 808:6, 834:3	957:11, 957:17,	40 [18] - 814:6,
	2009 [12] - 808:6,	958:4, 962:8,	814:14, 817:3,
	811:1, 834:25,		
	851:13, 856:2,		818:14, 820:20,
			821:2, 824:13,
	and the second second second		824:20, 826:11,
			826:15, 828:18,
<b>17</b> [4] - 811:22,	The second second second second	The second state of the se	829:8, 830:18,
845:18, 895:6,			831:24, 846:5,
895:18	and a second sec		847:10, 867:13,
<b>170</b> [1] - 861:4	÷	943:4	928:11
	<b>2011</b> [4] - 811:5,	223 [2] - 903:6, 903:13	400 [2] - 813:18,
	897:15, 897:20,	24 [4] - 884:11,	859:25
and the second s	897:23	939:16, 946:9, 970:9	<b>41</b> [1] - 951:1
	2012 [4] - 811:12,	and the second sec	
			43 [2] - 950:10, 950:1
	The state of the second s		<b>45</b> [3] - 920:19,
			920:21, 921:14
<b>1901</b> [3] - 813:2,			46 [2] - 927:23, 927:23
869:12, 869:14		905:22, 905:24,	47 [2] - 929:8, 929:10
	811:18, 856:18,	906:2, 906:5,	48 [1] - 932:11
1918 [2] - 813:5.	1 050.04 057.5	906:12, 910:22	
<b>1918</b> [2] - 813:5, 869:16	856:24, 857:5,	500.12, 510.22	49 11 - 932 25
869:16	857:10, 876:7,	25-foot [1] - 905:6	<b>49</b> [1] - 932:25
869:16 <b>1950s</b> [1] - 871:4	And the second second	25-foot [1] - 905:6	<b>49</b> [1] - 932:25 <b>4th</b> [1] - 928:13
869:16	857:10, 876:7,	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O	a state of the second second
	853:24, 860:12, 860:24, 882:7, 884:10, 884:14, 886:16, 908:2, 908:3, 916:6, 952:22, 956:9 10,000 [1] - 891:12 10-leak [1] - 909:3 100 [16] - 813:6, 820:3, 837:22, 837:25, 841:18, 852:9, 865:18, 904:8, 912:10, 933:20, 933:21, 934:18, 951:21, 952:1, 955:10 11 [6] - 829:20, 829:21, 854:19, 965:13, 970:4 11:59 [1] - 914:17 12 [8] - 916:8, 926:3, 930:9 13 [4] - 810:16, 838:19, 856:1, 897:3 14 [4] - 838:19, 856:1, 897:3, 902:11 15 [14] - 838:19, 856:1, 897:3, 902:11 15 [14] - 838:19, 856:1, 897:3, 897:13, 897:11, 897:13, 869:4, 869:6, 896:23, 897:3, 897:11, 897:18, 897:23 15,00 [1] - 891:18 15,000 [1] - 892:8 15-second [1] - 939:15 150 [5] - 843:20, 891:18, 892:8, 892:9, 907:10 16 [2] - 895:7, 895:16 17 [4] - 811:22, 845:18, 895:6, 895:18 170 [1] - 861:4 18 [2] - 918:3, 925:11 19 [6] - 838:20, 839:6, 876:15, 876:16, 970:9, 970:12 1901 [3] - 813:2,	853:24, 860:12,         1982 [3] - 843:16,           860:24, 882:7,         869:10, 869:11           884:10, 884:14,         1987 [1] - 808:10           886:16, 908:2,         1992 [1] - 928:13           908:3, 916:6,         1993 [3] - 929:14,           952:22, 956:9         943:4, 966:5           10.000 [1] - 891:12         1994 [1] - 810:15           10-leak [1] - 909:3         1:05 [1] - 914:18           100 [15] - 813:6,         820:3, 837:22,           837:25, 841:18,         827:3, 807:12,           933:20, 933:21,         830:18, 807:22,           934:4, 966:5         10,000 [1] - 891:18           904:8, 912:10,         807:18, 807:22,           933:20, 933:21,         818:14, 820:20,           829:21, 955:10         810:18, 838:23,           11 [6] - 829:20,         855:17, 855:20,           829:21, 854:19,         869:21, 876:11,           905:13, 970:4         892:17, 915:4,           11:59 [1] - 914:17         964:17           2's [1] - 870:19         2-1 [2] - 921:16,           930:9         2's [1] - 870:19           24 [2] - 921:16,         921:24           20         92 - 907:12,           807:17, 807:18,         897:17, 807:13,           807:14, 86	853:24, 860:12, 863:17, 866:10, 869:11 $833:3, 839:1, 841:8, 860:10, 869:11$ $860:10, 860:11, 860:10, 869:11$ $865:12, 870:7, 866:12, 870:7, 866:12, 993; 9:-929:14, 945:24, 946:12, 946:4, 1930; 9:-929:14, 945:24, 946:12, 946:4, 1940; 9:-810:1590:3:3, 916:6, 906:2, 993; 9:-929:14, 945:24, 946:12, 946:4, 1940; 9:-810:15949:20, 950:21, 945:25, 946:22, 946:4, 1940; 9:-920, 950:21, 195:10, 952:2, 946:4, 195:10, 952:2, 946:4, 195:10, 952:2, 946:4, 195:10, 952:2, 946:4, 1940; 9:-914:1810.000(n):= 891:12, 195:10, 807:22, 807:1, 807:12, 807:14, 807:15, 807:22, 945:4, 195:10, 952:2, 945:4, 195:10, 952:1, 955:10, 823:5, 824:14, 820:20, 945:7, 975:1033:20, 933:21, 955:10, 823:14, 820:20, 945:7, 975:10, 823:5, 824:14, 820:20, 945:7, 975:10, 823:5, 824:14, 820:20, 945:7, 975:10, 823:5, 824:14, 820:20, 945:7, 975:10, 823:5, 824:14, 820:20, 945:7, 975:10, 823:5, 824:14, 820:20, 945:7, 975:10, 823:5, 824:14, 820:20, 945:7, 975:10, 823:5, 824:14, 820:20, 835:17, 855:17, 855:17, 855:17, 855:17, 855:17, 855:17, 855:17, 855:17, 855:17, 855:17, 855:17, 956:13, 970:4, 994:17, 915:4, 994:17, 915:4, 994:17, 915:4, 994:17, 915:4, 993:19, 833:25, 838:20, 836:18, 836:7, 842:16, 849:12, 837:17, 811:23, 837:17, 815:7, 856:13, 837:17, 815:7, 856:14, 839:17, 815:7, 856:14, 839:17, 815:7, 856:14, 839:17, 815:7, 856:14, 839:17, 815:16, 951:18, 994:22, 942:3, 943:14, 941:15, 811:44, 942:2, 942:3, 943:14, 941:14, 941:15, 811:44, 942:2, 942:3, 942:19, 943:6, 996:13, 977:14, 897:13, 897:13, 897:14, 897:13, 897:13, 897:14, 897:13, 897:13, 897:14, 897:13, 897:13, 897:14, 894:27, 993:15, 945:14, 894:27, 993:14, 942:2, 942:3, 993:15, 941:14, 942:13, 856:13, 920:14, 943:14, 942:7, 943:4, 942:19, 943:6, 997:14, 942:19, 943:6, 997:14, 943:14, 942:7, 943:14, 942:7, 943:14, 942:7, 943:14, 942:7, 943:14, 942:7, 943:14, 942:7, 943:14, 942:7, 943:14, 942:7, 943:14, 942:7, 943:14, 942:7, 943:14, 942:7, 943:14, 942:7, 943:14, 942:7, 943:14, 942:$

5	817:19	active [1] - 833:15	835:9, 844:15, 844:25, 845:2,	alternative [3] - 857:4 940:17, 941:12
E.m. 000.40 046.5	98 [5] - 843:12, 945:9,	activity [1] - 926:11	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	
<b>5</b> [4] - 829:10, 846:5,	945:16, 949:21,	actuality [1] - 908:1	868:14, 887:2,	Ambient [1] - 879:18
847:10, 847:17	974:1	actuating [1] - 822:6	899:18, 899:20,	ambient [6] - 827:23,
<b>5,000</b> [1] - 891:11	98.15 [1] - 840:21	addition [14] - 817:10,	899:24, 911:9,	828:5, 838:6, 838:8,
5,400 [1] - 811:10	98.5 [7] - 841:11,	817:24, 837:3,	911:13, 911:21,	958:13, 976:22
50 [4] - 858:19, 944:4,	841:23, 843:2,	837:18, 838:5,	911:22, 912:5,	America [12] - 811:16
976:24, 976:25		And a first of the state of the	913:20, 916:7,	813:8, 816:25,
500 [1] - 816:18	843:12, 883:25,	838:12, 839:5,	917:13, 917:20,	835:3, 856:25,
	946:25, 952:13	839:9, 839:22,		processing in the same process in 214
<b>51</b> [1] - 953:19	98.5-percent [1] -	876:14, 876:17,	918:2, 918:6,	860:12, 861:11,
<b>52</b> [4] - 836:4, 836:5,	947:9	879:9, 882:8, 937:3	923:10, 924:21,	861:12, 861:13,
874:6, 874:8	98.8 [1] - 842:7	additional [7] - 860:1,	924:22, 925:3,	861:14, 910:12,
	98.9 [2] - 842:6,	875:17, 910:19,	925:8, 925:11,	971:11
6	842:16	916:13, 948:3,	925:14, 925:17,	American [3] - 811:14
		958:1, 958:21	926:21, 933:12,	812:6, 813:22
6 [2] - 805:1, 830:19	<b>99</b> [2] - 945:9, 952:10	Construction of Construction of C	934:20, 935:18,	amount [2] - 825:19,
60 [6] - 825:5, 825:8,	99-percent [2] -	address [6] - 935:18,	958:13, 968:7,	
858:19, 951:14,	945:16, 952:15	935:21, 940:6,		859:23
951:15, 951:21	99.3 [1] - 841:23	943:21, 962:6,	976:22	amounts [1] - 913:21
and the state of the state of the state state	99.34 [1] - 841:6	966:19	<b>Air</b> [14] - 879:18,	ample [3] - 931:21,
60-percent [1] -	99.384 [4] - 841:14,	addressed [3] - 922:1,	912:3, 917:23,	932:3, 933:8
951:18	841:16, 841:18,	927:3, 927:16	918:9, 919:2, 925:6,	analysis [4] - 913:25,
<b>63</b> [1] - 928:11		addressing [1] -	925:10, 925:13,	934:8, 955:13,
69 [1] - 915:3	883:24		927:3, 931:15,	973:13
6th [1] - 805:3		967:21	933:22, 936:23,	The second
	A	adjacent [1] - 863:4		analyzed [1] - 931:1
7		adjust [1] - 886:10	957:13, 957:15	analyzing [1] - 910:9
7	a.m [1] - 914:17	adjusted [2] - 825:7,	AISI [1] - 812:4	Angela [1] - 807:5
7 [7] - 819:2, 824:20,	ability [2] - 910:19,	922:23	Ajenifuja [1] - 977:3	angle [1] - 818:18
831:3, 871:2, 874:9,	924:4	adjusting [1] - 824:25	alleged [3] - 818:6,	answer [2] - 960:2,
	abrasion [1] - 850:16		830:24, 831:6	976:5
894:11, 903:13	abroad [1] - 862:2	adjustment [1] -	Allegheny [32] - 805:5,	
700 [1] - 868:18	absence [1] - 816:9	825:24	805:8, 805:23,	anthracite [1] - 864:1
<b>708</b> [4] - 813:8, 815:9,		adjustments [1] -		anticipate [6] -
833:21, 837:10	absent [1] - 855:3	929:2	862:13, 873:11,	853:16, 858:18,
75 [5] - 907:11,	absolutely [6] -	administration [1] -	874:22, 877:14,	883:2, 893:24,
907:24, 954:1, 954:5	879:25, 917:18,	812:20	879:20, 879:24,	894:24, 944:19
	931:15, 932:19,		894:4, 907:21,	anticipated [3] -
7th [1] - 836:7	933:18, 936:7	administrative [2] -	912:18, 912:21,	-
	access [3] - 901:12,	805:4, 809:4	912:23, 919:20,	879:6, 908:19,
8	and an and a second sec	admitted [1] - 914:23		958:19
	901:15	advisory [1] - 923:11	920:2, 920:6,	anyway [1] - 852:12
8 [8] - 826:15, 826:17,	ACCI [1] - 812:6	affairs [5] - 875:12,	920:14, 920:24,	apologize [2] -
828:18, 828:23,	according [2] -	915:13, 915:20,	921:11, 921:21,	897:19, 977:3
828:25, 867:14,	822:11, 822:23	a a	923:8, 924:14,	Appalachian [3] -
871:2, 951:1	account [1] - 907:2	916:9, 935:7	927:6, 936:18,	
800 [1] - 868:18	accuracy [1] - 938:16	agencies [3] - 920:16,	939:24, 944:7,	863:14, 863:15,
85 [1] - 835:8		927:6, 944:15	946:14, 953:9,	863:16
oo [1] - 000.0	accurate [3] - 891:21,	agency [9] - 894:5,	a sar however the s	appeal [13] - 806:7,
	892:15, 939:23	913:1, 919:19,	957:2, 976:9, 976:11	818:6, 831:1, 831:7
9	ACHD [12] - 874:11,	921:4, 921:9,	allotted [1] - 892:16	835:17, 839:20,
0 mm 822.17 074.2	875:4, 875:16,	925:12, 934:13,	allow [9] - 812:12,	859:3, 946:5,
9 [25] - 823:17, 871:2,	893:10, 902:11,		816:5, 828:7,	952:18, 959:1,
895:7, 895:20,	903:3, 915:2,	937:24, 944:17	893:14, 899:12,	
896:9, 937:12,		agent [1] - 814:1	901:23, 902:6,	962:5, 966:19, 975:
937:15, 937:17,	962:23, 963:21,	agreed [7] - 946:13,	908:23, 960:1	appealed [2] - 975:5,
937:22, 938:13,	964:1, 964:4, 970:24	946:21, 946:23,	N TALIN A	975:11
938:16, 939:8,	Achievable [1] - 926:1	948:7, 948:10,	allowed [6] - 846:16,	appearances [1] -
939:10, 939:13,	achievable [8] - 930:7,	949:20, 964:4	893:17, 911:1,	965:20
Same of a strate scale of a second	930:20, 955:4,		911:20, 954:3, 964:8	appendix [3] - 942:10
940:1, 940:4, 940:6,	955:5, 955:9,	agreement [3] -	allows [2] - 827:18,	
940:8, 940:10,		841:10, 947:5, 964:2	827:23	942:13, 946:7
940:12, 940:13,	955:23, 955:24,	ahead [1] - 878:8	alluded [1] - 947:25	apples [2] - 848:3,
	956:1	aimed [1] - 832:15	A 19	848:4
940:16, 941:4,	achieve [1] - 879:20		almost [2] - 914:15,	apples-to [1] - 848:3
		<b>ar</b> [41] - 610.9.		
941:23	action [2] - 820:23,	air [41] - 816:9,	952:1	
		817:12, 818:7, 819:16, 821:23,	952:1 alternate [1] - 940:23	application [4] - 944:17, 960:24,

962:24, 963:3	963:10	851:16, 852:3	836:3, 837:13,	841:2, 841:5, 841:7
applications [1] -	assurance [3] -	bag [8] - 844:20,	842:4, 842:9,	843:1, 845:7, 846:9
936:11	811.14, 880:25,	844:21, 844:22,	842:14, 842:20,	846:10, 846:19,
	881:5	845:1, 899:19,	843:3, 843:6,	847:12, 848:14,
approaching [2] -	attached [1] - 902:1	899:21, 899:22,	843:25, 849:12,	855:3, 855:7, 857:7
838:24, 962:23		900:16	853:21, 854:14,	866:21, 866:23,
appropriate [5] -	attachment [1] - 946:4		854:15, 854:22,	867:9, 867:14,
865:24, 929:2,	attaining [1] - 918:14	bags [2] - 844:21,		868:7, 868:21,
941:18, 955:14,	attainment [14] -	844:24	855:5, 855:9,	the strength of the second strength of the second
975:24	874:23, 879:21,	bakes [1] - 816:8	855:12, 855:15,	869:8, 869:15,
approve [1] - 920:9	918:14, 918:15,	balance [1] - 899:11	855:16, 855:21,	869:20, 870:10,
approves [1] - 976:3	918:23, 919:9,	balancing [1] - 899:15	855:24, 856:1,	870:12, 870:14,
approximated [1] -	920:6, 957:3,	barge [1] - 814:23	856:5, 856:9,	870:16, 871:23,
905:22	975:25, 976:8,	based [16] - 840:7,	856:12, 856:17,	873:18, 874:1,
approximation [1] -	976:12, 976:16,	840:9, 927:18,	857:2, 857:3,	884:23, 884:25,
860:21	976:21	930:25, 934:23,	857:10, 857:17,	890:1, 890:5,
April [1] - 870:7	attempt [2] - 898:22,	945:20, 954:21,	857:23, 858:14,	890:13, 890:14,
For a contract of the state of	980:15	962:1, 962:2, 962:3,	858:21, 858:23,	892:22, 892:23,
area [13] - 811:15,	attempted [1] - 851:22	963:10, 967:5,	859:6, 859:10,	901:13, 902:2,
821:13, 824:18,			859:12, 859:21,	902:5, 904:12,
846:12, 846:15,	attended [1] - 917:4	967:10, 971:7,	860:2, 860:6,	905:11, 906:23,
846:21, 847:4,	attention [1] - 895:10	971:19	860:10, 860:16,	935:18, 944:2,
910:8, 918:15,	attorney [5] - 916:7,	baseline [16] - 839:25,	861:2, 865:20,	948:13, 948:16,
918:24, 919:9,	959:13, 959:15,	840:11, 840:18,	865:24, 866:7,	948:17, 948:21,
919:25, 920:6	959:16, 959:18	840:20, 841:3,	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	951:9, 951:12,
areas [9] - 824:15,	attributable [2] -	841:4, 841:16,	867:18, 869:17,	The second second have been as in the second
865:23, 866:15,	973:8, 975:11	841:20, 842:2,	870:24, 871:1,	951:18, 952:6,
867:1, 867:2,	authority [2] - 879:24,	842:7, 842:13,	871:2, 871:3,	952:8, 968:24,
882:12, 918:13,	957:15	842:18, 843:7,	871:18, 871:19,	969:2, 969:10,
918:16, 919:7	auto [1] - 925:20	843:10, 854:18	875:23, 876:14,	972:8, 972:11
argument [1] - 886:6	average [6] - 870:12,	basic [1] - 818:22	881:21, 882:7,	Battery [103] - 807:18
armed [1] - 833:9	926:3, 939:17,	basis [18] - 825:6,	884:11, 884:15,	808:21, 809:14,
aromatic [2] - 871:11,	941:4, 954:11,	836:21, 836:23,	890:2, 890:3, 897:2,	826:25, 828:24,
		848:15, 854:1,	897:24, 898:13,	829:1, 829:5, 834:2
871:12	954:17	874:21, 890:18,	908:24, 911:10,	834:3, 834:6, 834:7
arrived [1] - 873:23	averaging [6] - 939:6,	909:8, 909:10,	911:14, 923:15,	834:22, 835:1,
arrows [1] - 824:16	939:8, 939:18,	and the second se	924:23, 926:13,	835:3, 835:9,
Article [17] - 872:6,	939:20, 940:7,	909:12, 909:14,	926:24, 927:13,	835:22, 835:25,
923:1, 923:5,	940:14	909:16, 914:2,	927:14, 928:8,	837:15, 843:13,
923:14, 936:20,	avoid [3] - 855:5,	914:10, 953:18,	928:20, 929:6,	843:15, 843:16,
953:6, 957:11,	856:16, 857:10	955:6, 958:11, 967:5	929:12, 930:5,	843:18, 843:24,
957:17, 958:4,	aware [26] - 835:16,	batches [1] - 851:14	930:12, 931:23,	844:1, 844:3,
958:15, 958:17,	835:21, 835:22,	Batteries [10] -	934:11, 945:6,	844:11, 844:18,
958:23, 962:8,	845:13, 849:5,	806:22, 807:1,		And a second sec
963:13, 975:18,	849:10, 851:8,	807:22, 838:19,	947:7, 947:9, 949:6,	845:6, 845:8,
975:21, 976:7	861:22, 862:5,	838:23, 839:6,	949:7, 954:1,	845:14, 845:20,
aspects [1] - 966:13	874:22, 874:25,	855:16, 855:20,	968:21, 972:8	845:24, 846:7,
assessed [1] - 966:22	875:8, 875:13,	876:11, 876:15	battery [93] - 814:21,	848:2, 848:3,
assessment [2] -	875:14, 875:17,	batteries [119] -	815:4, 815:21,	848:11, 848:22,
	875:19, 889:10,	806:17, 806:19,	816:10, 817:5,	849:2, 849:8,
967:3, 971:8	225125-rox 2014000 1025-00104 522323	806:23, 807:2,	818:11, 818:22,	850:24, 851:3,
assets [4] - 811:16,	889:13, 889:15,	808:13, 808:17,	819:9, 819:18,	851:12, 851:15,
811:24, 856:13,	905:5, 906:19,	813:8, 817:2, 817:6,	819:23, 819:24,	852:17, 852:20,
856:22	912:22, 913:9,		826:18, 826:21,	853:19, 853:25,
assigned [3] - 824:24,	938:5, 957:11, 978:7	817:10, 817:22,	827:1, 827:22,	854:19, 859:14,
825:5, 910:2		818:13, 824:19,	827:23, 831:4,	867:19, 867:20,
assistant [1] - 891:20	B	824:23, 826:20,	831:6, 832:12,	868:9, 868:22,
associated [4] -	DA 10 046-44	826:22, 826:23,	832:15, 834:1,	868:24, 870:19,
888:20, 935:4,	BA [1] - 916:11	828:3, 828:4,	a bost a tax 2	870:23, 873:14,
935:22, 973:11	Bachelor's [1] -	832:19, 833:13,	834:2, 834:9,	882:22, 886:5,
associations [1] -	812:18	833:19, 833:21,	834:15, 834:16,	
861:16	background [2] -	833:23, 835:2,	834:17, 834:20,	886:6, 890:14,
	812:17, 916:10	835:10, 835:18,	835:3, 835:8,	891:13, 895:3,
assuming [3] - 883:23, 907:24,	bad [3] - 851:14,	835:23, 836:1,	835:14, 837:4,	900:14, 903:19, 903:21, 903:22,
			840:11, 840:14,	

823:13, 827:14, 870.2 814:2, 816:24, 887:6 903:24.904:1. 866:1,885:15 860:12, 860:24 buy [2] - 890:25, 891:1 cars [3] - 816:23, 904:3. 904:8. 904:9. charged [4] - 815:12, 919:6, 920:1 904:11.904:17. blended [1] - 814:25 byproduct [6] - 813:4, 815:14, 816:8 813:6, 818:2, cart [1] - 929:25 904:19.904:22. blending (1) - 814:24 charging [36] - 815:3, 904:23, 904:25, blindness [2] -831:22, 860:8, case [6] - 833:2, 815:5. 815:6. 869:15 921:6, 921:11, 905:1, 905:3, 905:5, 973:17, 973:20 815:10, 815:14, 953:12, 959:11, 906:16, 906:17, blink [2] - 940:1, 815:16, 820:22, 906:23, 923:20, С 980:17 941:16 821:2, 821:5, 821:8, 944:2, 944:6, 944:8, cases [2] - 815:13, blocks [1] - 846:22 C's [1] - 835:25 821:10, 821:17, 944:22, 948:1, blow [3] - 814:7. 965:1 cages [2] - 844:22, 821:23, 822:18, 952:21, 952:23, 814:17, 818:15 cast [1] - 850:7 899:22 822:23, 822:24, 953:1. 953:15. casting [3] - 824:6, blow-up [3] - 814:7, calculate [3] - 841:3, 823:2, 823:5, 823:7, 954:1, 954:6 851:17, 851:23 814:17, 818:15 954:2, 954:16 823:11, 823:15, became [7] - 811:8, castings [9] - 851:14, blue (8) - 897:14. calculated [2] -823:20, 827:10, 811:13, 928:21, 851:16, 851:25, 951:16.973:14. 860:19, 954:21 854:25, 858:24, 936:9, 952:1, 973:18, 973:23, 852:3, 852:5, calculation [11] -864:19, 864:25, 852:17, 853:4, 955:19, 955:20 973:24 835:17, 839:25, 865:1, 865:3, began [2] - 851:11, 853:7, 853:9 Board [2] - 812:5, 840:4, 840:6, 840:7, 868:12, 873:18, 869:11 catch [3] - 816:7, 923:11 840:8, 841:25, 873:19, 885:6, begun [1] - 876:14 816:13, 830:23 board [1] - 887:17 843:8, 843:10, 927:16, 928:9, beings [1] - 820:9 categories [4] - 882:5, boat [1] - 925:20 849:7, 907:23 929:12 925:16, 926:4, 926:8 bench [8] - 846:2, boilerplate [2] calculator [1] - 891:15 chart [8] - 882:3, 846:3.847:11. categorize [2] -969:24, 970:2 calendar [1] - 849:22 896:2, 896:10, 847:16, 905:15, 925:15, 925:18 boilers [3] - 817:16, calendars [1] - 849:21 902:21, 950:24, 905:19, 906:18 category [1] - 926:10 817:18, 817:19 canal [1] - 819:18 951:3, 951:15, benefit [1] - 844:11 caused [3] - 850:2, bond [1] - 826:5 cap [5] - 827:11, 951:17 benzene [8] - 865:8, 851:17, 898:21 bottom [6] - 846:21, 829:18, 829:24, checks [1] - 881:5 865:15, 871:13, causes [2] - 828:6, 847:4, 887:12, 830:11 Chemical [1] - 812:6 900:8, 911:17, 849:25 951:6, 965:14, 977:1 capacity [3] - 811:17, chemical [5] - 812:18, 913:3, 913:6, 913:9 causing [1] - 958:12 bottom-line [1] -859:16, 960:5 831:21, 865:14, best [15] - 807:20, CD [2] - 950:13, 887:12 capital [1] - 876:5 910:24, 935:14 826:7, 835:4, boundary [5] - 967:17, 950:14 capture [4] - 900:1, chemicals [8] -835:14, 845:6, 968:20, 968:25. Central [1] - 863:16 900:3, 900:16, 831:18, 832:2, 845:9, 876:24, ceramic/wool [1] -969.14 900:21 832:9, 865:5, 865:7, 887:1, 904:11, 826:1 box [2] - 816:13, captured [3] - 844:13, 871:21, 878:22, 904:13, 904:14, certain [5] - 821:19, 830:23 882:18, 907:3 910:2 948:22, 952:15, 821:20, 855:12, brand (1) - 873:25 captures [2] - 900:19, chemistry [1] - 916:20 960:2, 963:12 955:11, 972:7 brick [1] - 834:16 900:22 chief [1] - 977:19 big [3] - 813:10, certification [2] briefing [1] - 980:23 car [24] - 815:3, 815:5, chimney [4] - 819:9, 949:10.949:17 873:6, 873:8 broken [2] - 840:5, 815:6, 815:10, 819:19, 829:3, 829:4 bigger [1] - 890:10 certified [1] - 937:17 884:25 815:14, 815:17, choose [1] - 931:7 Bill [1] - 805:18 CFR [1] - 928:11 brown [1] - 823:25 816:6, 816:14, chose [2] - 931:9, BILL [1] - 805:19 chair [1] - 812:4 BS [1] - 916:11 816:20, 820:23, 931:11 binder [4] - 823:17, challenging [3] -BTEX [1] - 913:3 821:6, 822:1, circumstances [1] -936:15, 942:15, 841:19, 841:21, bucks [1] - 839:15 822:21, 823:9, 968:24 951:2 882:22 buckstay [2] - 852:24, 823:12, 827:10, CITE [18] - 831:10, binders [1] - 950:10 chamber [1] - 819:13 853:7 837:16, 852:23, 831:16, 831:18, biology [1] - 916:20 chance [4] - 902:14, budget [1] - 849:19 873:20, 880:8 832:6, 832:7, 832:8, bit [18] - 810:12, 923:25, 949:15, build [1] - 891:1 carbon [1] - 865:8 832:11, 832:19, 812:22, 812:24, 968:6 builders [1] - 925:20 carbonate [6] -833:5, 833:13, 818:12, 820:17, change [3] - 827:25, building [2] - 834:14, 876:20, 877:21, 871:17, 871:20, 821:14, 834:5, 828:5, 836:4 863:6 877:23, 877:24, 872:8, 872:19, 836:13. 843:13. changed [3] - 839:11, buildings [1] - 867:1 877:25 872:25, 873:3, 858:5, 858:25, 876:19, 951:9 built [1] - 834:16 carcinogen [2] -881:15 867:25, 886:1, changes [2] - 838:8, bulk [1] - 948:25 865:15, 913:10 cited [1] - 965:11 897:10, 937:9, 881:2 bunch [2] - 857:8, career [3] - 850:10, City [4] - 856:9, 937:12, 940:5, 945:1 charge [11] - 815:16, 939:3 857:6, 885:2 856:20, 856:21, bituminous [2] -821:19, 822:4, bunker [3] - 814:25, careers [1] - 833:16 863:1 864:15, 864:16 822:10, 822:25, 815:1, 815:6 Carnegie [2] - 869:24, city [1] - 863:4 blast [5] - 813:23, 823:8, 823:9, business [2] - 812:20,

civil [1] - 834:12	class [5] - 832:3,	870:24, 874:20,	861:2, 861:11,	846:7, 847:14,
claim [1] - 881:17	873:3, 873:4, 917:5,	874:25, 884:23,	861:17, 862:4,	848:11, 848:22,
Clairton [97] - 806:20,	917:7	887:8, 890:10,	862:6, 862:10,	849:3, 849:8,
807:10, 808:5,	classes [3] - 916:13,	898:25, 966:10,	864:7, 864:22,	850:24, 852:22,
808:14, 810:5,	916:21, 917:4	975:12	865:3, 865:6,	853:19, 853:25,
810:9, 810:11,	classroom [1] - 873:5	coke [218] - 808:21,	865:19, 865:24,	854:19, 901:12,
810:15, 810:16,	clean [5] - 817:20,	809:14, 810:19,	866:14, 866:18,	906:19, 906:24,
810:23, 811:19,	818:3, 819:15,	810:21, 811:15,	866:20, 866:22,	923:20, 952:21,
811:21, 811:23,	831:23, 839:13	811:24, 812:1,	867:9, 868:10,	952:23
812:13, 812:23,	Clean [11] - 917:23,	812:12, 813:4,	868:13, 869:15,	coked [1] - 830:8
813:1, 813:5, 813:6,	918:9, 919:2, 925:6,	813:5, 813:7, 813:8,	870:10, 870:12,	coking [15] - 815:18,
813:7, 813:10,	925:10, 925:13,	813:9, 813:19,	871:7, 871:23;	815:24, 816:4,
813:14, 813:16,	927:3, 931:15,	813:21, 813:23,	876:13, 876:18,	816:12, 817:24,
813:19, 813:21,	936:23, 957:13,	814:4, 814:19,	876:25, 878:2,	820:24, 827:4,
814:22, 817:11,	957:15	814:21, 815:4,	880:11, 880:13,	830:13, 832:11,
817:21, 825:9,	cleaned [2] - 844:25,	815:9, 815:21,	881:2, 882:7, 886:5,	832:12, 833:17,
826:23, 831:11,	899:18	815:23, 815:25,	888:25, 889:3,	868:13, 871:23,
832:9, 833:20,	cleaning [2] - 818:3,	816:1, 816:4, 816:5,	890:14, 895:13,	885:9
835:11, 836:14,	876:25	816:7, 816:13,	901:12, 901:13,	collect [2] - 818:1,
836:20, 837:25,	cleans [1] - 899:23	816:14, 816:17,	904:21, 904:23,	844:9
838:15, 839:17,	clients [1] - 956:6	816:19, 816:20,	905:5, 905:11,	collected [1] - 950:2
845:18, 854:14,	close [6] - 829:14,	816:21, 816:23,	905:12, 906:14,	collection [6] -
854:15, 854:22,	841:18, 846:3,	816:24, 817:2,	906:18, 906:19,	821:16, 822:8,
857:2, 857:3, 857:5,	848:7, 951:21, 952:1	817:5, 817:10,	906:24, 907:11,	827:5, 827:18,
858:6, 858:8,	closer [9] - 841:23,	817:20, 817:22,	909:25, 910:4,	829:12, 829:15
858:15, 859:8,	905:17, 905:19,	818:1, 818:10,	910:6, 910:11,	collector [4] - 826:20
861:10, 862:21,	906:8, 906:12,	818:22, 819:11,	910:24, 911:10,	827:6, 827:20,
863:1, 863:5, 866:2,	907:1, 949:8,	819:15, 819:18,	911:13, 911:16,	834:17
867:14, 869:13,	967:25, 968:8	820:24, 821:19,	915:25, 916:1,	color [5] - 823:24,
870:9, 870:21,	closest [1] - 863:6	824:13, 824:18,	916:24, 916:25,	973:14, 973:17,
870:22, 870:24,	co [2] - 812:4, 935:16	824:21, 824:22,	917:3, 917:5, 917:7,	973:18, 973:20
871:20, 873:23,	co-chair [1] - 812:4	825:9, 825:21,	923:20, 924:23, 925:20, 926:13,	column [1] - 876:19
874:20, 874:25,	co-workers [1] -	826:19, 826:24,	CONTRACTOR AND ADDRESS OF ADDRESS ADDRE	combination [1] -
884:23, 887:8,	935:16	827:1, 827:4,	926:24, 927:3, 927:8, 927:13,	878:5
890:10, 890:21,	coal [43] - 810:19,	828:25, 829:11,	927:14, 927:21,	combust [1] - 817:19
898:2, 898:3,	810:21, 814:22,	830:8, 830:21,	928:8, 928:20,	combustion [15] -
898:25, 909:20,	814:25, 815:1,	830:23, 831:23,	929:6, 929:11,	819:9, 819:16,
911:20, 911:24,	815:2, 815:5, 815:6,	832:14, 836:15, 837:11, 839:10,	930:5, 930:12,	819:17, 819:19,
912:8, 917:14,	815:10, 815:12,	and serve at the standard court of the standard	931:1, 931:8,	819:20, 820:5,
918:17, 920:25,	815:14, 815:16,	839:14, 842:3, 842:9, 843:6,	931:23, 933:14,	829:5, 837:4, 882:6
924:23, 931:6,	816:8, 817:25,	843:24, 844:12,	933:17, 935:4,	882:8, 882:19,
933:16, 933:21,	819:10, 820:24,	844:17, 845:7,	945:3, 945:21,	883:10, 883:12,
936:17, 936:21,	821:12, 822:22,	845:14, 845:20,	948:1, 952:21,	948:13, 948:16
943:13, 944:2,	823:13, 827:10,	845:23, 846:2,	952:23, 954:6,	comments [2] -
945:2, 945:8,	838:9, 838:10,	846:7, 847:14,	971:7, 971:11,	928:25, 929:2
948:23, 949:17,	854:25, 855:3,	848:1, 848:11,	972:23, 973:1	committee [1] -
959:2, 966:10, 971:2, 975:12,	858:24, 863:12,	848:14, 848:22,	coke-industry [1] -	923:11
979:6, 979:15	863:13, 863:14,	849:3, 849:8,	812:1	common [1] - 917:25
<b>Clairton's</b> [4] - 848:19,	863:16, 863:23,	850:24, 851:3,	coke-making [14] -	Common [1] - 946:15
933:19, 934:16,	863:25, 864:12,	851:15, 852:17,	810:21, 811:24,	commonly [1] - 938:22
944:8	864:14, 864:15,	852:22, 853:19,	812:1, 814:4,	
s44.6 clarification [2] -	864:18, 871:8,	853:25, 854:14,	829:11, 830:8,	Commonwealth [2] -
962:12, 967:21	880:11, 968:18	854:15, 854:19,	866:18, 909:25,	958:15, 959:18
clarify [1] - 902:2	Coal [1] - 812:6	854:21, 855:1,	910:4, 910:6,	communities [1] -
clarity [1] - 902:2	<b>Coke</b> [20] - 808:5,	855:2, 855:3, 855:4,	910:24, 916:24,	949:13
Clark [5] - 805:18,	808:14, 812:4,	855:9, 857:8,	917:5, 917:7	community [1] -
805:23, 808:4,	812:6, 861:11,	857:16, 858:21,	coke-side [23] -	862:24
809:19, 855:19	862:21, 862:24,	858:22, 858:24,	824:18, 828:25,	company [5] - 888:17
CLARK [1] - 805:19	863:1, 866:2,	860:5, 860:14,	843:24, 845:14,	894:25, 910:12,
CLARK [1] - 003.19	867:15, 870:21,	860:18, 860:25,	845:20, 846:2,	959:17, 969:20

company's [1] -	949:3, 949:19,	807:18, 838:6, 895:2, 937:7, 939:4,	865:5, 865:7 constitute [1] - 913:21	845:2, 885:6, 900:14, 904:1,
915:22	949:22, 950:20,			904:2, 905:1, 907:4,
compare [10] -	951:5, 952:6, 952:9,	969:2, 969:12, 970:1	construct [2] - 834:10,	
836:15, 859:23,	952:11, 952:15,	conducting [2] -	834:22	922:7, 930:7,
861:3, 943:6, 945:3,	963:18, 965:12,	837:1, 873:1	constructed [8] -	934:12, 967:6
945:21, 949:5,	974:2, 975:17, 976:4	confer [1] - 956:7	819:12, 834:3,	Control [1] - 926:1
953:14, 953:17,	compliant [5] -	confusing [2] - 940:5,	843:15, 843:16,	controls [3] - 903:21,
980:4	837:22, 852:9,	961:15	844:5, 850:7, 855:7,	904:5, 948:3
compared [7] -	933:20, 934:18,	confusion [1] - 980:19	859:14	conversation [1] -
819:23, 846:7,	952:10	connection [1] -	construction [5] -	875:20
847:22, 848:2,	complicated [1] -	837:16	834:6, 834:8,	conveyers [1] -
931:10, 954:24,	923:7	connections [1] -	834:23, 834:24,	816:22
969:25	comply [13] - 882:22,	821:10	859:15	conveying [1] -
compares [1] - 835:25	898:7, 898:10,	consent [16] - 836:5,	consultants [1] -	814:24
comparison [4] -	898:11, 898:23,	836:9, 874:8,	937:23	conveys [1] - 814:24
	908:23, 909:3,	874:12, 894:8,	consumption [1] -	<b>cool</b> [1] - 816:17
848:4, 953:20,	A 90 A 164	Design Reportence Verbath Reported	879:8	cooling [1] - 828:6
953:22, 954:24	909:4, 947:21,	894:9, 894:19,		
compelling [1] -	958:21, 963:13,	903:9, 969:18,	contact [1] - 877:22	coordinator [1] -
886:22	963:15, 964:4	969:22, 969:23,	containment [1] -	826:3
competitors [1] -	complying [2] -	970:17, 970:18,	904:6	<b>copy</b> [2] - 928:1, 980:3
861:23	908:20, 933:21	970:24, 975:1, 975:3	contends [1] - 959:11	corners [1] - 851:18
complete [4] - 815:16,	component [1] - 891:2	Consent [17] - 839:1,	content [4] - 839:13,	corporation [2] -
859:5, 885:5, 891:23	components [1] -	841:8, 841:9,	864:4, 864:5	887:14, 964:14
completed [6] -	891:1	843:12, 881:23,	context [2] - 961:16,	Corporation [2] -
853:15, 853:17,	compressor [1] -	883:25, 894:12,	967:16	805:5, 810:5
853:18, 873:9,	866:25	945:25, 946:12,	continue [4] - 855:2,	corrected [1] - 873:22
877:5, 877:8	COMS [12] - 843:1,	946:22, 948:4,	884:7, 886:9, 386:12	correcting [1] -
completely [1] -	881:19, 883:7,	949:20, 950:21,	continued [1] - 833:3	879:12
and a second the second s	884:5, 884:6,	951:10, 952:3,	continuos [1] - 948:18	correction [1] -
959:24	949:23, 950:17,	952:5, 952:12	An and a second se	879:22
completes [1] -	the start to any the second to		continuous [13] -	
853:23	950:23, 966:6,	consented [1] -	819:21, 820:2,	corrective [1] - 839:21
completion [1] - 839:8	966:14, 966:19,	894:20	832:7, 837:3,	correlation [1] -
complex [1] - 884:9	966:23	consequences [1] -	837:18, 840:10,	881:10
complexity [1] -	<b>concede</b> [3] - 963:21,	974:11	883:11, 909:8,	<b>cost</b> [8] - 838:20,
927:21	964:1, 968:10	consider [6] - 834:8,	909:10, 909:11,	839:14, 870:2,
compliance [63] -	concept [1] - 881:4	859:2, 913:12,	909:14, 909:16,	870:5, 888:17,
838:1, 838:15,	concern [5] - 855:17,	975:25, 977:11,	949:23	888:19, 891:4, 891:8
840:1, 840:9,	855:18, 909:5,	977:15	continuously [1] -	cost-improvement [1
840:12, 841:3,	913:12, 913:22	considerable [1] -	819:21	- 870:2
841:5, 841:7,	concerned [2] - 899:4,	908:16	contract [2] - 813:18,	costs [7] - 859:1,
841:12, 841:14,	912:23	considerably [1] -	827:23	860:1, 860:4,
841:23, 841:25,	concerns [8] - 843:7,	897:20	contraction [4] -	860:18, 860:19,
SIN S. 2011 N.M. 19	843:9, 849:2, 854:9,	consideration [4] -	827:19, 828:2,	860:25, 888:20
842:6, 842:25,		908:4, 975:17,		
843:1, 848:19,	854:10, 943:12,		828:7, 902:7	Council [1] - 923:12
852:15, 881:18,	943:16, 943:18	975:20, 976:7	contractor [1] -	country [5] - 836:16,
882:2, 883:14,	concluded [2] -	considerations [1] -	807:15	836:18, 945:4,
883:17, 883:19,	938:20, 938:23	898:24	contractors [1] -	945:5, 945:11
883:22, 883:23,	conclusion [9] -	considered [2] -	937:23	county [10] - 820:11,
883:24, 884:5,	815:24, 816:3,	922:13, 922:14	contribute [2] - 919:3,	831:16, 908:10,
886:24, 887:1,	816:12, 822:9,	considering [1] -	919:23	922:16, 922:17,
888:17, 888:22,	823:8, 823:12,	945:22	contributing [2] -	923:1, 943:18,
899:1, 908:11,	829:11, 830:7,	consistency [1] -	875:1, 958:13	961:25, 963:4,
908:17, 910:17,	938:15	941:8	contribution [1] -	975:25
912:8, 915:23,	concrete [1] - 834:12	consistent [1] - 955:5	922:7	County [34] - 805:5,
916:19, 917:13,	condense [2] -	consists [2] - 832:11,	contributions [1] -	805:8, 805:24,
933:19, 934:17,	825:20, 850:13	844:21	922:5	836:24, 841:10,
934:22, 937:6,	condition [5] - 816:11,			862:13, 862:17,
	and the second of the second way	consolidated [1] -	control [18] - 808:24,	
945:7, 945:16,	830:13, 850:2,	922:19	809:15, 835:7,	873:11, 874:22,
947:1, 947:3, 947:9,	884:8, 890:21	constantly [1] - 820:4	835:8, 844:2,	875:11, 877:14,
947:12, 947:18,	conditions [8] -	constituent [2] -	844:12, 844:15,	879:20, 894:5,

912:18, 912:21,	807:8	930:2, 930:3, 946:8,	demonstrated [1] -	944:1, 972:13
912:23, 919:20,	crude [1] - 813:24	946:11, 950:17,	949:10	descriptions [3] -
920:2, 920:6,	cryogenic [2] -	950:19, 956:5,	demonstrates [1] -	972:17, 972:20,
920:24, 921:11,	876:23, 876:24	959:9, 959:24,	951:11	973:1
921:21, 923:8,	cubic [1] - 897:11	961:17, 977:4,	demonstration [1] -	design [6] - 825:17,
923:12, 924:14,	culminating [1] -	978:23, 979:8,	840:1	825:21, 850:9,
927:7, 936:18,		979:20, 979:24,	DEP [3] - 889:13,	857:8, 903:20, 911:
25 A A	810:18	980:2, 980:16,	920:8, 927:7	designation [3] -
939:25, 944:7,	culpable [1] - 919:22	980:21	1 000 W MON P	957:5, 957:6, 976:14
946:14, 953:9,	current [6] - 811:18,	Dausch [8] - 805:10,	departed [1] - 810:23	designations [1] -
957:2, 976:9, 976:11	887:8, 909:18,		department [4] -	
County's [2] - 879:24,	929:17, 944:12,	805:16, 809:21,	807:14, 916:7,	918:23
907:22	953:14	902:22, 913:16,	916:18, 917:9	designed [7] - 825:14
county's [1] - 943:12	cutaway [1] - 817:4	978:20, 979:19,	Department [36] -	827:16, 827:17,
couple [5] - 833:14,	cycle [9] - 815:18,	979:23	805:5, 805:9,	845:2, 873:19,
851:13, 877:2,	815:24, 816:4,	Dausch's [1] - 809:8	805:24, 806:25,	879:16, 936:22
879:1, 948:24	816:12, 820:25,	day-to-day [2] -	807:9, 807:21,	desolve [1] - 876:21
course [12] - 815:19,	829:11, 830:8,	810:10, 887:12	835:17, 835:19,	destroy [2] - 807:22,
827:3, 832:22,	830:13, 868:13	days [8] - 837:2,	836:6, 836:25,	855:21
838:17, 838:22,	cylindrical [2] - 829:2,	848:17, 884:11,	841:11, 849:2,	destruction [1] -
850:6, 858:23,	829:4	884:14, 886:7,	850:22, 857:15,	807:2
877:1, 880:17,	020.4	948:19, 954:17,	872:15, 873:11,	desulfurization [3] -
885:2, 898:20,	D	954:21	875:11, 894:5,	839:10, 876:19,
974:12	D	DECEMBER [1] -	912:22, 912:23,	895:13
COURT [1] - 915:1	damage [8] - 825:2,	805:1	919:21, 920:14,	details [1] - 938:19
	855:6, 855:10,	December [3] - 805:3,	921:12, 921:22,	deteriorating [1] -
court [1] - 805:15	856:8, 856:12,		924:4, 927:7,	
Court [2] - 946:14,	856:14, 857:13,	928:13, 966:5		851:9
946:22	891:6	decision [1] - 976:2	936:18, 939:25,	deterioration [8] -
cover [3] - 823:7,	damper [2] - 829:13,	decisions [1] - 959:17	944:7, 946:13,	841:22, 850:17,
848:10, 942:13	and the second	decrease [1] - 849:21	948:7, 953:10,	852:13, 855:11,
cracks [3] - 824:5,	829:14	decreased [1] - 843:1	958:10, 959:10,	855:24, 856:6,
851:17, 851:20	dampering [2] - 822:6,	dedicated [2] -	960:4, 960:6	857:25, 858:1
crane [1] - 852:25	829:13	822:17, 824:9	Department's [5] -	determines [2] -
created [2] - 835:19,	dampers [1] - 822:6	deducted [1] - 907:14	846:25, 912:18,	881:1, 975:23
930:4	dark [2] - 828:20,	deduction [2] - 907:5,	942:5, 942:25,	determining [1] -
crew [1] - 827:14	973:23	908:4	943:13	971:18
criminal [1] - 916:12	darkness [1] - 867:25	deductions [1] - 907:8	departs [1] - 815:6	deterrent [1] - 910:19
criteria [13] - 917:19,	data [16] - 850:22,	defects [1] - 851:17	depict [2] - 824:21,	detrimental [1] -
	885:21, 910:9,	defer [1] - 940:12	828:22	841:24
917:25, 918:5,	918:22, 919:2,	definitely [1] - 916:1	depicted [9] - 817:2,	development [3] -
918:7, 918:8,	935:12, 950:1,		817:7, 823:4, 823:5,	849:15, 915:23,
918:21, 918:25,	950:5, 950:17,	definition [1] - 955:24	824:13, 826:11,	
924:7, 924:20,	950:23, 951:6,	degradation [4] -	A TENENGANA CO	940:23
925:4, 926:18,	951:8, 955:12,	882:24, 882:25,	826:12, 829:8,	deviate [1] - 938:25
957:9, 969:7	965:3, 976:4	883:3, 884:4	830:18	deviation [2] - 939:3,
critical [4] - 934:5,	and a set the set of t	degree [7] - 887:4,	depiction [7] - 816:1,	939:21
974:14, 974:15,	database [1] - 950:3	887:5, 887:7,	818:22, 818:23,	device [5] - 808:24,
980:13	daughter [1] - 945:16	910:25, 916:12,	821:4, 821:5,	809:15, 815:13,
Croatia [1] - 861:25	daughter's [1] - 974:5	956:22, 956:25	821:18, 828:23	820:6, 900:16
cross [4] - 817:5,	DAUSCH [44] -	degrees [3] - 816:15,	Depiction [1] - 824:17	diagram [8] - 814:8,
821:5, 968:20,	805:10, 805:18,	816:18, 819:11	depicts [3] - 818:21,	814:15, 820:19,
968:24	805:22, 807:25,	delay [1] - 886:2	868:8, 951:2	823:4, 826:13,
CROSS [3] - 808:2,	808:25, 809:18,	delegated [1] - 957:14	derived [1] - 859:17	830:18, 831:3
	809:22, 810:2,		descends [1] - 897:20	differ [4] - 835:1,
861:8, 956:17	814:17, 817:1,	delivering [1] - 822:22	describe [3] - 817:14,	835:10, 947:15,
CROSS-	861:6, 891:16,	<b>DeLuca</b> [3] - 806:10,	821:2, 870:1	machenize as
EXAMINATION [3] -	895:15, 895:22,	845:10, 847:25	the second	965:1
808:2, 861:8, 956:17	896:12, 902:18,	demand [2] - 856:19,	described [13] - 818:7,	difference [4] - 819:4,
cross-section [1] -	911:7, 912:11,	856:25	829:22, 838:13,	917:22, 917:23,
821:5	200 00000 - 0 000 - 100 0000 - 00	demarcation [1] -	919:10, 921:2,	974:8
cross-sectional [1] -	913:18, 914:4,	905:21	923:18, 926:25,	differences [1] -
817:5	914:12, 914:21,	demonstrate [1] -	927:19, 934:4,	833:24
017.5	915:2, 915:10,	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	935:25, 942:19,	different [46] - 818:7,

820:14, 826:25,	972:3	954:3	949:2	935:19, 945:2,
837:7, 837:9,	dish (1) - 829:14	door-frame [1] - 853:9	driven [1] - 865:2	955:23, 958:22,
843:24, 846:9,	dispersion [1] -	door-leak [10] -	drop [1] - 821:19	961:23, 962:1,
846:10, 856:16,	967:24	847:13, 848:22,	dropped [1] - 815:2	974:8, 978:5, 978:13
857:4, 860:9,	Dispute [2] - 970:10,	853:20, 853:24,	drops [1] - 954:18	Emissions [1] - 912:2
860:11, 863:22,	970:12	922:9, 923:15,	dry [1] - 968:16	emissions [91] -
882:4, 903:25,	dispute [5] - 970:16,	923:21, 933:25,	duct [1] - 844:19	817:12, 818:4,
the second second second second		934:3, 952:22	due [6] - 834:25,	818:10, 819:20,
904:25, 911:8,	970:20, 970:21,	doors [69] - 824:12,		819:23, 819:24,
911:12, 918:20,	970:22, 970:23	The second se	838:9, 851:18,	820:6, 820:8,
919:18, 921:5,	dissipate [1] - 969:13	824:21, 824:25,	856:7, 856:13,	820:15, 820:20,
923:9, 925:12,	distance [3] - 905:6,	825:2, 825:7, 825:9,	927:21	821:21, 821:23,
926:4, 926:22,	905:25, 906:25	825:12, 825:21,	duly [1] - 805:14	822:13, 824:7,
930:4, 930:21,	distinction [1] -	826:8, 837:11,	dump [1] - 816:21	825:13, 827:8,
931:16, 934:11,	967:23	837:12, 838:3,	Duquense [1] - 812:20	828:12, 828:15,
935:4, 937:20,	division [3] - 810:19,	843:20, 843:22,	Duquesne [1] - 916:21	2012 271
938:6, 938:25,	811:3, 910:2	843:24, 843:25,	durations [1] - 898:1	829:8, 829:10,
939:16, 940:15,	docket [2] - 977:4,	844:1, 844:13,	dust [2] - 865:2,	832:18, 835:9,
941:5, 941:19,	977:5	845:20, 845:23,	968:17	836:2, 839:16,
942:16, 943:11,	dollars [1] - 852:2	845:24, 846:4,	duties [2] - 810:7,	842:25, 844:2,
943:18, 951:12,	door [86] - 815:23,	846:19, 847:6,	950:4	844:10, 844:12,
973:14, 973:22,	816:2, 816:3,	847:7, 847:19,	duty [1] - 887:21	844:15, 844:18,
973:24, 974:10,	824:15, 824:18,	847:22, 847:23,		844:20, 844:23,
974:11	825:3, 825:4,	848:8, 848:12,	E	845:2, 866:17,
differently [5] -	825:14, 825:17,	848:15, 849:3,		866:20, 867:2,
819:25, 820:1,	826:2, 826:5,	849:8, 850:7,	ease [1] - 925:15	867:3, 867:4, 867:6,
845:19, 925:4,	830:22, 830:23,	864:21, 886:11,	Eastern [1] - 862:5	867:8, 868:11,
957:11	846:2, 846:7, 846:8,	890:14, 890:23,	economic [2] -	872:16, 873:15,
difficult [2] - 851:21,	847:1, 847:13,	890:25, 891:3,	834:25, 856:3	873:18, 882:9,
851:24	847:21, 848:1,	891:13, 892:9,	education [1] - 956:19	882:14, 882:19,
dilemma (1) - 980:13	848:2, 848:11,	892:18, 892:21,	educational [2] -	883:21, 884:2,
diligently [1] - 909:4	848:22, 849:21,	892:23, 901:10,	812:17, 916:10	900:18, 900:21,
dioxide [2] - 865:9,		901:12, 901:15,	effective [2] - 947:4,	900:22, 900:23,
879:14	849:25, 850:1,	901:20, 901:24,	948:11	901:23, 902:9,
DIRECT [3] - 805:21,	850:2, 850:4,	901:25, 907:9,	efficiency [1] - 877:24	904:14, 906:1,
* .*	850:10, 850:24,	907:10, 907:12,	egg [4] - 972:22,	906:4, 906:9,
810:1, 915:9	851:2, 851:14,	927:17, 928:8,	973:6, 973:10,	911:21, 913:20,
direct [6] - 809:1,	852:25, 853:4,	929:12, 934:14,	973:12	914:1, 917:13,
809:2, 813:16,	853:9, 853:10,	948:1, 952:23,	either [7] - 819:12,	919:5, 920:18,
893:6, 969:6, 970:9	853:20, 853:24,	953:1, 953:15,		934:14, 934:16,
direct-line [1] - 893:6	854:3, 854:19,	953:24, 954:2,	825:25, 826:18,	949:1, 949:9,
directly [2] - 967:12,	871:15, 880:14,	954:5, 954:15,	828:16, 864:21,	949:12, 956:1,
971:6	880:15, 882:12,		921:5, 930:9	958:11, 958:16,
director [3] - 811:7,	882:22, 886:4,	971:20	electronic [3] - 950:2,	958:18, 967:15,
811:9, 811:13	886:8, 886:12,	down [14] - 809:20,	950:3, 950:11	967:18, 967:22,
directors [1] - 887:17	886:16, 890:20,	816:17, 817:8,	elevates [1] - 841:4	967:23, 968:7,
Directors [1] - 812:5	890:22, 891:2,	824:7, 826:18,	Emission [1] - 933:22	968:19, 969:7,
dirty [1] - 871:10	891:5, 891:7,	827:21, 841:23,	emission [43] -	969:10, 972:6,
discern [1] - 972:7	891:11, 891:12,	856:13, 870:15,	817:23, 818:7,	977:25, 978:1,
discharged [1] -	891:13, 891:23,	883:17, 884:25,	818:13, 818:24,	978:4, 978:8, 978:9
899:24	891:24, 892:10,	897:18, 914:14,	819:1, 819:3, 819:5,	
discipline [5] - 881:5,	892:15, 893:3,	979:22	819:7, 819:8, 829:9,	emit [1] - 948:25
881:7, 881:8, 881:13	893:6, 904:21,	downturn [1] - 856:25	830:17, 833:11,	emits [1] - 926:11
discuss [3] - 929:21,	905:18, 905:20,	downward [1] -	834:19, 838:19,	emitted [5] - 818:1,
940:8, 960:13	906:5, 906:9,	897:10	840:7, 844:11,	868:14, 911:9,
discussed [2] -	906:12, 906:18,	draft [6] - 960:21,	866:22, 874:1,	911:13, 924:23
832:10, 948:17	907:1, 907:3, 907:6,	960:25, 961:4,	882:4, 903:21,	employ [2] - 827:7,
2	907:21, 922:9,	961:12, 977:22	903:25, 904:5,	898:21
discusses [1] - 917:24	923:15, 923:21,	draw [1] - 895:10	907:4, 921:16,	employed [1] - 831:11
discussion [1] -	933:25, 934:3,	drawn [1] - 844:23	921:18, 921:25,	employee [4] -
934:10	934:8, 934:16,	dress [1] - 853:3	922:9, 930:20,	805:23, 807:9,
discussions [3] -				807:14, 813:15
943:17, 963:23,	952:21, 952:22,	drive [2] - 817:25,	930:22, 934:18,	007.14, 010.10

employees [15] - 813:13, 813:17,	engage [1] - 870:3 engaged [3] - 838:23,	976:3, 976:8 EPA's [2] - 934:23,	914:6, 915:9, 956:17, 978:22,	909:6, 916:1, 944:23, 954:24
813:18, 822:17,	839:5, 852:1	940:22	979:10	exit [2] - 845:1, 901:7
824:9, 824:24,	engineer [6] - 878:18,	equaling [1] - 877:16	examine [1] - 845:13	exits [2] - 816:19,
825:8, 828:11,	878:19, 910:3,	equalizing [2] -	example [6] - 904:7,	827:4
832:24, 833:9,	910:5, 910:7, 977:20	837:16, 873:20	910:22, 919:19,	expand [2] - 827:23,
871:25, 872:4,	engineering [7] -	equated [1] - 945:14	922:25, 926:12,	832:4
873:4, 885:5, 898:15	812:19, 865:14,	equipment [10] -	968:23	expanded [3] -
	878:12, 878:14,	814:24, 837:8,	excavate [1] - 834:10	871:18, 871:19,
employing [1] -	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	the second se	exceed [2] - 841:19,	871:23
898:22	878:17, 910:25,	837:10, 838:2,	976:22	expansion [4] -
employment [3] -	977:19	838:4, 838:5,		827:19, 828:2,
810:13, 810:14,	engineers [5] -	865:25, 866:8,	exceedance [2] -	828:6, 902:7
916:3	878:21, 878:24,	866:10, 934:12	862:20, 958:13	Contraction of the second
enacted [5] - 922:23,	909:19, 909:22,	equipped [1] - 906:24	exceedances [3] -	expect [8] - 847:21,
923:5, 926:24,	910:15	equivalency [1] -	875:1, 919:23,	853:15, 853:24,
931:13, 933:3	enormous [1] - 860:18	906:19	966:22	855:12, 858:14,
encompasses [2] -	ensure [4] - 823:14,	equivalent [4] - 849:7,	exceeding [1] -	858:17, 922:4,
844:17, 848:13	833:8, 886:13, 937:6	908:3, 953:18, 954:4	842:18	924:17
end-flue [3] - 838:23,	ensures [1] - 824:5	escape [3] - 825:19,	exceeds [1] - 842:13	expenditures [1] -
876:10, 877:1	ensuring [5] - 822:2,	850:13, 902:9	Excel [2] - 950:11,	876:5
endanger [1] - 958:19	825:6, 827:11,	escaping [1] - 825:13	950:13	expense [1] - 852:2
energy [2] - 879:6,	828:10, 852:15	essentially [4] -	excellent [1] - 965:16	experience [10] -
880:3	enter [1] - 888:7	813:25, 834:7,	exception [2] - 809:6,	807:10, 811:21,
enforce [2] - 940:9,	entered [4] - 836:6,	843:11, 856:11	837:15	811:22, 811:24,
0.024-020-0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000		establish [2] - 978:3,	exceptionally [1] -	845:16, 872:5,
940:10	841:9, 946:14,		878:17	910:18, 910:23,
enforceable [2] -	946:22	978:5	X C K C 2 2 2 2 7 0 7 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1	945:20
962:18, 965:10	enters [1] - 899:20	established [8] -	excluding [1] - 876:9	experienced [2] -
enforced [3] - 953:7,	entire [11] - 810:9,	840:20, 927:20,	executed [2] - 969:20,	
953:8, 953:10	811:8, 834:16,	930:6, 958:14,	977:14	855:23, 857:25
enforcement [61] -	848:14, 866:4,	958:22, 960:1,	exhibit [3] - 819:2,	expertise [1] - 910:2
806:1, 806:3, 806:6,	868:16, 904:6,	964:13, 976:20	950:11, 980:12	experts [1] - 917:2
806:13, 806:15,	948:12, 948:23,	establishing [2] -	Exhibit [47] - 814:6,	explain [15] - 819:4,
806:25, 818:5,	951:20, 959:4	958:1, 977:25	814:14, 817:3,	820:17, 821:22,
830:25, 831:7,	environment [2] -	estimate [4] - 859:6,	818:14, 820:20,	825:11, 828:1,
833:1, 835:20,	832:8, 881:10	859:10, 859:18,	821:1, 824:13,	830:5, 831:15,
839:19, 839:22,	environmental [28] -	891:9	824:20, 826:11,	844:14, 849:25,
840:15, 840:19,	812:11, 835:5,	estimates [1] - 859:15	826:15, 828:18,	876:3, 877:19,
840:22, 848:23,	855:11, 856:7,	ethylbenzene [1] -	829:8, 830:18,	926:9, 927:2,
848:25, 849:6,	858:2, 870:5,	913:4	836:4, 836:5, 846:5,	953:22, 954:12
849:18, 852:6,		The second se	847:10, 867:13,	exploded [1] - 884:2
854:5, 854:20,	875:11, 875:12,	Europe [1] - 862:5	874:6, 874:8, 895:6,	exposure [3] - 867:5
personal transmission of the second second	888:16, 888:22,	evacuated [2] -	915:4, 920:19,	867:7, 974:14
858:11, 859:2,	899:1, 899:16,	844:19, 844:25	915:4, 920:19, 920:21, 921:14,	extended [1] - 852:2
859:5, 859:24,	899:17, 915:13,	evaluate [3] - 919:2,		
860:20, 872:13,	915:20, 916:7,	971:10, 971:15	927:23, 927:25,	extensive [2] - 933:4
886:22, 893:20,	916:9, 916:21,	evaluated [2] - 971:2,	929:8, 929:10,	955:8
893:23, 893:25,	917:5, 917:7,	971:6	932:11, 932:25,	extent [2] - 894:16,
894:22, 894:25,	927:10, 935:7,	evaluation [5] - 965:3,	936:14, 938:8,	959:9
898:6, 898:11,	948:11, 948:22,	967:6, 971:12,	940:20, 940:21,	extreme [1] - 825:15
898:20, 898:23,	952:16, 966:7,	971:14, 971:24	940:22, 942:7,	extrusion [1] - 815:1
907:22, 908:13,	966:11, 966:13	event [1] - 825:1	944:4, 946:4, 946:6,	eye [2] - 940:1, 941:
910:17, 915:23,	Environmental [1] -	events [2] - 838:8,	950:17, 951:1,	
918:4, 923:21,	919:21	838:9	964:16, 970:4,	F
924:5, 924:8, 946:4,	EPA [18] - 919:21,	Evidence [1] - 809:4	970:5, 970:7, 976:25	
947:13, 947:17,	920:8, 928:22,	evidence [3] - 855:8,	exhibits [4] - 814:12,	fabric [4] - 844:21,
952:18, 952:24,	932:1, 933:4, 938:5,	The reserve and a second state of the second states	914:22, 914:24,	844:24, 899:21,
953:16, 953:25,	932:1, 933:4, 938:5, 938:12, 939:14,	888:7, 980:14	980:3	899:22
954:25, 955:2,	ALC AND DO DO DO DO DO DO DO	evolved [1] - 821:12	exist [2] - 914:1,	face [1] - 905:10
	940:8, 940:19,	EXAMINATION [12] -	923:14	facilities [19] - 810:2
956:3, 958:25,	940:24, 941:3,	805:21, 808:2,	existence [1] - 812:25	811:6, 813:23,
962:5, 966:18,	941:12, 955:8,	810:1, 861:8, 911:6,		861:19, 861:22,
966:24	971:16, 975:23,	912:15, 913:17,	existing [5] - 886:9,	001.10,001.22

870:16, 888:25,	895:22, 896:4,	860:16	fresh [1] - 823:22	865:4, 865:6,
915:24, 916:2,	896:5, 902:12,	fines [1] - 858:3	front [4] - 888:2,	868:14, 871:5,
917:2, 919:6, 919:7,	917:19, 920:21,	firsthand [1] - 885:4	888:5, 888:8, 976:25	871:7, 871:10,
926:22, 927:3,	923:20, 936:3,	five-second [1] -	fuel [4] - 813:25,	876:18, 876:23,
927:21, 931:8,	937:15, 938:8,	941:20	831:24, 839:13,	876:24, 876:25,
937:22, 971:7,	939:6, 944:4, 952:22	five-year [1] - 857:18	860:7	878:2, 895:13,
971:25	familiarize [1] -	fix [1] - 881:20	fugitive [29] - 818:10,	911:17, 935:4,
facility [55] - 810:9,	917:16	flat [1] - 811:14	818:13, 818:24,	972:23, 973:2
813:3, 813:12,	fans [1] - 844:19	flat-rolled [1] - 811:14	819:2, 819:5,	gaseous [8] - 860:7,
813:16, 813:24,	far [3] - 863:3, 883:13,		819:24, 820:6,	900:3, 900:5,
		flip [2] - 874:6, 957:18	820:8, 820:20,	900:15, 900:21,
817:16, 817:21,	914:23	flow [2] - 814:20,	821:21, 822:13,	900:23, 901:23,
825:6, 833:18,	Farenheit [5] - 816:15,	816:5		902:8
836:22, 837:25,	816:17, 816:18,	flows [1] - 827:4	828:12, 830:17,	gases [3] - 830:10,
839:10, 856:9,	817:19, 819:11	fluctuations [1] -	832:18, 833:11,	
858:2, 860:22,	federal [20] - 848:10,	827:24	840:7, 842:25,	830:12, 901:7
861:11, 862:5,	851:10, 912:19,	flue [4] - 819:15,	844:10, 844:23,	gear [2] - 865:22,
862:6, 862:7,	935:23, 936:19,	838:23, 876:10,	882:9, 884:1, 922:9,	866:23
862:10, 862:11,	936:25, 953:5,	877:1	935:19, 967:14,	gears [1] - 957:10
862:18, 863:7,	953:8, 953:17,	flues [2] - 819:14	967:18, 969:10,	generally [11] - 814
863:9, 866:4, 868:6,	953:23, 954:8,	flushing [1] - 901:13	977:25, 978:4, 978:9	817:14, 847:5,
868:16, 869:11,	955:3, 962:6,	focused [3] - 811:15,	fugitives [18] - 949:14,	889:8, 926:2, 945
869:13, 876:23,	962:10, 962:13,	916:19, 920:1	966:16, 967:13,	945:9, 945:18,
876:24, 877:11,	962:15, 962:17,		967:17, 967:23,	946:21, 946:23,
878:24, 880:5,	965:1, 965:9, 976:23	focuses [1] - 952:6	967:24, 968:1,	954:12
882:2, 887:13,	Federal [1] - 965:7	focusing [1] - 917:9	968:3, 968:8,	generate [2] - 817:2
889:7, 889:9,	2 A	folks [5] - 901:17,	968:10, 968:20,	817:21
890:22, 893:15,	federally [1] - 962:18	919:18, 920:17,	968:21, 968:23,	generated [1] - 827:
909:25, 910:11,	feet [12] - 847:1,	926:5, 935:14	969:1, 972:10,	glance [2] - 941:18,
	847:5, 847:7,	follow [2] - 809:5,	972:18, 978:13	941:24
931:6, 936:24,	847:23, 905:17,	822:12	and the second sec	
948:12, 949:1,	905:20, 905:23,	foot [1] - 905:22	full [4] - 824:11,	glass [1] - 826:3
949:13, 959:4,	905:24, 906:2,	footprint [1] - 813:10	871:25, 884:11,	GM [1] - 915:20
963:6, 964:9, 979:7,	906:5, 906:6, 906:12	forces [2] - 878:7,	904:6	goose's [1] - 821:15
979:13	fewer [5] - 886:16,	878:10	full-time [1] - 871:25	gooseneck [2] -
facility's [2] - 966:7,	904:16, 904:21,	format [1] - 950:24	function [1] - 838:2	821:14, 827:17
966:11	904:22	formed [3] - 831:21,	functioning [1] -	governed [1] - 841:
facility-wide [1] -	fiduciary [1] - 887:21	851:20, 871:7	838:5	governing [1] - 866:
878:24	fifteen [1] - 868:23		fundamentally [1] -	government [2] -
fact [5] - 809:5, 820:1,	fifty [1] - 891:14	former [1] - 916:2	938:20	912:19, 935:23
864:9, 907:3, 973:16	figure [1] - 961:16	forth [2] - 917:24,	furnace [2] - 813:23,	grade [4] - 974:8,
factors [1] - 875:1	file [2] - 950:11,	939:13	814:2	974:9, 974:13,
fair [20] - 807:23,		fortunately [1] -	furnaces [3] - 816:24,	974:25
864:13, 868:1,	950:13	976:11	860:12, 860:24	
	fill [1] - 826:1	forward [1] - 980:12		grades [1] - 974:5
874:2, 888:14,	filters [2] - 899:21,	foul [13] - 818:1,	future [1] - 872:19	gradient [1] - 827:2
889:25, 892:6,	899:23	821:16, 822:7,		graduate [1] - 916:1
895:3, 896:9,	final [13] - 928:21,	827:3, 827:5,	G	Graham [1] - 935:2
897:21, 897:25,	929:3, 929:5,	827:17, 829:12,	gain [1] - 916:16	grains [2] - 831:24,
899:2, 899:13,	929:11, 932:18,	829:15, 830:9,	gap [2] - 826:2, 902:4	831:25
899:19, 903:6,	932:22, 932:23,	834:18, 871:5,	<b>Gary</b> [5] - 811:2,	Granite [3] - 856:9,
907:6, 909:16,	933:1, 933:2,			856:20, 856:21
957:13, 957:16,	940:22, 940:25,	871:7, 871:9	811:4, 811:5, 870:20	graph [2] - 897:13,
969:24	943:7, 977:11	foundation [3] -	gas [41] - 817:20,	951:3
fairly [3] - 809:10,	finalize [1] - 924:15	834:8, 834:10,	818:1, 818:3, 818:4,	gravity [2] - 815:2,
943:17, 969:8	finalized [6] - 920:4,	902:19	819:16, 821:11,	815:12
faith [1] - 908:11		foundation-up [1] -	821:12, 821:16,	
familiar [25] - 808:10,	922:16, 927:15,	834:8	822:7, 825:19,	gray [1] - 828:20
808:20, 831:13,	929:16, 936:13,	four-year [1] - 834:23	827:3, 827:5,	ground [4] - 949:8,
	943:9	frame [2] - 853:4,	827:18, 829:12,	949:14, 967:25,
839:20, 857:20,	finally [1] - 932:9	853:9	829:15, 830:9,	968:9
869:24, 888:24,	financial [2] - 860:17,	frames [3] - 851:15,	831:22, 831:24,	group [7] - 828:9,
889:6, 889:8, 890:7,	887:13	852:25, 853:10	834:18, 839:10,	875:12, 926:2,
893:10, 895:7,		302.20, 000.10	10 C	02615 020-0
000.10, 000.1,	financially [1] -	free [1] - 822:2	839:14, 850:13,	926:5, 930:9,

930:23, 935:7	hear [2] - 831:9,	860:2, 860:10,	908:24	877:24
groups [7] - 812:2,	857:15	860:16, 889:11,	idled [3] - 856:21,	improving [4] - 843:4,
812:7, 812:8,	hearings [1] - 922:21	897:1, 897:4,	856:22, 860:10	843:11, 948:1, 952:6
833:17, 861:16,	hearsay [1] - 809:6	898:13, 908:24	idling [13] - 807:1,	in-house [4] - 878:12,
	heat [4] - 819:18,	hour [2] - 862:19,	807:21, 853:20,	878:14, 878:17,
927:10		920:25	854:23, 854:25,	917:1
guess [1] - 926:17	851:18, 855:2, 855:3			
guidance [3] - 963:5,	heating [8] - 819:10,	hours [4] - 816:10,	855:5, 855:6,	inappropriate [1] -
963:7, 963:10	819:14, 819:17,	816:11, 869:6,	855:20, 857:3,	941:4
guide [1] - 816:4	828:6, 833:17,	884:11	857:10, 857:17,	include [5] - 810:8,
guys [2] - 891:15,	834:14, 910:8	house [12] - 844:20,	859:1, 897:1	933:25, 936:19,
980:8	heavy [1] - 871:11	844:21, 844:22,	ignite [1] - 830:12	936:25, 944:18
	height [2] - 949:5	845:1, 862:22,	illustrate [1] - 821:18	included [5] - 806:12,
Н	helps [1] - 818:15	878:12, 878:14,	illustrated [1] - 821:6	935:3, 944:8,
п	high [8] - 817:18,	878:17, 899:19,	illustrates [2] -	944:20, 944:23
H2S [3] - 831:24,		899:21, 900:16,	820:22, 821:17	includes [3] - 806:15,
831:25, 877:16	881:18, 945:9,	917:1	immediate [1] -	840:11, 964:25
Hafeez [1] - 977:3	945:13, 945:22,	human [3] - 820:7,		including [3] - 859:22,
half [7] - 840:6, 840:8,	951:25, 968:7,		958:23	
840:11, 858:9,	968:19	820:9, 939:22	impact [11] - 832:17,	900:23, 931:8
	high-pressure [1] -	hundred [4] - 848:21,	839:16, 850:15,	increase [4] - 851:2,
862:19, 938:23,	817:18	884:14, 891:14,	858:14, 859:7,	851:10, 903:12,
949:21	higher [2] - 949:11,	934:25	860:15, 860:18,	903:16
halfway [1] - 946:8	955:18	hydrocarbon [2] -	860:22, 898:5,	increased [1] - 876:12
hazardous [19] -	hillside [1] - 863:4	871:11, 871:12	918:12, 971:18	increasing [1] -
911:9, 911:13,	hire [2] - 910:19,	hydrocarbons [1] -	impacted [2] - 921:25,	810:17
911:21, 912:5,	937:22	871:11	922:14	incremental [1] -
913:20, 917:20,	and the second sec	hydrogen [6] - 818:4,	impacting [1] - 969:5	860:22
918:2, 918:6,	hired [1] - 858:8	839:13, 865:8,	impacts [2] - 832:16,	independent [2] -
924:21, 924:22,	hiring [4] - 832:24,	878:1, 879:10,	935:16	827:20, 902:7
925:3, 925:8,	833:7, 858:7, 898:18	895:12		
925:10, 925:14,	history [5] - 810:13,		implement [7] -	independently [1] -
925:17, 926:21,	812:23, 812:24,	hypothetical [2] -	898:18, 909:1,	965:10
	881:8, 916:3	867:11, 961:18	909:2, 919:8, 921:7,	Indiana [4] - 811:2,
933:12, 934:20,	hit [1] - 969:13		932:8, 947:8	811:4, 811:5, 870:20
935:18	hole [2] - 822:24,		implementation [8] -	indicated [14] - 807:4,
Hazardous [2] - 912:3,	823:20	1 005.40	879:14, 919:12,	850:1, 871:17,
933:22	holiday [1] - 873:2	i.e [1] - 965:10	919:13, 958:5,	871:21, 874:7,
hazards [1] - 935:4	home [2] - 945:16,	ID [1] - 959:21	964:22, 964:24,	880:5, 884:3,
head [1] - 891:19		idea [3] - 830:6,	970:17, 970:18	893:19, 896:25,
health [20] - 812:11,	965:25	836:19, 888:23	implemented [2] -	898:17, 899:18,
912:24, 913:1,	homeland [1] - 966:1	ideas [1] - 870:4	922:7, 964:3	906:1, 906:4, 906:7
913:12, 913:21,	hooks [1] - 837:16	identification [1] -	important [11] - 866:6,	indicator [1] - 946:24
914:9, 918:12,	hope [1] - 909:2	921:16		18.00
932:2, 932:6, 933:7,	hopes [1] - 908:20	identified [6] - 835:22,	899:15, 938:1,	individual [10] - 835:6
933:10, 934:24,	hoppers [1] - 821:20	905:21, 918:4,	939:1, 939:3, 941:8,	835:7, 847:9,
	horse [1] - 930:1	922:3, 922:10, 924:8	974:16, 974:18,	847:17, 904:25,
958:19, 962:2,	hot [41] - 806:16,		974:20, 974:23,	905:15, 905:17,
962:3, 971:17,	807:1, 807:21,	idle [34] - 806:16,	974:24	905:19, 910:1,
971:18, 974:15,	1 A A	808:5, 808:14,	improve [9] - 833:11,	973:12
974:20, 974:24	808:5, 808:18,	808:18, 842:3,	838:14, 840:18,	individually [1] -
Health [24] - 805:5,	815:23, 816:7,	842:8, 842:14,	840:23, 840:25,	971:19
805:9, 805:24,	816:13, 816:14,	842:19, 843:3,	842:2, 852:14,	individuals [5] -
807:9, 836:25,	816:17, 816:20,	843:5, 849:12,	870:4, 882:1	825:5, 825:23,
841:10, 872:14,	842:14, 843:3,	854:14, 854:21,	improved [3] - 842:25,	880:17, 909:21,
873:11, 875:11,	849:12, 850:3,	855:9, 855:13,	876:12, 950:22	909:23
894:5, 912:18,	853:20, 854:23,	855:24, 856:2,		
912:22, 912:23,	854:25, 855:5,	856:5, 856:11,	improvement [6] -	industrial [1] - 919:7
920:14, 921:11,	855:6, 855:9,	856:16, 856:20,	832:7, 842:22,	industry [13] - 810:16
	855:13, 855:20,	857:1, 857:14,	854:18, 870:2,	812:1, 812:8, 824:3,
921:22, 923:11,	855:24, 856:2,	a construction of the second sec	883:20, 884:1	825:22, 826:7,
927:7, 936:18,	856:5, 856:11,	857:24, 858:13,	improvements [3] -	856:18, 889:3,
939:25, 944:7,		858:21, 860:2,	876:18, 881:11,	889:4, 909:6,
953:10, 960:4, 960:6	856:16, 857:14,	860:16, 861:2,	947:8	910:23, 910:24,
health-based [2] -	857:17, 857:24,	889:11, 897:4,	improves [2] - 877:22,	201 82508 A 282
	858:13, 859:1,	898:2, 898:13,	I IMDIOVAS 121 - 6// 22	926:10

informal [1] - 970:21	interaction [1] -	isolates [2] - 822:7,	922:18 jurisdictions [2] -	858:9, 881:6, 916:8, 943:25, 954:18
information [9] -	833:11	829:15		latter [1] - 832:23
877:13, 928:23,	interchangeably [1] -	issuance [1] - 944:20	918:13, 940:14	law [4] - 916:6,
958:11, 959:6,	926:20	issue [7] - 819:22,	justice [1] - 916:12	916:12, 916:18,
959:7, 960:9,	internal [2] - 890:21,	833:1, 859:24,	К	956:25
960:14, 960:15,	920:17	893:21, 929:3, 944:15, 959:11	n	lay [1] - 858:19
964:14	internals [2] - 839:11,	issued [10] - 854:5,	kaowool [1] - 826:1	lays [1] - 814:19
initial [1] - 971:4	876:19 internationally [2] -	860:21, 889:13,	Keetac [2] - 810:24,	lead [2] - 807:1,
initiative [1] - 870:3	861:18, 861:19	889:15, 889:18,	811:1	946:20
inquiry [1] - 809:10		920:24, 936:18,	Keewatin [1] - 810:24	leaders [1] - 889:3
inserting [1] - 816:4	interpret [1] - 959:10 interpretation [3] -	944:7, 977:1, 977:18	Kelly's [1] - 837:20	leak [12] - 847:13,
inspect [4] - 845:20, 845:23, 846:4,	960:5, 960:6, 973:14	<b>issues</b> [8] - 812:10,	Keramida [7] - 820:10,	848:22, 853:20,
906:18	interpretations [1] -	812:11, 873:15,	836:25, 885:18,	853:24, 871:15,
inspected [6] -	973:18	881:8, 921:9,	885:23, 886:4,	886:4, 922:9,
827:13, 837:8,	interpreted [1] -	922:18, 938:3,	893:11, 953:12	923:15, 923:21,
837:18, 848:15,	963:24	943:21	Keramidas [1] -	933:25, 934:3,
848:17, 937:24	intersects [1] - 896:23	item [2] - 920:1, 973:9	954:15	952:22
inspections [8] -	interstate [1] - 958:17	items [1] - 947:24	knowledge [15] -	leakage [8] - 823:23,
837:2, 837:6,	interval [1] - 868:19	itself [2] - 815:11,	832:4, 833:10,	825:19, 825:25,
847:13, 883:21,	intervals [2] - 939:16,	921:3	849:1, 877:15,	826:6, 828:10,
885:19, 905:7,	941:21		885:4, 893:16,	850:21, 901:3, 907:3
938:3, 959:8	intimately [1] - 960:18	J	917:3, 923:19,	leaking [1] - 953:24
inspector [5] - 807:5,	introduce [3] - 810:3,	1000000 (1) 051:6	924:3, 960:2, 963:12, 964:8,	leaks [37] - 846:7,
807:8, 846:12,	819:15, 915:11	January (1) - 951:6	964:10, 978:9	846:8, 847:21,
846:17, 846:20	introduction [1] -	Jason [1] - 805:8	known [1] - 939:21	848:1, 848:2, 848:9,
inspectors [21] -	964:21	<b>Joann</b> [1] - 977:2 <b>job</b> [8] - 822:15, 825:8,	Kilowii[i] - 303.21	848:11, 849:6,
820:10, 836:22,	investigated [1] -	832:16, 833:10,		849:21, 849:25,
836:25, 837:1,	905:2	899:8, 936:6, 950:4,	L	850:1, 850:24,
837:9, 845:19,	investigation [2] -	965:20	labeled [1] - 928:11	851:2, 854:19,
846:1, 846:6,	851:8, 851:11	iobs [8] - 828:11,	LAER [13] - 930:19,	864:22, 872:1,
885:22, 893:10,	investment [1] -	858:5, 858:14,	930:20, 930:25,	886:5, 886:8, 886:17, 892:15,
893:11, 906:11,	888:21	898:6, 898:8,	931:5, 931:11,	904:16, 904:21,
906:17, 939:25,	investments [1] -	898:10, 899:16,	932:6, 932:8, 933:5,	904:22, 907:6,
943:11, 943:13,	876:18	901:18	955:16, 955:17,	907:19, 907:20,
953:12, 954:14,	involve [4] - 899:1,	Joint [1] - 915:3	955:19, 955:22,	907:21, 908:1,
964:8	900:5, 900:8, 915:25	joint [2] - 827:18,	971:5	908:2, 908:3, 908:5,
install [2] - 834:13, 849:20	involved [18] - 834:6,	827:22	laid [1] - 929:24	908:7, 934:8, 954:3,
	834:12, 836:9,	joints [3] - 828:8,	Lakes [1] - 856:21 language [2] - 969:24,	955:11
installation [3] - 903:21, 944:19,	852:16, 874:11,	828:10, 828:17	970:2	learn [1] - 812:12
948:2 948:2	919:16, 919:18,	Judge [1] - 946:14	large [7] - 813:12,	learned [2] - 849:17,
installed [9] - 813:4,	920:10, 920:12,	judgment [7] - 903:9,	817:15, 817:18,	911:3
834:17, 834:19,	923:9, 924:17, 945:25, 946:17,	969:18, 970:17,	828:19, 844:16,	leave [7] - 901:21,
838:18, 869:16,	960:18, 960:19,	970:19, 970:25,	844:19, 852:25	968:3, 968:5, 968:7,
869:22, 873:14,	969:19, 971:24,	975:1, 975:3	largely [1] - 856:13	968:11, 969:2, 969:
948:19, 966:8	972:2	Judgment [16] -	larger [4] - 814:16,	leaving [1] - 967:14
instance [1] - 964:6	involvement [2] -	839:2, 841:8,	817:7, 861:13,	left [7] - 828:24,
instances [1] - 855:8	874:8, 874:17	841:10, 843:12,	863:20	867:23, 867:24,
instantaneous [8] -	involves [1] - 907:5	881:23, 884:1,	largest [4] - 813:7,	868:2, 901:25,
940:6, 941:7, 941:9,	iron [6] - 810:24	945:25, 946:12,	861:11, 910:11,	928:10, 942:15
941:13, 941:23,	813:22, 813:23,	946:22, 948:5,	971:11	left-hand [1] - 828:24
941:25, 942:4,	813:24, 814:2, 850:8	949:20, 950:21,	larry [10] - 815:3,	level [1] - 910:21
942:23	iron-making [1] -	951:10, 952:3,	820:23, 821:6,	liberal [1] - 809:4
	813:22	952:5, 952:12 judgments [1] -	821:25, 822:1,	liberty [2] - 896:11,
instead [1] - 857:8		Juddinanca [1] -	822:21, 823:9,	896:20
instead [1] - 857:8 Institute [1] - 812:6	irrelevant [1] - 959:24	969.23		
Institute [1] - 812:6	irrelevant [1] - 959:24 irreparable [1] -	969:23	823:12, 827:10,	licensed [1] - 959:18
		June [2] - 849:1,	880:8	lid [11] - 822:24,
Institute [1] - 812:6 integrated [4] - 811:6,	irreparable [1] -			1893

823:18, 823:20,	lose [3] - 859:10,	810:25, 811:3,	meet [13] - 836:21,	953:11, 954:14,
824:6, 824:7, 824:8,	898:14, 976:13	811:19, 898:25,	843:4, 849:10,	962:10
880:5	losing [1] - 897:19	915:13	849:22, 853:19,	methodology [1] -
lids [23] - 815:8,	loss [3] - 859:6, 860:7,	managers [1] - 833:14	853:24, 854:4,	835:19
815:10, 815:17,	898:7	manual [15] - 846:25,	854:13, 854:17,	methods [5] - 937:9,
821:9, 822:9,	lost [2] - 859:12,	942:5, 942:8, 943:1,	879:16, 879:19,	937:20, 938:6,
822:25, 823:1,	898:10	943:3, 943:19,	918:24, 954:19	940:17, 942:17
823:6, 823:14,	low [2] - 834:19,	943:21, 962:20,	meeting [1] - 918:14	MICHAEL [1] - 809:24
823:16, 823:21,	838:19	962:25, 963:3,	meetings [2] - 812:15,	Michael [1] - 810:4
823:23, 824:2,	low-emission [2] -	963:5, 963:9,	874:11	micrograms [1] -
824:10, 837:14,	834:19, 838:19	963:16, 963:19,	members [1] - 920:15	897:11
868:10, 873:19,	lower [1] - 949:14	963:22	men [5] - 811:10,	mid [1] - 947:17
882:10, 927:17,	lowest [5] - 930:20,	manufacturers [1] -	813:15, 858:8,	mid-June [1] - 947:17
928:8, 929:12,	930:22, 930:23,	861:17	858:22, 880:5	middle [5] - 828:19,
971:20	955:23, 956:1	manufactures [1] -	mention [1] - 831:19	829:3, 853:17,
ieu [1] - 857:3		927:8	mentioned [23] -	869:4, 942:21
ife [3] - 870:10,	M	manufacturing [2] -	827:2, 831:3,	midsection [1] - 928:4
870:12, 870:20		812:9, 812:10	870:23, 871:5,	might [4] - 814:8,
lifts [1] - 852:25	machine [10] - 815:21,	margin [3] - 931:21,	871:17, 871:25,	928:1, 941:3, 973:12
light [1] - 973:24	815:22, 816:2,	932:3, 933:8	872:11, 872:18,	Mike [2] - 809:22,
ikely [3] - 925:19,	830:21, 846:2,	mark [1] - 805:10	875:22, 875:24,	947:24
968:16, 970:1	846:14, 846:23,	marked [1] - 896:20	877:16, 879:4,	miles [2] - 813:11,
imit (10) - 895:3,	880:12, 880:15	market [2] - 860:9	882:21, 893:19,	949:18
918:24, 924:4,	machining [1] -	mash [1] - 864:3	898:5, 911:16,	million [5] - 852:2,
934:19, 947:20,	876:22	massive [2] - 827:16,	922:17, 942:22,	889:14, 889:21,
953:25, 956:2,	<b>MACT</b> [22] - 925:13,	837:10	949:23, 954:9,	891:20, 892:17
965:2, 971:5, 976:22	925:19, 925:22,	Master's [7] - 812:20,	955:16, 967:2, 974:1	minimize [2] - 821:20,
imitations [4] - 835:9,	925:24, 925:25,	887:6, 887:7,	metal [12] - 825:15,	822:12
835:10, 914:1,	926:12, 926:18,	916:12, 916:21,	825:18, 850:9,	minimizes [1] - 832:17
958:22	926:24, 927:18,	956:22, 956:23	850:11, 850:20,	minimum [1] - 905:6
imited [1] - 853:1	927:20, 930:7,	material [9] - 814:20,	854:3	miniscule [1] - 913:21
imits [11] - 818:4,	930:21, 931:9,	824:5, 826:1, 826:4,	metal-to-metal [6] -	Minnesota [1] -
923:15, 934:23,	931:17, 955:18,	828:16, 828:17,	825:15, 825:18,	810:25
940:9, 940:10,	962:2, 962:3, 967:7,	844:25, 877:22,	850:9, 850:11,	minus [3] - 884:19,
940:23, 961:23,	967:11, 971:4,	935:14	850:20, 854:3	907:23, 938:22
962:1, 962:18,	971:25, 976:4	materials [1] - 814:20	metallurgical [2] -	minute [6] - 929:22,
972:1, 976:19	magnitude [1] -	math [6] - 891:19,	813:7, 864:14	939:6, 939:8, 941:4,
ine [11] - 809:9,	856:19	891:25, 892:1,	metaphor [1] - 974:4	941:21, 942:1
887:12, 893:6,	main [5] - 826:20,	892:4, 892:5, 965:12	meter [1] - 897:11	minutes [9] - 855:19,
896:10, 896:11,	827:6, 827:18,	matter [6] - 817:25,	metered [1] - 855:16	868:23, 868:24,
896:23, 897:9,	827:20, 834:17	844:24, 890:9,	methane [1] - 865:8	869:2, 869:4, 869:6,
897:14, 951:15,	maintain [2] - 838:14,	898:20, 900:17,	method [7] - 918:9,	939:16, 940:15,
951:16, 976:25	976:19	900:19	937:22, 941:12,	956:9
iquor [1] - 901:13	maintained [1] -	maximize [1] - 899:12	965:3, 977:24,	misrepresent [1] -
ist [2] - 925:10,	890:18	maximized [1] -	978:3, 978:7	980:14
925:17	maintaining [1] -	899:12	Method [33] - 906:11,	mistakes [2] - 880:17,
isted [2] - 819:2,	828:9	Maximum [1] - 925:25	937:12, 937:15,	880:20
954:8	maintenance [7] -	maximum [5] - 864:3,	937:17, 937:21,	mixed [1] - 928:1
isting [1] - 806:9	810:11, 870:11,	864:4, 930:7, 954:3	938:13, 938:14,	mixture [1] - 824:4
ists [2] - 921:25,	870:13, 876:13,	means [6] - 854:23,	938:15, 939:8,	model [1] - 961:25
953:23	884:20, 901:16,	926:9, 926:10,	939:10, 939:13,	modeled [1] - 932:1
iterally [3] - 837:5,	910:10	934:22, 954:12,	940:1, 940:4, 940:6,	modeling [5] - 920:15
908:1, 908:2	major [1] - 916:15	954.22, 954.12, 955:22	940:8, 940:10,	962:1, 967:19,
ive [3] - 862:16,	man [3] - 822:24,	measured [3] -	940:12, 940:13,	969:16, 971:6
862:18, 862:19	823:10, 823:14	819:24, 820:1,	940:16, 941:1,	
oad [1] - 816:23	man's [1] - 823:18	948:15	941:2, 941:4,	models [1] - 949:11
	managed [2] - 886:16,	measurement [2] -	941:11, 941:15,	modifications [1] -
ocal [1] - 937:1	886:19	820:7, 965:2	941:23, 942:2,	929:20
ocated [1] - 915:14 ocation [1] - 958:15	manager [8] - 810:5,	mechanics [1] -	942:3, 942:19,	moisture [3] - 838:10,
ocation [1] - 958:15	810:7, 810:19,	880:10	943:6, 953:9,	864:4, 865:1 moment [1] - 976:10

Mon [1] - 839:17	National [3] - 879:18,	813:22, 816:24,	observing [1] - 820:8	938:6, 938:13,
Monessen [6] -	912:2, 933:22	835:3, 856:25,	obviously [5] -	940:1, 940:4,
857:17, 889:6,	nature [2] - 850:20,	860:12, 861:12,	819:10, 838:3,	940:18, 940:23,
889:9, 889:10,	970:20	861:13, 910:12,	846:13, 860:7,	941:10, 941:15,
889:25, 890:11	navy [1] - 973:24	971:11	919:19	941:25, 942:4,
monetary [2] - 858:25,	near [4] - 846:20,	Northern [1] - 863:15	occasion [5] - 884:24,	942:23, 948:12,
859:7	846:21, 862:18,	noted [4] - 806:15,	885:3, 891:1,	948:15, 948:18,
money [1] - 881:20	979:5	903:7, 950:10,	968:14, 968:16	948:20, 948:21,
	neck [1] - 821:15	978:14	occasionally [2] -	949:24, 951:4,
monitor [6] - 819:21,		nothing [5] - 809:18,	903:4, 903:5	951:12, 951:18,
820:3, 840:10,	needs [1] - 917:10	892:14, 914:12,	occasions [3] -	964:25, 965:1,
918:22, 919:4,	negative [1] - 891:16	966:12, 979:20	922:22, 963:4,	965:2, 965:9,
922:14	negotiate [2] - 875:3,	the second s	968:15	965:10, 965:11,
monitored [3] -	875:10	notice [3] - 928:15,		965:16, 967:22
819:21, 919:23,	negotiation [2] -	970:19, 970:23	occluded [1] - 822:3	opacity [1] - 965:7
976:4	875:15, 880:2	Notices [1] - 903:2	occupation [1] - 887:8	open [12] - 829:22,
monitoring [2] -	negotiations [2] -	notwithstanding [3] -	occur [8] - 828:3,	
937:5, 949:24	874:14, 970:21	872:4, 912:17, 975:1	837:24, 858:17,	830:8, 830:11,
monitors [7] - 837:4,	neighborhood [1] -	November [1] - 943:4	924:9, 931:22,	830:22, 838:7,
837:19, 883:11,	877:6	nowhere [1] - 837:21	934:9, 955:12, 976:1	868:14, 880:13,
883:13, 918:20,	NESHAP [39] - 848:10,	Number [9] - 819:2,	occurred [3] - 851:5,	901:4, 901:20,
948:18, 976:22	848:13, 852:9,	820:19, 823:5,	927:2, 964:7	901:21, 901:25
month [7] - 849:7,	911:24, 912:2,	826:12, 829:10,	occurs [2] - 922:15,	opened [1] - 851:20
849:11, 853:25,	912:9, 912:17,	829:20, 829:21,	923:6	operate [3] - 858:22,
854:18, 886:17,	914:3, 914:8,	830:19, 870:19	October [1] - 929:14	884:7, 892:23
908:2, 952:22	926:16, 926:17,	number [26] - 820:22,	odor [3] - 972:7,	operating [14] -
monthly [3] - 953:18,	926:23, 927:12,	831:3, 842:17,	972:9, 972:10	816:11, 822:6,
954:10, 954:11	927:14, 928:7,	848:1, 848:2,	OF [1] - 805:1	822:15, 825:16,
Provide the second seco		850:23, 851:2,	offline [1] - 897:24	860:12, 886:10,
months [11] - 808:19,	928:19, 929:5,	874:6, 886:8,	offloaded [1] - 814:23	911:10, 936:3,
849:11, 850:24,	930:5, 930:15,	889:24, 892:6,	offtake [8] - 821:11,	936:9, 944:9,
881:7, 886:20,	931:2, 931:13,	895:15, 899:7,	The set of a set of the set of th	944:13, 944:14,
886:23, 897:24,	932:14, 932:15,	NT. W 9467 D. 104 4127 (2010)	821:13, 827:2,	944:24, 949:4
909:13, 909:16,	933:16, 933:20,	903:13, 907:9,	827:5, 827:12,	operation [24] - 811:8
947:19	933:25, 934:7,	916:20, 941:5,	827:13, 827:21,	813:2, 817:17,
mostly [1] - 864:7	934:15, 934:23,	947:24, 954:2,	829:14	822:1, 834:4,
movement [2] - 828:8,	935:24, 936:19,	954:3, 954:5,	offtakes [24] - 822:2,	843:17, 862:9,
902:7	953:5, 953:23,	955:11, 955:14,	826:10, 826:12,	866:18, 866:21,
moves [1] - 954:17	954:8, 954:14,	955:15, 959:21,	826:14, 826:16,	The proventing property and the second
MSDS [1] - 935:9	954:24, 955:3,	974:2	826:18, 826:23,	866:23, 869:9,
MSDSes [1] - 935:13	959:7, 962:13	numbered [1] - 820:15	827:1, 827:8,	869:13, 869:14,
mud [7] - 823:16,	NESHAPs [2] -	numbers [5] - 818:20,	827:15, 827:16,	869:23, 871:21,
823:23, 823:24,	929:11, 967:11	859:13, 888:2,	828:12, 828:14,	871:24, 872:9,
824:1, 824:3, 824:4,	newer [2] - 826:25,	888:5, 899:8	829:18, 829:22,	884:10, 884:15,
828:16	835:14	numerous [1] - 963:4	829:24, 837:13,	890:1, 899:12,
	newest [6] - 834:1,		837:14, 868:9,	899:13, 907:11,
multiple [4] - 863:19,	834:2, 835:3, 845:8,	0	872:1, 927:17,	910:4
863:21, 941:19,	904:13, 944:2		928:9, 929:12,	operational [1] -
969:4	904.13, 944.2 news [1] - 979:24	object [4] - 808:25,	971:20	860:24
multiply [1] - 892:7		896:12, 959:9,	older [1] - 855:16	operations [18] -
must [3] - 850:2,	night [1] - 869:4	961:17	oldest [2] - 806:23,	810:10, 810:22,
960:10, 963:13	nobody [1] - 846:16	objection [2] - 809:8,		811:2, 811:4, 811:7,
	non-attainment [2] -	902:18	869:20	811:10, 811:14,
N	874:23, 918:23	objective [2] - 820:2,	one-hour [1] - 920:25	812:16, 813:22,
NAAQS [3] - 879:16,	non-residential [1] -	948:20	ongoing [5] - 909:8,	832:2, 832:12,
	863:11	obscured [1] - 867:25	909:9, 909:11,	832:14, 832:15,
879:17, 879:19	none [2] - 882:18,	observation [6] -	909:14, 909:15	839:12, 856:20,
name [4] - 810:4,	978:14	907:17, 907:18,	opacity [39] - 819:21,	857:7, 866:14, 881:3
911:16, 919:11,	noon [2] - 914:15	908:8, 908:9, 940:4,	820:2, 837:4,	operator [10] - 821:25
977:2	normal [1] - 845:22		837:19, 840:10,	
named [1] - 810:19	north [1] - 813:11	941:9	862:21, 883:11,	822:5, 822:21,
narrow [2] - 809:1,	North [12] - 811:14,	observations [2] -	885:22, 937:9,	823:9, 827:10,
809:10	811:16, 813:8,	820:10, 943:13	937:13, 937:20,	827:11, 855:4,
	011.10,010.0,	observe [1] - 846:8		

829:11, 829:15,	part [30] - 806:6,	880:10, 898:18,		Porting [2]
827:4, 827:15,	parking [1] - 968:18	858:19, 875:10,	883:3, 895:12,	permitting [2] -
825:20, 825:21,	parameters [1] - 969:16	people [15] - 828:9,	856:7, 858:2, 881:8, 882:24, 882:25,	permits [1] - 922:18 permittee [1] - 944:16
823:14, 824:21,	965:5, 970:12	959:19	851:9, 855:11,	978:14, 978:15
822:7, 822:22,	964:19, 964:20,	863:16, 919:20,	840:25, 845:5,	977:18, 978:9,
819:16, 820:24, 821:7, 821:19,	958:7, 958:8,	Pennsylvania [3] -	840:21, 840:23,	961:24, 977:12,
819:11, 819:13,	paragraph [7] - 948:7,	889:19, 966:22	835:25, 840:8,	961:4, 961:5,
816:9, 817:20,	paid [1] - 858:3	889:16, 889:17,	833:12, 835:5,	960:24, 961:1,
815:24, 816:5,	pages [1] - 937:3	859:25, 861:3, 861:5, 889:13,	performance [29] -	944:24, 960:22,
oven [57] - 815:11,	877:22, 911:1	859:3, 859:23,	870:14	944:19, 944:20,
878:6, 894:8	packing [3] - 828:17,	839:22, 842:1,	perform [2] - 850:3,	944:14, 944:18,
846:22, 878:3,	pace [1] - 892:24	penalty [13] - 806:16,	966:10, 966:12	944:11, 944:13,
838:7, 846:18,	PA [1] - 959:21	975:11	perfect [3] - 966:6,	944:6, 944:9,
812:13, 821:9,	956:10, 956:11	penalties [2] - 975:2,	974:9	936:19, 940:11,
outside [9] - 809:1,	<b>p.m</b> [3] - 914:18,	penalizes [1] - 843:11	945:21, 954:20,	936:12, 936:17,
output [1] - 899:13		PE [1] - 977:2	934:17, 945:12,	936:3, 936:9,
outlining [1] - 970:20	Р		907:9, 912:8,	921:21, 922:1,
outcomes [1] - 914:9	Oxygen[1] - 010.9	patented [1] - 835:0	841:23, 848:20,	921:19, 921:20,
884:21	oxygen [1] - 816:9	patented [1] - 835:6	percentage [9] -	921:10, 921:13,
outages [2] - 852:20,	870:16	827:15, 910:8	974:1	921:6, 921:7,
884:18, 884:20	owned [2] - 861:20,	patching [3] - 827:14,	955:17, 955:19,	920:24, 921:1,
outage [3] - 853:6,	866:4	909:10, 969:23	955:13, 955:15,	permit [38] - 919:8,
otherwise [1] - 958:18	oversight [2] - 810:8,	886:15, 886:19,	954:19, 955:11,	859:10
935:13	917:13	860:10, 877:2,	954:9, 954:16,	807:22, 855:21,
<b>OSHA</b> [2] - 866:13,	overseeing [1] -	838:17, 838:22,	952:10, 953:24,	permanently [3] -
955:17	overruled [1] - 902:22	party s [1] - 970.15 past [9] - 808:6,	951:24, 952:1,	856:11
933:6, 936:10,	overrule [1] - 809:8	party's [1] - 970:15	951:21, 951:22,	permanent [2] - 807:2
903:20, 932:15,	949:2, 952:15	970:20	951:15, 951:16,	periods [1] - 940:14
871:20, 873:18,	945:6, 948:22,	party [2] - 894:3,	951:5, 951:14,	periodic [1] - 890:17
original [9] - 869:17,	904:5, 904:14,	parts [1] - 840:5	946:25, 949:21,	947:6, 947:11, 954:4
organized [1] - 931:10	overall [7] - 882:1,	970:16, 970:19	938:23, 945:9,	941:21, 947:2,
812:15	907:11, 907:24	parties [3] - 948:10,	934:18, 934:25,	939:19, 939:20,
organizations [1] -	885:11, 890:5,	900:19, 965:12	933:20, 933:21,	939:7, 939:9,
	868:12, 869:15,	844:24, 900:17,	926:3, 930:9,	897:4, 903:17,
889:2	864:22, 864:23,	particulate [4] -	904:8, 912:10,	892:25, 893:1,
organization [1] -	855:2, 864:18,	893:24	883:24, 883:25,	892:16, 892:19,
organic [1] - 916:20	843:19, 855:1,	893:20, 893:22,	852:9, 865:18,	886:20, 890:19,
813:24	837:11, 843:18,	participation [3] -	843:12, 848:21,	873:2, 873:23,
ore [2] - 810:24,	833:22, 835:8,	875:20, 894:24	842:17, 843:2,	858:23, 860:13,
969:22	824:13, 825:9,	participating [2] -	842:6, 842:7,	857:18, 857:24,
orders [2] - 958:1,	815:15, 818:1,	894:18	841:19, 841:24,	856:22, 857:14,
823:25	813:6, 813:9, 815:9,	870:19, 894:16,		
orangish-brown [1] -	ovens [24] - 813:4,	participated [3] -	841:12, 841:14, 841:18,	856:3, 856:10,
951:15		A CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRA	841:12, 841:14,	period [28] - 834:24,
orangish [2] - 823:25,	oven's [1] - 830:8	849:14	840:21, 841:6,	931:1, 931:4
option [1] - 933:6	935:4, 972:23, 973:2	participate [1] -	837:22, 837:25,	904:14, 930:12,
opposing [1] - 893:19	928:8, 929:12,	Part [1] - 928:11	percent [53] - 820:3,	883:13, 904:11,
904:22	904:25, 911:17,	971:22	perceived [1] - 961:1	845:6, 854:21,
opposed [2] - 904:17,	890:14, 895:13,	971:8, 971:14,	908:9, 952:22	842:19, 843:6,
903:2, 905:2	876:25, 878:2,	963:18, 971:4,	908:5, 908:7, 908:8,	842:3, 842:8,
870:15, 902:8,	871:7, 876:18,	958:4, 958:24,	907:17, 907:18,	835:18, 835:23,
opportunity [4] -	870:10, 870:12,	935:8, 936:6, 950:4,	897:11, 907:16,	performing [14] -
	867:9, 868:13,	931:1, 933:5, 934:5,	891:13, 892:10,	926:7, 930:9, 930:11
970:15	865:6, 866:14,	922:23, 930:15,	890:5, 891:12,	performers [3] -
opinion [2] - 807:21,	843:22, 865:3,	903:20, 906:22,	853:25, 873:3,	performer [1] - 930:23
901:14, 901:16	839:10, 839:14,	889:2, 898:17,	per [17] - 837:2, 849:7,	966:14
872:23, 880:4,	837:11, 837:14,	879:15, 879:16,	973:13, 973:21	966:7, 966:11,
822:11, 832:16,	835:6, 835:7,	874:14, 879:13,	964:11, 972:15,	951:9, 952:16,
880:15 operators [6] -	830:9, 830:11, 830:15, 831:23,	872:11, 872:18,	899:7, 919:25, 922:21, 926:20,	948:11, 948:22,
		861:16, 865:10,		904:7, 946:24,

859:22, 915:22	plan [21] - 857:1,	Pleas [1] - 946:15	possess [1] - 910:6	828:12, 828:14,
persist [1] - 873:21	872:11, 872:15,	pleased [1] - 945:17	possibility [1] -	837:24, 910:15
person [4] - 807:20,	872:18, 879:14,	plume [4] - 820:4,	938:24	prevents [1] - 824:7
823:10, 958:20,	898:17, 898:18,	948:12, 948:15,	possible [8] - 857:10,	previously [3] -
973:10	908:10, 908:12,	965:21	880:16, 901:20,	805:20, 809:25,
	908:13, 909:1,	plus [1] - 938:22	976:9, 976:13,	915:8
personal [3] - 865:25,	919:5, 919:10,	(B) (B)	976:15, 976:16,	primary [2] - 934:6,
866:8, 973:9		PM [1] - 969:17	977:22	935:20
personally [5] - 875:6,	919:12, 919:13,	PM2.5 [7] - 874:23,	possibly [1] - 859:11	print [1] - 950:7
875:7, 890:15,	920:7, 920:8, 921:3,	918:5, 918:25,		prioritizing [1] - 910:
896:18, 972:25	922:20, 958:5,	924:9, 924:10,	post [2] - 916:13,	
personnel [4] - 832:3,	964:24	957:3, 976:13	980:23	proactively [1] -
832:13, 833:8,	plan [1] - 964:23	policy [1] - 964:13	post-graduate [1] -	850:19
901:16	plant [74] - 806:20,	pollutant [6] - 900:1,	916:13	procedure [4] -
perspective [6] -	807:10, 810:4,	918:25, 924:8,	post-hearing [1] -	822:15, 822:16,
845:8, 846:11,	810:7, 810:11,	926:11, 957:9	980:23	939:14, 971:21
948:20, 974:12,	810:15, 810:22,	Pollutants [2] - 912:3,	potential [15] - 805:14,	procedures [4] -
974:13, 974:17	810:23, 810:25,	933:23	806:16, 821:20,	822:12, 917:24,
petitions [1] - 925:12	811:1, 811:18,	pollutants [39] -	822:13, 866:17,	918:10, 965:3
ohase [1] - 827:9	811:19, 811:21,	899:20, 899:23,	866:20, 866:22,	PROCEEDINGS [1] -
ohonetic [1] - 977:3	811:23, 812:13,	899:24, 900:3,	867:2, 867:3, 867:7,	805:1
photo [8] - 867:14,	812:23, 813:1,	900:5, 900:15,	867:11, 882:14,	proceedings [3] -
867:21, 868:1,	813:5, 813:7,	911:9, 911:13,	882:24, 935:15,	809:5, 815:20,
868:5, 868:8,	813:10, 813:11,	911:21, 912:5,	939:21	836:24
905:10, 905:12,	813:14, 813:18,	913:20, 913:25,	potentially [1] -	process [83] - 814:4,
905:22	813:19, 814:19,	917:19, 917:20,	868:13	814:19, 817:24,
photograph [8] -	815:9, 817:11,	917:25, 918:1,	pound [1] - 817:19	818:2, 818:3,
823:19, 823:24,	818:11, 831:22,	918:2, 918:4, 918:6,	pour [1] - 816:16	819:20, 821:3,
824:23, 828:20,	833:20, 835:11,	918:7, 918:8,	power [2] - 817:21,	821:24, 822:18,
829:3, 829:24,	836:14, 837:9,	918:12, 918:21,	864:13	827:4, 827:9,
830:20, 846:10	838:6, 838:15,	924:20, 924:21,	preceding [1] - 954:21	830:16, 832:4,
	845:7, 845:17,	924:22, 925:3,	predominantly [2] -	832:17, 833:10,
photography [1] -	855:4, 857:16,	925:4, 925:8,	863:13, 863:14	834:6, 834:23,
965:21	858:6, 858:13,	925:11, 925:14,	preference [2] -	839:12, 852:4,
photos [3] - 893:14,	859:8, 863:5,	925:18, 926:22,	881:12, 980:22	852:8, 852:12,
964:9, 964:11	864:13, 869:15,	933:11, 933:12,	2	852:19, 855:24,
physical [1] - 850:2	875:12, 876:21,	934:21, 935:18	prehearing [1] -	858:10, 858:12,
physically [7] - 844:1,	878:7, 878:10,		950:12	864:10, 864:19,
844:3, 846:1,	884:7, 895:13,	pollution [4] - 808:23,	prepare [1] - 920:2	864:24, 865:3,
846:12, 906:24,	911:20, 911:24,	809:14, 934:12,	prepared [6] - 921:20,	870:4, 875:13,
907:1, 979:16	917:14, 918:17,	958:18	921:21, 936:10,	875:14, 876:19,
picked [1] - 885:22	The second se	pops [1] - 897:10	938:12, 977:1, 977:3	876:22, 878:1,
<b>Dicture</b> [13] - 815:7,	931:1, 931:4, 936:21, 943:14,	portal [3] - 823:7,	preparing [4] - 806:6,	878:19, 878:21,
817:7, 821:1,		823:11, 837:15	806:25, 830:15,	
823:18, 824:22,	944:2, 945:2, 945:9,	portals [3] - 821:9,	936:8	878:24, 881:5,
826:2, 826:15,	945:10, 946:24,	821:10, 823:15	prescribed [2] - 919:1,	885:6, 909:21,
828:18, 828:23,	948:23, 949:3,	portion [6] - 818:11,	976:23	909:24, 909:25,
829:19, 846:21,	951:4, 952:10,	831:22, 840:6,	prescribes [1] -	910:3, 910:5, 910:
847:5, 847:9	971:11, 973:5,	864:24, 958:3, 959:2	931:15	910:18, 916:24,
Picture [5] - 826:17,	979:3, 979:5, 979:6,	portions [1] - 836:24	prescriptive [1] -	917:1, 919:1,
828:25, 829:20,	979:12	ports [3] - 823:2,	941:17	919:24, 921:2,
829:21, 847:17	plant's [2] - 912:8,	823:6, 901:8	present [6] - 837:20,	922:15, 922:23,
Dieces [1] - 837:8	966:13	position [8] - 823:18,	872:14, 939:24,	923:6, 923:7,
bile [2] - 968:18	plant-wide [3] - 945:9,	829:19, 829:25,	940:2, 944:1, 952:2	923:13, 923:18,
Dinion [1] - 865:23	951:4, 952:10	830:3, 870:5,	president [1] - 811:9	923:23, 924:9,
pinnion [1] - 817:22	plants [12] - 812:13,	898:25, 964:10,	pretty [10] - 832:5,	924:18, 927:2,
pipe [2] - 827:19,	836:16, 839:17,	980:15	838:16, 846:11,	927:5, 928:21,
827:21	915:25, 918:17,	positions [4] - 810:17,	856:24, 858:3,	929:24, 930:16,
	925:21, 930:13,	811:25, 812:1,	864:14, 873:4,	931:2, 931:25,
piping [1] - 821:11	933:14, 933:17,	815:11	884:9, 886:2, 886:3	932:15, 932:20,
Pittsburgh [4] -	945:4, 945:21,	positive [3] - 865:18,	prevent [7] - 823:23,	934:4, 935:24,
812:19, 915:14, 956:24, 957:1	972:21	879:8, 881:2	825:13, 826:5,	943:24, 960:16,

960:17, 960:18,	968:11, 968:20, 968:25, 969:3,	882:1, 935:20, 939:18, 947:6, 947:7	quarterly [2] - 975:2, 975:11	876:22 reach [3] - 946:25,
960:19, 971:17,			2000 R 200 R	947:3, 947:11
975:16, 975:19,	969:9, 969:13,	purposefully [1] -	quarters [5] - 839:25,	reached [2] - 938:15,
975:22	969:14	844:6	841:20, 843:5,	
processed [1] -	proposal [4] - 875:17,	purposes [3] - 835:16,	849:22, 854:17	964:2
850:13	927:18, 928:24,	965:24, 977:25	quench [9] - 816:6,	reaching [1] - 837:25
processing [2] -	932:8	pursuant [2] - 840:18,	816:13, 816:14,	read [18] - 895:11,
831:22, 878:18	propose [1] - 924:16	840:22	816:16, 816:19,	896:14, 902:21,
produce [2] - 813:21,	proposed [10] - 920:9,	push [10] - 816:12,	834:19, 838:19,	906:25, 907:10,
817:16	927:13, 927:15,	845:7, 848:11,	852:23, 876:9	939:10, 939:15,
produced [2] - 813:19,	928:15, 928:17,	855:1, 868:19,	quenched [1] - 816:19	939:16, 939:22,
950:12	928:20, 932:13,	868:24, 869:1,	quenching [1] - 885:7	948:19, 950:24,
producing [3] -	932:16, 943:9,	880:10, 885:11,	questioning [1] -	954:15, 958:7,
858:24, 860:6, 864:8	953:25	885:13	943:10	964:19, 965:6,
product [4] - 825:25,	proprietary [1] -	push-side [1] - 848:11	questions [10] -	965:15, 969:19,
826:3, 935:15,	964:14	pushed [2] - 830:2,	809:17, 847:25,	970:10
2 12	protect [2] - 967:11,	830:4	896:12, 911:5,	reader [2] - 939:21,
935:21				939:22
production [2] -	971:17	pusher [20] - 815:20,	913:14, 914:11,	readers [1] - 938:25
855:3, 899:8	protecting [1] -	815:21, 815:22,	956:14, 978:19,	reading [13] - 820:4,
products [3] - 819:17,	934:24	815:23, 824:17,	978:24, 980:4	
871:8, 871:14	protection [1] -	826:24, 830:14,	quickly [3] - 886:2,	846:19, 937:9,
professionals [1] -	866:14	830:21, 830:22,	886:3, 970:3	937:12, 938:6,
812:9	Protection [1] -	846:10, 846:14,	quite [1] - 856:19	939:2, 940:18,
profile [1] - 874:1	919:21	846:18, 846:23,		941:7, 941:16,
profit [1] - 888:11	protective [9] -	848:3, 848:14,	R	941:25, 942:22,
profits [3] - 887:22,	865:22, 865:25,	868:10, 880:10,		942:23, 962:19
887:25, 910:13	866:8, 866:23,	880:12, 905:13,	rail [2] - 846:18,	readings [13] - 840:10
progressed [1] -	931:21, 932:2,	905:14	846:23	847:1, 885:22,
810:16	932:6, 933:7	pushes [3] - 868:16,	railroad [1] - 816:23	937:20, 937:22,
	proven [1] - 835:6	907:19, 907:20	rain [2] - 827:24,	938:22, 939:3,
prohibit [3] - 948:2,	provided [1] - 877:13	pushing [14] - 815:23,	828:5	940:1, 941:13,
964:11, 965:22		830:16, 830:17,	rainfall [2] - 838:7,	941:19, 942:4,
prohibition [1] -	provides [3] - 813:25,	830:19, 830:21,	838:9	953:9, 953:11
910:14	814:1, 918:9	830:24, 844:2,	raise [1] - 805:12	reasonably [1] -
project [19] - 834:8,	provision [1] - 970:18	844:10, 844:11,	raised [2] - 943:12,	958:19
834:19, 838:20,	proximity [3] - 846:3,	and the second	943:16	rebuild [3] - 890:23,
838:25, 839:1,	847:15, 848:8	845:5, 882:16,	ram [2] - 830:14,	891:4, 893:3
839:7, 852:1,	public [32] - 887:14,	903:21, 903:25,	830:21	
853:13, 853:23,	912:24, 913:1,	904:5	random [2] - 877:22,	rebuilds [2] - 890:22,
876:1, 877:16,	913:12, 913:21,			891:2
877:19, 878:3,	918:12, 919:24,	Q	969:8	receipts [1] - 877:8
878:5, 879:5, 879:6,	922:19, 922:20,	01 2013 101 002-16	range [7] - 852:14,	receiving [1] - 858:10
879:13, 879:25,	923:8, 923:12,	Q1-2013 [2] - 902:16,	864:15, 889:22,	recent [2] - 856:15,
880:3	923:25, 925:22,	902:24	891:10, 891:11,	952:8
projects [9] - 838:16,	928:16, 928:24,	Q3-2017 [1] - 903:5	945:7, 965:19	recently [4] - 832:21,
875:22, 876:4,	929:1, 929:4,	qualifications [1] -	rate [14] - 883:17,	838:14, 859:14,
and and the second second	931:21, 932:2,	910:16	883:19, 883:23,	965:25
877:7, 878:15,	932:6, 932:9,	qualify [1] - 957:6	930:20, 930:22,	recessed [2] - 914:17
878:16, 879:4,		qualitative [1] - 881:4	947:9, 947:12,	956:10
879:11, 879:23	932:17, 933:7,	quality [6] - 864:1,	955:23, 956:1,	recession [2] -
promulgated [6] -	933:10, 934:24,	864:7, 880:25,	978:1, 978:4, 978:5,	834:25, 856:3
929:6, 932:10,	936:12, 939:14,	881:5, 887:2, 958:14	978:8, 978:13	
932:23, 966:3,	940:25, 958:19,	Quality [1] - 879:18	rates [1] - 838:15	recognize [1] - 884:9
966:4, 967:7	974:15, 974:20,	quarter [17] - 835:18,	rather [1] - 916:25	recognized [2] -
promulgates [1] -	974:24	840:20, 840:24,	raw [8] - 814:20,	833:7, 955:9
940:24	published [3] -			recollection [2] -
properly [1] - 825:7	932:23, 966:4	840:25, 841:5,	865:3, 865:5, 871:7,	808:4, 808:7
property [16] - 893:12,	pulling [1] - 844:20	842:5, 842:6,	972:23, 973:1, 973:6	recommend [1] -
949:15, 949:17,	pure [1] - 814:1	842:11, 842:12,	re [2] - 876:22, 944:20	961:23
949:18, 967:14,	purpose [10] - 824:1,	842:16, 842:17,	re-issuance [1] -	reconvened [2] -
	844:8, 844:9,	842:23, 887:24,	944:20	914:18, 956:11
067.17 062.4				
967:17, 968:4, 968:6, 968:8,	881:15, 881:25,	888:12, 910:13,	re-machining [1] -	record [13] - 805:2,

805:7, 880:23,	refurbish [2] - 892:18,	related [6] - 832:19,	represent [4] - 824:17,	residual [12] - 830:10,
914:16, 914:20,	892:22	933:17, 935:3,	921:17, 949:2, 960:4	931:19, 932:1,
914:21, 937:5,	refurbishing [1] -	955:16, 967:17,	representation [1] -	932:13, 934:5,
956:13, 980:3,	891:23	980:17	817:6	967:2, 967:5, 967:8,
980:9, 980:11,	regarding [2] - 960:5,	relates [1] - 818:10	representative [3] -	971:2, 971:12,
980:18, 980:24	963:22	relating [1] - 958:17	867:17, 867:19,	971:16, 971:24
recorded [1] - 980:6	region [2] - 863:14,	relation [1] - 921:1	939:4	resolutions [2] -
recovery [1] - 818:2	863:15	relative [2] - 881:8,	representatives [5] -	970:11, 970:13
RECROSS (3) -	regions [2] - 861:23	904:18	919:25, 927:6,	resolve [1] - 970:21
912:15, 914:6,	regular [3] - 853:25,	relevance [1] - 918:20	927:7, 937:25,	resources [1] - 892:13
979:10	943:17, 950:4	relevancy [1] - 809:6	943:18	respect [45] - 809:4,
RECROSS-	regulate [3] - 918:10,	reliability [1] - 811:13	represented [3] -	823:19, 858:7,
EXAMINATION [3] -	926:21, 934:16	relied [1] - 960:10	927:8, 927:9, 927:10	862:21, 863:24,
The second se			representing [1] -	872:5, 873:15,
912:15, 914:6,	regulated (13) -	rely [1] - 959:7	959:16	874:15, 874:19,
979:10	820:21, 865:23,	relying [1] - 850:11		875:1, 877:7, 881:2,
redirect [1] - 978:21	866:15, 867:1,	remains [2] - 883:19,	represents [2] - 816:1,	881:19, 883:7,
REDIRECT [3] - 911:6,	918:8, 918:21,	883:24	954:5	883:20, 884:5,
913:17, 978:22	921:18, 925:3,	remind [1] - 955:22	reprimand [1] -	and the formation of the second
reduce [7] - 814:1,	925:9, 926:2,	removal [1] - 853:5	880:21	884:6, 887:8, 897:1,
821:23, 827:7,	933:12, 934:7,	remove [4] - 821:9,	reprimanding [1] -	930:5, 933:14,
872:15, 886:7,	934:13	852:24, 878:1	880:23	934:15, 935:9,
919:5, 920:17	regulates [1] - 941:9	removed [2] - 815:10,	reprimands [1] -	945:6, 951:17,
reducing [2] - 814:1,	regulating [3] -	844:24	881:1	951:23, 954:23,
892:14	919:19, 921:9,	removes [2] - 823:11,	reproducing [1] -	957:7, 958:25,
reduction [3] - 839:13,	925:15	900:17	965:20	960:17, 962:24,
870:6, 879:10	regulation [14] -	removing [4] - 815:22,	Republic [2] - 811:6,	963:19, 966:9,
reductions [4] -	915:23, 919:8,		811:12	969:1, 969:18,
	921:3, 923:5,	816:3, 822:8, 850:3	request [4] - 922:21,	969:23, 970:16,
920:25, 921:5,		rendition [4] - 814:18,	964:1, 964:4, 964:5	970:24, 971:10,
921:7, 922:2	923:10, 938:13,	815:8, 826:14,		972:6, 972:10,
refer [1] - 938:22	940:11, 959:10,	830:20	requested [1] - 873:12	975:14, 975:15,
reference [3] - 823:17,	962:21, 963:9,	renewal [1] - 944:16	requesting [1] -	975:21, 979:12
829:20, 918:19	963:11, 964:25,	repair [4] - 838:23,	970:21	respirator [3] -
referenced [8] -	975:17	851:22, 893:6,	requests [2] - 963:2,	866:10, 866:12,
824:15, 829:9,	regulations [33] -	925:20	963:22	866:24
831:17, 911:1,	817:12, 836:14,	repairs [3] - 875:22,	require [2] - 941:7,	respiratory [1] -
930:6, 942:4,	836:15, 836:17,	876:10, 876:15	962:18	
942:25, 979:2	836:20, 875:3,	repeat [3] - 911:11,	required [15] - 858:13,	866:14
references [2] -	875:15, 875:18,	960:7, 975:7	861:22, 866:13,	response [3] - 888:18
815:20, 942:17	912:18, 917:13,	rephrase [1] - 961:21	872:14, 906:25,	892:2, 905:8
referencing [1] -	917:17, 922:22,	replace [12] - 852:2,	908:13, 909:15,	responsibilities [1] -
881:22	922:25, 923:1,	853:6, 853:7, 853:8,	921:5, 935:13,	810:8
referred [2] - 919:12,	923:14, 923:17,	853:10, 859:16,	937:5, 937:21,	responsibility [5] -
963:7	926:23, 927:13,		938:2, 944:16,	810:17, 810:18,
referring [4] - 908:12,	927:14, 928:18,	859:20, 860:5,	954:13, 971:16	811:15, 893:6,
• • •	928:19, 928:23,	860:7, 870:23,	requirement [7] -	917:12
960:11, 962:14,	931:5, 933:25,	871:1, 890:13	849:5, 883:25,	responsible [20] -
967:16	934:15, 940:24,	replaced [3] - 815:17,	953:5, 953:24,	810:10, 810:20,
refine [1] - 813:24	941:5, 941:6,	877:21, 890:17	955:2, 955:18,	815:22, 816:3,
reflect [3] - 903:16,	AND A STATE AND A STATE AND A STATE AND A STATE	replacement [3] -		822:1, 822:2, 822:5,
921:23, 961:4	944:18, 945:11,	825:3, 860:18,	958:16	822:8, 822:22,
reflected [2] - 960:22,	957:14, 960:6,	860:25	requirements [7] -	822:24, 824:25,
966:14	965:10	replacements [2] -	863:24, 864:6,	825:3, 827:11,
reflects [3] - 836:5,	regulatory [2] -	877:1, 877:5	872:13, 933:17,	827:15, 828:9,
903:6, 903:14	879:24, 894:5	replacing [6] - 822:9,	936:24, 937:1, 937:4	
refractory [9] -	rehabilitate [1] -	825:4, 839:6, 850:4,	requires [3] - 866:23,	866:3, 887:12,
819:12, 819:13,	875:23	852:5, 852:16	925:13, 939:8	910:8, 915:22,
839:6, 850:8, 853:3,	rehabilitation [1] -	report [1] - 886:3	research [1] - 955:10	958:20
853:9, 855:7, 856:8,	851:12	REPORTER [1] -	resident [1] - 862:14	restarted [1] - 857:23
857:25	reissued [1] - 944:11		residential [3] - 863:6,	restarting [1] - 857:18
	relate [2] - 818:9,	915:1	863:10, 863:11	restricted [1] - 846:15
refracturing [1] -	926:15	reporter [1] - 805:15	resides [1] - 863:11	restriction [1] - 822:3
910:9		reporting [1] - 937:6		

restrictive [1] - 958:2	risks [1] - 935:18	SDSes [1] - 935:13	sewage [5] - 972:21,	958:23, 959:1, 959:4
result [9] - 839:1,	river [1] - 814:22	sealing [16] - 822:9,	973:5, 979:2, 979:5,	side [77] - 808:21,
and a second secon		822:24, 823:22,	979:12	809:14, 815:20,
853:20, 854:20,	roadways [1] - 968:17	824:1, 824:3,	shades [1] - 973:25	815:21, 815:23,
856:2, 858:3, 870:6,	roll [1] - 954:18		shadow [1] - 868:3	815:25, 816:1,
907:21, 907:25,	rolled [1] - 811:14	824:25, 825:9,		816:7, 819:12,
933:2	rolling [2] - 954:11,	825:15, 825:18,	shape [1] - 821:15	824:18, 826:19,
esuited [2] - 856:11,	954:17	826:7, 850:8, 850:9,	shareholders [2] -	
881:2	roof [2] - 868:5, 868:6	850:11, 850:14,	887:19, 887:22	826:24, 827:1,
esulting [1] - 856:7	rotten [4] - 972:22,	850:17, 851:22	shed [45] - 808:20,	828:25, 829:23,
esults [4] - 855:10,	973:6, 973:10,	seam [1] - 902:4	809:14, 828:25,	830:22, 830:23,
859:5, 866:5, 887:13	973:12	second-best [1] -	844:2, 844:4, 844:5,	843:24, 844:11,
etired [1] - 833:14	rough [2] - 830:20,	845:6	844:8, 844:9,	844:13, 844:17,
eturn [2] - 888:21,	860:21	secret [1] - 965:24	844:12, 844:13,	845:14, 845:20,
898:3	roughly [1] - 868:20	section [7] - 806:1,	844:15, 844:16,	845:24, 846:2,
eturns [1] - 901:13	routine [1] - 884:20	806:3, 817:4, 821:5,	844:19, 845:1,	846:7, 846:10,
evenue [1] - 860:8	rule [22] - 921:6,	917:5, 917:7, 970:4	845:2, 845:4, 845:5,	847:14, 848:1,
eview [20] - 873:13,	929:3, 929:5,	Section [2] - 958:14,	845:11, 845:14,	848:3, 848:11,
And a resolution of the state o		958:16	845:21, 845:25,	848:14, 848:22,
881:9, 902:14,	929:11, 929:15,	sectional [1] - 817:5	846:7, 847:14,	849:3, 849:8,
903:2, 917:9, 924:4,	929:18, 931:18,	security [2] - 966:1	852:17, 852:22,	850:24, 851:3,
927:4, 929:1,	932:8, 932:13,		867:20, 900:14,	851:15, 851:19,
931:19, 931:22,	932:17, 932:18,	seem [1] - 891:21	900:16, 900:19,	852:18, 852:22,
932:14, 932:16,	932:22, 932:23,	send [2] - 928:24,		853:19, 853:25,
933:4, 933:10,	933:1, 933:2, 933:6,	970:19	901:3, 901:4, 901:7,	854:19, 864:21,
934:6, 934:23,	940:22, 940:25,	sense [1] - 837:7	901:10, 902:1,	868:10, 880:13,
935:23, 939:15,	941:9, 941:17,	sentence [4] - 960:11,	902:5, 903:19,	
950:5, 955:8	941:20, 943:7	964:20, 965:6,	903:20, 903:22,	886:5, 894:3,
eviewed [8] - 874:18,	rulemaking [3] -	970:10	903:24, 904:4,	901:12, 904:21,
894:14, 894:17,	923:18, 923:23,	sentences [2] -	906:24, 907:4,	904:23, 905:5,
930:15, 931:14,	930:16	965:15, 965:17	934:9, 934:11,	905:11, 905:12,
931:18, 933:11,	Rules [1] - 809:3	separation [2] -	934:14	905:13, 905:14,
933:13	rules [7] - 922:18,	876:23, 876:24	sheds [1] - 934:11	906:14, 906:18,
evise [1] - 943:20	928:15, 928:17,	September [1] - 951:7	sheet [2] - 903:13,	906:19, 906:24,
evised [2] - 924:13,	928:21, 931:20,	Serbia [6] - 811:7,	942:13	916:8, 916:17,
932:7	940:24, 976:23	811:11, 811:13,	sheeting [1] - 845:25	923:20, 942:15,
	940.24, 970.23		sheets [4] - 935:3,	942:16, 946:20,
Rhoads [15] - 809:23,	-	862:4, 862:6, 862:8	935:9, 935:11,	948:1, 951:4,
810:4, 814:9,	S	serbia [1] - 862:3	935:12	952:21, 952:23,
814:16, 817:10,	safe [3] - 822:15,	Serbian [1] - 811:9		954:6
818:17, 819:22,	846:12, 846:15	series [2] - 814:24,	shift [1] - 957:10	sided [1] - 980:13
830:24, 831:9,	safety [10] - 812:11,	816:21	ship [1] - 816:24	sides [3] - 826:20,
836:13, 849:19,	866:1, 866:3, 870:5,	serve [1] - 909:21	shock [2] - 850:5,	826:23, 901:4
861:10, 911:8,				
001.10, 011.0,		served [2] - 811:17,	850:16	
	931:21, 932:3,	served [2] - 811:17, 812:3	shop [2] - 890:21,	sign [1] - 836:11
913:19, 944:1	931:21, 932:3, 933:8, 935:12,	812:3		sign [1] - 836:11 signed [9] - 874:13,
913:19, 944:1 RHOADS [5] - 809:24,	931:21, 932:3, 933:8, 935:12, 958:20, 965:25	812:3 service [4] - 833:15,	<b>shop</b> [2] - 890:21,	<b>sign</b> [1] - 836:11 <b>signed</b> [9] - 874:13, 874:16, 874:18,
913:19, 944:1 RHOADS [5] - 809:24, 814:18, 895:20,	931:21, 932:3, 933:8, 935:12, 958:20, 965:25 <b>sake</b> [1] - 886:6	812:3 <b>service</b> [4] - 833:15, 890:20, 907:10,	<b>shop</b> [2] - 890:21, 893:6	sign [1] - 836:11 signed [9] - 874:13, 874:16, 874:18, 894:11, 894:12,
913:19, 944:1 RHOADS [5] - 809:24, 814:18, 895:20, 896:19, 902:23	931:21, 932:3, 933:8, 935:12, 958:20, 965:25	812:3 service [4] - 833:15, 890:20, 907:10, 907:24	shop [2] - 890:21, 893:6 shops [1] - 925:20	sign [1] - 836:11 signed [9] - 874:13, 874:16, 874:18, 894:11, 894:12, 894:17, 977:7,
913:19, 944:1 RHOADS [5] - 809:24, 814:18, 895:20, 896:19, 902:23 Rhodes [1] - 947:24	931:21, 932:3, 933:8, 935:12, 958:20, 965:25 <b>sake</b> [1] - 886:6	812:3 service [4] - 833:15, 890:20, 907:10, 907:24 services [1] - 810:20	shop [2] - 890:21, 893:6 shops [1] - 925:20 short [2] - 919:14, 941:22	sign [1] - 836:11 signed [9] - 874:13, 874:16, 874:18, 894:11, 894:12,
913:19, 944:1 RHOADS [5] - 809:24, 814:18, 895:20, 896:19, 902:23 Rhodes [1] - 947:24 iding [1] - 846:23	931:21, 932:3, 933:8, 935:12, 958:20, 965:25 sake [1] - 886:6 sales [1] - 860:8	812:3 service [4] - 833:15, 890:20, 907:10, 907:24 services [1] - 810:20 sessions [1] - 873:5	shop [2] - 890:21, 893:6 shops [1] - 925:20 short [2] - 919:14, 941:22 shorter [1] - 941:22	sign [1] - 836:11 signed [9] - 874:13, 874:16, 874:18, 894:11, 894:12, 894:17, 977:7,
913:19, 944:1 RHOADS [5] - 809:24, 814:18, 895:20, 896:19, 902:23 Rhodes [1] - 947:24 iding [1] - 846:23 Igging [1] - 853:1	931:21, 932:3, 933:8, 935:12, 958:20, 965:25 sake [1] - 886:6 sales [1] - 860:8 savings [2] - 879:6, 880:3	812:3 service [4] - 833:15, 890:20, 907:10, 907:24 services [1] - 810:20 sessions [1] - 873:5 set [15] - 908:22,	shop [2] - 890:21, 893:6 shops [1] - 925:20 short [2] - 919:14, 941:22 shorter [1] - 941:22 show [8] - 818:23,	sign [1] - 836:11 signed [9] - 874:13, 874:16, 874:18, 894:11, 894:12, 894:17, 977:7, 977:10, 977:19
913:19, 944:1 RHOADS [5] - 809:24, 814:18, 895:20, 896:19, 902:23 Rhodes [1] - 947:24 iding [1] - 846:23 igging [1] - 853:1 ight-hand [2] -	931:21, 932:3, 933:8, 935:12, 958:20, 965:25 sake (1) - 886:6 sales (1) - 860:8 savings (2) - 879:6, 880:3 scale (1) - 890:9	812:3 service (4) - 833:15, 890:20, 907:10, 907:24 services (1) - 810:20 sessions (1) - 873:5 set [15] - 908:22, 910:25, 911:2,	shop [2] - 890:21, 893:6 shops [1] - 925:20 short [2] - 919:14, 941:22 shorter [1] - 941:22 show [8] - 818:23, 826:15, 942:20,	sign [1] - 836:11 signed [9] - 874:13, 874:16, 874:18, 894:11, 894:12, 894:17, 977:7, 977:10, 977:19 significant [30] - 834:25, 838:4,
913:19, 944:1 RHOADS [5] - 809:24, 814:18, 895:20, 896:19, 902:23 Rhodes [1] - 947:24 iding [1] - 846:23 igging [1] - 853:1 igght-hand [2] - 829:23, 942:16	931:21, 932:3, 933:8, 935:12, 958:20, 965:25 sake (1) - 886:6 sales (1) - 860:8 savings (2) - 879:6, 880:3 scale (1) - 890:9 scenario (3) - 842:24,	812:3 service (4) - 833:15, 890:20, 907:10, 907:24 services (1) - 810:20 sessions (1) - 873:5 set [15] - 908:22, 910:25, 911:2, 911:3, 920:5,	shop [2] - 890:21, 893:6           shops [1] - 925:20           short [2] - 919:14, 941:22           shorter [1] - 941:22           show [8] - 818:23, 826:15, 942:20, 949:3, 951:17,	sign [1] - 836:11 signed [9] - 874:13, 874:16, 874:18, 894:11, 894:12, 894:17, 977:7, 977:10, 977:19 significant [30] -
913:19, 944:1 RHOADS [5] - 809:24, 814:18, 895:20, 896:19, 902:23 Rhodes [1] - 947:24 iding [1] - 846:23 igging [1] - 853:1 ight-hand [2] - 829:23, 942:16 isk [20] - 931:19,	931:21, 932:3, 933:8, 935:12, 958:20, 965:25 sake [1] - 886:6 sales [1] - 860:8 savings [2] - 879:6, 880:3 scale [1] - 890:9 scenario [3] - 842:24, 843:2, 961:18	812:3 service (4) - 833:15, 890:20, 907:10, 907:24 services (1) - 810:20 sessions (1) - 873:5 set [15] - 908:22, 910:25, 911:2, 911:3, 920:5, 925:14, 925:19,	<pre>shop [2] - 890:21, 893:6 shops [1] - 925:20 short [2] - 919:14, 941:22 shorter [1] - 941:22 show [8] - 818:23, 826:15, 942:20, 949:3, 951:17, 951:23, 954:23,</pre>	sign [1] - 836:11 signed [9] - 874:13, 874:16, 874:18, 894:11, 894:12, 894:17, 977:7, 977:10, 977:19 significant [30] - 834:25, 838:4, 838:7, 838:8, 838:9
913:19, 944:1 RHOADS [5] - 809:24, 814:18, 895:20, 896:19, 902:23 Rhodes [1] - 947:24 iding [1] - 846:23 igging [1] - 853:1 ight-hand [2] - 829:23, 942:16 isk [20] - 931:19, 931:22, 932:1,	931:21, 932:3, 933:8, 935:12, 958:20, 965:25 sake (1) - 886:6 sales (1) - 860:8 savings (2) - 879:6, 880:3 scale (1) - 890:9 scenario (3) - 842:24, 843:2, 961:18 scheduled (1) -	812:3 service (4) - 833:15, 890:20, 907:10, 907:24 services (1) - 810:20 sessions (1) - 873:5 set (15) - 908:22, 910:25, 911:2, 911:3, 920:5, 925:14, 925:19, 926:19, 927:4,	<pre>shop [2] - 890:21, 893:6 shops [1] - 925:20 short [2] - 919:14, 941:22 shorter [1] - 941:22 show [8] - 818:23, 826:15, 942:20, 949:3, 951:17, 951:23, 954:23, 957:17</pre>	sign [1] - 836:11 signed [9] - 874:13, 874:16, 874:18, 894:11, 894:12, 894:17, 977:7, 977:10, 977:19 significant [30] - 834:25, 838:4, 838:7, 838:8, 838:9 838:16, 839:12,
913:19, 944:1 <b>RHOADS</b> [5] - 809:24, 814:18, 895:20, 896:19, 902:23 <b>Rhodes</b> [1] - 947:24 <b>iding</b> [1] - 846:23 <b>igging</b> [1] - 853:1 <b>ight-hand</b> [2] - 829:23, 942:16 <b>isk</b> [20] - 931:19, 931:22, 932:1,	931:21, 932:3, 933:8, 935:12, 958:20, 965:25 sake (1) - 886:6 sales (1) - 860:8 savings (2) - 879:6, 880:3 scale (1) - 890:9 scenario (3) - 842:24, 843:2, 961:18 scheduled (1) - 815:11	812:3 service (4) - 833:15, 890:20, 907:10, 907:24 services (1) - 810:20 sessions (1) - 873:5 set [15] - 908:22, 910:25, 911:2, 911:3, 920:5, 925:14, 925:19,	<pre>shop [2] - 890:21, 893:6 shops [1] - 925:20 short [2] - 919:14, 941:22 shorter [1] - 941:22 show [8] - 818:23, 826:15, 942:20, 949:3, 951:17, 951:23, 954:23, 957:17 showed [2] - 882:3,</pre>	sign [1] - 836:11 signed [9] - 874:13, 874:16, 874:18, 894:11, 894:12, 894:17, 977:7, 977:10, 977:19 significant [30] - 834:25, 838:4, 838:7, 838:8, 838:9 838:16, 839:12, 839:16, 843:9, 850:5, 852:19,
913:19, 944:1 <b>RHOADS</b> [5] - 809:24, 814:18, 895:20, 896:19, 902:23 <b>Rhodes</b> [1] - 947:24 <b>iding</b> [1] - 846:23 <b>igging</b> [1] - 853:1 <b>ight-hand</b> [2] - 829:23, 942:16 <b>isk</b> [20] - 931:19, 931:22, 932:1,	931:21, 932:3, 933:8, 935:12, 958:20, 965:25 sake (1) - 886:6 sales (1) - 860:8 savings (2) - 879:6, 880:3 scale (1) - 890:9 scenario (3) - 842:24, 843:2, 961:18 scheduled (1) - 815:11 school (1) - 916:19	812:3 service (4) - 833:15, 890:20, 907:10, 907:24 services (1) - 810:20 sessions (1) - 873:5 set (15) - 908:22, 910:25, 911:2, 911:3, 920:5, 925:14, 925:19, 926:19, 927:4,	<pre>shop [2] - 890:21, 893:6 shops [1] - 925:20 short [2] - 919:14, 941:22 shorter [1] - 941:22 show [8] - 818:23, 826:15, 942:20, 949:3, 951:17, 951:23, 954:23, 957:17 showed [2] - 882:3, 905:10</pre>	sign [1] - 836:11 signed [9] - 874:13, 874:16, 874:18, 894:11, 894:12, 894:17, 977:7, 977:10, 977:19 significant [30] - 834:25, 838:4, 838:7, 838:8, 838:9 838:16, 839:12, 839:16, 843:9, 850:5, 852:19, 854:10, 855:6,
913:19, 944:1 <b>RHOADS</b> [5] - 809:24, 814:18, 895:20, 896:19, 902:23 <b>Rhodes</b> [1] - 947:24 <b>iding</b> [1] - 846:23 <b>igging</b> [1] - 853:1 <b>ight-hand</b> [2] - 829:23, 942:16 <b>isk</b> [20] - 931:19, 931:22, 932:1, 932:13, 932:16, 934:6, 935:21,	931:21, 932:3, 933:8, 935:12, 958:20, 965:25 sake (1) - 886:6 sales (1) - 860:8 savings (2) - 879:6, 880:3 scale (1) - 890:9 scenario (3) - 842:24, 843:2, 961:18 scheduled (1) - 815:11 school (1) - 916:19 science (2) - 812:18,	812:3 service (4) - 833:15, 890:20, 907:10, 907:24 services (1) - 810:20 sessions (1) - 873:5 set (15) - 908:22, 910:25, 911:2, 911:3, 920:5, 925:14, 925:19, 926:19, 927:4, 927:22, 931:17,	<pre>shop [2] - 890:21, 893:6 shops [1] - 925:20 short [2] - 919:14, 941:22 shorter [1] - 941:22 show [8] - 818:23, 826:15, 942:20, 949:3, 951:17, 951:23, 954:23, 957:17 showed [2] - 882:3,</pre>	sign [1] - 836:11 signed [9] - 874:13, 874:16, 874:18, 894:11, 894:12, 894:17, 977:7, 977:10, 977:19 significant [30] - 834:25, 838:4, 838:7, 838:8, 838:9 838:16, 839:12, 839:16, 843:9, 850:5, 852:19, 854:10, 855:6, 855:10, 855:11,
913:19, 944:1 <b>RHOADS</b> [5] - 809:24, 814:18, 895:20, 896:19, 902:23 <b>Rhodes</b> [1] - 947:24 <b>iding</b> [1] - 846:23 <b>igging</b> [1] - 853:1 <b>ight-hand</b> [2] - 829:23, 942:16 <b>isk</b> [20] - 931:19, 931:22, 932:1, 932:13, 932:16,	931:21, 932:3, 933:8, 935:12, 958:20, 965:25 sake (1) - 886:6 sales (1) - 860:8 savings (2) - 879:6, 880:3 scale (1) - 890:9 scenario (3) - 842:24, 843:2, 961:18 scheduled (1) - 815:11 school (1) - 916:19 science (2) - 812:18, 918:11	812:3 service (4) - 833:15, 890:20, 907:10, 907:24 services (1) - 810:20 sessions (1) - 873:5 set (15) - 908:22, 910:25, 911:2, 911:3, 920:5, 925:14, 925:19, 926:19, 927:4, 927:22, 931:17, 932:4, 933:8, 939:13 sets (1) - 917:24	<pre>shop [2] - 890:21, 893:6 shops [1] - 925:20 short [2] - 919:14, 941:22 shorter [1] - 941:22 show [8] - 818:23, 826:15, 942:20, 949:3, 951:17, 951:23, 954:23, 957:17 showed [2] - 882:3, 905:10</pre>	sign [1] - 836:11 signed [9] - 874:13, 874:16, 874:18, 894:11, 894:12, 894:17, 977:7, 977:10, 977:19 significant [30] - 834:25, 838:4, 838:7, 838:8, 838:9 838:16, 839:12, 839:16, 843:9, 850:5, 852:19, 854:10, 855:6, 855:10, 855:11, 855:17, 856:2,
913:19, 944:1 <b>RHOADS</b> [5] - 809:24, 814:18, 895:20, 896:19, 902:23 <b>Rhodes</b> [1] - 947:24 <b>iding</b> [1] - 846:23 <b>igging</b> [1] - 853:1 <b>ight-hand</b> [2] - 829:23, 942:16 <b>isk</b> [20] - 931:19, 931:22, 932:1, 932:13, 932:16, 934:6, 935:21, 967:2, 967:5, 967:8,	931:21, 932:3, 933:8, 935:12, 958:20, 965:25 sake (1) - 886:6 sales (1) - 860:8 savings (2) - 879:6, 880:3 scale (1) - 890:9 scenario (3) - 842:24, 843:2, 961:18 scheduled (1) - 815:11 school (1) - 916:19 science (2) - 812:18, 918:11 scope (1) - 809:9	812:3 service (4) - 833:15, 890:20, 907:10, 907:24 services (1) - 810:20 sessions (1) - 873:5 set (15) - 908:22, 910:25, 911:2, 911:3, 920:5, 925:14, 925:19, 926:19, 927:4, 927:22, 931:17, 932:4, 933:8, 939:13 sets (1) - 917:24 setter (1) - 888:19	<pre>shop [2] - 890:21, 893:6 shops [1] - 925:20 short [2] - 919:14, 941:22 shorter [1] - 941:22 show [8] - 818:23, 826:15, 942:20, 949:3, 951:17, 951:23, 954:23, 957:17 showed [2] - 882:3, 905:10 shows [4] - 823:18,</pre>	sign [1] - 836:11 signed [9] - 874:13, 874:16, 874:18, 894:11, 894:12, 894:17, 977:7, 977:10, 977:19 significant [30] - 834:25, 838:4, 838:7, 838:8, 838:9 838:16, 839:12, 839:16, 843:9, 850:5, 852:19, 854:10, 855:6, 855:10, 855:11, 855:17, 856:2, 856:6, 856:24,
913:19, 944:1 <b>RHOADS</b> [5] - 809:24, 814:18, 895:20, 896:19, 902:23 <b>Rhodes</b> [1] - 947:24 <b>iding</b> [1] - 846:23 <b>igging</b> [1] - 853:1 <b>ight-hand</b> [2] - 829:23, 942:16 <b>isk</b> [20] - 931:19, 931:22, 932:1, 932:13, 932:16, 934:6, 935:21, 967:2, 967:5, 967:8, 967:10, 971:3, 971:6, 971:10,	931:21, 932:3, 933:8, 935:12, 958:20, 965:25 sake (1) - 886:6 sales (1) - 860:8 savings (2) - 879:6, 880:3 scale (1) - 890:9 scenario (3) - 842:24, 843:2, 961:18 scheduled (1) - 815:11 school (1) - 916:19 science (2) - 812:18, 918:11	812:3 service (4) - 833:15, 890:20, 907:10, 907:24 services (1) - 810:20 sessions (1) - 873:5 set (15) - 908:22, 910:25, 911:2, 911:3, 920:5, 925:14, 925:19, 926:19, 927:4, 927:22, 931:17, 932:4, 933:8, 939:13 sets (1) - 917:24 setter (1) - 888:19 seventy (1) - 843:19	<pre>shop [2] - 890:21, 893:6 shops [1] - 925:20 short [2] - 919:14, 941:22 shorter [1] - 941:22 show [8] - 818:23, 826:15, 942:20, 949:3, 951:17, 951:23, 954:23, 957:17 showed [2] - 882:3, 905:10 shows [4] - 823:18, 826:2, 850:23,</pre>	sign [1] - 836:11 signed [9] - 874:13, 874:16, 874:18, 894:11, 894:12, 894:17, 977:7, 977:10, 977:19 significant [30] - 834:25, 838:4, 838:7, 838:8, 838:9 838:16, 839:12, 839:16, 843:9, 850:5, 852:19, 854:10, 855:6, 855:10, 855:11, 855:17, 856:2, 856:6, 856:24, 857:12, 857:25,
913:19, 944:1 <b>RHOADS</b> [5] - 809:24, 814:18, 895:20, 896:19, 902:23 <b>Rhodes</b> [1] - 947:24 <b>iding</b> [1] - 846:23 <b>igging</b> [1] - 853:1 <b>ight-hand</b> [2] - 829:23, 942:16 <b>isk</b> [20] - 931:19, 931:22, 932:1, 932:13, 932:16, 934:6, 935:21, 967:2, 967:5, 967:8, 967:10, 971:3,	931:21, 932:3, 933:8, 935:12, 958:20, 965:25 sake (1) - 886:6 sales (1) - 860:8 savings (2) - 879:6, 880:3 scale (1) - 890:9 scenario (3) - 842:24, 843:2, 961:18 scheduled (1) - 815:11 school (1) - 916:19 science (2) - 812:18, 918:11 scope (1) - 809:9	812:3 service (4) - 833:15, 890:20, 907:10, 907:24 services (1) - 810:20 sessions (1) - 873:5 set (15) - 908:22, 910:25, 911:2, 911:3, 920:5, 925:14, 925:19, 926:19, 927:4, 927:22, 931:17, 932:4, 933:8, 939:13 sets (1) - 917:24 setter (1) - 888:19	<pre>shop [2] - 890:21, 893:6 shops [1] - 925:20 short [2] - 919:14, 941:22 shorter [1] - 941:22 show [8] - 818:23, 826:15, 942:20, 949:3, 951:17, 951:23, 954:23, 957:17 showed [2] - 882:3, 905:10 shows [4] - 823:18, 826:2, 850:23, 951:20</pre>	sign [1] - 836:11 signed [9] - 874:13, 874:16, 874:18, 894:11, 894:12, 894:17, 977:7, 977:10, 977:19 significant [30] - 834:25, 838:4, 838:7, 838:8, 838:9 838:16, 839:12, 839:16, 843:9, 850:5, 852:19, 854:10, 855:6, 855:10, 855:11, 855:17, 856:2, 856:6, 856:24,

879:9, 893:2, 897:5,	809:19, 814:15,	829:8, 829:9,	spending [1] - 881:19	854:4, 854:9,
910:21, 922:6	861:7, 892:9,	829:10, 830:3,	spent [3] - 833:16,	854:10, 854:13,
significantly [7] -	895:17, 896:16,	830:6, 830:7, 882:14	838:24, 877:2	870:10, 882:22,
841:4, 841:21,	902:22, 912:12,	sociology [2] -	spot [1] - 823:12	883:22, 907:5,
860:11, 876:12,	913:15, 914:5,	916:11, 916:15	spraying [1] - 826:3	909:3, 909:5, 909:7,
877:24, 883:24,	914:13, 914:19,	sodium [1] - 826:4	stack [43] - 818:24,	914:10, 920:5,
958:12	915:16, 915:18,	soft [1] - 828:8	819:1, 819:5, 819:7,	923:21, 924:1,
signifies [1] - 930:22	929:13, 929:17,	solution [1] - 877:25	819:8, 819:19,	924:13, 925:22,
silent [1] - 940:12	929:23, 929:25,	solutions [1] - 910:22	819:23, 820:4,	925:24, 925:25,
silica [1] - 834:16	946:7, 946:10,	sometimes [6] -	820:5, 829:5, 831:6,	926:18, 928:22,
silicate [1] - 826:4	950:15, 950:18,	838:2, 850:4,	840:9, 840:12,	931:17, 932:7,
similar [10] - 846:11,	956:8, 956:12,	850:15, 850:16,	840:14, 841:3,	933:9, 949:19,
855:18, 866:25,	957:19, 957:21,	919:13, 935:12	841:5, 841:7,	951:18, 951:24,
940:23, 947:12,	959:25, 961:20,	somewhere [2] -	841:22, 843:1,	952:21, 952:23,
968:18, 969:22,	970:5, 970:7,	861:1, 889:22	845:1, 882:2, 882:3,	953:6, 953:15,
972:21, 973:5, 973:7	978:20, 979:9,	SOP [1] - 822:14	882:6, 882:8,	953:17, 954:9,
similarly [1] - 976:12	979:18, 979:21,	SOPs [1] - 822:12	882:19, 882:23,	954:24, 954:25,
simple [2] - 925:24	980:1, 980:8,	sorry [24] - 862:2,	882:24, 882:25,	955:4, 958:2,
926:9	980:20, 980:25	862:4, 862:13,	883:3, 883:23,	958:14, 962:2,
simply (1) - 819:15	slid [1] - 823:15	865:12, 866:19,	899:25, 946:23,	962:4, 963:5,
single [1] - 834:17	slide [1] - 823:14	872:8, 874:4, 878:8,	948:21, 949:11,	965:12, 976:8,
SIP [33] - 880:2,	slides [2] - 827:17,	884:19, 887:4,	950:20, 951:3,	976:12, 976:13
919:14, 919:17,	917:10	895:7, 895:14,	952:6, 952:8,	standards [47] -
919:19, 920:2,	sliding [1] - 823:20	895:19, 897:14,	967:22, 967:24,	836:20, 848:10,
920:10, 921:2,	slight [2] - 825:25,	897:15, 897:17,	968:7, 972:6	848:20, 852:9,
921:3, 921:8,	850:17	898:3, 907:19,	stacks [20] - 831:4,	862:21, 866:13,
922:16, 922:23,	slip [2] - 827:18,	908:9, 913:5, 923:4,	837:4, 837:19,	874:19, 911:25,
924:9, 924:15,	827:22	942:2, 965:17,	841:11, 883:8,	912:9, 914:3,
924:18, 940:9,	slotted [6] - 813:9,	971:13	883:10, 883:12,	918:14, 918:16,
940:10, 957:14,	815:9, 815:15,	sounds [1] - 969:8	948:13, 948:16,	925:14, 926:13,
960:16, 960:17,	819:11, 833:21,	source [27] - 846:25,	948:17, 948:18,	926:25, 927:20,
960:22, 964:24,	837:11	863:12, 863:13,	948:25, 949:7,	927:22, 928:8,
965:9, 975:15,	slurried [1] - 824:4	863:21, 919:25,	949:16, 949:22,	931:11, 931:13,
975:16, 975:19,	small [3] - 873:5,	922:13, 925:16,	951:9, 951:12,	932:2, 932:4, 933:5,
975:22, 975:23,	890:1, 890:3	926:8, 926:10,	951:19, 966:9, 972:8	934:1, 934:3,
976:3, 976:10,	smaller [4] - 817:22,	942:5, 942:8, 943:1,	staff [4] - 810:9,	936:20, 945:2,
976:20, 976:21	839:15, 926:5,	943:3, 943:19,	870:7, 870:8, 910:19	945:3, 945:23,
SIPed [1] - 958:4	979:15	943:21, 957:5,	stage [5] - 821:19,	951:13, 953:1,
site-wide [1] - 952:16	smallest [1] - 848:9	957:6, 958:12,	822:23, 920:9,	953:4, 953:7, 953:8,
situation [3] - 884:23,	smeli [7] - 972:13,	958:21, 958:23,	931:16, 931:17	953:9, 953:14,
945:10, 952:14	972:14, 972:22,	962:20, 962:24,	stages [2] - 923:9,	957:4, 962:11,
situations [1] - 856:15	973:6, 973:8,	963:3, 963:15,	931:16	962:13, 962:15,
six-minute [3] - 939:6,	973:10, 973:12	963:19, 963:22,	staggered [1] - 892:24	962:17, 965:2,
939:8, 941:4	smells [7] - 972:16,	969:7	stainless [2] - 844:16,	965:9, 976:19
sixty [1] - 884:14	972:18, 972:23,	sources [13] - 817:11,	845:25	Standards [2] - 912:3,
	973:2, 973:9,	817:15, 817:23,	stakeholder [2] -	933:22
sixty-five [1] - 884:14	978:25, 979:2	818:7, 818:13,	875:19, 927:5	standing [6] - 823:22,
size [6] - 835:2,	smooth [1] - 939:23	919:3, 919:22,	stakeholders [2] -	846:20, 847:10,
889:25, 891:11,	<b>SO2</b> [22] - 839:16,	922:3, 922:4, 934:6,	919:4, 919:24	847:11, 847:17,
926:1, 979:13,	874:23, 880:2,	965:11, 966:8,	stand [6] - 822:14,	847:22
979:14	900:5, 918:5,	971:18	832:6, 845:22,	standpoint [3] - 835:5
SJP [1] - 822:14	918:25, 920:2,	south [2] - 811:3,	847:14, 847:15,	836:2, 904:15
SJPs [1] - 822:12	920:11, 920:16,	813:12	912:2	stands [3] - 832:7,
skill [3] - 910:25,	920:17, 920:25,	South [1] - 861:13	Standard [1] - 879:18	925:25, 943:24
911:2, 911:3	920:17, 920:25, 921:8, 922:2, 922:5,	space [1] - 853:1	standard [55] -	statement [1] - 950:12
slater [2] - 810:3,			822:15, 824:3,	station [2] - 816:22,
915:11	924:7, 957:3, 957:5,	span [1] - 857:6	825:21, 849:11,	868:12
Slater [1] - 980:21	957:8, 960:17,	speaking [3] - 876:2,	849:15, 849:23,	status [5] - 855:9,
<b>SLATER</b> [43] - 805:2,	961:24, 969:17, 975:16	910:1, 945:18	850:23, 851:11,	856:6, 856:11,
805:11, 805:16,	soaking [8] - 829:6,	specifications [3] -	853:20, 853:25,	861:2, 924:12
808:1, 809:7,	1 SUAKING 8 - 029.0.	864:1, 864:2, 864:3	000.20, 000.20,	

stay [1] - 969:14	966:9, 970:24,	911:24, 933:16,	system [14] - 821:16,	828:1, 830:5, 835:2
stayed [1] - 843:2	975:2, 975:5, 975:10	936:24, 945:10,	822:7, 822:8, 827:5,	839:16, 844:14,
steady [2] - 883:19,	Steel's [6] - 814:11,	946:5, 952:18,	827:16, 827:20,	854:24, 874:1,
884:8	859:7, 920:13,	955:19, 955:20	829:12, 829:14,	875:15, 876:4,
steady-state [1] -	963:18, 963:21,	subjected [1] - 837:5	829:16, 830:10,	879:11, 883:14,
884:8	964:10	subjectivity [1] -	834:14, 835:7,	883:21, 887:2,
steam [4] - 817:16,	steel-demand [1] -	938:21	844:3, 949:24	888:21, 892:14,
	856:19	submit [2] - 944:16,	systems [1] - 834:18	898:6, 908:10,
817:20, 879:7, 879:9	steelmaking [10] -	960:25	of eterne (ii) et itte	925:24, 926:9,
steel [11] - 808:10,	811:2, 811:3, 811:4,	submitted [4] - 920:7,	Т	934:20, 960:21,
808:14, 811:11,	entre contemp <sup>1</sup> and a support	922:16, 960:24,		965:2, 966:19
844:16, 844:22,	811:6, 811:10,	a 100	Tab [1] - 895:18	test [6] - 965:3, 974:
845:25, 850:7,	813:3, 862:9,	961:12	Table [1] - 921:23	974:25, 977:24,
856:18, 856:19,	862:11, 864:10,	subsequently [2] -	table [8] - 895:11,	978:3, 978:7
856:25, 910:23	869:14	831:25, 840:24	896:10, 921:16,	testified [7] - 805:20
Steel [96] - 805:4,	Steelworkers [1] -	substantial [5] -	921:17, 921:23,	5
805:10, 808:5,	927:9	858:3, 859:9,	922:4, 922:10	809:25, 845:11,
810:5, 810:13,	step [4] - 809:20,	889:16, 889:19,	tail [1] - 909:24	883:2, 915:8,
810:14, 813:16,	814:3, 914:13,	974:7	tall [6] - 829:2, 829:4,	953:12, 971:23
821:23, 827:7,	979:22	substantially [1] -	948:18, 948:25,	testify [2] - 888:6,
828:11, 831:11,	steps [1] - 856:16	890:10	And a second	896:16
832:25, 833:5,	stop [1] - 854:25	successive [2] -	949:7, 967:24	testifying [1] - 805:1
833:14, 833:16,	storage [3] - 814:25,	841:20, 854:17	taller [1] - 949:11	testimony [24] - 808
836:6, 838:13,	815:1, 968:18	sufficient [1] - 975:24	tar [1] - 825:20	814:8, 815:19,
840:17, 840:22,	stored [1] - 950:1	suggested [1] - 898:6	target [3] - 947:1,	815:25, 820:16,
841:13, 842:1,	strategies [2] -	suggestions [1] -	947:3, 952:11	823:1, 831:9,
842:13, 842:24,	920:17, 922:8	960:21	tasked [1] - 909:23	831:17, 833:23,
843:3, 843:11,	stream [2] - 831:24,	sulfide [5] - 818:4,	teaching [1] - 832:16	836:23, 837:20,
848:19, 848:25,		839:13, 878:2,	team [5] - 831:19,	838:13, 846:25,
The second concerned and concerned and	871:10	and the second s	831:20, 920:15,	855:19, 857:15,
849:1, 849:6,	street [2] - 949:2,	879:10, 895:12	936:10, 936:11	885:18, 911:10,
849:10, 849:14,	979:7	sulfur [2] - 864:4,	teams [1] - 831:21	911:18, 913:19,
852:4, 852:8,	stress [1] - 851:18	879:14	technical [5] - 832:5,	925:1, 935:2,
852:11, 853:12,	strike [2] - 808:11,	supervised [1] -	916:8, 916:17,	937:12, 940:5,
853:19, 853:23,	808:15	811:10	917:3, 946:20	943:14
855:23, 857:6,	stringent [12] -	support [1] - 854:2	techniques [1] - 965:4	testing [14] - 846:25
860:1, 860:10,	835:12, 836:17,	suppose [1] - 895:1	technologies [1] -	937:5, 942:5, 942:
860:11, 860:16,	874:20, 875:3,	supposed [1] - 838:3	930:14	943:1, 943:3,
861:10, 861:20,	875:15, 912:19,	surface [9] - 825:15,	Technology [1] -	943:19, 943:21,
862:8, 870:16,	945:5, 945:11,	825:18, 826:5,	926:1	
872:14, 875:8,	955:3, 956:2,	850:8, 850:9,		962:20, 962:24,
875:19, 875:24,	957:15, 958:22	850:12, 850:14,	technology [26] -	963:3, 963:15,
876:4, 879:16,	stripper [2] - 877:21,	850:18, 877:23	820:7, 826:25,	963:19, 963:22
885:5, 885:21,	877:23	surprise [2] - 879:8,	835:2, 835:4,	tests [1] - 945:15
887:14, 887:25,	stripping [1] - 877:25	889:20	835:15, 845:9,	thankfully [1] - 870:
888:11, 890:16,	strive [1] - 886:24	surrogate [6] -	849:20, 854:2,	themselves [2] -
893:14, 894:18,	structured [2] - 843:8,	948:11, 948:22,	876:24, 904:1,	805:6, 868:12
910:12, 915:3,	843:10	949:3, 952:15,	904:3, 904:5,	thereof [1] - 958:24
915:14, 916:4,	studies [5] - 918:11,	966:6, 966:10	904:13, 904:24,	thermal [5] - 827:25,
916:5, 920:10,	938:5, 938:7, 941:5,		905:1, 905:3, 909:6,	850:5, 850:16,
921:14, 924:3,	Internet and a second	surrounding [1] - 808:21	909:7, 909:18,	855:6, 855:10
924:17, 931:7,	969:15		926:18, 930:8,	they've [2] - 873:9,
935:8, 943:11,	study [2] - 881:9,	suspended [1] -	931:18, 955:25,	938:7
943:16, 946:6,	938:12	834:24	962:3, 967:6, 967:10	thick [1] - 937:2
946:13, 946:25,	subcommittee [1] -	sustained [1] - 825:2	temperature [2] -	thousands [2] - 837
947:2, 947:12,	923:10	switch [5] - 924:7,	827:24, 838:8	837:17
947:21, 948:7,	Subcommittee [1] -	924:21, 936:2,	temperatures [2] -	three-year [2] - 947:
950:10, 952:14,	812:4	937:8, 952:20	825:16, 828:4	947:11
	subject [17] - 806:7,	switching [2] -	temporary [1] -	
952:17, 953:19,	817:12, 818:4,	876:22, 877:5	851:22	through-wall [2] -
959:2, 960:16,	818:5, 830:25,	sworn [5] - 805:13,	tens [1] - 837:17	839:6, 876:15
			1 100120111 - 1337 17	I TOPOLICIDOUS (C)
960:17, 960:20, 962:23, 963:12,	831:7, 836:20,	805:14, 805:20,	terms [24] - 825:11,	throughout [6] - 818:25, 820:16,

829:7, 843:14,	930:25, 931:4	973:5, 979:2, 979:5,	860:10, 860:11,	921:25
937:11, 951:25	top-performing [2] -	979:12	860:16, 861:10,	University [5] -
Thursday [1] - 805:3	930:12, 931:4	tremendous [2] -	861:20, 870:16,	812:19, 812:21,
tight [1] - 945:23	topics [4] - 836:4,	860:17, 860:25	872:14, 875:8,	956:21, 956:24,
timeframe [7] -	936:2, 937:8, 952:20	trends [1] - 897:9	875:19, 875:24,	957:1
859:20, 945:7,	tops [1] - 867:17	tricky [1] - 853:1	876:4, 879:16,	unlimited [3] - 849:19
945:15, 947:13,	topside [3] - 826:17,	tried [2] - 854:4, 950:7	885:5, 885:21,	892:13, 911:21
951:20, 951:25,	828:23, 868:9	Truchan [1] - 977:2	887:14, 887:25,	unrecoverable [1] -
952:17	total [6] - 811:20,	trucks [1] - 919:6	888:11, 890:16,	885:1
timeframes [1] -	811:23, 838:20,	true [2] - 885:21,	893:14, 894:18,	unsuccessful [1] -
976:23	838:24, 854:15,	973:16	910:12, 915:3,	854:8
timing [1] - 944:22	907:9	tube [2] - 837:16,	916:5, 919:21,	update [2] - 832:25,
tip [1] - 909:24	tour [1] - 812:15	873:20	920:10, 920:13,	833:5
Tishie [3] - 915:5,	towards [2] - 829:23,	Tuesday [1] - 886:4	921:14, 924:3,	updated [3] - 832:20,
915:12, 915:15	944:15	turndown [3] - 856:18,	924:17, 931:7,	832:21, 832:22
TISHIE [2] - 915:7,	tower [3] - 816:16,	879:7, 879:9	935:8, 943:11,	updates [1] - 944:17
915:15	816:20, 834:19	turquoise [1] - 973:23	943:16, 946:5,	upgrades [1] - 877:4
Title [13] - 936:2,	towers [2] - 838:19,	twenty [1] - 869:6	946:13, 946:25,	<b>US</b> [1] - 938:12
936:9, 936:11,	876:9	twenty-four [1] -	947:2, 947:12,	utilities [6] - 831:18,
936:17, 936:22,	toxic [1] - 974:14	869:6	947:21, 948:7,	832:2, 832:9,
944:8, 944:11,	toxics [2] - 974:8,	twice [2] - 842:13,	950:10, 952:14,	871:21, 878:23,
944:12, 944:14,	974:10	850:4	952:17, 953:19,	910:2
944:17, 944:21,	track [15] - 914:24,	two-battery [1] - 890:1	959:2, 960:16,	utility [1] - 823:10
944:24, 978:15	930:7, 930:8,	two-day [2] - 832:11,	960:17, 960:20,	
title [4] - 894:20,	930:19, 930:21,	832:13	962:23, 963:12,	V
957:23, 957:24,	930:25, 931:5,	two-part [1] - 906:22	963:18, 963:21,	vacuum [5] - 876:20,
972:1	931:7, 931:9,	two-phase [1] - 827:9	964:10, 966:9,	877:21, 877:23,
today [6] - 805:12,	931:12, 955:16,	two-sided [1] - 980:13	970:24, 975:2, 975:5, 975:10	877:25
813:13, 860:9,	955:17, 955:19,	types [7] - 837:24,		Valley [1] - 839:18
893:21, 923:14,	971:25	863:22, 933:11,	ultimately [5] -	valve [1] - 877:5
927:11	tracks [3] - 852:23,	934:12, 947:20,	810:18, 811:8, 927:12, 936:9, 943:7	valves [1] - 876:23
toluene [7] - 865:8,	930:4, 933:7	964:25, 969:7		variability [1] - 838:10
865:17, 871:13,	trade [4] - 812:1,	typical [5] - 813:17,	under-20 [1] - 831:19 under-40 [1] - 831:19	variation [1] - 838:9
900:12, 911:17,	812:7, 812:8, 965:24	814:18, 823:18,		varied [1] - 873:1
913:3, 913:6	trained [1] - 822:11	826:19, 837:18	undergrad [1] - 956:20	varies [2] - 868:21,
tonal [1] - 965:19	training [15] - 832:1,	1	undergraduate [2] -	891:6
took [11] - 811:18,	832:5, 832:12,	U	• •	various [11] - 810:17,
856:3, 856:21,	832:13, 832:23,	114.1	865:12, 887:5	826:18, 919:4,
857:3, 857:7,	872:19, 872:22,	U-tube [2] - 837:16,	underlying [1] - 940:11	919:5, 919:22,
877:20, 897:3,	872:24, 872:25,	873:20	underpinnings [1] -	927:6, 937:22,
916:14, 916:20,	873:3, 873:7,	<b>U.S</b> [97] - 805:10,		937:24, 949:11,
955:20, 971:5	881:15, 916:23,	808:4, 810:13,	834:14 understood [1] -	969:16, 971:18
tool [1] - 965:16	917:1	810:14, 813:16,	941:3	vary [1] - 972:15
tools [1] - 833:9	transcript [1] - 980:6	814:11, 821:22, 827:7, 828:11,	undertaken [1] -	vastly [1] - 974:11
top [38] - 815:3, 815:8,	transferred [4] -	831:11, 832:25,	876:10	VCU [2] - 877:4, 879:8
815:11, 821:6,	810:24, 811:1,	833:5, 833:14,	underway [1] - 880:1	vendor [2] - 878:3,
823:7, 823:11,	811:5, 811:12	833:16, 838:13,	unfortunately [1] -	878:6
823:15, 827:12,	transmissions [1] -	840:17, 840:22,	870:17	vendors (3) - 863:18,
828:19, 828:25,	950:2	841:13, 842:1,	unique [1] - 916:25	863:19, 863:21
829:3, 851:18,	transmitted [1] -	842:13, 842:24,	unit [7] - 825:4,	Veolia [2] - 837:1,
865:19, 867:8,	970:23	843:3, 843:11,	834:10, 839:12,	893:11
867:14, 867:19,	travel [6] - 844:10,	848:19, 848:25,	877:21, 877:23,	Vermont [1] - 956:21
868:7, 876:22,	845:5, 845:7,	849:1, 849:6,	877:25, 921:16	version [3] - 814:16,
895:11, 896:10,	846:14, 904:6, 904:7	849:10, 849:14,	United [9] - 805:4,	832:9, 977:11
897:14, 901:10,	travels [2] - 815:3,	852:4, 852:8,	810:5, 836:5, 862:8,	versions [1] - 832:8
902:1, 902:4, 902:5,	846:2	852:11, 853:12,	810:5, 836:5, 862:6, 888:25, 889:3,	versus [5] - 805:5,
926:3, 926:6,	traverse [2] - 846:18,	853:18, 853:23,	915:13, 916:4, 927:9	851:10, 863:10,
928:10, 930:8,	906:25	855:23, 857:6,	units [4] - 876:20,	967:22, 967:24
		000.20,001.0,	uiilo  4  - 0/0.20,	001.22, 001.2T
930:9, 930:11, 930:12, 930:23,	trays [1] - 877:20 treatment [5] - 972:21,	859:7, 860:1,	876:21, 921:18,	vice [1] - 811:9

video [2] - 965:16,	832:3, 832:5, 837:2,	809:21, 809:22,	947:6, 947:11,
965:19	873:3, 881:6,	809:24, 862:22,	948:20
Video [1] - 965:15	884:12, 885:17	915:5, 915:7, 979:23	years [34] - 806:4,
videos [2] - 893:17,	week-long [2] - 832:1,	witnesses [1] - 805:14	807:12, 807:17,
965:22	832:5	women [4] - 811:11,	808:6, 810:16,
<b>view</b> [4] - 826:17,	weeks [3] - 853:11,	813:15, 858:8,	811:20, 811:22,
846:6, 945:12,	893:7, 893:8	858:22	811:23, 813:6,
945:13	weld [3] - 851:23,	Woodwell [8] - 915:6,	832:11, 832:20,
violate [1] - 958:16	851:24, 853:7	915:12, 915:15,	833:15, 838:17,
Violation [1] - 903:3	welfare [1] - 958:20	956:15, 956:19,	838:22, 845:18,
violation [2] - 886:13,	West [1] - 863:17	959:15, 960:2,	858:7, 858:9,
966:20	Westmoreland [1] -	979:22	859:22, 873:24,
violations [19] - 806:9,	862:17	WOODWELL [7] -	873:25, 877:2,
806:12, 818:6,	wharf [2] - 816:20,	915:7, 915:16,	881:18, 889:11,
830:25, 831:6,	816:21	915:17, 929:15,	910:22, 910:23,
840:14, 848:23,	white [4] - 846:21,	929:19, 929:24,	916:6, 916:8, 917:2,
872:5, 879:12,	846:22, 847:4,	960:7	917:8, 944:15,
902:17, 902:23,	905:21	workers [1] - 935:16	947:4, 947:16
903:7, 903:13,	whole [2] - 844:17,	workforce [2] - 870:3,	years' [1] - 845:16
962:6, 962:8,	910:3	899:4	yourself [3] - 810:3,
965:11, 975:20,	why's [1] - 854:12	Works [22] - 808:5,	915:11, 917:16
976:1, 976:7	wide [6] - 878:24,	808:14, 810:6,	
Virginia [2] - 863:17	945:9, 946:25,	861:11, 862:22,	
virtue [2] - 876:8,	951:4, 952:10,	862:25, 863:1,	
899:22	952:16	866:2, 867:15,	
visible [1] - 883:20	wider [1] - 965:19	869:13, 870:21,	
visual [1] - 938:24	WILLIS [45] - 805:8,	870:24, 874:20,	
voids [1] - 824:6	808:3, 809:3,	874:25, 884:23,	
volatile [2] - 817:25,	809:11, 809:12,	887:9, 890:10,	
871:8	809:17, 861:9,	898:25, 936:17,	
volume [4] - 814:11,	891:15, 891:17,	959:2, 966:10,	
837:10, 838:4, 893:2	892:11, 892:12,	975:12	
voluminous [3] -	895:16, 895:19,	works [7] - 814:4,	
937:7, 950:7, 950:23	895:21, 895:24,	840:1, 844:15,	
voluntarily [1] -	895:25, 896:15,	909:24, 910:7,	
857:17	896:21, 902:20,	953:22, 980:20	
voluntary [1] - 879:4	902:25, 911:5,	world [1] - 876:25	
	912:14, 912:16,	worse [2] - 842:11,	
W	913:14, 914:7,	842:19	
	914:11, 914:25,	worst [6] - 835:17,	
walk [7] - 846:3,	956:6, 956:16,	835:23, 842:3,	
846:12, 846:13,	956:18, 957:20,	842:8, 843:5, 854:21	
846:16, 846:17,	957:22, 959:12,	worst-performing [4]	
906:18, 906:25	959:14, 960:8,	- 835:23, 842:3,	
walking [2] - 848:7,	961:22, 970:6,	842:8, 854:21	
907:1	970:8, 977:5, 977:6,		-
wall [3] - 819:12,	978:19, 979:11,	X	
839:6, 876:15	979:17, 980:7,		-
walls [5] - 817:22,	980:10	xylene [5] - 865:10,	
819:14, 865:24,	Willis [11] - 805:8,	871:13, 900:10,	
881:20, 947:8	808:1, 809:7, 861:7,	913:4, 913:7	
Ward [1] - 946:14	911:12, 912:6,	V	-
watching [1] - 901:17	912:13, 914:5,	Y	
water [2] - 816:16,	956:14, 961:20,	vard [5] - 849:7,	
826:3	979:9	906:19, 908:3,	
wear [3] - 865:22,	wind [1] - 969:6	953:18, 954:4	
865:24, 866:14	winds [1] - 968:19	year [10] - 834:23,	
weather [2] - 828:5,	withstand [1] - 855:13	848:17, 849:1,	
		A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY.	
838:6	witness [10] - 805:11,	857:18, 884:14,	